



LECTURES ON PATAÑJALI'S MAHĀBHĀSYA

Volume V

(ĀHNIKAS 15 to 22)

P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI, M. A., PH. D., (Vidyāratna, Vidyānidhi, Vidyābhūṣaṇa, Vāṇī-trivēṇī-prayāga)



TIRUCHIRAPALLI 1957







Price: Rs. Ten

For copies, apply to

THE AUTHOR,
23, Pandian Lane,
Andar Street, Teppakulam P. O.,
Tiruchirapalli, (S. India)

PREFACE

This volume contains eight $\bar{a}hnikas$ starting with the first $\bar{a}hnika$ of the fourth $p\bar{a}da$ of the first $adhy\bar{a}ya$ and ending with the first $\bar{a}hnika$ of the second $p\bar{a}da$ of the second $adhy\bar{a}ya$.

I am grateful to the Sahitya Akademi for financial assistance in the publication of this volume. I heartily thank the Madras School Book and Literature Society for having made a grant of Rs. 125 and Rs. 165 for vols. 3 & 4 and Kāñcī Kāmakōṭi Muṭṭ, Kumbhakonam, Annamalai University and University of Travancore for having purchased 20, 10, 10 copies of each volume for their libraries.

May Mother Sivakāmasundarī and Lord Naṭarāja enable me cemplete the publication of the remaining seven volumes!

Tiruchirapalli, 20—11—57.

P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI-

*







For copies, apply to

THE AUTHOR,
23, Pandian Lane,
Andar Street, Teppakulam P. O.,
Tiruchirapalli, (S. India)

Price: Rs. Ten

PREFACE

This volume contains eight $\bar{a}hnikas$ starting with the first $\bar{a}hnika$ of the fourth $p\bar{a}da$ of the first $adhy\bar{a}ya$ and ending with the first $\bar{a}hnika$ of the second $p\bar{a}da$ of the second $adhy\bar{a}ya$.

I am grateful to the Sahitya Akademi for financial assistance in the publication of this volume. I heartily thank the Madras School Book and Literature Society for having made a grant of Rs. 125 and Rs. 165 for vols. 3 & 4 and Kāñcī Kāmakōṭi Muṭṭ, Kumbhakonam, Annamalai University and University of Travancore for having purchased 20, 10, 10 copies of each volume for their libraries.

May Mother Sivakāmasundarī and Lord Naṭarāja enable me cemplete the publication of the remaining seven volumes!

P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI-



॥ श्रीगुरुभ्यो नमः ॥

अष्टाध्यायीप्रणेतारं दाक्षीपुत्रं सुपाणिनिम् । वाक्यकारं वररुचिं भाष्यकारं पतञ्जलिम् ॥ चोक्कनाथं मिलवरं भाष्यरलावलीकृतम् ॥ वेक्कटाध्वरिणिश्चिष्यं रामभद्रगुरुं वरम् ॥ नीलकण्ठं यतिवरं क्षेत्रपालिनवासिनम् ॥ अद्वेतमार्गनिष्णातं शब्दशास्त्रमहोदिधम् ॥ वैद्यनाथं द्विजं मित्रं मरूर्यामिनवासिनम् ॥ शब्दशास्त्रप्रवक्तारं गीताद्यर्थप्रकाशम् ॥ प्रणम्य तान् गुरून् सर्वान् प्रसन्नमनसाधुना ॥ कुर्व आङ्गलभारत्या महाभाष्यविमर्शनम् ॥



Printed at
The Trichinopoly United Printers Limited,
Tiruchirapalli-2.

CONTENTS

FIFTEENTH ĀHNIKA

	$Sar{u}tra$			\mathbf{Page}
1.	आकडारादेका संज्ञा (2 topics)	•••	• • •	1-24
2.	विप्रतिषेधे परं कार्यम् (5 topics)			24 - 46
	•	_		
	SIXTEENTH	AHNIKA		
1.	यूस्ट्याख्या नदी (5 topics)	•••	• • •	47 - 56
2.	षष्ठियुक्तरछन्दास वा	•••	•••	56— 58
3.	यसात्प्रत्ययविधिस्तदादि प्रत्ययेऽङ्गम	ζ (7 topics)	• • •	5 8—66
4.	सुप्तिङन्तं पदम्	•••	• • •	67—68
5.	नः कये	•••	• • •	63
6.	खादिष्वसर्वनामस्थाने	• • •		69—70
7.	यचि भम्	•••		70 - 71
8.	तसी मत्वर्थे	***	• • •	72
9.	अयसयादीनि छन्दसि	•••	• • •	72
10.	बहुषु बहुवचनम् (3 topics)	•••	•••	73—78
	SEVENTEEN'TE	H ĀHNIKA		
1.	कारके (4 topics)	•••	•••	79—88
2.	ध्रवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics)	•••	•••	89—92
3.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			00 00
,a		• • •	• • •	92 - 93
4.	पराजेरसोढः		* * *	92—93 93
4. 5.		•••	•••	
	पराजेरसोढः	•••	•••	93
5 .	पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीप्सितः	•••	•••	93 93—96
5. 6.	पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीष्सितः आख्यातोपयोगे	•••	•••	93 93—96 96—98 98 98
5. 6. 7.	पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीप्सितः आख्यातोपयोगे जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः	•••		93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101
5. 6. 7. 8.	पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीष्सितः आख्यातोपयोगे जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः	•••		93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101 101—102
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीप्सितः आख्यातोपयोगे जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः कर्मणा यमभिप्रैति स संप्रदानम् (5	•••		93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101 101—102 102—104
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीिष्सतः आख्यातोपयोगे जिनकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः कर्मणा यमभिप्रैति स संप्रदानम् (5 क्रुधद्रुहेर्ध्यासूयार्थानां यं प्रति कोपः साधकतमं करणम् उपान्वध्याङ्वसः	 toipcs)	•••	93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101 101—102 102—104 104
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीिष्सतः आख्यातोपयोगे जिनकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः कर्मणा यमभिप्रैति स संप्रदानम् (5 क्रुधद्रुहेर्ध्यासूयार्थानां यं प्रति कोपः साधकतमं करणम् उपान्वध्याङ्वसः कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म	 toipcs)	•••	93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101 101—102 102—104 104 105—107
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीिष्सतः आख्यातोपयोगे जिनकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः कर्मणा यमभिप्रैति स संप्रदानम् (5 क्रुधद्रुहेर्ध्यासूयार्थानां यं प्रति कोपः साधकतमं करणम् उपान्वध्याङ्वसः	 toipcs)	•••	93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101 101—102 102—104 104

	$Sar{u}va$			P_{AGE}
15.	अकथितं च (5 topics)			109—118
16.	गतिवुद्धिप्रत्यवसानार्थ(2 topics		• • •	118—122
17.	~	•)•••	• • •	
18.	हकोरन्यतरस्याम्	• • •	• • •	122—123
	स्रतन्त्रः कर्ता (2 topics)	•••		123—125
19.	तत्प्रयोजको हेतुश्च	•••	• • •	125—126
	EIGHTEENTE	ı Āhnika		
1.	प्राग्रीश्वरान्निपाताः (2 topics)	. , ,		127—129
2.	चाद्योऽसत्त्वे (2 topics)		• • •	129—130
3.	प्राद्य उपमर्गाः क्रियायोगे		• • •	
4.	गतिश्च	•••		
5.	ऊर्यादिचिवडाचश्च	•••	* * •	135—136
6.	अनुकरणं चानितिपरम् (2 topics)	•••	, , ,	136—137
7.	आदरानादरयोः सदसती	•••		137—138
8.	अन्तरपरिग्रहे	•••		138
9.	साक्षात्त्रभृतीिन च	•••		138 - 140
10.	ते प्राग्धाताः	•••		140—143
11.	कर्मप्रवचन(याः	* * *	***	143
12.	अनुर्रक्षणे	•••		143—146
13.	आङ्मयादाचचने	• * •	• • •	146—147
14.	ळक्षणेत्थंभूत	•••		147
15.	अधिपरी अनर्थकौ	***		147—150
16.	अधिरीश्वरे	• • •		15 0
17.	लः परसैपदम्	• • •		150—151
18.	तिङस्त्रीणि त्रीणि	•••	• • •	151—154
19.	विभक्तिश्च :	•••	* * *	154 - 155
20.	युष्मद्यपपदे असमद्यत्तमः रोषे प्रथमः			
21.	असाद्युत्तमः { (5 topics)	•••		155—165
22,	रोषे प्रथमः			200 200
23.	परः सन्निकर्षः संहिता	•••	•••	165—170
24.	विरामोऽवसानम्	•••	***	170-174
	NINETEENTH	ĀHNIKA		•
	समर्थः पद्विधिः (10 topics)	•••	• • •	175—225

TWENTIETH ĀHNIKA

	$Sar{u}tra$			Page
1.	सुबामन्त्रिते पराङ्गवत्सरे (5 top	pics)		226—231
2.	प्राक्कडारात्समासः	•••	•••	231—233
3.	सह सुपा			233—235
4.	अव्ययीभावः	***		235—236
5.	यथासाहर्ये	••••	•••	237
6.	सुप्रातिना मात्रार्थे	***	* * *	238
7.	अक्षरालाकासङ्ख्याः परिणा	•••		238 - 239
8.	विभाषापपरिवहिरञ्चवः पञ्चम्या	• • •	• • •	239 - 240
9.	आङ् मर्यादाभिविध्योः	•••	***	240
10.	यस्य चायामः	• • •		240 - 241
11.	तिष्ठद्रप्रभृतीनि च	# * *	***	241 - 242
12.	पारे मध्ये षष्ठ्या वा	• • •	• • •	242 - 243
13.	नदीभिश्च	* * *		243 - 245
14.	इिगुश्च	• • •		245
15.	द्वितीया श्रितातीत ⋯	* * •		245 - 248
16.	खट्टा क्षेपे	• • •	* # *	249
17.	अत्यन्तसंयोगे च	• • •	• • •	249 - 250
18.	तृतीया तत्कृतार्थेन गुणवचनेन (५	topics)	* • •	250-253
19.	पूर्वसद्यः	• • •	* * *	253
20.	कर्तृकरणे कृता बहुलम्		• • •	253 - 254
21.	कृत्यैराधिकार्थवचन <u>े</u>	• • •	* * *	254
22.	अन्नेन व्यञ्जनम्			255—258
23.	भक्ष्येण मिश्रीकरणम् ∫	•••	* * 5	
24.	चतुर्थीं तद्रथिं	•••	•••	258—265
25.	पञ्चमी भ्येन्	•••	•••	265
26.	सप्तमी ् शौण्डैः	***	•••	265—266
27.	ध्वाङ्क्षेण क्षेपे	•••	* 6 (266
28.	क ्येर्क्रण	•••	•••	266
29.	क्षेप	•••	* • •	267
30.	पात्रे समिताद्यश्च	• • •	• • •	267—278
. TWENTYFIRST ĀHNIKA				
1.	तिद्धतार्थोत्तरपद्समाहारे च (6 t	topics)	•••	279—279
2.	सङ्ख्यापूर्वो द्विगुः		• • •	279—281

	$Sar{u}tra$			PAGE
3.	कुत्सितानि कुत्सनैः			281
4.	उपमानानि सामान्यवचनैः	•••	• • •	281—286
5.	उपभितं व्यावादिभिः सामान्याप्रयोग	ो		286 - 287
6.	विशेषणं विशेष्येण बहुलम्	• • •		287 - 289
7.	पूर्वापरप्रथम	•••	• • •	289 - 290
8.	श्रेण्यादयः कृतादिभिः	•••	• • •	290 - 291
9.	क्तेन निव्वशिष्टेनानञ्	***		291-293
10.	युवा खळति	• • •	• • •	294
11.	वर्णों वर्णेन (6 topics)	•••	• • •	294 - 307
12.	चतुष्पदो गर्भिण्या	•••	•••	308
13.	मयूरब्यंसकादयश्च	***	•••	308
	TWENTYSECON	D ĀHNIKA		
1.	अर्द्ध नपुंसकम्	• A a	* * •	309
2.	द्वितीयतृतीय	***		310—313
3.	प्राप्तापन्ने च द्वितीयया	• * •	•••	313-314
4.	कालाः परिमाणिना (4 topics)	•••	. • •	314317
5.	नञ्	•••		317-324
6.	ईषदंकृता	•••	•••	324
7.	ৰ ষ্টা	•••	•••	324 - 326
8.	न निर्घारणे	•••	•••	326
9.	पूर्णगुणसुहितार्थ	•••	* * *	326 - 329
10.	कर्मणि च	•••		330—332
11.	नित्यं क्रीडाजीविकयोः	***	***	332
12.	कुगतिप्राद् यः	•••	•••	332—335
13.	उप्पदमतिङ् (4 topics)	***	* * *	335—339
14.	अमैवाव्ययेन	•••	• • •	339
15.	रोषो बहुवीहिः	•••	• • •	340
	Corrigenda	•••	• • •	342
(i)	Index of Sūtras		• • •	343
(ii)	Do. Vārttikas	•••	• • •	344
(iii)	Do. Paribhāṣas, Nyāyas		***	350
(iv)	Do. Important Sanskrit		• • •	350
(v)	Do. Important English	words		359

LECTURES ON PATAÑJALI'S MAHĀBHĀSYĀ

Volume V

Fifteenth Ahnika

(First $adhy\bar{a}ya$, fourth $p\bar{a}da$, first $\bar{a}hnika$)

आ कडारादेका संज्ञा (1, 4, 1)

Two topics are found here:—(1) Merits and defects in the readings \bar{A} kadārād ēkā samjñā and $Pr\bar{a}k$ kadārāt param kāryam. (2) Benefits of this $s\bar{u}tra$.

[--i

किमर्थिमिदमुच्यते? What purpose is served by this sūtra? अन्यत्र संज्ञासमावेशानियमार्थं वचनम्

This is for the sake of niyama cn account of samāvēśa being found elsewhere.

अन्यत्र संज्ञासमावेशो भवति

There is concourse of different $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ elsewhere.

कान्यत्र? What does anyatra refer to?

लोके व्याकरणे च Both in the world and in Vyākaraṇa.

लोके तावंत् - इन्द्रः शकः पुरुह्तः पुरन्दरः, कन्दुः कोष्ठः कुसूलः इति । एकस्य द्रव्यस्य बह्न्यः संज्ञा भवन्ति

Firstly in the world:—Indra is called Indra, Śakra, Puruhūtu, Purandara etc. and granary is called kandu, kōṣṭha, kusūla etc. Many samj $n\bar{a}s$ are found with reference to a single object.

Note:—Even though the words indra, śakra, $puruh\bar{u}ta$ and purandara have different pravrttinimittas, $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sya-k\bar{a}ra$ has mentioned them here, since people use them to denote Indra without reference to their respective pravrtti-nimitta.

व्याकरणेऽपि - कर्तव्यं हर्तव्यम् इत्यत्र प्रत्ययक्वत्कृत्यसंज्ञानां समावेशो भवति । पाञ्चालः वैदेहः वैदर्भः इत्यत्न प्रत्ययतद्धिततद्राजसंज्ञानां समावेशो भवति । In grammar too—there is concourse of the samijñās of pratyaya,

krt and krtya with reference to tavya in the words kartavyam

and hartavyam and of pratyaya, taddhita and tadrāja with reference to $a\tilde{n}$ in the words $p\bar{a}\tilde{n}c\bar{a}lah$, $v\bar{a}id\bar{e}hah$ and $v\bar{a}idarbhah$.

अन्यत्र संज्ञासमावेशाद् एतस्मात्कारणाद् आकडारादिष संज्ञानां समावेशः प्रामोति । इप्यते चैकैव संज्ञा स्यादिति । तच्चान्तरेण यत्नं न सिध्यति इति नियमार्थं वचनम् । एवमर्थमिदमुच्यते

Since there is concourse of samjūās elsewhere, there is chance for the same, of samjūās found before the sūtra Kaḍārāḥ karma-dhārayē (2, 2, 38); but it is desired that there should be only one samjūā and it cannot be accomplished without effort and hence this sūtra is for the sake of niyama. This is the purpose served by this sūtra.

अस्ति प्रयोजनम् एतत्? Is this the prayojana?

किं तहींति? What then?

कथं त्वेतत्सूत्रं पठितव्यं, किम् आकडारादेका संज्ञा इति आहोस्वित् प्राक्कडा-रात्परं कार्यम् इति ?

How is this $s\bar{u}tra$ to be read— $\bar{A}kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ or $Pr\bar{a}k$ $kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$ param $k\bar{a}ryam$?

कुतः पुनरयं सन्देहः ? What is the source of this doubt?

उभयथा ह्याचार्येण शिष्याः सूत्रं प्रतिपादिताः, केचिद् आकडारादेका संज्ञा इति, केचित् प्राक्कडारात्परं कार्यम् इति ।

For, the pupils were taught by the $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ both ways, some the form ' $\bar{A}kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d\ \bar{e}k\bar{a}\ sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ ' and others the form ' $Pr\bar{a}k\ kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}t\ para\dot{m}\ k\bar{a}ryam$.'

कश्चात्र विशेषः? What will be the difference here? तत्रैकसंज्ञाधिकारे तद्वचनम्

If it is ēka-samjñā-adhikāra, need of its mention.

तत्रैकसंज्ञाधिकारे तद् वक्तव्यम्

It should be mentioned, if it is ēka-samjnā-adhikāra.

किम? What does tad refer to?

एका संज्ञा भवति इति

That there is $\bar{e}ka$ -sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. (i.e.) The expression $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ should be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$, as it is now read.

ननु च यस्यापि परं कार्यत्वं तेनापि परश्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Oh, the mention of the expression $param\ k\bar{a}ryam$ is necessary even to him who holds it to be $paramk\bar{a}ryatva-adhik\bar{a}ra$. (i.e.) he has to read the $s\bar{u}tra\ \bar{A}$ -kadārāt $param\ k\bar{a}ryam$.

परार्थं मम भविष्यति 'विश्रतिषेधे च '

It will be used in the next sūtra, so that it may be read Vipratiṣēdhē ca instead of Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam.

ममापि तर्हि एकप्रहणं परार्थ भविष्यति सरूपाणामेकशेष एकविभक्ती इति If so, the word $\bar{e}ka$ here will be used also by me in the following $s\bar{u}tra\ Sar\bar{u}p\bar{a}n\bar{a}m\ \bar{e}kaś\bar{e}sa\ \bar{e}kavibhakt\bar{a}u\ (1, 2, 64).$

Note:—Even though $Sar\bar{u}p\bar{a}n\bar{a}m...(1, 2, 64)$ is read in the second $p\bar{a}da$, $\bar{e}ka$ -sa $ini\bar{n}\bar{a}$ -adhik $\bar{a}ra$ - $v\bar{a}din$ says that he will read it here.

संज्ञाधिकारश्चायम् । तत्र किमन्यच्छक्यं विज्ञातुमन्यदतः संज्ञायाः । तत्रैतावद् वाच्यम् 'आ कडारादेका'

This portion deals with $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. Hence which can be mentioned here other than $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$? So it will suffice if the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read ' $Akad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d\ \bar{\epsilon}k\bar{a}$.'

किम्? What does ēkā mean?

एका संज्ञा भवति इति – Only one $sainj \tilde{n} \tilde{a}$ sets in.

अङ्गसंज्ञया भपदसंज्ञयोरसमावेशः

Non-concourse of bha-samj $n\bar{a}$ and $padasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ with $angasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$

अङ्गसंज्ञया भपदसंज्ञयोः समावेशो न प्राप्ताति, सार्पिष्कः, बार्हिष्कः, याजुष्कः, धानुष्कः, बाभ्रव्यः, माण्डव्य इति

There will be no chance for bha-samj $n\bar{a}$ and $padasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ to exist together with anga-samj $n\bar{a}$, as in $s\bar{a}rpiskah$, $b\bar{a}rhiskah$, $y\bar{a}juskah$, $dh\bar{a}nuskah$, $b\bar{a}bhravyah$ and $m\bar{a}ndavyah$.

Note:—It is through the aiga-sa $ijn\bar{a}$, the initial vowel of the words sarpis, barhis, yajus etc. has taken vrddhi since they are followed by the taddhitapratyayas thak etc. on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tras$ $Taddhit\bar{e}svac\bar{a}m$ $\bar{a}d\bar{e}h$. (7, 2, 117) and Kiti ca (7, 2, 118).

अनवकाशे भपदसंज्ञे अङ्गसंज्ञां बाधेयाताम्

The $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ bha and pada being $anavak\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ will set at naught $a\dot{n}ga$ - $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परंकार्थत्वं नियमानुपपत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञ्योभीवः सिद्धः

But, on the other hand, if paravacana is acceded to, both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ can exist together on account of the absence of niyama. The simultaneous existence of both the $sa\tilde{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ is settled to $para\dot{m}-k\bar{a}ryatva-v\bar{a}din$.

कथम् श How?

पूर्वे तस्य भपदसंज्ञे, पराङ्गसंज्ञा

The $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ -bha and pada precede the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{u}$ -aiga according to him.

Note:—He has to explain his previous statement since the order of the $s\bar{u}tras$ in $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$ does not agree with it. The order is as follows:— $Yasm\bar{a}t$ pratyayavidhis $tad\bar{a}dipratyay\bar{\imath}$ angam 1, 4, 13), $Sv\bar{a}disvasurvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}n\bar{e}$ (padam) 1, 4, 17) $Yaci\ bham$ 1, 4, 18).

कथम् ? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति - यस्मात्त्रत्ययविधिस्तदादि सुप्तिङन्तं पदम्, नः कये, सिति च, स्वादिष्वसर्वनामस्थाने, यचि भम्, तस्यान्ते प्रत्ययेऽङ्गम् इति । तत्र आरम्भ-सामर्थ्याच भपदसंज्ञे, परङ्कार्यत्वाचाङ्गसंज्ञा भविष्यति ।

He will read the sūtras thus:—Yasmāt pratyayavidhis tadādi suptinantam padam, Naḥ kyē, Siti ca, Svādiṣvasarvanāmasthānē, Yaci bham, (at the end) Pratyayēsigam. First the samjñās bha and pada set in, since they are mentioned first. Then comes the aṅga-saṃjñā on account of paraṅkāryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारस्तस्याप्यक्तसंज्ञापूर्विके भपदसंज्ञे

Oh! the samjñās bha and pada are preceded by the angasamjñā even to the $\bar{e}ka$ -samjñādhi $k\bar{a}ra$ - $v\bar{a}din$.

कथम्? How?

अनुवृत्तिः क्रियते - Anuvitti (of $padasunij\tilde{n}a$) is done.

पर्यायः पसज्येत । एका संज्ञेति वचनान्नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There will be chance for either of the two to operate. Since the $adhik\bar{a}ra$ relates to $\bar{e}ka$ - $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, there is no chance for both to exist simultaneously.

कर्मधारयत्वे तत्पुरुषग्रहणम्

Mention of tatpuruşa with reference to karmadhāraya.

कर्मधारयत्वे तत्पुरुपग्रहणं कर्तेव्यं तत्पुरुषः समानाधिकरणः कर्मधारय इति Mention should be made of tatpuruṣa with reference to karma-dhāraya thus:—Tatpuruṣaḥ samānādhikaraṇaḥ karmadhārayaḥ (as is done in 1, 2, 42).

एकसंज्ञाधिकार इति चोदितम् । अकियमाणे हि तत्पुरुषग्रहणे ह्यानवकाशा कर्मधारयसंज्ञा तत्पुरुपसंज्ञां बाधत ।

It is said that this section is $\bar{e}ka$ -sa $mj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ -adhi $k\bar{a}ra$. If tatpurusa is not read, $karmadh\bar{a}rayasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ which is anavak \bar{a} sa will set at naught tatpurusasa $mj\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परंकार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोभीवः सिद्धः

But, on the other hand, both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ can simultaneously exist according to paravacana, since there is no niyama. Simultaneous existence of both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ on account of the absence of niyama according to $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatvav\bar{a}din$ is possible.

कथम् ! How ?

पूर्वा तस्य कर्मधारयसंज्ञा, परा तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा

 $Karmadh\bar{a}rayasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, according to him, precedes $tatpurusasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

कथम्? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति - पूर्वकालैकसर्वजरत्पुराणनवकेवलाः समानाधिकरणेन कर्मधारय इति । एवं सर्व कर्मधारयप्रकरणमनुकम्य तस्यान्ते श्रितादिभिस्तत्पुरुषः इति । तत्रारम्भसामर्थ्याच कर्मधारयसंज्ञा, परंकार्यत्वाच तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा भविष्यति ।

He will read thus:—Pūrvakālāikasarvajaratpurāṇanavakēvalāḥ samānādhikaraṇēna karmadhārayaḥ. After reading all the sūtras relating to karmadhāraya, he will read at the end Śritā-dibhis ta'puruṣaḥ, Karmadhārayasamjñā will set in on account of ārambhasāmarthya and tatpuruṣasamjñā on account of parankāryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारः तस्यापि तत्पुरुपसंज्ञापूर्विका कर्मधारयसंज्ञा Oh! $karmadh\bar{a}rayasamij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ will be preceded by $tatpurusasamij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ even according to $\bar{e}kasamij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ -adhik $\bar{a}rav\bar{a}din$.

कथम् ? How?

अनुवृत्तिः क्रियते Anuvṛtti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत । एका संज्ञेति वचनान्नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for either of the two to operate. Since the $adhik\bar{a}ra$ relates to $\bar{e}ka$ -samj $n\bar{a}$, there is no chance for both to exist simultaneously.

तत्पुरुषे द्विगुचग्रहणम्

Need of reading ca along with dvigu with reference to tatpurusa.

तत्पुरुषे द्विगुचग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् - तत्पुरुषः द्विगुश्च इति चकारः कर्तव्यः

There is need to read ca after dviju with reference to tatpuruṣa. $Cak\bar{a}ra$ is to be read thus:—Tatpuruṣaḥ and Dviguś ca.

Note:—Here it is possible for a doubt to arise. Does the $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ refer to the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Dvigu\acute{s}$ ca (2,1,23) or to the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Saikhy\bar{a}p\bar{u}rv\bar{o}$ $dvigu\dot{h}$ (2,1,52)? $K\bar{a}iyata$ answers that he refers to the latter, since the former is unnecessary both to $\bar{e}ka$ - $sainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ - $v\bar{a}din$ and to $paraik\bar{a}ryatva$ - $v\bar{a}din$.

अित्रयमाणे हि चकारेऽनवकाशा द्विगुसंज्ञा तत्पुरुप संज्ञां बाधेत For, if cakāra is not read, dvigusainjā which is anavakāśa will set at naught tatpuruṣasainjāā.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः - यस्य पुनः परंकार्थत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोभीवः सिद्धः

But, on the other hand, both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}as$ can simultaneously exist according to $para\dot{n}k\dot{a}ryatvav\bar{a}din$, since there is no niyama.

कथम्? How?

पूर्वा तस्य द्विगुसंज्ञा परा तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा

According to him $dvigusamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ precedes $tatpurusasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

कथम्? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति - तद्धितार्थोत्तरपदसमाहारे च सङ्ख्यापूर्वे द्विगुः इति, एवं सर्वं द्विगुप्रकरणमनुकम्य तस्यान्ते श्रितादिभिस्तत्पुरुषः इति । तत्र आरम्भसामर्थ्याच्च द्विगुसंज्ञा, परंकार्यत्वाच्च तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा भविष्यति ।

He will read thus:—Having commenced dviguprakaraņa by the sūtra Taddhitārthōttarapadasamāhārē ca saṅkhyāpūrvō dviguḥ, he will read the sūtra Śritādibhis tatpuruṣaḥ at its end. Dvigusamijnā will appear, in that case, by ārambhasāmarthya and tatpuruṣasamijnā by paraṅkāryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारस्तस्यापि तत्पुरुपसंज्ञापूर्विका द्विगुसंज्ञा
Oh! dvigusanijñā will be preceded by tatpuruṣasanijñā even

कथम् श How?

according to ēkasanijñādhikāravādin.

अनुवृतिः क्रियते Anuntti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत । एका संज्ञेतिवचनात् नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for either of the two to operate. There is no chance for simultaneous operation of both on account of $\bar{e}kasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}tvapaksa$.

गतिदिवःकर्महेतुमत्सु चग्रहणम्

Need to read ca in the sūtras Gatiś ca (1, 4, 60), Divah karma ca (1, 4, 43) and Tatprayōjakō hētuś ca (1, 4, 55.)

गतिदिवःकर्महेतुमत्सु चय्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Ca should be read in the sūtras Gatiś ca, Divaḥ karma ca and Tatprayōjakō hētuś ca.

उपसर्गाः क्रियायोगे, गतिश्च इति चकारः कर्तव्यः

 $Cak\bar{a}ra$ should be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Gati \dot{s} ca which follows the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Upasarg\bar{a}h$ $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{o}g\bar{e}$.

अक्रियमाणे हि चकारे अनवकाशोपसर्गसंज्ञा गतिसंज्ञां बाधेत ।

If $cak\bar{a}ra$ is not read, $upasargasanij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ which is $anavak\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ will set at naught $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परङ्कार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोर्भावः सिद्धः

Simultaneous existence of both the $sa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ on account of the absence of niyama in pravacana. The simultaneous existence of both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ is settled, according to $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatvavadin$, on account of the absence of the niyama.

कथम् ! How ?

पूर्वा तस्योपसर्गसंज्ञा, परा गतिसंज्ञा। तत्र आरम्भसामध्याचि उपसर्गसंज्ञां, परंकार्यत्वाच गतिसंज्ञा भविष्यति

Upasargasamj $\tilde{n}a$, according to him, precedes gati-samj $\tilde{n}a$. Upasargasamj $\tilde{n}a$ first appears on account of $\tilde{a}rambha$ -s $\tilde{a}marthya$ and then gati-samj $\tilde{n}a$ appears on account of parainkaryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारः तस्याप्युपसर्गसंज्ञापूर्विका गतिसंज्ञा Oh! $gatisamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ is preceded by $upasarga-samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ even according to $\tilde{e}ka-samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}dhik\tilde{a}ra-paksin$.

कथम् ? How?

अनुवृत्तिः क्रियते Anuvrtti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत, एका संक्षेति वचनान्नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for $pary\bar{a}ya$. Simultaneous existence is not possible on account of the mention of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ sanij $n\bar{a}$.

गतिसंज्ञाप्यनवकाशा सा वचनाद्भविष्यति

Gatisa $\dot{m}j^{\tilde{n}}\bar{a}$, too, is $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}\bar{a}$ and hence it sets in on account of its mention.

सावकाशा गतिसंबा Gatisamjñā is sāvakāśā.

कोऽवकाशः? Where does it operate?

ऊर्यादीन्यवकाशः

It operates with reference to $\bar{u}ry\bar{a}dis$ mentioned in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{U}ry\bar{a}di$ -cvi- $d\bar{a}cas$ ca (1, 4, 61).

प्रादीनां या गतिसंज्ञा सानवकाशा

The $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to $pr\bar{a}dis$ is $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}\bar{a}$.

साधकतमं करणं, दिवः कर्म च इति चकारः कर्तव्यः

Cakāra should be read in the sūtra Sādhakatamain karaṇam.

अक्रियमाणे हि चकारेऽनवकाशा कर्मसंज्ञां बाधेत

If $cak\bar{a}ra$ is not read, $karmasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ which is $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ will set at naught the $karanasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परङ्कार्यत्वं नियमानुपपत्ते-हतस्योभयोः संज्ञयोर्भावः सिद्धः

Both the $samj^{\tilde{n}}\bar{a}s$ can exist on account of the absence of niyama in paravacana. Simultaneous existence of both the

 $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ is settled in $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatvapak$, an account of the absence of niyama.

कथम् ? How?

पूर्वा तस्य कर्मसंज्ञा, परा करणसंज्ञा

 $Karma-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, according to him, precedes $karana-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

कथम्? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति - दिवः साधकतमं कर्म, ततः करणम् - करणसंज्ञं च भवति साधकतमम् । दिवः इति निवृत्तम् । तत्र आरम्भसामर्थ्याच्च कर्मसंज्ञा, परङ्कार्यत्वाच्च करणसंज्ञा भविष्यति

He will read the $s\bar{u}tras$ thus:—Divah $s\bar{a}dhakatamam$ karma, then, the $s\bar{u}tra$ Karanam, which means that $s\bar{a}dhakatamam$ takes $karmasamijn\bar{a}$ too. Then the word divah recedes. According to that reading there is $karmasamijn\bar{a}$ on account of $\bar{a}rambha-s\bar{a}marthya$ and $karanasamijn\bar{a}$ on account of parankaryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारः तस्यापि करणसंज्ञापूर्विका कर्मसंज्ञा । Oh, even according to $\bar{e}kasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}rin$, $karmasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ is preceded by $karanasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

कथम्? How?

अनुवृत्तिः क्रियते Anuvriti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत । एका संज्ञा इति वचनान्नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for $pary\bar{a}ya$. Simultaneous existence is not possible on account of the dictum $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ $sain j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$.

(हेतुमत्) स्वतन्त्रः कर्ता, तत्त्रयोजको हेतुश्च इति चकारः कर्तव्यः Cakāra should be read (as is found now) in the sūtra Tatprayōjakō hētuś ca which follows the sūtra Svatantrah kartā (1, 4, 54).

अक्रियमाणे हि चकारे, अनवकाशा हेतुसंज्ञा कर्तृसंज्ञां बाधेत For, if $cak\bar{a}ra$ is not read. $h\bar{e}tusa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, being $anavak\bar{a}sa$, may set at naught $kartrsa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परङ्कार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोर्भावः सिद्धः । On the mention of paravacana, on the other hand, there is the simultaneous existence of both the samjñās on account of the absence of niyama. The existence of both the samjñās is settled, according to parankāryatravādin, on account of the absence of niyama.

कथम् ? How ?

पूर्वी तस्य हेतुसंज्ञा, परा कर्तृसंज्ञा

Hētusamjāā, according to him, precedes kartrsamjāā.

कथम् ? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति - स्वतन्त्रः प्रयोजको हेतुः इति । ततः कर्ता । कर्तृसंज्ञश्च भवति स्वतन्त्रः । प्रयोजक इति निवृत्तम् । तत्र आरम्भसामर्थ्याच हेतुसंज्ञा परंकार्यत्वाच कर्तृसंज्ञा भविष्यति ।

He will read thus:— Svalantralı prayojako hetulı'; then Karta which means that it being svalantra takes kartışamıjūā. The word prayojaka recedes. Then hetusamıjūā appears on account of ārambhasāmarthya and kartışamıjūā on account of paramıkāryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारः, तस्यापि कर्तृसंज्ञापूर्विका हेतुसंज्ञा Oh, hētusanijā a is preceded by kartysanijā even according to ēkasanijāādhikāravādin.

कथम् ! How!

अनुवृत्तिः क्रियते Anuvrtti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत । एका संज्ञा इति वचनान्नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for either to operate. There cannot be simultaneous existence on account of the mention of $\bar{\epsilon}k\bar{a}$ samp $\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

गुरुलघुसंज्ञे नदीघिसंज्ञे

The samjñās guru and laghu may set at naught nadī and ghi respectively.

गुरुलघुसंज्ञे बाधेयाताम्, गार्गीबन्धः वात्सीबन्धः, वेत्रं विविनय्य The samjñās guru and laghu may set at naught the samjñās nadī and ghi so that there will be difficulty in the svara of gārgī-bandhuḥ and vātsībandhuḥ and in the formation of vāinram and vivinayya.

Note:-1. $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that this difficulty will arise only if we consider that the $sainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ ghi and $nad\tilde{\iota}$ relate to only letters; if, on the other hand, they relate to tadanta, it will not arise.

Note:-2. If there is $b\bar{a}dha$, the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Nad\bar{\imath}$ bandhuni (6, 2, 109) enjoining the $ud\bar{a}ttatva$ of nadyanta cannot operate; the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Dvandv\bar{e}$ ghi (2, 2, 32) enjoining the $p\bar{u}rvanip\bar{a}ta$ in $vinar\bar{a}u$ cannot operate to allow it to take an by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Igant\bar{a}cca$ $laghup\bar{u}rv\bar{a}t$ (5, 1, 131) to secure the form $v\bar{a}inram$ ($vinr\bar{o}h$ $bh\bar{a}vah$); vivinayya is formed thus:— $vinar\bar{a}u$ $\bar{a}cast\bar{e}$ iti nici, $til\bar{o}p\bar{e}$ ca $krt\bar{e}$ Lyapi $laghup\bar{u}rv\bar{a}t$ (6, 4, 56) iti $n\bar{e}r$ $ay\bar{a}d\bar{e}sah$. If $laghusamjn\bar{a}$ sets at naught ghi- $samjn\bar{a}$, there will be no $p\bar{u}rvanip\bar{a}ta$ to vi in $vinar\bar{a}u$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परंकार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोर्भावः सिद्धः

In the paravacanapakṣa, on the other hand, both the samjñās can exist on account of the absence of niyama. The simultaneous existence of both the samjñās is settled, according to parankāryatvavādin, on account of the anupapatti of niyama.

कथन्? How?

पूर्वे तस्य नदीघिसंज्ञे ; परे गुरुलघुसंज्ञे, तत्रारम्भसामर्थ्याच नदीघिसंज्ञे, परंकार्य-त्वाच गुरुलघुसंज्ञे भविष्यतः

According to him the samjñās nadī and ghi precede the samjñās guru and laghu. The samjñās nadī and ghi set in on account of ārambhasāmarthya and guru and laghu set in on account of parankāryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारस्तस्यापि नदाधिसंज्ञापूर्विके गुरुष्ठघुसंज्ञे Ob, even according to ēkasamjñādhikāravādin, the samjñās guru and laghu are preceded by nudī and ghi.

कथम् ! How?

अनुवृत्तिः क्रियते Anuvṛtti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत ; एका संज्ञा इति वचनान्नास्ति याँगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for either of the two to operate; there is no chance for simultaneous existence on account of the dictum $\bar{e}k\bar{a}\;sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परसैपदसंज्ञां पुरुषसंज्ञा

The $puru \dot{s}a$ -sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$ will set at naught the $parasm\tilde{a}ipada$ -sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परसोपदसंज्ञां पुरुषसंज्ञा बाधेत

The purusa-samjñā (enjoined by the sūtra Tinas trīņi trīņi prathama-madhyamāttamāļ 1, 4, 101) will set at naught the parasmāipada-samjñā (enjoined by the sūtra Laḥ parasmāipadam 1, 4, 99).

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परंकार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोभीवः सिद्धः

On the mention of paravacana, on the other hand, both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ can exist on account of the absence of niyama. The simultaneous existence of the two $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ is settled, according to $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatvav\bar{a}din$, on account of the absence of niyama.

कथम्? How?

पूर्वा तस्य पुरुषसंज्ञा, परा परसीपदसंज्ञा

Purusasamj $\tilde{n}a$, according to him, precedes parasm $\tilde{a}ipada$ sa $\tilde{m}j\tilde{n}a$.

कथम्? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति - तिङ्खोणि त्रीणि प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाः इति, एवं सर्वपुरुष-नियममनुक्रम्य तस्यान्ते लः परसैपदम् इति । तलारम्भसामर्थ्याच पुरुषसंज्ञा, परक्कार्य-त्वाच परसैपदसंज्ञा भविष्यति ।

He will read the sūtra thus:—After reading all the sūtras relating to person starting with Tinas trīṇi trīṇi prathama-madhyama-utlamāḥ, the sūtra Laḥ parasmāipadam will be read at the end. Puruṣa-sainjñā appears there on account of ārambhasāmarthya and parasmāipada-sainjñā on account of paraikāryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारस्तस्यापि परस्मैपदसंज्ञापूर्विका पुरुषसंज्ञा Oh, even according to $\bar{e}ka$ -samj $\bar{n}\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}rav\bar{a}din$, puru;a-samj $\bar{n}a$ is preceded by $parasm\bar{a}ipadasamj<math>\bar{n}a$.

कथम्? How?

अनुवृत्तिः क्रियते Anuvṛtti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत । एका संज्ञा इति वचनान्नास्ति योगपद्येन सम्भवः There is chance for either of the two to operate. There will be no simultaneous existance on account of the dictum $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ -sa $inj^n\bar{a}$.

परसेपदसंज्ञाप्यनवकाशा वचनाद्भविष्यति

 $Parasm\bar{a}ipada$ -sa $inj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ too appears there on account of its being $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}a$.

सावकाशा परसीपदसंज्ञा Parasmāipada-sainjñā is sāvakāśā.

कोऽवकाशः ? Where is the avakāśa ?

शतृकस् अवकाशः $Avak\bar{a}$ sa is where satr and kvasu appear.

I—ii

परवचने सिति पदं भय

On taking the reading $Pr\bar{a}k$ kad $\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$ parain $k\bar{a}ryam$ there is chance for both the padusa $inj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ and bhasa $inj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ to operate simultaneously before the pratyaya which has s for its it.

परवचने सिति पदं भसंज्ञमपि प्राप्तोति अयं ते योनिर्ऋत्वियः (R.V. 3, 29, 10), प्रजां विन्दाम ऋत्वियाम् 1 (R. V.) । आरम्भसामध्याच्च पदसंज्ञा, परंकार्यत्वाच्च भसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति

On taking the reading $paraink\bar{a}ryam$, there is chance for both the $padasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $bhasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to operate simultaneouly, so that the $V\bar{e}dic$ forms rtviyah and $rtviy\bar{a}m$ in the $Rgv\bar{e}dic$ sentences Ayam $t\bar{e}$ $y\bar{o}nir$ rtviyah and $Praj\bar{a}m$ $vind\bar{a}ma$ $rtviy\bar{a}m$ cannot be secured. There will be $padasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on account of $\bar{a}rambhas\bar{a}marthya$ and $bhasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on account of $parank\bar{a}ryatva$.

Note:—The word rtu takes the pratyaya ghas by the sūtra Chandasi ghas (5, 1, 106) and ghas takes the ādēśa iya by the sūtra Āyan...iyaḥ phaḍhakhachaghām pratyayādīnām (7, 1, 2). Rtu+iya becomes rtviya. If bhasainjñā appears there, u will take guṇa by the sūtra Ōr guṇaḥ (6, 4, 146) and consequently the form rtviya cannot be secured.

गतिबुद्धचादीनां ण्यन्तानां कर्म कर्तृसंज्ञम्

The karma in nyanta of the roots gam, budh etc. may chance to take $kartrsamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ too.

1. Yajurvēda samhitā reads ऋत्वियात्प्रजां विन्दामहै (2, 5, 1, 5)

गतिबुद्ध्यादीनां ण्यन्तानां कर्म कर्तृसंज्ञमपि प्रामोति । आरम्भसामर्थ्याच कर्मसंज्ञा परंकार्यत्वाच कर्तृसंज्ञा प्रामोति ।

The karma in nyanta of the roots gam, buth etc. may chance to take kartrsamjää too; karma-samjää chances to appear through ārambha-sāmarthya and kartr-samjää through parankāryatva.

Note:—The sūtra Gati-buddhi... is 1, 4, 52 and the sūtra Svatantrah karta is 1, 4, 54.

नैष दोषः । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिक्षापयति न कर्मसंक्षायां कर्तृसंक्षा भवतीति, यदयं हुकोरन्यतरस्याम् इत्यन्यतरस्याङ्गहणं करोति ।

This difficulty does not arise. The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that $kartrsa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ does not appear where $karma-sa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ exists, since he reads the word anyatarasyām in the sūtra $Hrkr\bar{o}r$ anyatarasyām (1, 4, 53).

शेषवचनं च घिसंज्ञानिवृत्त्यर्थम्

Need to read the word śesa also to avoid ghisanijna.

शेषवचनं च कर्तव्यं - शेषो ध्यसिव

The word $ś \bar{e} s a$ has to be read (as is done now in (1, 4, 7).

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

घिसंज्ञानिवृत्त्यर्थम् - नदीसंज्ञायां घिसंज्ञा मा भूदिति - शक्ट्ये पद्धत्ये बुद्धये घेन्वे - इत्रथा हि परङ्कार्यत्वाच घिसंज्ञा आरम्भसामध्याचि ङिति हस्वश्च इति नदीसंज्ञा। To prevent ghisaminā, so that ghisaminā may not appear where there is nadīsaminā to secure the forms śakaṭyāi, paddhatyāi, buddhyāi and dhēnvāi. Or there will be yhisaminā through parankāryatva and nadīsaminā by the sūtra viti hrasvaś ca through ārambhasāmarthya.

न वासम्भवात् No, it need not be read on account of asambhava.
न वा कर्तव्यम् । No, it need not be read.

नदीसंशायां घिसंशा कस्मान भवति !

How cannot ghisamjñā appear where nadīsamjňā is?

असम्भवात् On account of asambhava.

कोऽसावसम्भवः?

In what manner is this asambhava to be explained?

हस्वलक्षणा हि नदीसंज्ञा, घिसंज्ञायां च गुणः

 $Nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ has for its basis the short letter and guna is to ghi.

ह्रस्वलक्षणा हि नदीसंज्ञा, घिसंज्ञायां च गुणेन भवितव्यम्

 $Nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is based on hrasvatva and guna takes place where there is ghi-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

तत्र वचनप्रामाण्यानदीसंज्ञायां घिसंज्ञाभावः 1

Non-appearance of ghi-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ where there is $nad\tilde{i}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ through the authority of vacana.

तत्र वचनप्रामाण्यात्रदीसंशायां चिसंशा न भविष्यति

Ghi-samij $n\bar{a}$ will not appear where there is $nad\bar{\imath}samij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ on the authority of the mention of the same by $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$.

किं कारणम्? Why?

आश्रयाभावात् On account of the absence of āśraya.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says here thus: $-\text{If }ghi\text{-}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ has a chance to operate here, it will operate before $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ operates, so that there will be guna by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Gh\bar{e}r$ niti. Since \bar{e} and \bar{o} are long, the $vidh\bar{u}na$ of $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to the stem ending in i and u in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Niti hrasvas ca (1, 4, 6) will be of no use.

आश्रयाभावात्रदीसंज्ञायां विसंज्ञानिवृत्तिरिति चेद्यणादेशाभावः

If there is $ghisamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ -nivrtti on account of $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ having no $\bar{a}\acute{s}raya$, there is chance for the absence of $yan\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}\acute{s}a$.

आश्रयाभावान्नदीसंज्ञायां विसंज्ञानिवृत्तिरिति चेद्, एवमुच्यते यणादेशोऽपि न प्रामोति ।

If it is said that ghi-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is prevented from operating on account of $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ not having $\bar{a}\dot{s}raya$, it may then be said that even $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ will have no chance to set in.

नैष दोषः This difficulty does not arise.

नद्याश्रयत्वाद्यणादेशस्य हस्वस्य नदीसंज्ञाभावः

The existence of $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}\tilde{\imath}j\bar{a}$ to hrasva on account of $yan\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}sa$ depending upon $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

1. This is $bh\bar{a}sya$ in some editions.

नद्याश्रयो यगादेशः; यदा नदीसंज्ञया घिसंज्ञा बाधिता तत उत्तरकारुं यणादेशेन भवितव्यम् । नद्याश्रयत्वाद् यणादेशस्य हस्वस्य नदीसंज्ञा भविष्यति । Yaṇādēśa depends upon nadīsainjīnā; yaṇādēśa takes place after ghi-sainjīnā is set at naught by nadīsainjīnā. Hrasva takes nadīsainjīnā since yaṇādēśa depends upon nadīsainjīnā.

बहुत्रीह्यर्थं तु For the sake of bahuvrīhi, then.

बहुत्रीहिप्रतिषेघार्थ तु शेषप्रहणं कर्तन्यं, शेषो बहुत्रीहिः इति
In that case, the word has to be read for the pratisedha of bhahuvrīhi thus:— $\hat{S}\bar{e}S\bar{o}$ bahuvrīhi (as is seen in 2, 2, 23).

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the prayojana?

प्रयोजनमन्ययीभावोपमानद्विगुकुछोपेषु

Prayojana is seen in ayayībhāva, upamāna, dvigu and krllopa.

अन्ययोभाव - उन्मत्तगङ्गम्, लोहितगङ्गम्; उपमान - शस्त्रीश्यामा, कुमुद-श्येनी; द्विगु - पञ्चगवं, दशगवम्; कुल्लोपे - निष्कौशाम्बिः निर्वाराणसिः

Examples for avyayībhāva are unmattagangam and löhitagangam, those for upamāna are šastrīšyāmā and kumudašyēnī, those for dvigu are pañcagavam and dašagavam and those for kṛllōpa are niṣkāušāmbiḥ and nirvūrāṇasiḥ.

Note:—If unmattagangam takes bahuvrīhitva also, it will, according to Kāiyaṭa, be liable to take pūrvapadaprakṛtisvaratva by the sūtra Bahuvrīhāu prakṛtyā pūrvapadam (6, 2, 1) and the optional kap-pratyaya by the sūtra Śēṣād vibhāṣā (5, 4, 154). Nāgēśabhaṭṭa says that there is no chance for kap, since it is prohibited by the sūtra Na saṃjñāyām (5, 4, 153). Śastrī-śyāmā may take the optional kap, if it becomes a bahuvrīhi. Kāiyaṭa prefers the example pañcapātram to pañcagavam, but Nāgēśa does not agree with him. Niṣkāuṣāmbiḥ will optionally take kap, if it is a bahuvrīhi.

तत्र शेषवचनाद्दोषः सङ्ख्यासमानाधिकरणनञ्समासेषु धहुव्रीहिप्रतिषेधः The following defect in reading the word sese:—Chance for bahuvrīhipratisēdha with reference to sankhyāsamāsa, samānā-dhikaraņasamāsa and nañsamāsa.

तत्र शेषवचनाद्दोषो भवति

Difficulty arises on reading the word sese there.

सङ्ख्यासमानाधिकरणनञ्समासेषु बहुत्रीहेः प्रतिषेधः प्राप्नोति

Pratiṣēdha will chance to appear with reference to the $bah\bar{u}$ - $vr\bar{\imath}hi$ based on $sahkhy\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$, $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranasam\bar{a}sa$ and $na\bar{\imath}sam\bar{a}sa$.

सङ्ख्या - द्वीरावतीको देशः, त्रीरावतीको देशः । समानाधिकरण - वीरपुरुषको प्रामः । नञ्समासे - अब्राह्मणको देशः, अवृष्ठको देशः

Dvīrāvatīkah and trīrāvātīkah in dvīrāvatīkā dēśah and trīrāvātīkā dēśah are the examples of the bahuvrīhi based on sankhyāsamāsa. Vīrapuruṣakah in vīrapuruṣakā grāmah is the example of the bahuvrīhi based on samānādhikarana-samāsa. Abrāhmanakah and avṛṣalakah in abrāhmanakā dēśah and avṛṣalakā dēśah are the examples of the bahuvrīhi based on nañsamāsa.

कुछोपे च शेषवचनात्प्रादिभिन बहुवीहिः

No bahuvrīhi with prādi in kṛllōpa on account of śēṣavacana.

कृहोपे च शेषबचनात् प्रादिभिर्बहुश्रीहिर्न प्रामोति - प्रपतितपर्णः प्रपर्णकः, प्रपतितपराशः प्रपराशक इति

On account of the mention of the word $\delta \bar{e} s \bar{e}$, bahuvrihi with pra etc. when there is the $l\bar{o}pa$ of krt is not possible, so that the forms praparnakah and prapalāsakah cannot be secured from prapatitavarnah and prapatitapalāsah.

अथैकसंज्ञाधिकारे कथं सिध्यति ?

How are the above difficulties solved in the ēkasamjāādhikāra-pakṣa?

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here:— $Ekasainj\tilde{n}av\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}$ tu śēṣagrahanam na karōti. Nāgēśabhaţţa reads:—Padatah śēṣārtham na karōti, trikatah śēṣārtham tu kariṣyati.

एकसंज्ञाधिकारे विप्रतिषेधाद् बहुवीहिः

Bahuvrīhi through the dictum $Vipratis\bar{e}dh\bar{e}...$ in the $\hat{e}kasamjn\bar{a}$ -dhikārapakṣa.

एकसंज्ञाधिकारे विप्रतिषेधाद बहुब्रीहिभविष्यति

Bahuvrīhi sets in through the dictum $Vipratis\bar{e}dh\bar{e}...$ in the $\bar{e}kasa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}rapakṣa$.

एकसंजाधिकारे विप्रतिषेधाद् बहुत्रीहिरिति चेत् कार्थे प्रतिषेधः

If it is said Ekasamjāādhikārē vipratiṣēdhāt bahuvrīhiḥ, there is need for pratiṣēdha in ktārtha.

एकसंज्ञाधिकारे विप्रतिषेधाद् बहुत्रीहिरिति चेत् क्तार्थे प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः । निष्कोशाम्बः, निर्वाराणसिः

If it is said that, in $\bar{e}kasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$, $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ sets in through the dictum $Vipratis\bar{e}dh\bar{e}$, there is need to prohibit $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ when $kt\bar{a}rtha$ is $v\bar{a}cya$, so that the forms $nisk\bar{a}u\dot{s}\bar{a}mbih$ and $nirv\bar{a}r\bar{a}nasih$ may be secured.

तत्पुरुषोऽत बाधको भविष्यति Tatpuruşa, here, sets it at naught. तत्पुरुप इति चेदन्यत्र क्तार्थात्प्रतिषेधः

If tatpuruşa is said to be bādhaka, need for pratiṣēdha in places other than ktārtha.

तत्पुरुष इति चेदन्यत्र क्तार्थात्प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः, प्रपतितवर्णः प्रपर्णकः, प्रपतितपलादाः प्रपलाशक इति

If tatpuruṣa is taken to be bādhaka, there is need to mention pratiṣēdha in places other than ktārtha, for the sake of securing the forms praparṇakaḥ and prapalāśakaḥ from prapatitavarṇaḥ and prapatitapalāśaḥ.

सिद्धं तु प्रादीनां क्तार्थे तत्पुरुपवचनात्

But it is achieved by reading $pra/is\bar{e}dha$ with reference to $pr\bar{a}dis$ in $kt\bar{a}rtha$.

सिद्धमेतत् This is achieved.

कथम्? How?

प्रादीनां क्तार्थे तत्पुरुषो भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

There is the need to mention that tatpuruṣa sets in with reference to prādis in ktārtha.

Note:— 1. From the above discussion it is clear that the $s\bar{u}tras$ in $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$ had two recensions, one set of $s\bar{u}tras$ suited to the reading $Akad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d\ \bar{e}k\bar{a}\ sa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ and the other suited to $Pr\bar{a}k\ kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}t\ paran\ k\bar{a}ryam$. Since it was found easier to answer the objections raised against the former than to answer those raised against the latter, it seems that the former

recension was adopted by the later scholars and the latter died.

Note:—2. Nāgēśabhaṭṭa reads at the end:-Unmattagaṅgam ityatra tu dōṣaḥ sthita ēva iti param kāryam iti pāṭhō dūṣitō bhagavatā, rtviyē dōṣācca iti bōdhyam.

Π

कानि पुनरस्य योगस्य प्रयोजनानि ?

What are the benefits accrued from this sūtra?

प्रयोजनं हस्वसंज्ञां दीर्घप्छतौ

Benefit is that the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ $d\tilde{\imath}rgha$ and plula set at naught the $hrasvasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

हस्वसंज्ञां दीर्घप्छतसंज्ञे बाघेते

The $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}as$ $d\tilde{\imath}rgha$ and pluta set at naught the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$ hrasva.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:— $Uk\bar{a}l\bar{o}j$ hrasvasa $niju\bar{a}$ ityuktam. $Uk\bar{a}ra\acute{s}$ ca antv $\bar{a}d$ dvim $\bar{a}tra$ -trim $\bar{a}tray\bar{o}r$ gr $\bar{a}haka$ iti tay $\bar{o}r$ api hrasvasa $inj^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ pr $\bar{a}pt\bar{a}$ d $\bar{i}rghapluta$ sa $inj^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$ b $\bar{a}dhyat\bar{e}$.

तिङ्सार्वधातुकं लिङ्लिटोरार्घधातुकम्

The $\bar{a}rdhadh\bar{a}tukasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ of $li\dot{n}$ and lit sets at naught the $s\bar{a}rvadh\bar{a}tukasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ of $ti\dot{n}$.

तिङ्सावधातुकसंज्ञां लिङ्लिटोराधधातुकसंज्ञा बाधते

The $\bar{a}rdhadh\bar{a}tukasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ of $li\dot{n}$ and lit sets at naught the $s\bar{a}rvadh\bar{a}tukasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ of $ti\dot{n}$.

अपत्यं वृद्धं युवा

 $Yuvasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ in $apaty\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ sets at naught the $g\tilde{o}tra$ -samj $\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

अपत्यं वृद्धं युवसंज्ञा बाधते

The $yuvasa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$, in $apaty\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$, sets at naught the $g\bar{o}trasa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$.

धि नदी Nadīsamjñā sets at naught ghi.

धिसंजा नदीसंजा बाधते $Nadar{i}sa\dot{m}j^{ar{n}ar{d}}$ sets at naught ghi-sa $\dot{m}j^{ar{n}}ar{a}$.

लघु गुरु Guru-samjñā sets at naught laghusamjñā.

लघुसंजां गुरुसंजा बाधते

Guru-samj $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ sets at naught laghusamj $\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

पदं भम् Bha-sam $j^{\tilde{n}}\bar{a}$ sets at naught pada-sam $j^{\tilde{n}}\bar{a}$.

पद्संज्ञां भसंज्ञा बाधते Bha sainjñā sets at naught pada-sainjñā. अपादानम्रत्तराणि

The $k\bar{a}rakas$ mentioned later set at naught $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$.

अपादानसंज्ञामुत्तराणि कारकाणि बाधन्ते

The $k\bar{a}rakas$ mentioned later set at naught $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$.

Note:—The $s\bar{u}tra$ Dhruvam $ap\bar{u}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{u}d\bar{a}nam$ (1, 4, 24) immediately follows the $s\bar{u}tra$ $K\bar{a}rak\bar{e}$ and all the other $k\bar{a}rakas$ are dealt with later.

क? Where?

धनुषा विध्यति, कंसपाच्यां मुङ्क्ते, गां दोग्धि, धनुर्विध्यति In dhanuṣā vidhyati, kamsapātryām bhunktē, gām dōgdhi and dhanur vidhyati.

घरुषा विध्यति इति, अपाययुक्तत्वाच ध्रुत्रमपायेऽपादानम् इत्यपादानसंज्ञा प्राप्नोति, साधकतमं करणम् इति करणसंज्ञा ; करणसंज्ञा परा, सा भवति With reference to dhanusā vidhyati, there is chance for dhanus to take $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasainj^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ Dhruvam $ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$ (1, 4, 24), since there is separation and to take $karaṇasainj^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $S\bar{a}dhakatamain$ karaṇam (1, 4, 42). The latter being $par\bar{a}$ supersedes the former.

कंसपाच्यां भुङ्क्ते इत्यत्र अपाययुक्तत्वाच ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्यपादानसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति ; आधारोऽधिकरणम् इति च अधिकरणसंज्ञा । सा परा भवति । 1 With reference to $ka\dot{m}sap\bar{a}try\bar{a}m\ bhu\dot{n}kt\bar{e}$, there is chance for $ka\dot{m}sap\bar{a}tr\bar{\imath}$ to take $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Dhruvam\ ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}\ ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam\ (1, 4, 24)$, since there is separation and to take $adhikaranasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ on the stength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Adh\bar{a}r\bar{o}sdhikaranam\ (1, 4, 45)$. The latter supersedes the former, since it is $par\bar{a}$.

गां दोग्धि इत्यत्र अपाययुक्तत्वाच ध्रुवमगायेऽपादानम् इत्यपादानसंज्ञा प्रामोति, कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म इति कर्मसंज्ञा । परा सा भवति । 2

- 1. अधिकरणसंज्ञा परा सा भवति is another reading.
- 2. कर्मसंज्ञा परा सा भवति is another reading.

With reference to $g\bar{a}m\ d\bar{o}gdhi$, there is chance for $g\bar{o}$ to take $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on the stength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Dhruvam\ ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam\ (1,4,24)$ since there is separation and to take $karma-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Kartur\ \bar{\imath}psitatama\dot{m}$ karma (1,4,49). The latter being $par\bar{a}$ supersedes the former.

धनुर्विध्यति इत्यत्न अपाययुक्तत्वाच अपादानसंज्ञा प्राप्नोति, स्वतन्त्रः कर्ता इति कर्तृसंज्ञा । सा परा भवति 1

With reference to $dhanur\ vidhyati$, there is chance for dhanus to take $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, since there is separation and to take $kartrsa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Svatantrah\ kart\bar{a}$ (1, 4, 54). The latter being $par\bar{a}$ supersedes the former.

Note:—Nāgōjibhaṭṭa says that, with reference to the samijñās in this adhikāra, yathōddēśapakṣa alone holds good.

क्रुधदुहोरुपसृष्टयोः कर्म सम्प्रदानम्

Karma supersedes $samprad\bar{a}na$ with reference to that which qualifies the roots krudh and druh preceded by prepositions.

क्रुधद्भहोरुपसृष्टयोः कर्मसंज्ञा सम्प्रदानसंज्ञां बाधते

 $Karmasamj\tilde{n}a$ sets at naught $sampradamasamj\tilde{n}a$ with reference to that which qualifies the roots krudh and druh preceded by prepositions.

करणं पराणि Karanasamiñā is superseded by those that follow.

करणसंज्ञां पराणि कारकाणि बाधन्ते

Those that follow $karanasamj\tilde{n}a$ set it at naught.

新? Where is it so?

धनुर्विध्यति, असिच्छिनत्ति इति

In the words dhanus and asi in the sentences dhanur vidhyati and asicchinatti.

Note:—Since the sūtra Svatantrah kartā is para to Sādha-katamam karanam, dhanus and asi are used as kartā.

अधिकरणं कर्म

 $Karmasainj \tilde{n} \bar{a}$ sets at naught $adhikaranasainj \tilde{n} \bar{a}$.

1. कर्तृसंज्ञा परा सा भवति is another reading.

अधिकरणसंज्ञां कर्मसंज्ञा बाधते

Karmasainjā sets at naught adhikaraņasainjā.

事? Where is it so?

गेहं प्रविशति इति

In the word gēha in the sentence gēham praviśati.

अधिकरणं कर्ता Kartṛsamiñā supersedes adhikaranasamiña.

अधिकरणसंज्ञां कर्तृसंज्ञा बाधते

Kartrsanijnā sets at naught adhikaraņasanijnā.

新? Where?

स्थाली पचति In the word sthālī in the sentence sthālī pacati. अध्युपसृष्टं कर्म

Karmasanij $\tilde{n}a$ supersedes adhikaranasanij $\tilde{n}a$ with reference to roots ($\tilde{s}i$ etc.) preceded by the preposition adhi.

अध्युपसृष्टं कर्म अधिकरणसंज्ञां बाधते

The karma supersedes $adhikaranasamj\tilde{n}a$ when it qualifies roots like $\tilde{s}i$ preceded by the preposition adhi.

गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा

Karmapravacanīya-sa \dot{m} j \bar{n} ā supersedes gati-sa \dot{m} j \bar{n} ā and upasarga-sa \dot{m} j \bar{n} ā.

गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा बाधते

Karmapravacanīya-samj $\tilde{n}a$ sets at naught the gati-samj $\tilde{n}a$ and the upasarga-samj $\tilde{n}a$.

परसीपदमात्मनेपदम् Atmanēpada supersedes parasmāipada,

परसैपदसंज्ञामात्मनेपदसंज्ञा बाधते

Atmanēpadasainjā sets at naught parasmāipadasainjā.

समाससंज्ञाश्र Samāsasainjñās too.

समाससंज्ञाश्च या याः परा अनवकाशाश्च तास्ताः पूर्वाः सावकाशाश्च बाधन्ते Among samāsasainjñās, those that are parā and anavakāsā supersede those that are pūrvā and sāvakāsā.

अर्थवत्प्रातिपदिकम्

That which has meaning takes prātipadika-samjñā.

अर्थवत्प्रातिपदिकसंज्ञं भवति

That which has artha takes prātipadikasamijāā.

गुणवचनं च Guṇavacana-samijñā too.

गुणवचनसंज्ञं च भवत्यर्थवत्

Arthavat takes gunavacana-samjñā also.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ reads here:— $\bar{E}vam$ ca atra $\hat{s}\bar{a}str\bar{e}$ gunavacana $\hat{s}ubd\bar{e}na$ jātisa \hat{m} j $n\bar{a}$ - avyaya - kṛdantataddhit $\bar{a}nta$ - samasta - sarvan $\bar{a}ma$ - sankhy \bar{a} $\hat{s}abd\bar{a}tiriktah$ $\hat{s}abd\bar{o}$ gṛhyata iti $b\bar{o}dhyam$.

समासकृत्तद्विताव्ययसर्वनामासर्विलङ्गा जातिः

Mention of $sam\bar{a}sa$, krt, taddhita, avyaya and $sarvan\bar{a}ma$ and $j\bar{a}ti$ to be $asarvaliny\bar{a}$.

समास - समाससंज्ञा च वक्तव्या | कृत् - कृत्संज्ञा च वक्तव्या | तद्धित -तद्धितसंज्ञा च वक्तव्या | अव्यय - अव्ययसंज्ञा च वक्तव्या | सर्वनाम - सर्वनाम-संज्ञा च वक्तव्या | असर्विलिङ्गा जातिरिति, एतच्च वक्तव्यम्

 $Sam\bar{a}sasa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too should be read; $krtsa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too should be read; $taddhitasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too should be read; $avyayasa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too should be read; $sarvan\bar{a}masa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too should be read; this too that $j\bar{a}ti$ is $asarvaling\bar{a}$ should be mentioned.

सङ्ख्या Reading of sankhyā.

सङ्घ्यासंज्ञा च वक्तञ्या $Sa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}\cdot sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, too, should be read.

डुच Reading of du too.

डुसंज्ञा च वक्तन्या $Du sam j n \bar{a}$ too should be read.

का पुनर्डु संज्ञा? What is meant by dusamjña?

षर्संज्ञा ? Sațsainjñā.

एकद्रव्योपनिवेशिनी संज्ञा Sam jñā is ēka-dravya upanivēśinī.

एकद्रव्योपनिवेशिनी संज्ञा इत्येतच वक्तव्यम्

This too has to be mentioned— $Ekalravy\bar{o}paniv\bar{e}sin\bar{i}$ sa $inj\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते, यथान्यास एव भ्यिष्ठाः संज्ञाः कियन्ते ?

Since many of the $sam j\tilde{n}a\bar{s}$ are enjoined in their respective place, why is this $-sam j\tilde{n}a\bar{s}$ from gunavacana to $\bar{e}kadravy\bar{o}paniv\bar{e}sin\bar{\imath}$ —said here?

सन्ति चैवात्र काश्चिदपूर्वाः संज्ञाः । अपि च एतेनैवानुपूर्व्येण सन्निविष्टानां वाधनं यथा स्यात् । गुणवचनसंज्ञायाश्च एताभिः संज्ञाभिर्वाधनं यथा स्याद् इति । There are here certain new sainjnas. Besides they are mentioned to show that those that are in this order are superseded, one by another. The gunavacanasainjna is set at naught by the sainjnas that follow it.

विप्रतिषेधे परं कार्यम् (1, 4, 2)

Five topics are dealt with here:—(1) The connotation and the denotation of vipratiṣēdha, (2) The meaning of para, (3) The need or otherwise of reading Parād antaraṅgam balīyaḥ. (4) The defects and their answer in the paribhāṣā Asiddham bahiraṅgalakṣaṇam antaraṅgalakṣaṇē (5) Need to enjoin luk to be stronger than lōpa, yan etc.

I

विश्रतिषेध इति, कोऽयं शब्दः? What is this word-vipratisedha? विश्रतिपूर्वात् सिधेः कर्मव्यतिहारे घञ्

It is derived from the root sidh preceded by the prepositions vi and prati by the addition of the pratyaya $gha\tilde{n}$ in the sense of $bh\bar{a}va$ where $karmavyatih\bar{a}ra$ or parasparakaranam is the of $viś\bar{e}sana$ of sidh.

इतरेतरप्रतिषेधो विप्रतिषेधः, अन्योन्यप्रतिषेधो विप्रतिषेधः

Vipratisēdha means itarētarapratisēdhah or anyonyapratisēdhah.

Note:—Both the words itarētarapratisēdhah and anyōnya-pratisēdhah are taken to be synonyms. If not, pratisēdha in the former is taken to refer to pāksikapratisēdha and that in the latter to ātyantika-pratisēdha.

कः पुनर्विप्रतिपेधः ?

What, then, is the denotation of vipratisēdha?

द्रौ प्रसङ्गावन्यार्थावेकस्मिन्स विप्रतिषेधः

Vipratiṣēdha is that where two vidhis which can independently operate elsewhere chance to operate at the same place.

द्री प्रसङ्गी यदा अन्यार्थी भवत एकसिश्च युगपत् प्राप्तुतः स विप्रतिपेधः lf two vidhis which can operate independently elsewhere have a chance to operate at the same place, it is vipratisēdha.

क पुनरन्यार्थी, क चैकस्मिन् युगपत् प्राप्तुतः ?

Where are the two $vidhis\ s\bar{a}vak\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ and where do they chance to operate simultaneously?

वृक्षाभ्यां वृक्षेषु इत्यन्यार्थी, वृक्षेभ्य इत्यत्न युगपत् प्राप्तुतः

[The $s\bar{u}tras\ Supi\ ca\ (7,3,102)$ and $Bahuvacan\bar{e}\ ihaly\bar{e}t\ (7,3,103)$] are respectively $s\bar{a}vak\bar{a}sa$ with reference to $vrks\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$ and $vrks\bar{e}su$ and chance to operate simultaneously on $vrks\bar{e}bhyah$.

किं च स्यात्? What will happen then?

एकस्मिन्युगपदसम्भवात् पूर्वपरप्राप्तेरुभयप्रसङ्गः

Chance for both to operate, the $p\bar{u}rva$ or the para, on account of both the $k\bar{a}ryas$ being unable to take place simultaneously at the same lak sya.

एकस्मिन् युगपदसम्भवात् पूर्वस्याश्च परस्याश्च प्राप्तेः उभयपसङ्गः

Since both the $p\bar{u}rva$ and the $para\ vidhi$ are competent to operate and since both the $k\bar{u}ryas$ cannot happen simultaneously at the same target, there is chance for both.

इदं विप्रतिषिद्धं, यदुच्यते - एकस्मिन् युगपदसम्भवात् पूर्वपरप्राप्तेरुभयपसङ्ग इति । कथं ह्येकस्मिश्च नाम युगपदसम्भवः स्थात् पूर्वस्थाश्च परस्याश्च प्राप्तेरुभयप्रसङ्गश्च स्थात्? This is self contradictory that there is chance for both the pūrva and the para to operate, since both cannot operate simultaneously.

नैतद्विपतिषिद्धं यदुच्यते एकसिन्युगपदसम्भवादिति, कार्ययोयुगपदसम्भवः, शास्त्रयोरुभयप्रसङ्गः

The statement $Ekasmin\ yugapad\ asambhavad...$ is not self contradictory; for $yugapad\ asambhavah$ is with reference to the $k\bar{a}ryas$ and ubhayaprasangah is with reference to the $s\bar{u}tras$.

तृजादिभिस्तुल्यम् It is similar to trjādis.

तृजादिभिस्तुल्यं पर्यायः प्राप्तोति । तद्यथा तृजादयः पर्यायेण भवन्ति Each will have chance to operate in turn similar to trjādis. Trjādis operate in turn.

Note:—Nvul and trc are enjoined to dhātu in kartrartha in the sūtra Nvultrcāu (3, 1, 133).

किं पुनः कारणं तृजादयः पर्यायेण भवन्ति ? Why are $trj\bar{a}dis$ suffixed in turn ?

अनवयवप्रसङ्गात्प्रातिपदं विधेश्र

On account of the śāstra for all vyaktis and on account of the injunction to words individually.

अनवयवेन प्रसज्यन्ते, प्रतिपदं च विधीयन्ते

The vidhis chance to operate on all vyaktis and they are enjoined individually.

अप्रतिपत्तिर्वोभयोस्तुल्यबलत्वात

Or there will apravrtti for both the śastras, on account of tulyabalatva.

अपतिपत्तिर्वा पुनरुभयोः शास्त्रयोः स्थात् Or apravrtti may chance for both.

किं कारणम् श Why?

तुल्यबलत्वात् - तुल्यबले ह्युमे शास्त्रे

On account of tulyabalatva. For the two śāstras are of the same capacity.

तद्यथा – द्वयोस्तुल्यबलयोरेकः भेष्यो भवति स तयोः पर्यायेण कार्य करोति । यदा तु तमुभौ युगपत्नेषयतः, नाना दिक्षु च कार्ये भवतः, तदा यद्यसावविरोधार्थी भवति तत उभयोने करोति ।

This may be illustrated thus:—The servant who is common to two masters of the same rank does their work in turn. If both of them bid him to do their work at the same time and if the works are to be executed in different directions, the servant, if he wants to be just, does not do the works entrusted by both.

किं पुनः कारणमुभयोर्न करोति ?

Why does he not do the works of both?

¹ यौगपद्यासम्भवात्

On account of the impossibility of doing them at the same time.

नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

It is not possible to do them simultaneously.

² तत्र प्रतिपत्त्यर्थं वच्नम्

The need for this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ is to enable one of the *vidhis* to operate.

1. & 2. These two are bhāṣya in the opinion of some.

तत्र प्रतिपत्त्यर्थमिदं वक्तव्यम्

This has to be read to enable one of them to operate.

तच्यदादीनां त्वप्रसिद्धिः

Non-accomplishment of $k\bar{a}rya$ with reference to $tavyad\bar{a}dis$.

तव्यदादीनां तु कार्यस्याप्रसिद्धिः

Non-accomplishment of $k\bar{a}rya$ with reference to $tavyad\bar{a}dis$.

न हि किञ्चित्तन्यदादिषु नियमकारि शास्त्रमारभ्यते येन तन्यदादयः स्युः There is no \dot{sastra} restricting the application of any one among the $tavyad\bar{a}dis$.

Note:— $Tavyad\bar{a}dis$ are found in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Tavyat-tavya-an\bar{i}yarah$ (3, 1, 96),

यश्च भवता हेतुर्व्यपदिष्टः अप्रतिपत्तिर्वा उभयोस्तुल्यबलत्वात् इति, तुल्यः स तन्यदादिषु

The $h\bar{e}tu$ mentioned by you—apratipattir $v\bar{a}$ ubhayōh tulyabalat $v\bar{a}d$ —is seen in $tavyad\bar{a}di$.

नैष दोष: । अनवकाशास्तव्यदादयः, उच्यन्ते च, ते वचनात् भविष्यन्ति This defect does not arise. $Tavyad\bar{a}dis$ are $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}a$; they are read; they set in on account of their being enjoined in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

यश्च भवता हेतुव्यंपिदृष्टः तृजादिभिस्तुल्यं पर्यायः प्राप्नोति इति, तुल्यः स तव्यदादिषु

The hētu mentioned by you—trjādibhis tulyam paryāyaḥ prāpnōti—is seen in tavyadādis.

एताविद्ह सूलं विश्रतिषेधे परम् इति । पठिष्यति हि आचार्यः सक्नद्भतौ विश्रतिषेधे यद्वाधितं तद् बाधितमेव । पुनश्च पठिष्यति पुनः प्रसङ्गविज्ञानात् सिद्धम् इति ।

The sūtra here reads only so far—Vipratiṣēdhē param. Ācārya (Vārttikakāra) is going to read—Sakrt-gatāu viļ ratiṣēdhē yad bādhitam tad bādhitam ēva (when two rules conflict with each other, the one, defeated after the first operation, is defeated for ever). He then extends it thus:—Punah prasanga-vijnānāt siddham. (It is settled that it regains its life if there is a chance for it.)

किं पुनरियता सूत्रेणोभयं लभ्यम् ?

Is it possible for both to be secured by this sūtra alone?

लभ्यम् इत्याह 'It is possible to secure both,' says he.

कथम् ? How?

इह भवता द्वौ हेतू व्यपदिष्टौ - तृजादिभिस्तुल्यं पर्यायः प्रामोति इति च, अप्रतिपत्तिर्वोभयोस्तुल्यबळ्त्वाद् इति च । तद्यदा तावदेप हेतुः तृजादिभिस्तुल्यं पर्यायः प्रामोति इति तदा विप्रतिषेधे परम् इत्यनेन किं कियते ?

Here were two courses mentioned by you:—that they may come in turn as $trj\bar{a}dis$ or that both of them cannot operate on account of tulyabalatva. If the first course - $Trj\bar{a}dibhis$ $tulyam\ pary\bar{a}yah\ pr\bar{a}pn\bar{o}ti$ - is adopted, what is done by this $s\bar{u}tra$?

नियमः, विप्रतिषेधे परमेव भवति इति - तदेतद् उपपर्त्न भवति सक्द्रतौ विप्रतिषेधे यद्वाधितं तद् बाधितम् एव

Niyama. If there is vipratiṣēdha, only the sūtra which is para operates. In that case the paribhāṣā - Sakṛd gatāu vipratiṣēdhē yad bādhitam tad bādhitam ēva - suits it.

यदा त्वेष हेतुः अपितपत्तिरुभयोस्तुल्यबल्दवाद् इति, तदा विपतिषेधे परम् इत्यनेन किं कियते?

If, on the other hand, the other course - Apratipattir ubhayōs tulyabalatvāt - is adopted, what is done by this sūtra?

द्वारम् । विप्रतिषेधे परं तावद्भवति, तस्मिन् कृते यदि पूर्वमिप प्रामोति तदिष भवति, तदैतदुपपन्नं भवति – पुनः प्रसङ्गविज्ञानात् सिद्धम् इति

It serves as an $up\bar{a}ya$. If there is $vipratis\bar{e}dha$, that which is para first operates. If, after its operation, that which is $p\bar{u}rva$ has a chance to operate, it too operates. In that case the $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ - $Punah\ prasanga-vijn\bar{a}n\bar{a}t\ siddham$ - suits it.

II

विप्रतिषेधे परिमत्युक्त्वा अङ्गाधिकारे पूर्वम्

Vipratiṣēdhē param being said here, vipratiṣēdhē pūrvam should be enjoined in aṅgādhikāra.

विपतिषेधे परमित्युक्त्वा अङ्गाधिकारे पूर्वमिति वक्तव्यम्

Vipratisēdhē param being said here, vipratisēdhē pūrvam should be enjoined with reference to the $s\bar{u}tras$ in $ang\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ (i.e. the $s\bar{u}tras$ following Angasya (6-4-1).

किं कृतं भवति ? What is achieved from it?

पूर्वविप्रतिषेधा न पठितव्या भवन्ति - गुणवृद्धचौत्वतृज्वद्भावेभ्यो नुम्पूर्वविप्रति-षिद्धम् , नुमचिरतृज्वद्भावेभ्यो नुङ् इति ।

The vārttikas Guņa-vrddhi-āutva-trivadbhāvēbhyō num pūrva-vipratisiddham and Num-aciratrjvadbhāvēbhyō nut (under the sūtra Trjvat krōṣṭuḥ (7-1-95) relating to pūrvavipratiṣēdha need not be read.

कथं ये परविश्वतिषेधाः इत्त्वोत्त्वाभ्यां गुणवृद्धी भवतो विश्वतिषेधेन इति ?
How are the paravipratisedhas (in aṅgādhikāra mentioned in the vārttika Ittvottvābhyām guṇavṛddhī bhavatō vipratiṣēdhēna (under the sūtra Udōṣṭhyapūrvasya 7-1-102) to be had?

स्त्रं च भिद्यते Sūtra, then, has to undergo modification.

यथान्यासमेवास्तु Let the sūtra remain as it is.

कथं ये पूर्वविप्रतिषेधाः ?

How are we to arrive at results where pūrva-vipratisēdha applies?

विप्रतिषेधे परम् इत्येव सिद्धम्

They are achieved by this sūtra Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam itself.

कथम् ? How?

परशब्दोऽयं बहुर्थः । अस्त्येव व्यवस्थायां वर्तते, तद्यथा परपुत्रः परभार्या अन्यपुत्रः अन्यभार्या इति गम्यते ; अस्ति भधाने वर्तते, तद्यथा परिमदं ब्राह्मण्यमस्मिन् कुटुम्बे, प्रधानमिति गम्यते ; अस्ति इष्टवाची परशब्दः, तद्यथा, परं धाम गतः, इष्टं धाम गत इति गम्यते । तद्य इष्टवाची परशब्दः तस्येदं प्रहणं विप्रतिषेधे परं - यदिष्टं - तद् भवति इति ।

This word para has many meanings:-it means $vyavasth\bar{a}$ (limit) as in the usage $p\bar{u}rvah$ and parah; it means another as in the words paraputrah and $parabh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$, which respectively mean

1. प्राधान्यम् is another reading.

another's son and another's wife; it means pradhāna (prominent) as in param idam brāhmanyam asmin kuṭumbē, where param means pradhānam; it means iṣṭa (the desired one), as in param dhāma gataḥ, which means he has reached the desired goal. The word param in this sūtra Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam is taken to mean the desired one.

Note:—It is clear that $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ took the word para only in the sense that which follows and hence read the above mentioned $v\bar{a}rttikas$. But $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ takes it in the sense the desired one and hence has stated that the $v\bar{a}rttikas$ relating to $p\bar{u}rvavipratis\bar{e}dha$ and $paravipratis\bar{e}dha$ are unnecessary.

III

अन्तरक्षं च Anlaranga too.

अन्तरक च बलीयो भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be mentioned that antaranga is stronger than para.

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit?

प्रयोजनं यणेकादेशेच्योच्यानि गुणवृद्धिद्विवचनास्त्रोपस्वरेभ्यः

The benefit is that yan, $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$, ittva and uttva operate in preference to guna, vrddhi, dvirvacana, $all\bar{o}pa$ and svara.

गुणाद्यणादेशः - स्थानः, स्थाना । गुणश्च प्राप्ताति यणादेशश्च । परत्वाद् गुणः स्यात् । यणादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $Yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ wins over guna in the forms $sy\bar{o}nah$ and $sy\bar{o}n\bar{a}$. Both guna and $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ have a chance to operate. Guna may operate on account of its being para; but $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ operates on account of its being antaraiga.

Note:—The root siv takes $\bar{a}un\bar{a}dikapratyaya$ na after it. Guna is through the sūtra Pugantalaghūpadhasya ca (7, 3, 86) and yan is through $Ik\bar{o}$ yan aci (6, 1, 77). The other details may be found in the sūtra Yēna vidhis tadantasya (1, 1, 72) in Vol. 11I pp. 254-256.

वृद्धेर्यणादेशः - चौकामिः, स्यौकाभिः । वृद्धिश्च प्रामोति यणादेशश्च । प्रत्याद्वृद्धिः स्यात् । यणादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $Yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ wins over vrddhi in the forms $dy\bar{a}uk\bar{a}mih$ and $sy\bar{a}u-k\bar{a}mih$. Both vrddhi and $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ have a chance to operate. Vrddhi may operate on account of its being para; but $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ operates on account of its being antaranga.

Note: $-Dvi+k\bar{a}ma+i$ becomes $di-u-k\bar{a}ma+i$ (by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Diva\ ut$ (6, 1, 131). The i after d may take vrddhi by $Taddhi-t\bar{e}svac\bar{a}m\ \bar{a}d\bar{e}h$ (7, 2, 117) or $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ by $Ik\bar{o}\ yan\ aci$ (6, 1, 77).

द्विवचनाचणादेशः दुचूषित, सुस्यूषित । द्विवचनं च प्राप्नोति यणादेशश्च । नित्यत्वाद् द्विवचनं स्थात् । यणादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः

 $Yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ wins over dvirvacana in the forms $dudy\bar{u}\dot{s}ati$ and $susy\bar{u}\dot{s}ati$. Both dvirvacana and $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ have a chance to operate. Dvirvacana may operate on account of its being nitya; but $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ operates on account of its being antaraiga.

Note:—The root div when followed by san takes $\bar{u}th$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Cchv\bar{o}h$ $s\bar{u}d$ $anun\bar{a}sik\bar{e}$ ca (6, 4, 19) and dvitva by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sanyah\bar{o}h$ (6, 1, 9). $Yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ by $Ik\bar{o}$ yan aci (6, 1, 77) between i and \bar{u} precedes dvirvacana by $Sanyah\bar{o}h$. It deserves to be noted that here $antarahgas\bar{a}stra$ wins over $nityas\bar{a}stra$ and not harahsan

अह्योपस्य च यणादेशस्य च नास्ति सम्प्रधारणा

There is no room for discussion between $all \bar{o}pa$ and $yan \bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ with reference to $Par\bar{a}d$ antarangam $bal\bar{i}yah$.

स्वराद्यणादेशः द्यौकांमिः स्यौकांमिः । स्वरश्च प्रामोति, यणादेशश्च । परत्वात् स्वरः स्साद्, यणादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $Yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ wins over svara in the forms $dy\bar{a}u-k\bar{a}mih$ and $sy\bar{a}u/\bar{a}mih$. Both svara and $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ have a chance to operate. Svara, being para, may operate first; but $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ being antaranga operates first.

Note:—If the svaraśāstra $\bar{N}nity\bar{a}dir$ nityam (6, 1, 197) operates first, the initial syllable has to be $ud\bar{a}tta$ and $\bar{a}u$ has to be svarita by the sūtra. $Ud\bar{a}tta$ -svaritayōr yaṇaḥ svaritōsnudāttasya 8, 2, 4); But $Ik\bar{o}$ yaṇ aci operates first, so, that $\bar{a}u$ is $ud\bar{a}tta$.

गुणादेकादेशः - काद्रवेयो मन्त्रमपश्यत् । गुणश्च प्राप्तोति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वाद् गुणः स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ wing over guṇa in the form $k\bar{a}drav\bar{e}yah$ in the sentence $k\bar{a}drav\bar{e}y\bar{o}$ mantram apasyat. Both guṇa and $ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ have a chance to operate. Guṇa, being para, may operate first; but $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$, being antaraṅga operates first.

Note:—Guna is by the sūtra or gunah (6, 4, 146) and ēkādēśa by Akah savarnē dīrghah (6, 1, 101) in kadru-ū-ēya.

वृद्धेरेकादेशः - वैक्षमाणिः सात्थितिः । वृद्धिश्च प्रामोति, एकादेशश्च । प्रत्वाद वृद्धिः स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Ekādēśa wins over vrddhi in the forms vāikṣamāṇiḥ and Sāutthitiḥ. Both vrddhi and ēkādēśa have a chance to operate. Vrddhi, being para may operate first; but ēkādēśa, being antaranga, operates first.

Note: —Vrddhi of i and u in vi-īkṣamāṇa-i and su-utthitai is by the sūtra Taddhitēṣvacām ädēḥ (7, 2, 117) and ēkādēśa by the sūtra Akaḥ savarṇē dīrghaḥ (6, 1, 101.)

द्विवचनादेकादेशः - ज्ञाया ओदनो ज्ञादनः ; ज्ञोदनम् इच्छिति ज्ञोदनीयित । ज्ञोदनीयते । स्विवचनं च प्रामोति, एकादेशश्च । नित्यत्वादः द्विवचनं स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Ekādēśa wins over dvirvacana, in the form jujñāudanīyiṣati which is the sannanta of jñāudanīyati which means jñāudanam icchati where jñāudana is split into jñāyā ōdanaḥ. Both dvirvacana and ēkādēśa have a chance to operate. Dvirvacana, being nitya, may operate first; but ēkādēśa, being antaranga first operates.

Note:—Ekādēsa is by the sūtra Vrddhir ēci (6, 1, 88) and dvirvacana by the sūtra Sanyanōh (6, 1, 9.)

अल्लोपादेकादेशः - शुना, शुने । अल्लोपश्च, प्रामोति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वा-दल्लोपः स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ wins over $all\bar{o}pa$ in the forms $sun\bar{a}$ and $sun\bar{e}$. Both $all\bar{o}pa$ and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ have a chance to operate. $L\bar{o}pa$, being para, may operate first; but $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$, being antaranga first operates.

Note:—Śvan takes samprasāraņa by the sūtra Śvayuva-maghōnām ataddhitē (6, 4, 133) a of an takes pūrvarūpa by the sūtra Samprasāraņācca (6, 1, 108) and a/lōpa by Allōpōsnaḥ (6, 4, 134).

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । नास्त्यत्र विशेषोऽह्योपेन वा निवृत्तौ सत्यां पूर्वत्वेन वा This may not serve as a prayōjana; for there is no difference in the finished form whether a disappears by the sūtra Allōpōsnaḥ or by samprasāraṇāc ca.

अयमस्ति विशेषोऽछोपेन निवृत्तौ सत्यामुदात्तनिवृत्तिस्वरः प्रसज्येत

There is this difference that, if it disappears by allopa, there is chance for udattanivittisvara by the sūtra Anudāttasya ca yatrō-dattalopaḥ (6, 1, 161).

नात्रोदात्तनिवृत्तिस्वरः प्राम्नोति

There is no chance for udattanivrttisvara here.

किं कारणम् श Why?

न गोश्चन्साववर्णेति प्रतिपेधात्

By the pratisēdha-sūtra Na gōsvan sāvavarņa...(6, 1, 182).

नेप उदात्तनिवृत्तिस्वरस्य प्रतिषेधः

This sūtra does not prohibit udātta-nivṛtti-svara.

कस्य तर्हि ? Which does it, then, prohibit?

तृतीयादिस्वरस्य

(It prohibits) trtīyādisvara (enjoined by the sūtra Sāvēkācah:... (6. 1, 168).

यत्र तर्हि तृतीयादिस्वरो नास्ति - शुनः पश्य इति

What will be the case, where there is no trtīyādisvara as in sunah in the sentence sunah pasya?

Note:—Nāgēšabhaṭṭa here reads:-Yatra tarhi ityādigranthō granthacchāyayā pratyākhyānavādina iti bhāti tattu na yuktam.

एवं तर्हि न लाक्षणिकस्य प्रतिषेधं शिप्मः

If so, we do not prohibit that which is enjoined to $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}di$ - $\dot{s}abdavi\dot{s}ista$.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

येत केनचिल्लक्षणेन प्राप्तस्य विभाक्तिस्वरस्य प्रतिषेधः

İt is the pratisēdha enjoined by any sūtra which allows the anuvrtti of vibhakti (from the sūtra Sāvēkācas tṛtīyādir vibhaktih.)

यत्र तर्हि विभक्तिर्नास्ति बहुशुनी इति

What will happen where there is no vibhakti as in bahusunī? यदि पुनरयमुदात्तनिवृत्तिस्वरस्यापि प्रतिपेघो विज्ञायेत?

What will happen if it prohibits the udāttanivṛttisvara also?

नैवं शक्यम् । इहापि प्रसज्येत कुमारी इति

No, it is not possible. It will chance to operate here also in $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$. (So that $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ may not take $ud\bar{a}tta$.)

एवं तर्हि आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित नोदात्तिनृतिस्वरः शुन्यवतरित इति, यदयं श्वन्शवदं गौरादिषु पठित । अन्तोदात्तर्थं यत्नं करोति ।

If so, the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that $ud\bar{a}ttanivrttisvara$ does not happen with reference to $\dot{s}van$, since he reads it under $g\bar{a}ur\bar{a}di$ (in the fourth chapter of the $ganap\bar{a}tha$). He makes an attempt so that it may take $ant\bar{o}d\bar{a}tta$.

सिद्धं हि स्यान्ङीपैव

For the object will be achieved by $n\bar{\imath}p$ itself.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ and $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ state that $bahu\dot{s}v\bar{a}$ is the form preferred by $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ and not $bahu\dot{s}un\bar{\imath}$.

स्वरादेकादेशः - सौत्थितिः, वैक्षमाणिः । स्वरश्च प्राप्तोति, एकादेशश्च ; परत्वात् स्वरः स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $\vec{E}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ wins over svara in the forms $s\bar{a}utthitih$ and $v\bar{a}iksam\bar{a}nih$. Both svara and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ have a chance to operate. Svara, being para, may operate first; but $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$, being antaraiga, takes the precedence.

Note:—If u of su in su-utthita-i takes $ud\bar{a}tta$ first, it may optionally become svarita by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Svarit\bar{o}$ $v\bar{a}nud\bar{a}tt\bar{e}$ $pad\bar{a}d\bar{a}u$ (8, 2, 6). If $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$, takes the precedence, it will always be $ud\bar{a}tta$.

गुणस्य चेत्त्वौत्त्वयोश्च नास्ति सम्प्रधारणा

There is no room for discussion between ittva and guna and uttva and guna.

वृद्धेरित्त्वोत्त्वे - स्तैर्णिः, पौर्तिः । वृद्धिश्च प्रामोति, इत्त्वोत्त्वे च । परत्वाद्वृद्धि स्यात् । इत्त्वोत्त्वे भवतोऽन्तरङ्गतः । Ittva and uttva win over vrddhi in the forms sthāirnih and pāurtih. Both vrddhi and ittva or uttva have a chance to operate. Vrddhi, being para, may operate first; but ittva or uttva takes the precedence on account of being antaranga.

Note:—Ittva is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{R}ta$ $iddh\bar{a}t\bar{o}h$ (7, 1, 100) and uttva by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Ud $\bar{o}sthyap\bar{u}rvasya$ (7, 1, 102) and vrddhi is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Taddhit\bar{e}svac\bar{a}m$ $\bar{a}d\bar{e}h$ (7, 2, 117).

द्विवचनादित्त्वोत्त्वे - आतेस्तीर्यते आपोपूर्यते । द्विवचनं च प्रामोति, इत्त्वोत्त्वे च । नित्यत्वाद् द्विवचनं स्यात् । इत्त्वोत्त्वे भवतोऽन्तरङ्गतः ।

Ittva and uttva win over dvirvacana in the forms ātēstīryatē and āpōpūryatē. Both dvirvacana and ittva or uttva have a chance to operate. Dvirvacana being nitya, may operate first; but ittva or uttva which are antaraiga takes the precedence.

Note: Dvirvacana is by the sūtra Sanyanoh.

अल्लोपस्य च इत्त्रोत्त्वयोश्च नास्ति सम्प्रधारणा

There is no room for discussion between ittva and $all\bar{o}pa$ and uttva and $all\bar{o}pa$.

स्वरे नास्ति विशेषः

There is no difference with respect to svara (i. e.) whether $ittva-s\bar{a}stra$ and $uttvas\bar{a}stra$ operate first or $svaras\bar{a}stra$ operates first.

इण्ङिशीनामाद्रुणः सवर्णदीर्घत्वात्

Ad gunah wins over savarnadīrgha with reference to it, ni and śi.

इण्डिशीनामाद्भुणः सवर्णदीर्घत्वात् प्रयोजनम् - अयज इन्द्रम्, अवप इन्द्रम्; वृक्ष इन्द्रं, प्रक्ष इन्द्रम्; य इन्द्रं, त इन्द्रम् । आद्भुणश्च प्राप्तोति सवर्णदीर्घत्वं च । परत्वात् सवर्णदीर्घत्वं स्यात् । आद्भुणो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः

This is the prayojana that \$\bar{A}d\$ guṇaḥ (6, 1, 87) wins over \$Akaḥ savarṇē dīrghaḥ (6, 1, 101) with reference to \$it\$ (1st pers. sing. termination, \$\bar{a}tmanēpada\$), \$\bar{n}i\$ (7th case singular suffix) and \$\bar{s}i\$ (1st case plural suffix) in the following cases \$a-yaj-a-i+indram\$, \$a-vap-a-i+indram\$; \$vrkṣa-i+indram\$, \$plakṣa-i+indram\$; \$ya-i+indram\$, \$ta-i+indram\$. Both \$Ad\$ guṇaḥ and \$Akaḥ savarṇē dīrghaḥ have a chance to operate. \$Akaḥ savarṇē dīrghaḥ\$, being para\$, chances to operate first; but \$Ad\$ guṇaḥ\$, being antaraṅga\$, operates first.

न वा सवर्णदीर्घत्वस्यानवकाशात्वात्

No, on account of savarnadīrgha being anavakāśa.

न वैतद् अन्तरङ्गेणापि सिध्यति

No, it cannot be made to operate even on account of its being antaranga.

किं कारणम्? Why?

सवर्णदिधित्वस्थानवकाशत्वात् । अनवकाशं सवर्णदिधित्वमाद्भुणं बाधेत On account of anavakāśatva of Akalp savarņē dīrghalp. Akalp savarņē dīrghalp, being anavakāśa, will set at naught Ad guņalp.

न वा एतदन्तरङ्गेऽस्ति - अनवकाशं परिमिति । इहापि स्योनः स्योनेति शक्यं वक्तुं - न वा परत्वादुःणस्य ।

Anavakāśatva and paratva have no capacity to supersede antarangatva. Otherwise it may be said that yan does not operate before guna in $sy\bar{o}nah$ and $sy\bar{o}n\bar{a}$, since the latter is para.

Note:—Nāgēśabhaṭṭa here reads:-Sva-samāna-nimittakēna sva-samāna-sthānikēnāiva ca yēna nāprāptinyāyō, na tu vibhinna-sthānimittakēnā pi.

ऊङापोरेकादेश ईन्वलोपाभ्याम्

 $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ wins over $\bar{\imath}ttva$ and $l\bar{o}pa$ with reference to $\bar{u}\dot{n}$ and $\bar{a}p$.

ऊङापोरेकादेश ईत्वलोपाभ्यां भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् ।

Prayōjana is that $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ wins over $\bar{\imath}ttva$ and $t\bar{o}pa$ with reference to $\bar{u}\dot{n}$ and $\bar{a}p$ through antarangatva,

ईत्वादेकादेशः - खट्वींयति, मालीयति । ईत्त्वं च प्राप्तोत्येकादेशश्च । परत्वादीत्त्वं स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः

 $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ wins over $\bar{\imath}ttea$ in the forms $khate\bar{\imath}yati$, and $m\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}yati$. Both $\bar{\imath}ttva$, and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ have a chance to operate. $\bar{I}ttva$, being para, may operate first; but $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ operates first on account of its being antaraiga.

Note:—In khaiva- \bar{a} -y-ti, \bar{a} , is changed to $\bar{\imath}$ by the sutra Kyaci ca (7, 4, 33). $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ \$\(\text{s}a \) between a and \bar{a} is by Akah savar $n\bar{e}$ $d\bar{\imath}$ rghah (6, 1, 101.)

लोपादेकादेशः - कामण्डलेयः, भाद्रवाहेयः । लोपश्च प्राप्तोति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वाल्लोपः स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । Ēkādēśa wins over $l\bar{o}pa$ in $k\bar{a}mandal\bar{e}yah$ and $bh\bar{a}drab\bar{a}h\bar{e}yah$. Both $l\bar{o}pa$ and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}śa$ have a chance to operate. $L\bar{o}pa$, being para, may operate first; but $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}śa$ takes precedence through antara iigatva.

Note:—In $bhadrab\bar{a}hu$ - \bar{u} - $\bar{e}ya$, \bar{u} comes in by $B\bar{a}hvant\bar{a}t$ $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ (4, 1, 67), $\bar{e}ya$ by $Str\bar{i}bhy\bar{o}$ dhak (4, 1, 120) and \bar{u} is dropped by $ph\bar{e}$ $l\bar{o}p\bar{o}skadrv\bar{a}h$ (6, 4, 147).

अथ किमर्थम् ईत्त्वलोपाभ्याम् इत्युच्यते, न लोपेत्त्वाभ्याम् इत्येवोच्येत ? Why is it that *īttvalōpābhyām* is read and not *lōpēttvābhyām*?

सङ्ख्यातानुदेशो मा भूदिति

So that they may not be taken in the respective order.

आपोप्येकादेशो लोपे प्रयोजयति - चौडिः, वालाकिः

 $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ wins over $l\bar{o}pa$ even with reference to $\bar{a}p$ in $c\bar{a}udil_{1}$ and $b\bar{a}l\bar{a}kil_{2}$.

आन्त्रनपुंसकोपसर्जनहस्वत्वान्ययवायावेकादेशतुग्विधिभ्यः

 $\bar{A}ttva$ and napumsaka-upasarjana-hrasvatva, operate before $s\bar{u}tras$ enjoining ay, av, $\bar{a}y$, $\bar{a}v$, $\bar{e}k\bar{u}d\bar{e}s\bar{a}$ and tuk.

आत्त्रनपुंसकोपसर्जनहस्वत्वानि अयवायावेकादेशतुग्विधिभ्यो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः वेज् - वानीयम् ; शो - शानीयम् ; ग्छै - ग्छानीयम् ; म्छै - म्छानीयम् ; ग्छाच्छलम् , म्छाच्छत्रम् । आत्वं च प्रामोति, एते च विधयः । परत्वादेते विधयः स्युः । आत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Āttva and napumsaka—upasarjana—hrasvatva, being antaranga operate before the sūtras enjoining ay, av, āy, āv, ēkādēśa and tuk, in the forms $v\bar{a}n\bar{i}yam$ ($v\bar{e}+an\bar{i}ya$), śān $\bar{i}yam$ (from the root śō), $gl\bar{a}n\bar{i}yam$ (from the root $gl\bar{a}i$), $ml\bar{a}n\bar{i}yam$ (from the root $ml\bar{a}i$), $gl\bar{a}cchatram$ and $ml\bar{a}cchatram$. Both the vidhi enjoining āttva (Ādēca upadēśē, aśiti (6-1-15) and the vidhis enjoining ay, av etc. have a chance to operate. The latter vidhis being para begin to operate first; but the āttvavidhi being antaranga operates first.

नपुंसकोपसर्जनहस्वत्वं च प्रयोजनम् - अतिर्ध्यत्र, अतिन्वत्र, अतिरिच्छलम्, अतिरुच्छत्रम्, आराशसीदं, धानाशप्कुलीदं, निष्कौशाम्बीदं, निर्वाराणसीदम्, निष्कौशाम्बिच्छत्रं, निर्वाराणसिच्छत्रम् । नपुंसकोपसर्जनहस्वत्वं च प्राप्नोत्येते च विधयः । परत्वादेते च विधयः स्युः । नपुंसकोपसर्जनहस्वत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Prayōjana is the napumsaka-upasarjana-hrasvatva in the forms atiryyatra, atinvatra, atiricchatram, atinucchatram, ārāśastrīdam, dhānāśaṣkulīdam, niṣkāuśāmbīdam, nirvārāṇasīdam, niṣkāuśāmbicchatram and nirvārāṇasicchatram. Both napumsakōpasarjana-hrasvatva and one of the other vidhis have a chance to operate. These vidhis, being para, begin to operate; but napumsakōpasarjanatva, being antaranga has the precedence.

Note:—If in atirai+atra, atinau+atra, ai and au first take the adesas ay and av, there will be no chance for hrasvatva. If, in arasastri+idam, ekadesatva between i and i first takes place, and then hrasvatva, the form would be arasastridam, which is not current in the world.

तुग्यणेकादेशगुणवृद्ध चौन्यदीर्धेन्यमुमेन्यरीविधिभ्यः

Tuk wins over the vidhis enjoining, yan, ēkādēśa, guna, vṛddhi, $\bar{a}utva$, $d\bar{\imath}rgha$, ittva, mum, $\bar{e}ttva$ and $r\bar{\imath}$.

यणेकादेशगुणवृद्धचौत्त्वदीर्घत्त्वेत्त्वमुमेत्त्वरीविधिभ्यस्तुग् भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । यणा-देशात् - अभिचिदत्र, सोमसुदत्र; एकादेशात् - अभिचिदिदम्, सोमसुदुदकम्; गुणात् - अभिचिते, सोमसुते ; बृद्धेः - प्रऋच्छकः प्राच्छेकः ; औत्त्वात् - अभिचिति ; सोमसुति ; दीर्घत्वात् - जगन्द्यां, जनगन्द्यां ; ईत्त्वात् - जगत्यिति, जनगत्यिति ; मुमः - अभिचिन्मन्यः, सोमसुन्मन्यः ; एत्त्वात् - जगन्द्यः, जनगन्द्यः ; रीविधः -सुकृत्यिति, पापकृत्यिति । तुक् प्रामोति, एते विधयश्च । परत्वाद् एते विधयः स्युः । तुग् भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Tuk, being antaranga, operates before the vidhis enjoining yan, ēkādēśa, guņa, vrddhi, āutva, dīryha, ittva, mum, ēttva and rī, in the forms agnicidatra and sōmasudatra (over yaṇādēśa), agnicididam and sōmasududakam (over ēkādēśa), agnicitē and sōmasutē (over guṇa), pra rcchakaḥ=prārcchakaḥ (over vrddhi), agniciti and sōmasuti (over āutva), jagadbhyām and janagadbhyām (over dīrghatva), jagatyati and janagatyati (over īttva), agnicinmanyaḥ and sōmasunmanyaḥ (over mum), jagadbhyaḥ and janagadbhyaḥ (over ēttva) and sukrtyati and pāpakrtyati (over rīvidhi). Both tuk and one of the other vidhis have a chance to operate first; but tugvidhi, being antaranga wins over them.

Note: -Tuk is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Hrasvasya piti krti tuk (6,1,71). In agni-ci-kvip-su+atra where kvip is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Agnau $c\bar{e}h$ $(^3,2,91)$, tuk precedes yan by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Ik\bar{o}$ yan aci (6,1,77); kvip in $s\bar{o}$ nasut is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $S\bar{o}m\bar{e}$ $su\tilde{n}ah$ $(^3,2,90)$. $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ in agnici+idam is Akah $savarn\bar{e}$ $d\bar{i}rghah$ (6,1,101). Guna in agnici+ $in\bar{e}$ is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Gh\bar{e}r$ niti (7,3,111). Vrddhi in pra rechakah is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Upasargad rti $dh\bar{a}t\bar{a}u$ (6,1,91). Autva in agnici-i (seventh case singular suffix) is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Acca $gh\bar{e}h$ (7,3,119). M of jagam before kvip is dropped by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Gamah $kv\bar{a}u$ (6,4,40) and lengthening in jaga+ $bhy\bar{a}m$ is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Supi ca (7,3,102). It in jagi-y-ti is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Kyaci ca (7,4,33). $Mum\bar{a}gama$ is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Arurdviṣad-ajantasya mum (6,3,67). Itva is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Bahuvacan\bar{e}$ $jhaly\bar{e}t$ (7,3,103). $R\bar{v}ividhi$ is $R\bar{v}i$ rtah (7,4,27).

अनङानङ्भ्यां च Tuk wins over ana \hat{n} and \hat{a} na \hat{n} .

अनङानङ्भ्यां च इति वक्तन्यम् - अनङ्, सुकृत्, आनङ् सुकृदुप्कृतौ । तुक् प्राप्तोति, एते च विधयः । परत्वादेते विधयः स्युः । तुम्भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । It must be mentioned that tuk wins over anan and ānan, the former in the word sukrt and the latter in sukrd-duskrtāu. Tuk and one of these vidhis, both have a chance to operate. These vidhis, being para, try to operate; but tuk wins over them on account of its being antaranga.

NOTE:—Anan is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Rd-usanas... (7, 1, 94) and $\bar{u}nan$ by Aptin... (6, 4, 11).

इयङादेशो गुणात् Iyanādēśa wins over guņa.

इयङादेशो गुणाद्भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् - धियति, रियति । इयङादेशश्च प्रामोति गुणश्च । परत्वाद्भणः स्यात् । इयङादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः

Prayōjana is that iyanādēśa takes precedence over guṇa on account of antarangatva, in the forms dhiyati and riyati. Both iyanādēśa and guṇa have a chance to operate. Guṇa may operate first on account of paratva; but iyanādēśa, being antaranga operates first.

1. This $v\bar{a}rttika$ is said to be absent in manuscripts. But the word vaktavyam in the $Bh\bar{a}sya$ seems to suggest that this is the supplement by $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$.

Note:—Iyan ādēśa is by the sūtra Aci śnu-dhātu-bhruvām yvār iyan-uvanāu (6, 4, 77) and guṇa is by the sūtra Puganta-laghūpadhasya ca (7, 3, 86). Dhi and ri are the roots of 6th conjugation.

उनकादेशश्व Uvan-ādēśa too.

उवङादेशश्चेति वक्तन्यम् - प्रादुदुवत् , प्रासुसुवत्

It must be said that uvahādēśa too wins over guņa, in the forms prādudruvat and prāsusruvat.

Note:—It is doubtful whether uvanādēśaś ca was read by the Vārttikakāra, since Mahābhāṣyakāra reads vaktavyam and Guruprasāda Śāstri says that it is not found in manuscripts.

श्वेः सम्प्रसारणपूर्वत्वं यणादेशात्

Samprasāranapūrvatva wins over yanādēsa in svi.

श्वेः सम्प्रसारणपूर्वत्वं यणादेशाद् भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः भयोजनम् - शुशुवतुः शुशुवुः । पूर्वत्वं च प्रामोति यणादेशश्च । परत्वाद् यणादेशः स्यात् । पूर्वत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । Prayōjana is that samprasāraņapūrvatva wins over yaṇādēśa in the root śvi, so that the forms śuśuvatuh and śaśuvuh may be secured. Both samprasāraṇapūrvatva and yaṇādēśa have a chance to operate. Yaṇādēśa, being para, may operate first; but pūrvatva, being antaraṅga, operates first.

Note -Samprasāraņa is by the sūtra Vibhūsā śvēļi (6, 1, 30) and guņa by Er anēkācōssanyōgapūrvasya (6, 4, 82).

ह्व आकारलोपात्

Samprasāraņapūrvatva wins over ākāralopa in hvē.

ह आकारलोपात् पूर्वत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् - जुहुवतुः जुहुवुः । पूर्वत्वं च प्राप्तोति, आकारलोपश्च । प्रत्वाद् आकारलोपः स्यात् । पूर्वत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । Prayōjana is that samprasāraņapārvata wins over ākāralōpa in the root hvē, so that the forms juhuvatuḥ and juhuvuḥ are secured. Both samprasāraṇapārvatva and ākāralōpa have a chance to operate. Ākāralōpa, being para, may operate; but samprasāraṇapārvatva, being antaraṅga operates first.

NOTE:—Samprasāraņa is by the sūtra Abhyastasya ca (6, 1, 33) and ākāra and ākaralāpa are by the sūtras Ād ēca upudēšēsšiti (6, 1, 45) and Ātō lōpa iţi ca (6, 4, 64.)

खरो लोपात् Svara wins over lopa.

स्वरो छोपाद् भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम्, - औपगवी, सौदामनी । स्वरश्च प्रामोति छोपश्च । परत्वाछोपः स्यात् । स्वरो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $Pray\bar{o}jana$ is that svara, being $antara\dot{n}ga$, takes precedence over $l\bar{o}pa$ in the forms $\bar{a}upagav\bar{\imath}$ and $s\bar{a}ud\bar{a}man\bar{\imath}$. Both svara and $l\bar{o}pa$ have a chance to operate. $L\bar{o}pa$, being para, may operate first; but svara, being $antara\dot{n}ga$, operates first.

Note:—In $upagu+an+n\bar{\imath}p$, if a is first dropped by $Yasy\bar{\imath}ti\ ca\ (6,\ 4,\ 148)$, $\bar{\imath}$ cannot take the $ud\bar{a}ttanivrttisvara$.

प्रत्ययविधिरेकादेशात् Pratyayavidhi wins over ēkādēśa.

प्रत्यविधिश्च प्राप्तोति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वादेकादेशः स्यात् । प्रत्यविधिश्च प्राप्तोति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वादेकादेशः स्यात् । प्रत्यविधिश्च प्राप्तोति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वादेकादेशः स्यात् । प्रत्यविधिश्च प्राप्ताति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वादेकादेशः स्यात् । प्रत्यविधिश्च प्रत्यक्तः । Prayojana is that pratyayavidhi, being antaranga, wins over $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ sa, in the forms agnir-indrah and $v\bar{a}yur-udakam$. Both pratyayavidhi and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ sa have a chance to operate. $\bar{E}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ sa, being para, may operate first; but pratyayavidhi, being antaranga, operates first.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:—Yadyapi pratyayarahit $\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ prakr $t\bar{e}h$ pray $\bar{o}g\bar{o}$ n $\bar{a}sti$, $tath\bar{a}pi$ $v\bar{a}kyasya$ vibhajya $anv\bar{a}khy\bar{a}n\bar{e}$ $kriyam\bar{a}n\bar{e}$ ayam $vic\bar{a}rah$ $kriyat\bar{e}$.

यणादेशाच (Pratyayavidhi wins over) yaṇādēśa too.

यणादेशाचेति वक्तव्यम् - अभिरत्न वायुरत्र

It must be said that it wins over $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ too, in the forms agnir-atra and $v\bar{a}yur-atra$.

लादेशो वर्णिविधेः Lādēśa wins over varṇa-vidhi.

लादेशो वर्णविधेभवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् । पचत्वत्र, पठत्वत्र । लादेशश्च प्राप्तोति, यणादेशश्च । परत्वाद्यणादेशः स्यात् । लादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । Benefit is that $l\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$, being antaranga, wins over varnavidhi, in the forms pacatvatra and pathatvatra. Both $l\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ and $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ have a chance to operate. $Yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$, being para, may operate first; but $l\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$, being antaranga, operates first.

Note:—The $l\bar{a}d\ddot{e}\dot{s}a$ is with reference to tu in place of ti by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Er uh (3, 4, 85) and $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Ik\bar{o}$ yan aci (6, 1, 77).

तत्पुरुपान्तोदात्तत्वं पूर्वपदप्रकृतिस्वरात्

Tatpurusantodattatva wins over pūrvapadaprakrtisvaratva.

तत्पुरुपान्तोदात्तत्वं पूर्वपदपकृतिस्वराद् भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् - पूर्वशाला-प्रियः, अपरशालापियः । तत्पुरुषान्तोदात्तत्वं च प्राप्तोति, पूर्वपदपकृतिस्वरत्वं च । परत्वात् पूर्वपदपकृतिस्वरत्वं स्यात् । तत्पुरुषान्तोदात्तत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

That tatpuruṣāntōdāttatva, being antaraṅga, wins over pūrvapadaprakṛtisvaratva is the prayōjana and it is seen in the forms
pūrvaṣālāpriyaḥ and aparaṣālāpriyaḥ. Both tatpuruṣa-antōdāttatva and pūrvapada-prakṛti-svaratva have a chance to
operate. Pūrvapadaprakṛtisvaratva, being para, may operate
first, but tatpuruṣa-antōdāttatva, being antaraṅya, operates first.

Note:—1. Antōdāttatva is by the sūtra Samāsasya (6,1,223) and pūrvapadaprakṛtisvaratva is by the sūtra Bahuvrīhūu prakṛtyā pūrvapadam (6, 2, 1).

Note:—?. Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Pūrvā sālā priya asya iti tripadē bahuvrīhāu kṛtē, Taddhitārtha...(2, 1, 51) iti dvayōḥ padayōs tatpuruṣaḥ. Tatra tri-pada-āśraya-bahuvrīhi-nimittaḥ pūrvapadaprakṛtisvarō bahirangatvān na pravartatē. Tēna tatpuruṣasamāsāśrayam śālāśabdasya antōdāttatvam bhavati.

एतान्येतस्याः परिभाषायाः प्रयोजनानि यद्श्रेमेषा परिभाषा कर्तन्था These are the benefits of the $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, on account of which the $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ is to be read.

यदि सन्ति प्रयोजनानि इत्येषा परिभाषा क्रियते, ननु चेयमि कर्तव्या असिद्धं बहिरङ्गरुक्षणमन्तरङ्गरुक्षणे इति

If this paribhāṣā is read on the basis that there are benefits, this too—Asiddham bahirangalakṣaṇām antarangalakṣaṇā—has to be read-

किं पयोजनम् ? What is the benefit?

पचावेदं, पचामेदम्; असिद्धत्वाद् बहिरङ्गलक्षणगुणस्य अन्तरङ्गलक्षणमैत्वं मा भूद् इति ।

So that in pacavēdam and pacamēdam, $\bar{a}i$ which is antaranga may not replace \bar{e} , the bahirangalakṣaṇa-guṇa, on account of its being asiddha.

Note:—In $pac\bar{a}va+idam$, there is guna between a of the former word and i of the latter word, so that we get the form $pac\bar{a}v\bar{e}dam$. Since \bar{e} may be considered the final of the verb on account of $\bar{e}/k\bar{a}d\bar{e}/a$, there is chance for \bar{e} to be replaced by $\bar{a}i$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{E}ta$ $\bar{a}i$ (3, 4, 93). The $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{E}ta$ $\bar{a}i$ is antaranga and it should not be allowed to operate here. This is possible only if the $k\bar{a}rya$ of the $bahiranga-s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{A}d$ gunah is considered asiddha or non-existent.

उमे तर्हि कर्तन्ये Both, then, have to be read.

नेत्याह "No, it need not be read," says he.

अनयैव सिद्धम् । इहापि स्योनः स्योना इति, असिद्धत्वाद् बहिरङ्गलक्षणस्य गुणस्य अन्तरङ्गलक्षणो यणादेशो भविष्यति ।

It is decided by this itself:-in $sy\bar{o}nah$ and $sy\bar{o}n\bar{a}$, $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}^{\dot{s}}\bar{a}$ which is antaranga operates setting aside guna which is bahiranga, on account of its being asiddha.

यदि असिद्धं बहिरङ्गलक्षणमन्तरङ्गलक्षणे इत्युच्यते, अक्षद्यः हिरण्यद्यः - असिद्ध-त्वाद् बहिरङ्गलक्षणस्य ऊठः अन्तरङ्गलक्षणो यणादेशो न प्राप्नोति

If the paribhāṣā Asiddham bahiraṅgalakṣaṇam antaraṅgalakṣaṇē is read, yaṇādēśa which is antaraṅga cannot operate in akṣadyūḥ and hiraṇyadyūḥ since ūṭḥ is bahiraṅga and hence asiddha.

Note:—Uth in akṣadyūh is by the sūtra $Cchv\bar{o}h$ śūḍ anu- $n\bar{a}sik\bar{e}$ ca (6, 4, 19).

नैष दोषः । असिद्धं बहिरङ्गलक्षणमन्तरङ्गलक्षणे इत्युक्त्वा ततो वक्ष्यामि नाजानन्तर्ये बहिष्ट्वप्रकलितः इति

This difficulty does not arise. The paribhāṣa Nājānantaryē bahiṣṭvapraklptiḥ will be read after Asiddham bahirangalakṣaṇam antaraṅgalakṣaṇē.

सा तर्हि एपा परिभाषा कर्तञ्या Then this paribhāṣā-Antaraṅgam ca balīyaḥ—has to be read.

न कर्तव्या; No, it need not be read.

आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित भवत्येषा परिभाषा इति, यदयं षत्वतुकोरसिद्धः इत्याह The procedure of $Ac\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests the existence of this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, since he reads the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Satvatuk\bar{o}r$ asiddhah (6, 1, 86.)

इयं तार्ह् परिभाषा कर्तन्या असिद्धं बहिरङ्गलक्षणमन्तरङ्गलक्षणे This paribhāṣā-Asiddham bahirangalakṣaṇam antarangalakṣaṇē—is, then, to be read.

एषा च न कर्तव्या । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित भवत्येषा परिभाषा यद्यं वाह ऊठ् इत्यूठं शास्ति

This too need not be read. The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}tra-k\bar{a}ra$) suggests the existence of this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, since he enjoins $\bar{u}th$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $V\bar{a}ha$ $\bar{u}th$ (6, 4, 132.)

1 V

तस्य दोषः पूर्वपदोत्तरपदयोर्द्वद्विस्वरावेकादेशात्

Its defect:—Non-accomplishment of $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{o}ttara-vrddhi$ and the needed svara on account of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}^{s}a$.

तस्यैतस्य लक्षणस्य दोषः - पूर्वोत्तरपदयोर्वृद्धिस्वरौ एकादेशादन्तरङ्गतोऽभि-निर्वृत्तान्त प्राप्तुतः - पूर्वेषुकामशमः, अपरेषुकामशमः । गुडोदकं तिलोदकम्, उदकेऽ-केवले इति पूर्वोत्तरपदयोर्व्यपवर्गामावान्त स्यात्

The defect in that paribhāṣā - Asiddham bahirangalakṣaṇam antarangalakṣaṇē is this - Pūrvõttarapada-vṛddhi (by the sūtra Prācām grāma...7, 3, 14) and the needed svara cannot be secured on account of the operation of the ēkādēśa which is antaranga in the words pūrvāiṣukāmaśamaḥ and aparāiṣukāmaśamaḥ and the antōdāttatva of the pūrvapada in guḍōdakam and tilōdakam by the sūtra Udakēskēvalē (6, 2, 96) cannot be secured since the two words have coalesced together.

NOTE:—The svara in $p\bar{u}rv\bar{a}isuk\bar{a}ma^samah$ is $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}n$ -todattatva by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Uttarapadavrddhāu sarvam ca (6, 2, 105).

नेष दोषः । आचार्यभवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयति पूर्वोत्तरपदयोस्तावत् कार्य भवति नैकादेशः इति, यदयं नेन्द्रस्य परस्य इति प्रतिषेधं शास्ति ।

This defect does not arise. The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that $p\bar{u}rv\bar{o}ttarapadak\bar{a}rya$ is preferred to $\bar{e}k\bar{u}d\bar{e}sa$, since he reads the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $N\bar{e}ndrasya$ parasya (7, 3, 22).

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम्! How does it become the jñāpaka?

इन्द्रे द्वावचौ ; तत्र एको यस्येति च इति छोपेनापिह्यते, अपर एकादेशेन, ततोऽनच्क इन्द्रः सम्पन्नः । तत्र कः प्रसङ्गो वृद्धेः ? 'पश्यित त्वाचार्यः पूर्वपदोत्तर-पदयोस्तावत्कार्य भवति, नैकादेशः इति, ततो नेन्द्रस्य परस्य इति प्रतिषेषं शास्ति ।

There are two vowels in the word Indra; of them, one is elided by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Yasy\bar{e}ti$ ca (6, 4, 148) and the other by $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and the word indra has no vowel in it. Then where is the room for vrddhi? $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ sees that $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{o}ttarapadak\bar{a}rya$ operates in preference to $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and hence reads the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ $N\bar{e}ndrasya$ parasya.

यणादेशादियुवौ

The defect:—iy and uv cannot be secured on account of $yan-\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$.

यणादेशाद् इयुवी अन्तरङ्गतोऽभिनिर्वृत्तान प्राप्तुतः - वैयाकरणः सौवश्व इति । लक्षणं हि भवति य्वोरचि वृद्धिपसङ्गे इयुवी भवतः इति

Iy and uv cannot be secured on account of the operation of $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$, which is $antara\dot{n}ga$, in the words $v\bar{a}iy\bar{a}karanah$ and $s\bar{a}uva\acute{s}vah$. There is the $s\bar{u}tra$ too $Yv\bar{o}r$ $vrddhipraso\dot{n}g\bar{e}$ $iyuv\bar{a}u$ bhavatah.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the above $s\bar{u}tra$ is not $P\bar{a}nin\bar{i}yan$.

नैष दोषः । अनवकाशौ इयुवी, अचीत्युच्यते

This difficulty does not arise. Iy and uv are $anavak\bar{a} \pm a$ and the word aci is read.

किं पुनः कारणमचीत्युच्यते? Why is the word aci read?

इह मा भूताम् - ऐतिकायनः औपगव इति

So that it may not occur in āitikāyana and āupagava.

स्ताम् अत्र इयुवौ, लोपो व्योविलि इति लोपो भविष्यति

Let iy and uv come there and y and v are elided by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $L\bar{o}p\bar{o}$ $vy\bar{o}r$ vali.

यत्र तर्हि छोपो नास्ति - शैयमेधः शैयङ्गवः इति?

(What is to be done) where elision cannot take place as in $pr\bar{a}iyam\bar{e}dhah$ and $pr\bar{a}iyangavah$?

उसि पररूपाच

Iy cannot take place before us on account of pararūpa.

टिस परस्तपाचान्तरङ्गतोऽभिनिर्श्वचाद् इयादेशो न प्राप्तोति - पचेयुः, यजेयुः $Iy\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$, in $pac\bar{e}yu\underline{h}$ and $yaj\bar{e}yu\underline{h}$ cannot be secured before us on account of the operation of $parar\bar{u}pa$ which is antaranga.

नैष दोषः । नैवं विज्ञायते, या इत्येतस्य इय् भवतीति

This difficulty does not arise. It is not stated that $y\bar{a}$ is replaced by iy.

कथं तर्हि ? How then ? यासित्येतस्य इय् भवतीति - $Y\bar{a}s$ is replaced by iy.

छुक् लोपयणयवायावेकादेशेभ्यः

Need to say that luk is stronger than $l\bar{o}pa$, yan, ay, av, $\bar{a}y$, $\bar{a}v$ and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$.

लोपयणयवायावेकादेशेभ्यो छुक् बलीयान् इति वक्तव्यम्

It must be said that luk is stronger than $l\bar{o}pa$, yan, ay, av, $\bar{a}y$, $\bar{a}v$ and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$.

Note:—Luk is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sup\bar{o}$ $dh\bar{a}tupr\bar{a}tipadikay\bar{o}h$ (2, 4, 71).

लोपात् - गोमान् भियो यस्य गोमत्भियः ; गोमानिव आचरति गोमत्यते ; यवमत्यते Luk should be stronger than lõpa so that gõmatpriyaḥ whose vigrahavākya is gõmān priyō yasya and gõmatyatē whose derivation is gōmān iva ācarati and yavamatyatē can be secured.

Note: -Lōpa is by the sūtra Samyōgāntasya lōpah (8, 2, 23)

यणादेशात् - प्रामण्यः कुलं प्रामणिकुलम् ; सेनान्यः कुलं सेनानिकुलम् Luk should be stronger than yaṇādēśa to secure the forms grāmaṇikulam and sēnānikulam whose vigrahavākayas are grāmaṇyaḥ kulam and sēnānyaḥ kulam.

अयवायावेकादेशेभ्यः - गवे हितं गोहितम्; रायः कुलम् रैकुलम्; नावः कुलं नोकुलम्; वृकाद् भयं वृकभयम्

Luk should be stronger than ay, av, āy, āv and ēkādēśa so that the forms gōhitam, rāikulam, nāukulam and vrkabhayam whose vigrahavākyas are gavē hitam, rāyaḥ kulam, nāvaḥ kulam and vrkād bhayam may be secured.

छुक् च प्रामोति, एते विधयश्च । परत्वादेते विधयः स्युः । छुग् बर्लीयान् इति वक्तव्यम्, छुक् यथा स्यात् ।

Both luk and one of these vidhis have a chance to operate; these vidhis, being para, may operate first. It must be said that luk is stronger than they so that luk may take precedence.

FIFTEENTH AHNIKA ENDS.

(First $adhy\bar{a}ya$, fourth $p\bar{a}da$, first $\bar{a}hnika$ ends.)

Sixteenth Ahnika

(First $adhy\bar{a}ya$, fourth $p\bar{a}da$, second $\bar{a}hnika$)

यू रुच्याख्यो नदी (1, 4, 3)

There are five topics here:—(1) What is the need for the word $y\bar{u}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$? (2) Does it refer to \bar{i} and \bar{u} or i and u?

(3) Does the word stryākhyāu conform to the rules of grammar?

(4) What is the need for the word $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$? (5) Is there need for adding the adjunct $prathamaling\bar{e}$?

1

यू इति किमर्थम्? What is the need for the word $y\bar{u}$? खट्टा, माला

So that $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ cannot reach $kha!v\bar{a}$ and $m\bar{a}l\bar{a}$.

किं च स्यात्? What will happen if they get it?

खट्टाबन्धुः मालाबन्धुः – नदी बन्धुनि इत्येष स्वरः प्रसज्येत । इह च बहु-खट्टकः इति नद्यृतश्च इति नित्यः कप् प्रसज्येत ।

Pūrvapadāntōdāttatva will have a chance to take place in the words khaṭvābandhuḥ and mālābandhuḥ by the sūtra Nadībandhuni (6, 2, 109), (though it is desired that they should take pūrvapaduprakṛtisvara-ādyudātta.) Besides kap will have a chance to take place permanently in the word bahukhaṭvaḥ by the sūtra Nadyṛtaś ca (5, 4, 153.)

नैष दोषः । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित नापो नदीसंज्ञा भवति इति यदयं डेराम्न-द्याम्नीभ्यः इति पृथगाच्यहणं करोति

This difficulty does not arise. The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that $\bar{a}banta$ does not get $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, since he reads $\bar{a}p$ separately in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\dot{N}\bar{e}r$ $\bar{a}m$ $nady\bar{a}mn\bar{\imath}bhyah$ (7, 3, 116.)

इह तर्हि मात्रे मातुः इति आण्नद्याः इत्याट् प्रसज्येत If so, $\bar{a}t$ will have a chance to appear in $m\bar{a}tr\bar{e}$ and $m\bar{a}tuh$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{A}n$ $nady\bar{a}h$ (7, 3, 112).

11

कि पुनरिदं दीर्घयोर्भहणमाहोस्विद्धस्वयोः? Does this $(y\bar{u})$ refer to $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} or i and u?

कि चातः? What does it matter if it refers to either?

यदि दीर्घयोत्रहणं यू इति निर्देशो नोपपद्यते । दीर्घाद्धि पूर्वसवर्णदीर्घः प्रतिपिध्यते । उत्तरत्र च संज्ञिविशेषणं न प्रकल्पेत - यू हस्वो इति । यदि यू, न हस्वो ; अथ हस्वो, न यू; यू हस्वो चेति विप्रतिपिद्धम् ।

If it refers to $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} , the form $y\bar{u}$ does not conform to the rules of grammar, since $p\bar{u}rvasavarnad\bar{\imath}rgha$ is prohibited after the stems ending in \bar{u} . Besides it cannot be the $viś\bar{e}sana$ to $hrasv\bar{a}u$. If they are $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} , they cannot be $hrasv\bar{a}u$; if they are $hrasv\bar{a}u$, they cannot be $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} . Mention of $y\bar{u}$ and $hrasv\bar{a}u$ contradicts each other.

अथ ह्स्वयोः हे शकटे अत्रापि प्रसज्येत

If $y\bar{u}$ refers to i and u, $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ will chance to go to $\dot{s}akati$ and $\dot{s}akat\bar{\imath}$ in the vocative singular will be replaced by $\dot{s}akati$.

नैष दोप: । अवश्यमत्र विभाषा नदीसंज्ञा एपितव्या, उभयं हीण्यते - हे शकटि, हे शकटे इति च

This difficulty cannot arise. Since both the forms $\dot{s}akati$ and $\dot{s}akat\bar{t}$ are found in the vocative singular, $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ has necessarily to be sought for.

इह तर्हि शकटिबन्धः इति नदी बन्धुनि इत्येष स्वरः प्रसज्येत । इह च बहु-शकटिः इति नद्यतश्च इति कप् प्रसज्येत ।

Pūrvapadāntōdāttatva will, then, chance to set, in the word śakaṭibandhuḥ by the sūtra Nadī bandhuni. Besides the pratyaya kap will have a chance in the word bahuśakaṭiḥ by the sūtra Nadyrtaś ca.

नैष दोपः । ङिति हस्वश्च इत्ययं नियमार्थी भविष्यति - ङित्येव यू हस्वी नदीसंज्ञी भवतो नान्यत्नेति ।

This difficulty cannot arise; for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\dot{N}iti$ $hrasva\acute{s}$ ca is taken as a $niyama-s\bar{u}tra$, so that it means the short $y\bar{u}$ takes $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ only before the case-suffixes which are $\dot{n}it$ and nowhere else,

कैमर्थक्यान्नियमो भवति ?

Is not the answer niyamartha appropriate only if there is the question kimartha!?

विधेयं नास्तीति कृत्वा Taking that there is no vidhēya here. इह चास्ति विधेयम् Oh! there is the vidhēya here. किम? What?

नित्या नदीसंज्ञा प्राप्ता, सा विभाषा विधेया
The nadīsamijnā which is permanently secured has to be made optional.

तत्र अपूर्वी विधिरस्तु नियमो वा अस्तु इति Is it to be apūrva-vidhi or niyamavidhi?

अपूर्व एव विधिर्भविष्यति, न नियमः

It can be only apūrvavidhi and not niyama.

अथायं नित्यो योगः स्यात् प्रकल्पेत नियमः?

Is not niyama possible only if this sūtra is apūrvavidhi?

बाढं प्रकल्पेत Certainly, it is possible only then.

नित्यस्तर्हि भविष्यति It may, then, be taken as nitya-vidhi.

तत्कथम्? How is it possible?

योगविभागः करिष्यते । इदमस्ति - यू स्व्याख्यो नदी, नेयङुवङ्खानावस्त्री, वामि; तती, ङिति, ङिति चेयङुवङ्खानौ यू वा अस्त्री नदीसंज्ञौ न भवतः । तती, हस्त्री, हस्त्री च यू स्व्याख्यो ङिति नदीसंज्ञौ भवतः । इयङुवङ्खानौ वा नेति निवृत्तम् ॥ The sūtra niti hrasvas ca is split into two. Now, after reading the sūtras Yū stryā/hyāu nadī, Nēyan-uvan-sthānāvastrī and Vāmi, niti alone is taken as a separate sūtra, meaning that yū, other than strī, which is capable of taking iyan or uvan optionally does not take nadīsamjñā before case-suffixes which are nit. Then Hrasvāu is read as a separate sūtra meaning that the short yū takes nadīsamjñā before nit; there is no anuvrtti to iyanuvansthānāu, vā and na.

यदेवं राकटये, अत्र गुणो न प्राप्तोति

If so, there is no chance for guna and hence the form śakaṭayē cannot be secured (since ghitva is prohibited by nadītva.)

द्वितीयो योगविभागः करिष्यते । शेषप्रहणं न करिष्यते । The second $s\bar{u}tra\text{-}split$ is taken recourse to. The word $s\bar{e}sa$ is not read (in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $S\bar{e}s\bar{o}ghyasakhi$.)

कथम्? How?

इदमिस्त, यू स्च्याख्यो नदी, नेयङुवङ्स्थानावस्त्री, वामि । ततो ङिति, ङिति चेयङुवङ्स्थानो यू वास्त्री नदीसंज्ञो न भवतः । ततः इस्वो, इस्वो च यू स्च्याख्यो ङिति नदीसंज्ञो भवतः ; इयङुवङ्स्थानो, वा, न इति निवृत्तम् । ततो, घिसंज्ञो च भवतः स्च्याख्यो यू इस्वो ङिति । ततो, असिख, सिखवर्जितो च यू इस्वो घिसंज्ञो भवतः ; स्च्याख्यो, ङिति इति च निवृत्तम् ।

Now this is the situation: --First the sūtras—Yū stryākhyāu nadī, Nēyan-uvan-sthānāvastrī and Vāmi are read. Then Niti which means niti ca iyan-uvan-sthānāu yū rāstrī nadīsanijāāu na bhavatah is read. Then is read IIrasvāu, which means hrasvāu ca yū stryākhyāu niti nadīsanijāāu bhavatah. There will be no anuvrtti for iyan-uvan-sthānāu, vā and na. Then the sūtra Ghi is read, which means that the short i and u which are nityastrīlinga take ghi-sanijāā. Then the sūtra Asakhi is read, which means those that end in short i and u other than sakhi take ghi-sanijāā. There will be no anuvrtti for stryākhyāu and niti.

यदि तर्हि शेषप्रहणं न कियते नार्थ एकेनापि योगविभागेन । अविशेषेण नदीसंज्ञा उत्सर्गः, तस्या ह्रस्वयोधिसंज्ञा बाधिका तस्यां नित्यायां प्राप्तायामियं ङिति विभाषा आरभ्यते

If the word \hat{sesa} is not read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\hat{Seso}sghyasakhi$, no purpose is served even by one $y\bar{o}gavibh\bar{a}ga$. $Nad\bar{v}sainj\bar{n}a$ to i and u whether short or long is the general rule. Short i and u taking $ghisamj\bar{n}a$ is its exception; when there is a chance for $ghisamj\bar{n}a$ to be nitya, it is said that it is optional before iit.

अथवा पुनरस्तु दीर्घयोः Or let it refer to $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} .

ननु चोक्तं निर्देशो नोपपद्यते इति, दीर्घाद्धि पूर्वसवर्णदीर्धः प्रतिषिध्यते इति Oh, the defects Nirdēśō nōpapadyatē and Dīrghāddhi pūrvasavārņadīrghah pratisidhyatē, we pointed out.

वा छन्दिस इत्येवं भविष्यति

The form may be sanctioned by Vā chandasi.

छन्दिस इत्युच्यते, न चेदं छन्दः

The word chandasi is read there; but this is not chandas.

छन्दोवत्सूत्राणि भवन्ति इति

It may be said that sūtras may be treated like chandas.

यद्प्युच्यते उत्तरत्र विशेषणं न प्रकल्पेत यू हस्वाविति, यदि यू न हस्वो, अथ हस्वो न यू, हस्वो इति च विप्रतिषिद्धम् इति; नैतद्विप्रतिषिद्धम्, आहायं यू हस्वो इति, यदि यू न हस्वो, अथ हस्वो न यू, त एवं विज्ञास्यामः य्वोयों हस्वो इति ।

With reference to the other defect—uttaratra viśēṣaṇam na prakalpēta, yū hrasvāu iti, yadi yū na hrasvāu, atha hrasvāu na yū, yū hrasvāu iti ca vipratiṣiddham, yū and hrasvāu do not contradict each other. He says "yū hrasvāu." If yū cannot be hrasvāu and hrasvāu cannot be yū, it is interpreted thus:— $Yv\bar{o}r\ y\bar{a}u\ hrasv\bar{a}u$ (the hrasvas of i and u).

को च ग्वोईस्वा ? What are the hrasvas of i and u? सवणी The two like letters.

III

अथ स्च्याख्यो इति कोऽयं शब्दः ? What is this word stryākhyāu? स्थियमाचक्षाते स्च्याख्यो

Striyākhyāu is derived thus: -Striyam ācakṣātē.

यद्येवं स्त्र्याख्यायाँ इति प्राप्तोति ; अनुपसर्गे हि को विधायते । If so, its form should be $stry\bar{a}khy\bar{a}y\bar{a}u$; for ka is enjoined to $khy\bar{a}$ only when it is not preceded by a preposition.

न तर्हि इदानीमिदं भवति

यस्मिन्दश सहस्राणि पुत्रे जाते गवां ददौ । ब्राह्मणेभ्यः शियास्त्येभ्यः सोऽयमुञ्छेन जीवति ॥१

Cannot it be taken to be correct from the usage of $priy\bar{a}khy\bar{e}-bhyah$ in the verse

Yasmin daśa sahasrāṇi putrē jātē gavām dadāu । Brāhmaṇēbhyaḥ priyākhyēbhyaḥ sōsyam uñchēna jīvati ॥? छन्दोवत्कवयः कुर्वन्ति । न द्येषेष्टिः

Poets take licence like $V\bar{e}das$. But there is no licence allowed here,

एवं तर्हि कर्मसाधनो भविष्यति स्त्रियामाख्यायेते स्व्याख्यो If so, stryākhyāu is derived thus:—striyām ākhyāyētē (śāstrēṇa) (those two read by śāstra in feminine).

यदि कर्मसाधनः कृत्स्त्रिया घातुस्त्रियाश्च न सिध्यति, तन्त्र्ये, लक्ष्म्ये, श्चिये, श्चिये । If it is karmasādhana, it cannot apply to the feminine nouns whose stems end in kṛtpratyaya and dhātu.

एवं तर्हि बहुत्रीहिभीविष्यति - स्त्रियां आख्या अनयोः स्त्र्याख्यौ If so, stryākhyāu is taken to be bahuvrīhi thus:—striyām ākhyā anayōḥ.

एवमिप कृत्स्त्रिया घातुस्त्रियाश्च न सिध्यति - तन्त्र्ये, लक्ष्म्ये, श्रिये, श्रुवे Even then, it cannot hold to the feminine stems ending in krt and $dh\bar{a}tu$.

एवं तर्हि विज्भविष्यति If so, let the pratyaya be vij.

Note:—The $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{A}t\bar{o}$ manin-kvanib-vanipas ca (3, 2, 74) enjoins vij to $\bar{a}d$ ant adhātus.

अथ वा पुनरस्तु क एव, स्त्रियमाचक्षाते स्च्याख्यौ इति
Or let it be taken to be ka-pralyaya and the derivation of stryākhyāu to be striyam ācakṣātē.

ननु चोक्तं स्व्याख्यायौ इति प्राप्तोति, अनुपसर्गे हि को विधीयते इति Oh, it was said that the form should be $stry\bar{a}khy\bar{a}y\bar{a}u$, on account of ka being enjoined only when $khy\bar{a}$ is not preceded by any preposition!

मूलविभुजादिपाठात्को भविष्यति । एवं च कृत्वा सोऽप्यदोषो भवति, यदुक्तं यस्मिन्दश सहस्राणि पुत्रे जाते गवां ददौ ।

ब्राह्मणेभ्यः भियाख्येभ्यः सोऽयमुञ्छेन जीवति ॥ इति

Ka-pratyaya appears there on the strength of the vārttika Kaprakaranē mūlavibhujādibhya upasankhyānam under 3, 2, 5. On that authority the word priyākhyēbhyah in the verse 'Yasmin daśa sahasrāni...' conforms to the rules of grammar.

IV

अथ आख्याग्रहणं किमर्थम्? What for is the word ākhyā read? नदीसंज्ञायामाख्याग्रहणं स्त्रीविषयार्थम्

Mention of the word $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$ in the laksana of $nad\bar{\imath}$ is concerned with words denoting $str\bar{\imath}$.

नदीसंज्ञायामाख्याग्रहणं कियते स्त्रीविषयार्थम् । स्त्रीविषयावेव यौ नित्यं तयोरेव नदीसंज्ञा यथा स्यात्, इह मा भृत् ग्रामण्ये सेनान्ये स्त्रिये इति ।

The word $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$ is read in $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ - $vidh\bar{a}yaka$ - $s\bar{u}tra$ for the sake of words denoting $str\bar{\imath}$, so that those alone which are permanently feminine may take $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and not words $gr\bar{a}man\bar{\imath}$ and $s\bar{e}n\bar{a}n\bar{\imath}$ though they may be adjectives to $str\bar{\imath}$, as in $gr\bar{a}many\bar{e}$ $s\bar{e}n\bar{a}ny\bar{e}$ $striy\bar{a}i$.

V

प्रथमलिङ्गग्रहणम् च Mention of the word prathamalinga too.

प्रथमलिङ्गग्रहणं च कर्तन्यम् । प्रथमलिङ्गे यो स्थ्याख्यो इति वक्तन्यम् । Mention should be made of prathama-linga too. The word prathamalingē should be read in the sūtra (so that it may mean that yū which is nityastrīlinga in prathamalinga takes nadīsamjñā).

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit?

प्रयोजनं किब्छप्समासाः

Benefit is to get the $nad\bar{i}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ where there is kvip, lup or $sam\bar{a}sa$.

किप् - कुमार्ये ब्राह्मणाय

So that $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{u}$ may be secured to $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ in $kum\bar{a}ry\bar{a}i$ $br\bar{a}man\bar{a}ya$ (to the brahmin who wishes to have a $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ or behaves like a $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$.)

Note: $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $Kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}m$ icchaty $\bar{a}tmanah$ -kum $\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}yati$, Tatah kartari kvip. Atha v \bar{a} kum $\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ v \bar{a} $\bar{a}carati$ $\bar{a}c\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ kvipam vidh $\bar{a}ya$ kartari kvib vidh $\bar{e}yah$.

छप् - खरकुट्ये ब्राह्मणाय

So that $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may be secured to $kharakut\bar{\imath}$ in $kharakut\bar{\imath}$ in $br\bar{a}hman\bar{a}ya$ (to the brahman who is like a stable of asses or a barber's shop),

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaļa$ reads here: $-Kharakuļ\bar{\imath}va$ iti $iv\bar{a}rth\bar{\epsilon}$ sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ (5, 3, 97) iti $kan\bar{o}$ 'Lummanuṣy $\bar{\epsilon}$ (5, 3, 98) iti lup.

समास - अतितन्त्रये ब्राह्मगाय, अतिलक्ष्मये ब्राह्मणाय

So that $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may be secured to $atitantr\bar{\imath}$ and $atilaksm\bar{\imath}$ in $atitantry\bar{a}i\; br\bar{a}hman\bar{a}ya$ (to the brahman who has excelled

stringed instrument) and in $\bar{a}tilak smy \bar{a}i \ br\bar{a}h man \bar{a}ya$ (to the brahmin who has excelled $Lak sm \bar{\imath}$.)

Note: - Tantrīm atikrāntaļi compound into atitantrī.

तत्तर्हि वक्तव्यम् lt, then, must be read.

न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be read.

अवयवस्त्रीविषयत्वात् सिद्धम्

It is accomplished on account of the avayava being strīviṣaya.

अवयवोऽत्र स्त्रीविषयः । तदाश्रया नदीसंज्ञा भविष्यति

The avayava, here, is strīviṣaya. Nadīsamijnā, based upon it, is secured.

अवयवस्त्रीविषयत्वात्सिद्धमिति चेद् इयङ्गबङ्खानप्रतिषेधप्रसङ्गे यण्खानप्रति-षेधप्रसङ्गोऽवयवस्येयङ्गबङ्स्थानत्वात्

If it is said that it is accomplished through avayavastrīviṣaya, there is chance for yan-sthāna-pratiṣēdha where there is chance for iyan-uvan-sthāna-pratiṣēdha on account of avayava being iyan-uvan-sthāna.

अवयवस्त्रीविषयत्वात् सिद्धमिति चेद् इयङ्गवङ्स्थानप्रतिषेधप्रसङ्गे यण्स्थानयोरिष य्वोः प्रतिषेधः प्रसज्येत - आध्ये ¹ ब्राह्मण्ये

If it is said that the object is achieved since its avayava is $str\bar{\imath}visaya$, there is chance for $pratis\bar{e}dha$ of $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} which are $yan-sth\bar{a}na$ where there is chance for the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ of iyan and uvan, so that the form $\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}i$ in $\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}i$ $br\bar{a}hmany\bar{a}i$ cannot be secured.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अवयवस्य इयङ्खानत्वात् - अवयवः अत्र इयङ्खानः

On account of the avayava being iyansthāna. Avayava, here, is iyansthāna.

सिद्धं त्वङ्गरूपग्रहणाद्यसाङ्गस्येयुवौ ततप्रतिपेधात्

It is achieved since anga is taken into account here and since there is pratisēdha to the iy and uv which happen to the anga.

सिद्धमेतत् This is accomplished.

1. Śri Guruprasādaśāstri's edition reads $\bar{a}dhy\bar{e}$, $pradhy\bar{e}$ in place of $\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}i$.

कथम् ? How?

अङ्गरूपं गृह्यते । यस्याङ्गस्य इयुवौ भवतः, तस्येदं म्रहणं, न चैतस्याङ्गस्य इयुवौ भवतः

 $A\dot{n}ga$ is taken into account here. It is only that $a\dot{n}ga$ to which iy and uv are possible to appear is taken here and this $a\dot{n}ga$ is not capable of taking iy or uv.

ह्स्वयुव्यानप्रवृत्तौ च स्त्रीवचने

I and u which are capable of taking the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}as$ iy and uv take $nad\bar{i}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only in the feminine gender when they are used as adjuncts to other words.

हस्वो च इयुव्स्थानो च प्रवृत्तो च प्राक् प्रवृत्तावेव स्नीवचनावेव नदीसंज्ञो भवत इति वक्तव्यम् - शकट्ये अतिशकट्ये ब्राह्मण्ये

It must be said that short i and u which are capable of taking the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}as$ iy and uv and which are $arth\bar{a}ntarasankaramita$ take $nad\bar{i}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only when they denote feminine gender in the same way as before $arth\bar{a}ntarasankrama$, as in $\dot{s}akaty\bar{a}i$ of $atisakaty\bar{a}i$ $br\bar{a}hmany\bar{a}i$.

क मा भूत्? Where should it not get the nadīsamjñā? शकटये, अतिशकटये ब्राह्मणाय

In śakatayē of atiśakatayē brāhmanāya.

धेन्वे, अतिधेन्वे ब्राह्मण्ये

It should get it in dhēnvāi of atidhēnvāi brāhmaņyāi.

क मा भूत्? Where should it not be?

धेनवे अतिधेनवे ब्राह्मणाय In dhēnavē of atidhēnavē brāhmaṇāya.

It should get it in striyāi of atistriyāi brāhmaņyāi.

क मा भूत? Where should it not be?

श्रिये अतिश्रिये ब्राह्मणाय In śriyē of atistriyē brāhmaņāya.

भुवै अतिभुवै ब्राह्मण्यै

It should get it in bhruvāi of atibhruvāi brāhmaņyāi.

क मा भूत ? Where should it not be?

भुवे, अतिभुवे ब्राह्मणाय [n bhruvē of atibhruvē brāhmaṇāya.

अपर आह Another says:—

ह्रस्वयुच्स्थानप्रवृत्तौ स्त्रीयचने

Short i and u which are capable of taking iy and uv take $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only if they denote feminine gender when they are $arth\bar{a}ntarasankramita$.

हस्वौ चेयुन्स्थानौ च प्रवृत्ताविष स्त्रीवचनावव नदीसंज्ञो भवत इति वक्तन्यम् It must be said that the short i and u which are capable of taking the ādēśas iy and uv and which are arthāntarasaikramita take nadīsaiijāā only when they denote feminine.

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit?

शकट्ये अतिशकट्ये ब्राह्मण्ये । क मा भूत्? शकटये अतिशकटये ब्राह्मणाय । धेन्वे अतिधेन्वे ब्राह्मण्ये । क मा भृत्? धेनवे अतिधेनवे ब्राह्मणाय । थ्रिये अति- श्रिये ब्राह्मण्ये । क मा भूत्? थ्रिये अतिथिये ब्राह्मणाय । अव अतिश्रुवे ब्राह्मण्ये । क मा भूत्? श्रिये अतिथिये ब्राह्मणाय । अव अतिश्रुवे ब्राह्मण्ये । क मा भूत्? भ्रुवे अतिश्रुवे ब्राह्मणाय

In śakatyāi of atiśakatyāi brāhmanyāi etc. See above for the translation of the rest.

किमर्थ पुनरिद्मुच्ते? What for is this said?

प्रथमलिङ्गमहणं चोदितं, तद्देष्यं विजानीयात् सर्वमेतद् विकल्पते इति, तदाचार्यः सहद् मृत्वा अन्वाचष्टे इस्वौ चेयुव्स्थानौ च पवृत्तौ च प्राक् च प्रवृत्तेः स्त्रीवचनावेव इति Prathamalinga-grahanam was first read. Fearing that, on that authority, vikalpa may happen whether i and u are short or long and consequently harm will set in, Ācārya (Vārttikakāra), being our benefactor has read after it the vārttika Hrasvāu cēyuvsthānāu ca pravṛttāu ca prāk ca pravṛttēḥ strīvacanāvēvu.

पष्टीयुक्तव्छन्दसि वा (1, 4, 9)

योगविभागः Need for sūtra-split.

योगविभागः कर्तव्यः The sūtra should be split into two.

पष्ठीयुक्तरछन्दसि - पष्ठीयुक्तः पतिशब्दः छन्द्रसि विसंज्ञो भवति । One is Saṣṭhīyuktaḥ chandasi, which means that the word pati qualified by a word in the sixth case takes ghisamjñā in Vēdas.

ततो वा - वा छन्दसि सर्वे विधयो भवन्ति । सुपां व्यत्ययः, तिङां व्यत्ययः, वर्णव्यत्ययः, छिङ्गव्यत्ययः, कारुव्यत्ययः, पुरुपव्यत्ययः, आत्मनेपद्व्यत्ययः, परसोपद्व्यत्ययः

The other is $V\bar{a}$, which means that all $s\bar{u}tras$ are only optional in $V\bar{e}das$:—the use of one case-suffix for another, one verbal termination for another, one letter for another, one gender for another, one tense for another, one person for another, $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}$ -pada for parasmāipada and vice versa is allowed.

सुपां व्यत्ययः - युक्ता मातासीं द्भुरि दक्षिणायाः - दक्षिणायाम् इति प्राप्ते One case-suffix is found in place of another in $yukt\bar{a}$ $m\bar{a}t\bar{a}s\bar{i}d$ -dhuri $dak sin\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ (R. V. I, 165, 9). Here $dak sin\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ is found in place of $dak sin\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$.

तिङां व्यत्ययः - चषालं ये अश्वयूपाय तक्षति (तै. सं. 4, 6, 8, 6) - तक्षन्तीति प्राप्ते

One verbal termination is found in place of another in caṣālam yē aśvayūpāya takṣati—Here takṣati is found in place of takṣanti.

वर्णब्यत्ययः - त्रिष्टुभोजः शुभितमुत्रवीरम् (ते. सं 4, 4, 12) - सिहितमिति प्राप्ते One letter is found in place of another in $Tristub\bar{a}ujah$ subhitam $ugrav\bar{\imath}ram$ – Here s and bh are found in the word subhita in place of s and h of suhitam.

लिङ्गन्यत्ययः - मधोर्गृह्णाति, मधोस्तृप्ता इवासते - मधुन इति प्राप्ते One gender is found in place of another in Madhōr grhṇāti, madhōs atrptā ivāsatē — Here madhōs is used as masculine in place of the neuter madhunaḥ.

Note: Madhor ghrtasya is found in Tai. S. 3, 2, 8.

कालव्यत्ययः - श्वोऽभ्वीनाधास्यमानेन, श्वः सोमेन यक्ष्यमाणेन - (तै. ब्रा. 1, 1, 9)

श्वः आधाता, श्वो यष्टा इति प्राप्ते

One tense is used for another in $\hat{S}_l\bar{o}$ agnīn $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}syam\bar{a}n\bar{e}na$ and $\hat{s}va\dot{h}$ $\hat{s}\bar{o}m\bar{e}na$ $yakṣyam\bar{a}n\bar{e}na$ - Here $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}syam\bar{a}na$ and $yakṣyam\bar{a}na$ are used in place of $\bar{a}Jh\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ and $yaṣt\bar{a}$.

पुरुषव्यत्ययः - अधा स वीरैर्दशिमिर्वियूयाः (R. V. 7, 104, 15) - वियूयाद्

The use of one person is found for another in $Adh\bar{a}$ sa $v\bar{v}r\bar{a}ir$ $da\acute{s}abhir\ viy\bar{u}y\bar{a}h$. Here $viy\bar{u}y\bar{a}h$ is found in place of $viy\bar{u}y\bar{a}t$.

आत्मनेपद्व्यत्ययः - ब्रह्मचारिणमिच्छते - इच्छतीति प्राप्ते
The use of ātmanēpada for parasmāipada is found in — Brahmacāriņam icchatē - Here icchatē is used for icchati.

Note:—Icchata iva hyēṣaḥ Tāi. Br. 6, 3, 6.

परस्मैपदव्यत्ययः - प्रतीपमन्य अर्मिधुध्यति, अन्वीपमन्य अर्मिधुध्यति - युध्यते इति प्राप्ते

The use of parasmāipada for ātmanēpada is found in Pratīpam anya ūrmir yudhyati, Anvīpam anya ūrmir yudhyati. Here yudhyati is used for yudhyatē.

Note:—1. $K\bar{a}iyata$ says here that the above $y\bar{o}gavibh\bar{a}ga$ enables one to dispense with bahulam chandasi read many times in the $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$.

Note: -2. The above quotations, viewed from a historical standpoint, reveal that the *Vedic* Sanskrit was in a more fluid condition than classical Sanskrit.

यसात्प्रत्ययित्रिधस्तदादि प्रत्ययेऽङ्गम् (1, 4, 13)

The prayojanas of the words yasmāt, pratyaya, vidhi, tadādi and pratyayē and the need or otherwise of the paribhāṣās Pratyayagrahaṇē yasmāt sa pratyayō vihitas tadādēs tadantasya ca grahaṇam and Kṛdgrahaṇē gatikārakapūrvasyāpi are the seven topics dealt with here.

I

अथ यसाद्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the word yasmāt? यहमादिति व्यपदेशाय

The word $yasm\bar{a}t$ is used for the determination (of the $samj\tilde{n}in$.)

TT

अथ प्रत्यंयमहणं किमर्थम्? What for is the word pratyaya?

यसाद्विधिस्तदादि प्रत्ययेऽङ्गम् इतीयति उच्यमाने स्त्री इयती स्त्रीयती इत्यत्रापि प्रसज्येत । प्रत्ययमहणे पुनः कियमाणे न दोषो भवति ।

If the sūtra is read yasmād vidhis tadādi pratyayē anyam without the word pratyaya, angasamjñā may chance to strī in strī iyati which becomes strīyati. If the word pratyaya is read, this defect will not arise.

Note:—Since there is vidhi for the $l\bar{o}pa$ of su, the nominative singular suffix after $str\bar{\imath}$, there will be $l\bar{o}pa$ of $\bar{\imath}$ by the $s\bar{u}tra\ Yusy\bar{e}ti\ ca\ (6, 4, 148)$.

III

अथ विधिम्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the word vidhi?

यसात् प्रत्ययस्तदादिप्रत्ययेऽङ्गम् इतीयत्युच्यमाने दिधि - अधुना, मधु - अधुना, अत्रापि प्रसज्येत । विधिष्रहणे पुनः कियमाणे न दोषो भवति

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $yasm\bar{a}t$ pratyayas $tad\bar{a}di$ $pratyay\bar{e}s\bar{n}gam$ without the word vidhi, $a\bar{n}gasa\bar{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ and $bhasa\bar{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ will chance to appear in dadhi- $adhun\bar{a}$ and madhu- $adhun\bar{a}$ since the pratyaya $adhun\bar{a}$ follows dadhi and madhu. If the word vidhi is read, this defect will not arise.

तदेतत्त्रत्ययग्रहणेन विधिग्रहणेन च समुदितेन किं कियते? Hence what is done conjointly by the words pratyaya and vidhi?

सिन्नयोगः । यसाद्यः प्रत्ययो विधीयते तदादि तसिन्नद्गसंज्ञं भवति Conjoint injunction. That which commences with a group of letters after which a pratyaya is enjoined takes angasamjñā in the presence of that pratyaya.

IV

अथ तदादिग्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the reading of tadādi? अङ्गसंज्ञायां तदादिग्रहणं स्यादिनुमर्थम्

Reading of $tud\bar{a}di$ in the lakṣaṇa of anga is for the sake of sya etc. and num.

अङ्गसंज्ञायां तदादिग्रहणं कियते स्याद्यर्थं नुमर्थं च

 $Tad\bar{a}di$ is read in the lak sana of anga for the sake of sya etc. and num.

स्याद्यर्थं तावत् - करिष्यावः, करिष्यामः

Firstly for the sake of sya etc., as in $karisy\bar{a}vah$ and $karisy\bar{a}mah$.

Note:—Only when kariṣya takes $aigasamjñ\bar{a}$, a after y can be lengthened in $kariṣy\bar{a}vah$ and $kariṣy\bar{a}mah$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $At\bar{o}\ d\bar{v}rgh\bar{o}\ ya\tilde{n}i$ (7, 1, 3).

नुमर्थं - कुण्डानि वनानि

For the sake of num as in kuṇḍāni and vanāni.

NOTE: $-Num\bar{a}gama$ is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Napumsakasya jhalacah (7, 1, 72) and the $d\bar{\iota}rgha$ by Supi ca (7, 3, 102).

मित्सुटोरुपसङ्ख्यानम् Need to add mit and sut.

मित्वतः सुड्वतश्चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् । मित्वतः - भिनत्ति, छिनत्ति, अभिनत् अच्छिनत् । सुड्वतः - संचस्करतुः संचस्करः

There is need to mention that $tad\bar{a}di$ is meant for mitvat and sudvat, so that the forms abhinat and acchinat which are mitvat and the forms $sa\dot{m}caskaratu\dot{h}$ and $sa\dot{m}caskaru\dot{h}$ which are sudvat may be formed.

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति? Why cannot they be formed?

सुटो बहिरङ्गत्वात् । बहिरङ्ग सुट्, अन्तरङ्गो गुणः, असिद्धं बहिरङ्गमन्तरङ्गे । On account of sut being bahiranga. Sut is bahiranga, guṇa is antaranga and bahiranga is asiddha when antaranga-śāstra is to operate.

Note:—1. In the imperfect of bhid and chid, the seventh conjugational sign snam being nitya is first added to them, so that they become bhinud and chinad. Unless they are taken as anga, adagama by the sūtra Lun-lan-lrnkṣu ad udāttaḥ (6, 4, 71) cannot set in.

Note:—2. Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Sanicaskaratuḥ—Dvirvacanē kṛtē aḍ-abhyāsavyavāyēpi (6, 1, 136) iti suṭi cānaṅgatvāt Ṣtaśca saṅyōgādēr iti guṇō na syāt.

वक्ष्यत्येतत् - संयोगादेर्गुणविधाने संयोगोपधग्रहणं क्रुञर्थम्

He (Vārttikakāra) is going to say (under 7, 4, 10) the vārttika Samyōgādēr guṇavidhānē samyōgōpadhagrahaṇam krñartham (samyōgōpadha too should be added in the sūtra Rtaśca samyō-gādēr guṇaḥ.)

यदि संयोगोपधमहणं क्रियते, नार्थः संयोगादिमहणेन । इहापि सस्वरतुः सस्वरुः इति संयोगोपधस्य इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

If $sa\dot{m}y\bar{o}g\bar{o}padhasya$ is read, no purpose is served by $sa\dot{m}y\bar{o}g\bar{a}-d\bar{e}h$; for the guna will take place in the forms sasvaratuh and sasvaruh also on the strength of $sa\dot{m}y\bar{o}g\bar{o}padhasya$.

भवेदेवमर्थेन नार्थः, इदं तु न सिध्यति - संचस्करतुः संचस्करः इति
It is true that no purpose is served by it with reference to sasvaratuh and sasvaruh, but the forms samcaskaratuh and samcaskaruh cannot be secured.

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति? Why cannot they be secured? इह तस्य वा श्रहणं भवति तदादेवी, न चेदं तत्, नापि तदादि

The $s\bar{u}tra$ should refer to either $sa\dot{m}y\bar{o}g\bar{o}padha$ or $sa\dot{m}y\bar{o}g\bar{a}di$, but this is neither this nor that (on account of the $bahira\dot{n}gatva$ of sut).

सिद्धं तु तदाद्यादिवचनात्

The object is accomplished by reading tadādyādi.

सिद्धमेतत् This is accomplished?

कथम्? How?

तदाद्याद्यङ्गसंज्ञं भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be said that $tad\bar{o}dy\bar{a}di$ takes $aigasainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

किमिदं तदाद्यादीति ? What is this word tādādyādi?

तस्य आदि: तदादि:, तदादि: आदि: यस्य तद् इदं तदाद्यादि इति $Tad\bar{a}dy\bar{a}di$ is the $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ compound made up of $tad\bar{a}dis$ and $\bar{a}di$, where $tad\bar{a}dis$ is the tatpurusa compound of tad and $\bar{a}dis$.

स तर्हि तथा निर्देशः कर्तव्यः

It should, then, be read in that manner.

न कर्तव्यः । उत्तरपदलोपोऽल द्रष्टव्यः । तद्यथा - उष्ट्रमुखामिव मुखमस्य उष्ट्रमुखः, खरमुखः । एवं तदाद्यादि तदादि इति

No, it need not be read. It may be considered that there is $l\bar{o}pa$ for the uttarapada here, as in the words ustramukhah and kharamukhah where the $vigrahav\bar{a}kya$ of the former is ustramukham iva mukham asya. So also $tad\bar{a}dy\bar{a}di$ remains as $tad\bar{a}di$ here.

तदेकदेशविज्ञानाद्वा सिद्धम्

Or the object is achieved by taking that the part denotes the whole.

तदेकदेशिवज्ञानाद्वा सिद्धमेतत् । तदेकदेशमृतं तद्वर्णेन गृह्यते । तद्यथा गङ्गा, यमुना, देवदत्ता इति । अनेका नदी गङ्गा यमुना प्रविष्टा गङ्गायमुनाप्रहणेन गृह्यते । तथा देवदत्तास्थो गर्भो देवदत्ताप्रहणेन गृह्यते

Or the object is achieved by taking that the part denotes the whole. That of which tad is a part is denoted by the word tad, as the words $Gang\bar{a}$, $Yamun\bar{a}$ and $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$, where the

former two refers to many rivers which have fallen in each and $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$ refers to her along with garbha.

विषम उपन्यासः । इह केचित् शब्दा अक्तपरिमाणानाम् अर्थानां वाचका भवन्ति - य एते सङ्ख्याशब्दाः परिमाणशब्दाश्च - पञ्च सप्त इति । त एकेनाप्यपाये न भवन्ति । द्रोणः खारी आढकम् इति । नैवाधिके भवन्ति न च न्यूने । केचिद् यावदेव तद्भवति तावदेवाहः - यथैते जातिशब्दा गुणशब्दाश्च । तैलं घृतम् इति खार्यामपि भवति, द्रोणेऽपि । शुक्को नीलः कृष्ण इति हिमवत्यपि भवति वटकणिका-मातेऽपि द्रव्ये । अङ्गर्भंज्ञा चाप्यक्तपरिमाणानां कियते, सा केनाधिकस्य स्यात्? The argument is not sound. Some words, here, are restricted in their application, as the words denoting number and measure. The words five and seven cannot denote the desired object even if one is removed from the group. The words drona, khārī and ādhaka cannot denote its meaning if it is either more or less. There are certain words, like jātiśabdas and gunaśabdas which are extensive in their application. The words $t\bar{a}ila$, ghrta etc. denote whether its measure is $kh\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ or $dr\bar{\imath}na$. The words $\pm ukla$, $n\bar{\imath}la$, $kr \pm na$ etc. are applied to objects having that quality whether it is Himalayas or banian seed. $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too is given to those which are $aktaparim\bar{a}nas$. how can it be taken to refer to that which is outside its range?

एवं तर्हि आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित तदेकदेशभूतं तद्रहणेन गृह्यते इति, यदयं नेद्रमद्सोरकोः इति सककारयोः प्रतिषेधं शास्ति ।

If so, the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that part denotes the whole, since he prohibits, in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $N\bar{e}damadas\bar{o}r$ $ak\bar{o}h$ (7, 1, 11), the $\bar{a}is-bh\bar{a}va$ to idam and adas which has no $kak\bar{a}ra$.

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम् ? Under what circumstances is it $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$?

इदमदसोः कार्यमुच्यमानं कः प्रसङ्गो यत्सककारयोः स्यात् । पश्यति त्वाचार्यः तदेकदेशभूतं तद्गहणेन गृह्यत इति । ततः सककारयोः प्रतिषेधं शास्ति

Where is the chance for idam and adas with $kak\bar{a}ra$ to take the $k\bar{a}rya$ of idam and adas? $Ac\bar{a}rya$ sees that part may refer to the whole and hence enjoins the prohibition to idam and adas with $kak\bar{a}ra$.

V

अथ द्वितीयं प्रत्ययग्रहणं किमर्थम् ?

What is the need to read the word pratyaya once again?

प्रत्ययग्रहणं पदादावप्रसङ्गार्थम्

Reading of pratyaya (the second time) is to prohibit the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$ when the $\bar{a}di$ of the next pada follows.

प्रत्ययग्रहणं कियते, पदादौ अङ्गसंज्ञा मा भूद इति

The word pratyaya is read, so that $angasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may not set in when $pad\bar{a}di$ is para.

किं च स्यात्? What will be the harm if it sets in?

स्चयर्थ, श्यर्थ, भ्वर्थ, अङ्गस्येयङ्वङौ स्याताम्

Iyan and uvan which are concerned with anga will have a chance in stryartham, śryartham and bhvartham in place of yan.

परिमाणार्थं च For the sake of parimāṇa too.

परिमाणार्थं च द्वितीयं प्रत्ययमहणं कियते । यसात् प्रत्ययविधिस्तदाद्यक्रम् इतीयत्युच्यमाने दाशतयस्याप्यक्रसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत ।

The word pratyaya is read the second time to fix the limit. If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $Yasm\bar{a}t$ pratyayavidhis $tad\bar{a}dyangam$ without the word $pratyay\bar{e}$, $angasamjn\bar{a}$ will chance to appear in the word $d\bar{a}\acute{s}ataya$.

VΙ

तत्ति कर्तन्यम् In that case the sūtra has to be read.

न कर्तव्यम् No, it need not be read.

केनेदानीम् अङ्गकार्यं भविष्यति?

What will, then, be the sādhana for angakārya?

प्रत्यय इति प्रकृत्या अङ्गकार्यमध्येष्ये

I learn that there is $angak\bar{a}rya$ to the prakrti by the mention of the word pratyaya.

यदि प्रत्यय इति प्रकृत्याङ्गकार्यमधीषे प्राकरोत् उपैहिष्ट उपसर्गात् पूर्वी अडाटी प्राप्ततः

If you learn that there is $aigak\bar{a}rya$ to the prakrti by the mention of the word pratyaya, the $\bar{a}gamas$ at and $\bar{a}i$ will have a chance to precede the upasarga in $pr\bar{a}kar\bar{o}t$ and $up\bar{a}ihista$.

सिद्धं तु प्रत्ययग्रहणे यस्मात्स तदादितद्नतिवज्ञानात्

It is achieved that, on the mention of pratyaya, it should be taken that the angakarya is to tadadi after which the pratyaya is enjoined and to that which ends with it.

सिद्धमेतत् - It is accomplished.

कथम्? How?

प्रत्ययग्रहणे यसात् स प्रत्ययो विहितस्तदादेस्तदन्तस्य च ग्रहणं भवति इत्येषा परिभाषा कर्तव्या

The paribhāṣā Pratyayagrahaṇē yasmāt sa pratyayō vihitus tadādēs tadantasya ca grahaṇam is to be read.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Yatra pūrvaḥ kāryitvēna āśrīyatē tatra tadādēḥ kāryam bhavati; yatra tu pratyayāntaḥ saṅghāta āśrīyatē tatra tadādēḥ pratyayāntasya grahaṇam bhavati ityarthah.

कः पुनरत्र विशेषः, एषा परिभाषा कियेत प्रत्ययमहणं वा?

What is the difference here whether we read this $paribh\bar{a}$ or the $angasamj\tilde{n}as\bar{u}tra$?

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ arrives at the meaning of anga-sainj $n\bar{a}$ -saitra to the word pratyayagrahanam through the derivation $pratyay\bar{o}$ $grhyat\bar{e}$ yasmin $s\bar{u}tr\bar{e}$ tat.

अवस्यमेषा परिभाषा कर्तव्या

This $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ must be read even though $a\dot{n}gasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}-s\bar{u}tra$ is read.

बहून्येतस्याः परिभाषायाः प्रयोजनानि

Many are the benefits accrued from this paribhāṣā.

प्रयोजनं धातुप्रातिपदिकप्रत्ययसमासतद्वितविधिस्वराः

Benefit is with reference to dhātu, prātipadika, pratyaya, samāsa, taddhitavidhi and svara.

धातु - देवदत्तश्चिकीर्पति, सङ्घातस्य धातुसंज्ञा प्रामोति

With reference to $dh\bar{a}tu$, there is chance, (in the absence of this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$) for the group consisting of $D\bar{e}vadattah$ and $cik\bar{i}rsati$ to get $dh\bar{a}tu$ -sam $jn\bar{a}$.

Note:—The result will be the loss of sup by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sup\bar{o}\ dh\bar{a}tupr\bar{a}tipadikay\bar{o}h$.

प्रातिपदिक - देवदत्तो गार्ग्यः, सङ्घातस्य प्रातिपदिकसंज्ञा प्राप्नोति With reference to $pr\bar{a}tipadika$, the $saigh\bar{a}ta$ $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{o}$ $g\bar{a}ryyah$ may chance to get $pr\bar{a}tipadikasainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ (in the absence of this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$).

प्रत्यय - महान्तं पुलिमच्छति, सङ्घातात् प्रत्ययोत्पत्तिः प्राप्नोति With reference to pratyaya, there is chance for sanpratyaya after the sanghāta mahāntam putram.

समास - ऋद्धस्य राज्ञः पुरुषः, सङ्घातस्य समाससंज्ञा प्राप्तेति With reference to samāsa, there is chance for samāsasamijñā to the saṅghāta rddhasya rājñaḥ puruṣaḥ.

तद्धितविधि - देवद्त्तो गार्ग्यायणः, सङ्घातात् तद्धितोत्पत्तिः प्राप्नोति With reference to taddhita-vidhi, there is chance for the taddhita-pratyaya after the saṅghāta Dēvadattō gārgyāyaṇaḥ.

स्वर - देवदत्तो गार्थः, सङ्घातस्य ञ्नित्यादिर्नित्यम् इति आद्युदात्तत्वं प्राप्तोति With reference to svara, ādyudātta may chance to appear in the saṅghāta Dēvadattō gārgyaḥ by the sūtra Nnityādir nityam (6, 1, 197).

प्रत्ययम्रहणे यसात्स विहितस्तदादेस्तदन्तस्य महणं भवति इति न दोषो भवति If the paribhāṣā Pratyayagrahaṇē yasmāt sa vihitas tadādēs tadantasya grahaṇam bhavati is taken recourse to, the above difficulties will not arise.

सा तर्हि एषा परिभाषा कर्तव्या
If so, that paribhāṣā has to be read.

न कर्तव्या । एवं वक्ष्यामि "यसात् प्रत्ययविधिस्तदादि प्रत्यये" गृह्यमाणे गृह्यते । ततः अङ्गम् - अङ्गसंज्ञं च भवित यसात् प्रत्ययविधिस्तदादि प्रत्यये No, it need not be read. Thus shall I split the sūlra into two, of which the former is:—Yasmāt pratyayavidhis tadādi pratyayē after which the expression grhyamānē grhyatē is supplied; and the latter is Aṅgam where there is anuvṛtti to Yasmāt pratyayavidhis tadādi pratyayē.

यदि प्रत्ययग्रहणे यसात् स विहितः तदादेर्भहणं भवति इत्युच्यते, अवतप्तेन-कुरुस्थितं त एतत् उदकेविशीणं त एतत् सगितकेन सनकुरुन च समासो न प्राप्नोति । If pratyayagrahanē yasmāt sa vihitaḥ tadādēr grahanam bhavati is read, there will be no chance for samāsa between avataptē and nakulasthitam and udakē and viśīrņam.

एवं तर्हि प्रत्ययग्रहणे यसात् स तदादेर्घहणं भवति इत्युक्त्वा ततो वक्ष्यामि कृद्रहणे गतिकारकपूर्वस्थापि

If so, I shall read Krdgrahanē gatikārakapūrvasyāpi after Pratyayagrahanē yasmāt sa tadādēr grahanam bhavati.

कुद्रहणे गतिकारकपूर्वस्थापि प्रहणं भवति इत्येषा परिभाषा कर्तव्या Then there is need to read the paribhāṣā Krd-grohaṇē gati- $k\bar{a}raka$ -pūrvasyāpi grahaṇam bhavati.

कान्येतस्याः परिभाषायाः प्रयोजनानि ?

What are the benefits of this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$?

प्रयोजनं समासतद्वितविधिखराः

Prayojana is with reference to samūsa, taddhitavidhi and svara.

समास - अवतक्षेनकुरुश्वितं त एतत्, उदकेविशीण त एतत् । सगतिकेन सनकुरुन च समासः सिद्धो भवति

With reference to samāsa, samāsa will be accomplished between avatoptē which is sagatika and nakulasthitam and between udakē and višīrnam.

तद्धितविधि, साङ्कृटिनं, व्यावकोशी, सङ्घातात् तद्धितोत्पत्तिः सिद्धा भवति With reference to taddhitavidhi, taddhita-pratyaya appears after the saṅghāta, saṅkūṭa and vyāvakrōśa.

खर, दूराद् आगतः दूरादागत इति, अन्तः, थाथवञ्क्ताजिबत्रकाणाम् इत्येष स्वरः सिद्धो भवति । 1

Antōdāttatva is accomplished in dūrād-āgataḥ formed from dūrād and āgataḥ by the sūtra Tha-atha-ghañ-kta-aj-ab-itra-kāṇām (6, 2, 144) after the adhikāra-sūtra Antaḥ (6, 2, 143).

सा तर्हि एषा परिभाषा कर्तव्या

That $paribh\bar{a}$ $s\bar{a}$ must, then, be read.

न कर्तव्या । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित भवत्येषा परिभाषा इति यद्यं गितरनन्तरः इत्यनन्तरग्रहणं करोति

No, it need not be read. The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, since he reads the word anantarah in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Gatir-anantarah (6, 2, 49).

I. After this 'Krdgrahanē gatikārakapūrvasyāpi grahaņam bhavati' is read in the editions to denote that that topic is closed, which I have omitted. Na dōṣō bhavati which is added to it in Sri Guruprasādaśāstri's edition is misprint.

Note:—1. $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ clearly explains under 6, 2, 49 how the word anattarah suggests the $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$.

Note:—2. According to $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$, the two paribhāṣās may be read and the $s\bar{u}tra$ may be dispensed with. According to $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$, the $s\bar{u}tra$ may be kept on, but it may be split into two. The purpose served by the first $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ is served by the former $s\bar{u}tra$ and that served by the second $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ is served by $jn\bar{a}paka$ from the word anantara in 6, 2, 49. Hence the two $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}s$ need not be read.

सुप्तिङन्तं पद्म् (1, 4, 14)

अन्तग्रहणं किमर्थम्? न सुप्तिङ् पदम् इत्येवोच्येत?

What is the need for the word anta in the sūtra? Will not Suptin padam do?

केनेदानीं तदन्तानां भविष्यति ?

On what authority will the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ reach those that end in sup and $ti\dot{n}$?

तदन्तविधिना

On the authority of that which enjoins tadanta-vidhi.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya$ ta reads here: -Pratyayagrahanē yasmāt sa vihita ityanantarāktayā paribhāṣayā tadantavidhēr labdhatvād iti praśnaḥ.

अत उत्तरं पठित He (Vārtlikakāra) answers this.

पद्संज्ञायामन्तग्रहणमन्यत्र संज्ञाविधौ अत्ययग्रहणे तद्नतविधिप्रतिषेधार्थम्

Reading of the word anta in pada-samj $n\bar{a}$ -vidhi is to prohibit tadanta-vidhi with reference to pratyaya in other $samjn\bar{a}vidhis$.

पदसंज्ञायामन्तग्रहणं कियते ज्ञापकार्थम्

The word anta is read in the sūtra Suplinantam padam for the sake of $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$.

कि ज्ञाप्यम्? What is suggested?

एतद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः अन्यत्र संज्ञाविधौ प्रत्ययग्रहणे तदन्तविधिन भवति इति $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests this—that there is no tadantavidhi if pratyaya is read in $sanij\tilde{n}\bar{a}vidhis$ other than this.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit of this $j \tilde{n} \tilde{a} pana$?

तरप्तमपो घः, तरप्तमबन्तस्य घसंज्ञा न भवति
Gha-samjñā enjoined in the sūtra Tarap-tamapāu ghaḥ, cannot
go to tarabanta and tamabanta.

किं च स्यात्? What will happen, if it goes to them? कुमारी गौरितरा । घादिषु नद्या हस्वो भवति इति हस्वत्वं प्रसज्येत

When $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ and $g\bar{a}uritar\bar{a}$ form a compound, $\bar{\imath}$ of $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ will be shortened by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Ghar\bar{u}pa...\dot{n}y\bar{o}sn\bar{e}k\bar{a}c\bar{o}$ hrasvah (6, 3, 43).

Note:—When they form a compound, the resultant form is $kum\bar{a}ra$ - $g\bar{a}uritar\bar{a}$.

यद्येतद् ज्ञाप्यते सनाद्यन्ता धातवः इत्यन्तग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, कृत्तद्धितसमासाश्च -इत्यन्तग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, इदं तृतीयं ज्ञापकार्थम्

If there is this $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pana$, the word anta should be read in the $s\bar{u}tra\ San\bar{a}dyant\bar{a}\ dh\bar{a}tavah$ (3, 1, 32), the word anta should be read in the $s\bar{u}tra\ Krt$ -tuddhita-sam $\bar{a}s\bar{o}sca$ (1, 2, 46) and this, the third (has it) for the sake of $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$.

द्वे तावत् क्रियेते न्यास एव । यद्प्युच्यते कृत्तद्धितसमासाश्च इति अन्तप्रहणं कर्तव्यमिति, न कर्तव्यम्; अर्थवद् इति वर्तते, कृत्तद्धितान्तं चैवार्थवन्न केवलाः कृतस्तद्धिता वा

Two anta's are read in the respective sūtra. As regards the statement that anta should be read in the sūtra Krt-taddhita-samāsāśca, it need not be read; its purpose is served by the anuvrtti of the word arthavat, since krt-taddhitāntam alone is arthavad and not pure krts and taddhitas.

नः कथे (1, 4, 15)

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते? न सुबन्तं पदम् इत्येव सिद्धम्?

What for is this sūlra read? Is not its purpose accomplished by Subantam padam?

नियमार्थोऽयमारम्भः, नान्तमेव क्ये पदसंज्ञं भवति नान्यत् This sūtra is for the sake of niyama, so that only nānta, when followed by the pratyaya kya, can take the padasamjñā.

क मा भूत? Where should not the sanijñā overlap? वाच्यति, सुच्यति In vācyati and srucyati.

खादिष्यसर्वनामस्थाने (1, 4, 17)

असर्वनामस्थान इत्युच्यते, तत्र ते - राजा तक्षा - असर्वनामस्थान इति पदसंज्ञायाः प्रतिषेधः प्रसज्येत

The word $asarvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}n\bar{e}$ is read here. According to you the $padasainj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ in $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ and $taks\bar{a}$ is set at naught by the word $asarvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}n\bar{e}$.

नाप्रतिषेधात् No, on account of its being non-pratisedha,

नायं प्रसज्यपतिषेधः सर्वनामस्थाने न इति

It is not prasajya-pratisēdha giving the sense sarvanāmasthānē na.

किं तर्हि? What then?

पर्युदासोऽयं यदन्यत् सर्वनामस्थानादिति । सर्वनामस्थाने अव्यापारः । यदि केन चित् प्राप्ताति तेन भविष्यति । पूर्वेण च प्राप्ताति ।

It is $paryud\bar{a}sa$ giving the sense $sarvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}n\bar{a}t$ any at. It does not operate with reference to $sarvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}na$. If it gets it by any other $s\bar{u}tra$, it will get it. It gets it by the foregoing $s\bar{u}tra$ (Suptinantam padam).

अप्राप्तेर्वा Or on account of aprāpti.

अथ वा अनन्तरा या प्राप्तिः सा प्रतिषिध्यते

Or the prāpti which is anantarā is set at naught.

कुत एतत्? Why is it so?

अनन्तरस्य विधिर्वा भवति प्रतिपेधो वा इति

By the dictum Anantarasya vidhir vā bhavati pratiṣēdhō vā.

पूर्वा प्राप्तिरप्रतिषिद्धा, तया भविष्यति

 $P\bar{u}rv\bar{a}$ $pr\bar{a}pti$ is not set at naught and hence it gets it.

ननु चेयं प्राप्तिः पूर्वां प्राप्ति बाधते

Oh, the prāpti by this sūtra sets at naught pūrvā prāpti.

नोत्सहते प्रतिपिद्धा सती बाधितुम्

It, being set at naught, cannot set another at naught.

योगविभागाइ। Or by altering the form of the sūtras.

् अथ वा योगविभागः करिष्यते — स्वादिषु, पूर्व पदसंज्ञं भवति । ततः सर्वनामस्थानेऽयचि, पूर्वं पदसंज्ञं भवति । ततो, भम्, असंज्ञं च भवति यजादा- वसर्वनामस्थान इति ।

Or $y\bar{o}gavibh\bar{a}ga$ will be done. First $Sv\bar{a}disu$ is read and it means that which is followed by $sv\bar{a}dis$ gets the $pada-samj\bar{n}\bar{a}$. Then $Sarvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}n\bar{e}syaci$ is read and it means that which is followed by $sarvan\bar{a}math\bar{a}na$ which is not yac gets the $padasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$. Then Bham is read and it means that which is followed by $asarvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}na$ which is $yaj\bar{a}di$ gets $bhasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

यदि तर्हि साविष पदं भवति, एचः प्छतिवकारे पदान्तग्रहणं चोदितम् । इह मा भूत् - भदं करोषि गौरिति । तस्मिन् कियमाणेऽपि प्राप्तोति । If there is padasamijñā even before su, that which is mentioned with reference to plulavikāra of ēc (in the sūtra Ēcōs pragṛhyasya adūrāddhūtē pūrvasyārdhasya ad ultarasyēdutāu 8, 2, 107) may happen here in gāuḥ in the sentence Bhadram karōṣi gāuḥ, but it should not.

वाक्यपदयोरन्त्यस्य इत्येवं तत्

It is with reference to the final of $v\bar{a}kya$ and pada.

Note:—The word $g\bar{a}uh$ is mentioned here as $v\bar{a}kya$.

भुवद्वद्भचो धारयद्वद्भचः पदसंज्ञा

Need to read pada-sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to bhuvadvadbhyah and $dh\bar{a}rayadvadbhyah$.

एतयोः पदसंज्ञा वक्तव्या

There is need to mention padasamjñā with reference to these two.

Note: $K\bar{a}iyața$ reads here: $Tas\bar{a}u$ matuarthe iti bhasamjñapraptau padasamjñavidhih.

यचि भम् (1, 4, 18)

भसंज्ञायामुत्तरपदलोपे षषः प्रतिषेधः

Need for $pratis\bar{e}dha$ of $bhasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to sas when there is $l\bar{o}pa$ in the following word.

भसंज्ञायामुत्तरपदलोपे षषः प्रतिषेधो वक्तन्यः, अनुक्रिम्पतः षडङ्कालिः षडिकः There is need to prohibit bhasamijñā from operating on the word sas when there is elision in the following word—viz. ṣaḍikaḥ¹, meaning anukampitaḥ ṣadaṅguliḥ.

1. The word sadikah is used to denote a man of six fingers in a sympathising tone. Sas+anguli+ika, where ika is by the sūtras Bahvacā manusyanāmnas thajvā (5, 3, 78) and Thasyēkah (7, 3, 50). Nguli is dropped by the sūtra Thājādāvūrdhvam dritīyād acah (5, 3, 83) and a is dropped by Yasyēti ca (6, 4, 48) Since ika commences with a vowel, sas takes bhasanijāā and hence there is no chance for jastva.

सिद्धमचः स्थानिवन्वात्

The object is achieved by the sthānivatīva of ac.

सिद्धमेतत् This is achieved.

कथम्? How?

अचः स्थानिवद्भावाद् भसंज्ञा प्राप्नोति

There is no chance for bhasamj $n\bar{a}$ on account of the sthanivadbhava of a.

इहापि तर्हि प्रामोति - वागाशीर्दत्तः वाचिक इति

It $(ja\acute{s}tva)$ will then chance to appear here also in the word $v\bar{a}cikah$.

वक्ष्यत्येतत् सिद्धमेकाक्षरपूर्वपदानामुत्तरपदलोपवचनात्

He (Vārttikakāra) is going to say (under 5, 3, 84) the vārttika Siddham ēkākṣarapūrvapadānām uttarapadalōpavacanāt. (The following word will be completely elided after a single-syllabled word).

इहापि तर्हि प्रामोति - पडङ्गुलिः षडिकः

It will chance to be applied even here - in sadikah which is formed from sadanguli.

वक्ष्यत्येतत् षषष्ठाजादिवचनात्सिद्धम् इति

He is going to read the vārttika Ṣaṣaḥ ṭhājādivacanāt siddham (under 5, 3, 84).

नभोऽङ्गिरोमनुषां वत्युपसङ्ख्यानम्

Upasankhyāna of bhatva to nabhas, angiras and manus when followed by vat.

नभोऽङ्गिरोमनुषां वत्युपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - नभस्वत् अङ्गिरस्वत् मनुष्वत् It must be said that nabhas, angiras and manus take bhasamjñā before vat (i.e.) in nabhasvat, angirasvat and manusvat.

वृषण्यस्वश्वयोः

(Upasankhyāna of bhatva) to vṛṣan before vasu and aśva.

वृषणित्येतस्य वस्वश्वयोभसंज्ञा वक्तव्या - वृषण्वसुः, वृषणश्वस्य यच्छिरः, वृषणश्वस्य मेने ।

Bha-samjñā must be enjoined to vraṣan before vasu and aśva (i.e.) in vrṣanvasuh and vrṣanaśva found in vrṣanaśvasya yacchirah and vrṣanaśvasya mēnē.

तसौ मत्त्रथे (1, 4, 19)

अर्थब्रहणं किमर्थम् १ न तसी मती इत्येवोच्येत १

What for is artha read? Will not Tasāu matāu do?

तसौ मतौ इतीयत्यच्यमाने इहैव स्यात्, पयस्वान् यशस्वान्; इह न स्यात् पयस्वी यशस्वी; अर्थग्रहणे पुनः कियमाणे मतुपि च सिद्धं भवति, यश्चान्यः तेन समानार्थः तस्मिश्च ।

If the sūtra is read Tasāu matāu without the word artha, it will operate only with reference to $payasv\bar{a}n$ and $ya\dot{s}asv\bar{a}n$ and not with reference to $payasv\bar{\imath}$ and $ya\acute{s}asv\bar{\imath}$; if, on the other hand, artha is read, it will have within its range not only matup but also any other one which has its meaning.

यद्यर्थग्रहणं क्रियते, पयस्वान् अल न प्राप्तोति

If the word artha is read, the sūtra cannot have within its range payasvān.

किं कारणम्? Why?

न हि मतुब् मतुबर्थे वर्तते

For matup cannot have the purpose of matup.

Note: - Kāiyata reads here: - Upalakṣaṇasya anyōpalakṣaṇē caritārthatvāt svatah kāryam pratinimittatvāvagamā $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}t.$

मतुबिप मतुबर्थे वर्तते - तद्यथा देवदत्तशालायां ब्राह्मणा आनीयन्ताम् इत्युक्ते यदि देवदत्तोऽपि ब्राह्मणो भवति सोऽप्यानीयते ।

Matup can serve the purpose of matup. This may be illustrated thus:—If it is said that the brahmans put up at the quarters of Dēvadatta may be brought, Dēvadatta, too is brought if he is a brahman.

अयस्मयादीनि छन्दसि (1, 4, 20)

उभयसंज्ञान्यपि 1 Ayasmayādis have both the samijñās.

उभयसंज्ञान्यपीति वक्तव्यम् । स सुष्टुभा स ऋक्वता गणेन (R. V. 4, 50, 5) It must be said that they have both the samjñās (pada and bha). For instance the word rkvatā in the Vēdic sentence Sa sustubhā sa rkvatā ganēna, there is padasamjāā with reference to kutva and there is bhasamj $\tilde{n}a$ with reference to the absence of jastva.

बहुषु बहुवचनम् (1, 4, 21)

There are three topics here:—(1) Whose bahutva is taken into account? (2) Can $gunapradh\bar{a}nat\bar{a}$ exist without $bh\bar{a}vapratyaya$? (3) What is the purpose served by this $s\bar{u}tra$?

Ī

बहुषु बहुवचनमित्युच्यते । केषु बहुषु !

The sūtra is read Bahusu bahuvacanam. Whose bahutva is taken into account?

अर्थेषु (Bahutva) with reference to the objects denoted.

यद्येवं, वृक्षः, प्रक्षः अत्रापि प्रामोति । बहवस्तेऽर्थाः - मूळं, स्कन्धः, फळं, पलाशम् इति

If so, bahuvacana chances to happen in vrksa and plaksa, since many are the objects found there:-root, trunk, fruit, petal etc.

एवं तर्हि एकवचनं द्विवचनं बहुवचनिमिति शब्दसंज्ञा एताः - येप्वर्थेषु स्वादयो विधीयन्ते तेषु बहुषु

If so, $\bar{e}kavacana$, dvivacana and bahuvacana are $\hat{s}abdasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$. Bahuvacana is used if those are many to denote which $su-\bar{a}dis$ (case-suffixes) are enjoined.

केषु चार्थेषु स्वादयो विधीयन्ते ?

In which arthas are $sv\bar{a}dis$ enjoined?

कर्नादिषु In karma (kartur īpsitatamam) etc.

न वै कर्मादयो विभक्त्यर्थाः

Oh, karmādis are not the meanings of cases.

के तर्हि? What then?

एकत्वादयः Ekatva etc.

एकत्वादिष्विप वै विभक्त्यर्थेषु अवक्यं कर्माद्यो निमित्तत्वेनोपादेयाः, कर्मणः एकत्वे, कर्मणो द्वित्वे, कर्मणो बहुत्वे इति ।

Even though ēkatva etc. are vibhaktyarthas, karmādis must, necessarily, be taken to be nimitta thus:—when karma is one, when karmas are two or when karmas are many.

स तर्हि तथा निर्देशः कर्तव्यः It should, then, be so read.

H

न ह्यन्तरेण भावप्रत्ययं गुणप्रधानो भवति निर्देशः

Word without $bh\bar{a}vapratyaya$ (like tvam) does not prominently connote quality.

इह च इत्येके मन्यन्ते, तदेके मन्यन्ते इति परत्वादेकवचनं प्राप्ताति

Besides there is chance for the singular to be used instead of the plural in the expressions Iti $\bar{e}k\bar{e}$ manyant \bar{e} and Tad $\bar{e}k\bar{e}$ manyant \bar{e} since the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Dvy\bar{e}kay\bar{o}r$ $dvivacan\bar{a}ikavacan\bar{e}$ (1, 4, 22) follows this.

Note:—This question arises on the assumption that the $pr\bar{a}tipadika$ in $\bar{e}k\bar{e}$ is $\bar{e}ka$ which means one.

बहुषु बहुवचनम् इत्येष योगः परः करिष्यते

This $s\bar{u}tra$ Bahuşu bahuvacanam will be read after the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Dvy\bar{e}kay\bar{o}h$

सूत्रविपर्यासः कृतो भवति

The order of the $s\bar{u}tras$ adopted by the $S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$ is changed.

इह च बहुरोदनः, बहुः सूपः इति परत्वाद्वहुवचनं प्राप्नोति

Besides there will be chance for the use of plural instead of singular in bahuh ōdanah and bahuh sūpah, since Bahusu bahuvacanam will become para.

नैष दोषः । यत्तावदुच्यते न ह्यन्तरेण भावप्रत्ययं गुणप्रधानो भवति निर्देश इति तन्न । अन्तरेणापि भावपत्ययं गुणप्रधानो भवति निर्देशः

This difficulty does not arise. The first objection raised that word without $bh\bar{a}vapratyaya$ does not prominently connote quality cannot stand, since word even without $bh\bar{a}vapratyaya$ prominently connotes quality.

कथम् ! How ?

इह कदाचिद् गुणो गुणिविशेषको भवति, तद्यथा पटः शुक्क इति; कदाचिच्च गुणिना गुणो व्यपदिश्यते पटस्य शुक्क इति

Sometimes in usage quality is the predicate and the object is the subject, as in paṭah śuklah and sometimes the quality is qualified by the object, as in paṭasya śuklah.

तद्यदा तावद् गुणो गुणिविशेषको भवति पटः शुक्क इति, तदा सामानाधि-करण्यं गुणगुणिनोः, तदा नान्तरेण भावप्रत्ययं गुणप्रधानो भवति निर्देशः । यदा तु गुणिना गुणो व्यपदिश्यते पटस्य शुक्क इति, स्वप्रधानस्तदा गुणो भवति, तदा द्रव्ये षष्ठी, तदा अन्तरेण भावप्रत्ययं गुणप्रधानो भवति निर्देशः ।

When guṇa is the vidhēya of guṇin, as in paṭaḥ śuklaḥ, there is apposition between guṇa and guṇin and there the word primarily connotes quality without bhāvapratyaya. When guṇa is qualified by guṇin, as in paṭasy i śuklaḥ, guṇa becomes prominent since sixth case is used along with the word denoting guṇin and hence the word prominently denotes quality without bhāvapratyaya.

न चेह वयमेकत्वादिभिः कर्मादीन् विशेषयिष्यामः

We are not going to take the $\tilde{e}katv\bar{a}dis$ here to be the adjuncts of $karm\bar{a}dis$.

किं तर्हि ? What then ?

कर्मादिभिरेकत्वादीन् विशेषयिष्यामः

We are going to take karmādis to be the adjuncts of ēkatvādis. कथम ? How?

एकसिन्नेकवचनम् । कस्यैकसिन्? कर्पणः

There is singular suffix when oneness is to be connoted. Whose oneness? Of the karma.

द्वयोर्द्विवचनम् । क्योर्द्वयोः ? कर्मणोः

There is dual suffix when duality is to be connoted. Whose duality? Of the karmas.

बहुषु बहुवचनम् । केषां बहुषु ? कर्मणाम् इति

There is plural suffix when plurality is to be connoted. Whose plurality? Of the karmas.

कथं बहुषु बहुवचनमिति ?

How is bahuşu used in Bahuşu bahuvacanam (and not bah $\bar{a}u$ since bahutva is one)?

एतदेव ज्ञापयत्याचार्यो नानाधिकरणवाची यो बहुशव्दः तस्येदं प्रहणं न वैपुल्यवाचिनः इति

 $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests this that the word bahutva connotes the state of existing in many places and not extensiveness.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम् ?

What is the purpose served by this $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}pana$?

यदुक्तं बहुरोदनः बहुः सूपः इति परत्वाद् बहुवचनं प्राप्नोति इति न स दोषो भवति ।

The defect that was raised that bahuvacana may, the sūtra Bahuṣu bahuvacanam being para, find its place in bahur ōdanaḥ, bahuḥ sūpaḥ cannot stand.

यदप्युच्यते इत्येके मन्यन्ते तदेके मन्यन्ते इति परत्वाद् एकवचनं प्राप्नोति इति, नैष दोषः । एकशब्दोऽयं बहुर्थः - अस्त्येव सङ्ख्यावाची तद्यथा एको, द्वौ बहुव इति; अस्ति असहायवाची तद्यथा एकामयः, एकहलानि, एकाकिभिः क्षुद्रकैर्जितम् इति; अस्त्यन्यार्थे वर्तते तद्यथा सधमादो द्युन्न एकास्ताः अन्याः इत्यर्थः । तद्योऽ-न्यार्थे वर्तते तस्यैष प्रयोगः ।

The other defect too that was raised that singular suffix will chance to appear in $\bar{e}k\bar{e}$ in Iti $\bar{e}k\bar{e}$ manyantē, Tad $\bar{e}k\bar{e}$ manyantē on account of the sútra $Dvy\bar{e}kay\bar{o}h$...being para cannot stand. This word $\bar{e}ka$ has many meanings. It is a numeral in $\bar{e}kah$, $dv\bar{a}u$, bahavah etc. It means one without a companion in $\bar{e}k\bar{a}gnayah$, $\bar{e}kahal\bar{a}ni$ and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}kibhih$ kṣudrakāir jitam. It means another in Sadham $\bar{a}d\bar{o}$ dyumna $\bar{e}k\bar{a}st\bar{a}h$, where $\bar{e}k\bar{a}h$ means anyāh. Hence this is a prayōga where the word $\bar{e}ka$ is used in the sense of anya.

III

किमर्थ पुनरिदमुच्यते ?

What is the purpose served by this $s\bar{u}tra$?

सुप्तिङामविशेषविधानाद् दृष्टविप्रयोगाच नियमार्थं वचनम्

The sūtra is for the sake of niyama on account of sup and tin being enjoined generally to the prātipadika and on account of the existence of viruddhaprayōga.

सुपोऽविशेषेण पातिपदिकमात्राद्विधीयन्ते, तिङोऽविशेषेण धातुमात्राद् विधीयन्ते. The case-suffixes are enjoined to prātipadikas in general and the verbal terminations to roots in general.

तत्रैतत् स्याद् यद्याविशेषेण विधायन्ते नैव विषयोगो लक्ष्यते इति
This point may arise there that, though they are enjoined to the prātipadika in general, there is no incorrect usage.

दृष्टिविषयोगाच - दृश्यते खल्विष विषयोगः । तद्यथा - अक्षाणि मे दर्शनीयानि, पादा मे सुकुमारा इति

On account of dṛṣṭaviprayōga. Incorrect usage also is found - viz. akṣīṇi mē darśanīyāni, pādā mē sukumārāḥ.

Note:--Even though a man has two eyes and two feet, the bahuvacana is used here.

सुप्तिङोरिवशेषविधानाद् दृष्टविषयोगाच व्यतिकरः प्राप्तोति, इप्यते चाव्यतिकरः स्यादिति, तचान्तरेण यतं न सिध्यति इति नियमार्थं वचनम् । एवमर्थमिद्मुच्यते On account of sup and tin being enjoined in a general way and on account of usage against what is seen in nature, one may be used in place of another; but it is desired that every one is used correctly and this is not possible without effort. Hence is this sūtra for niyama. This is read only for this.

अथैतसिन्नियमार्थे सित कि पुनरयं प्रत्ययनियमः एकसिन्नेव एकवचनं द्वयोरेव द्विवचनं बहुप्वेव बहुवचनम् इति, आहोस्विद् अर्थनियमः एकसिन् एकवचनमेव द्वयोद्विवचनमेव बहुषु बहुवचनमेव इति ?

If it is, then, intended for niyama, is there pratyaya-niyama that ēkavacana is used when one-ness alone is connoted, that dvivacaca is used when duality alone is connoted and that bahuvacana is used when plurality alone is connoted or is there arthaniyama that ēkavacana alone connotes oneness, dvivacana alone connotes duality and bahuvacana alone connotes plurality?

कश्चात्र विशेषः? What is the difference?

तत्र प्रत्ययनियमेऽच्ययानां पद्संज्ञाभावोऽसुबन्तत्वात्

If it is pratyaya-niyama there, avyayas cannot get padasamjñō, since they are not subanta.

तत्र प्रत्ययनियमे अञ्ययानां पद्संज्ञा न प्राप्तोति, उच्चैः, नीचैः इति If it is pratyayaniyama there, avyayas cannot get $pada-samij\tilde{n}a$. viz. uccaih and $n\bar{\imath}caih$.

किं कारणम्? Why?

असुबन्तत्वात् Since they are not subanta.

अर्थनियमे सिद्धम् It is achieved if it is arthaniyama.

अर्थानियमे सिद्धम् भवति

The object is achieved if it is arthaniyama.

अस्त्वर्थनियमः Let there be artha-niyama.

अथवा पुनरस्तु प्रत्ययनियमः Or let it be pratyaya-niyama.

ननु चोक्तं तत्र प्रत्ययनियमे अन्ययानां पदसंज्ञाभावोऽसुबन्तत्वादिति!
Oh, it was said that, if it is pratyaya-niyama, avyayas do not get pada-samjñā on account of their not being subanta!

नैष दोष: This difficulty does not arise.

सपां कमीदयोऽप्यथीः सङ्ख्या चैव तथा तिङाम्

Karma etc. too are the meanings of case-suffixes along with $saikhy\bar{a}$ and the same is with verbal terminations too.

सुपां सङ्ख्या चैवार्थः कर्माद्यश्च । तथा तिङां $Sainkhy\bar{a}$ and $karm\bar{a}dis$ are the meanings of the case-suffixes and the same is with verbal terminations.

प्रसिद्धो नियमस्तत्र The niyama (among the arthas) is well known.

प्रसिद्धस्तत्र नियमः

The niyama (among the arthas) is well known.

नियमः प्रकृतेषु

Niyama among those of the same class in the context.

अथवा प्रकृतार्थानपेक्ष्य नियमः

Or niyama has within its range the objects of the same class in the context.

के च प्रकृताः? What are prakrtas?

एकत्वादयः $\bar{E}katva$ etc.

एकस्मिन्नेवैकवचनं न द्वयोने बहुषु । द्वयोरेव द्विवचनं नैकस्मिन् न बहुषु । बहुष्वेव बहुवचनं, न द्वयोनेकस्मिन् इति

Singular number is used to denote only one object of a class and not either two of them or many of them. Dual number is used to denote only two objects and not either one of them or many of them. Plural number is used to denote only many of them and not either one of them or two of them.

Note: $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $Tulyaj\bar{a}t\bar{i}yasya$ niyamēna $ry\bar{a}vrttih$ kriyatē iti avyayēbhyah sv $\bar{a}d\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ avy $\bar{a}vrttih$.

अथवा आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित उत्पद्यन्तेऽव्ययेभ्यः स्वादयः इति, यदयम् अव्ययादाप्युपः इत्यव्ययाल्छकं शास्ति ।

Or the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that $sv\bar{a}dis$ are attached even to avyayas, since he enjoins the elision of $\bar{a}p$ and sup after them in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Avyay\bar{a}d$ $\bar{a}psupah$ (2, 4, 32).

SIXTEENTH ÄHNIKA ENDS.

(First $adhy\bar{a}ya$, fourth $p\bar{a}da$, second $\bar{a}hnika$ ends).

SEVENTEENTH AHNIKA

(First $adhy\bar{a}ya$, fourth $p\bar{a}da$, third $\bar{a}hnika$)

कारके (1, 4, 23)

There are four topics dealt with here:—(1) $K\bar{a}raka$ is $sainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}-nird\bar{e}\hat{s}a$. (2) Is the mention of $sainj\tilde{n}in$ necessary or no? (3) If $k\bar{a}raka$ is $anvarthasainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, how can it be applied to karana, adhikarana etc? (4) $K\bar{a}rak\bar{e}$ may mean $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$.

T

किमिदं कारके इति? What is this word kārakē?

संज्ञानिर्देशः It is samjñā.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the seventh case is used for the first case.

ार्के वक्तव्यमेतत् ? Is this to be stated so?

न हि No, it need not.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते ?

How is it to be so understood if it is not so stated?

इह हि व्याकरणे ये वैते छोके प्रतीतपदार्थकाः शब्दास्तैर्निदेशाः कियन्ते, पशुः अपत्यं देवता इति, या वैता कृत्रिमाः टि - घु - भादि - संज्ञाः

Here, in grammar, ideas are expressed through words like $pa\acute{s}u\dot{h}$, apatyam, $D\bar{e}vat\bar{a}$ etc. whose meaning is definitely understood from the usage in the word or through technical expressions like ti, ghu, bha etc.

न चायं लोके ध्रुवादीनां प्रतीतपदार्थकः शब्दः; न खल्विप कृत्रिमा संज्ञा, अन्यत्राविधानात्

The word dhruva etc. found here is not generally used in that sense in the world, nor is it a technical term on account of its not being used elsewhere in $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$.

संज्ञाधिकारश्चायम् । किमन्यच्छवयं विज्ञातुमन्यदतः संज्ञायाः?

This section deals with $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{\alpha}s$. Therefore in what way other than $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{\alpha}$ can it be interpreted?

II

कारक इति संज्ञानिर्देशश्चेत् संज्ञिनो निर्देशः

If $k\bar{a}raka$ is $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, there is need for the mention of $samj\tilde{n}in$.

कारक इति संज्ञानिर्देशश्चेत् संज्ञिनोऽपि निर्देशः कर्तव्यः । साधकं निर्वर्तकं कारकसंज्ञं भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

If the word $k\bar{a}raka$ is $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, there is need to mention the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}in$ also thus: $-S\bar{a}dhakam$ (which means nirvartakam) (of the $kriy\bar{a}$) is $k\bar{a}rakasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

इतरथा द्यानिष्टप्रसङ्गो ग्रामस्य समीपादागच्छतीत्यकारकस्य

Otherwise there is chance for a usage which is not accepted, as the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to $gr\bar{a}ma$ which is $ak\bar{a}raka$ in the sentence $gr\bar{a}masya\ sam\bar{i}p\bar{a}d\ \bar{a}gaccati$.

इतरथा हि अनिष्टं प्रसज्येत

For, if the $samj\tilde{n}in$ is not stated, there is chance for a usage which is not accepted.

अकारकस्यापि अपादानसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत

There is chance for $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to that which is not a $k\bar{a}raka$.

事? Where?

म्रामस्य समीपादागच्छति इति

In the sentence Grāmasya samīpād āgacchati.

नैष दोषः । नात्र मामोऽपाययुक्तः

This difficulty cannot arise; for $gr\bar{a}ma$, here, does not have separation.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

समीपम् - Samīpa (the adjoining place.)

यदा च श्रामोऽपाययुक्तो भवति, भवति तदा अपादानसंज्ञा । तद्यथा -श्रामाद् आगच्छति इति

When $gr\bar{a}ma$ is the place wherefrom separation happens, it takes $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, as in $gr\bar{a}m\bar{a}d$ $\bar{a}gacchati$.

कर्मसंज्ञाप्रसङ्गोऽकथितस्य, ब्राह्मणस्य पुत्नं पन्थानं पृच्छति इति

There is chance for $karma-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to akathita in the sentence $Br\bar{a}hmanasya$ putram $panth\bar{a}nam$ prechati.

कर्मसंज्ञा च प्राप्तोत्यकथितस्य

There is chance for karma-samjñā to akathita.

事? where?

ब्राह्मगस्य पुत्रं पन्थानं पृच्छति इति

(To brāhmaṇa) in the sentence Brāhmaṇasya putram panthānam prechati.

नैष दोषः । अयमकथितशब्दोऽस्त्येवासङ्गीर्तिते वर्तते, तद्यथा कश्चित् कश्चित् संचक्ष्य आह - असावत्राकथितः असङ्गीर्तित इति गम्यते ।

This difficulty does not arise, since the word akathita here means asankīrtita (omitted). Some one failing to mention the name of a person says, 'Asāu atra akathitah,' where akatithah suggests the sense of asankīrtitah.

अस्त्यप्राधान्ये वर्तते । तद्यथा - अकथितोऽसौ ग्रामे, अकथितोऽसौ नगरे इत्युच्यते, यो यत्राप्रधानो भवति

The word akathita is used to denote aprādhānya. This may be illustrated thus:—One who is not prominent in a village or a city is mentioned thus:—This man is akathita in the village; this man is akathita in the city.

तद्यदा अप्राधान्ये अकथितशब्दो वर्तते तदेप दोपः - कर्मसंज्ञापसङ्गोऽकथितस्य ब्राह्मणस्य पुत्रं पन्थानं पृच्छति इति ।

It is only when akathita means apradhāna, the defect 'karma-samjāā-prasangōskathitasya brāhmanasya putram panthānam prechati' arises.

Note: $-Apr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nyam$ here means $pradh\bar{a}nabhinnatvam$.

अपादानं च वृक्षस्य पण पतित इति

Chance for $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to vrksx in the sentence Vrksasya parmam patati (the leaf of the tree falls).

अपादानसंज्ञा च प्राप्तीति There is chance for apādānasamjūā.

新? Where?

वृक्षस्य पण पतित, कुड्यस्य भिण्डः पतित इति

(To vrkṣa) in vrkṣasya parṇam patati and to kudya in kudyasya piṇḍaḥ patati (a mass of wall's plaster falls).

न वा अपायस्यात्रिवक्षितत्वात्

No, on account of the separation not being taken into account. न वा एप दोष: This defect cannot stand. किं कारणम् ? Why?

अपायस्याविवक्षितत्वात् Since there is no vivak $sar{a}$ for $ap\bar{a}ya$.

नात्र अपायो विवक्षितः

The apāyatva is not taken into account.

किं तर्हि What then?

सम्बन्धः The relationship.

यदा चापायो विविक्षितो भवति, भवति तदा अपादानसंज्ञा । तद्यथा - वृक्षात् पण पतिति इति । सम्बन्धस्तु तदा न विविक्षितो भवति, न ज्ञायते कङ्कस्य वा कुररस्य वेति ।

When there is $vivak \dot{s}\bar{a}$ for $ap\bar{a}ya$, it gets $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, as in $vrk \dot{s}\bar{a}t$ parnam patati (leaf falls from the tree). There is no $vivak \dot{s}\bar{a}$ for sambandha in that case, whether parna (leaf) belongs to $ka\dot{n}ka$ a kind of mango or parna (wing) belongs to kurara (osprey)

अयं तर्हि दोषः कर्मसंज्ञाप्रसङ्गश्चाकथितस्य ब्राह्मणस्य पुतं पन्थानं प्रच्छिति इति This defect, then, karma-samjñā-prasangaś ca akathitasya brāhmaṇasya putram prechati, stands.

नेष दोष: । कारक इति महती संज्ञा कियते, संज्ञा च नाम यतो न छघीय: This defect does not stand. A long $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ in the form $k\bar{a}raka$ is given here and $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is always in a form than which nothing is shorter.

कृत एतत् ? What is the source for saying so?

लध्वर्थ हि संज्ञाकरणम्

For, giving samjñā is only for ease of śāstrapravrtti.

तत्र महत्याः संज्ञायाः करणे एतत्प्रयोजनम् - अन्वर्थसंज्ञा यथा विज्ञायेत करोति इति कारकम् इति

The benefit of giving a long $samj\tilde{n}a$ there is that it should be taken to be anvartha (i.e.) its meaning should adhere to its derivation. $K\bar{a}raka$ is so called since it does.

III

अन्वर्थमिति चेदकर्तारे कर्तृशब्दानुपपत्तिः

If it is an vartha, inappropriateness of calling one a $k\bar{a}raka$ which is not $kart\bar{a}$ (doer of the action.)

अन्वर्थमिति चेद् अकर्तरि कर्तृशब्दो नोपपद्यते, करणं कारकम्, अधिकरणं कारकम् इति

If it is an vartha, the word $k\bar{a}raka$ is inappropriate if it is used with reference to that which is not $kart\bar{a}$ like karanam $k\bar{a}rakam$ and adhikaranam $k\bar{a}rakam$.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iya$ ta reads:—Kartṛśabda iti–kartṛvāc $\bar{\imath}$ $k\bar{a}rak$ a-śabda ityarthah.

सिद्धं तु प्रतिकारकं क्रियाभेदात् पचादीनां करणाधिकरणयोः कर्तृभावः

The kartriva of karana and adhikarana is achieved on account of every $k\bar{a}raka$ having different $kriy\bar{a}$ with reference to cooking etc.

सिद्धः करणाधिकरणयोः कर्तृभावः

The kartrtva of karana and adhikarana is accomplished.

कुतः श How?

प्रतिकारकं कियाभेदात् पचादीनाम् - पचादीनां हि प्रतिकारकं किया भिद्यते । Since every $k\bar{a}raka$ has a different $kriy\bar{a}$ with reference to $p\bar{a}ka$ etc. For, among the $kriy\bar{a}s$ which go to make up $paci-kriy\bar{a}$, etc. the $kriy\bar{a}$ pertaining to each $k\bar{a}raka$ is different.

किमिदं प्रतिकारकम् इति ! What is this - pratikārakam ? कारकं कारकं प्रति प्रतिकारकम्

The word $pratik\bar{a}rakam$ is derived thus:— $K\bar{a}rakam$ $k\bar{a}rakam$ prati.

कोऽसौ प्रतिकारकं क्रियाभेदः पचादीनाम्?

What is it that each $k\bar{a}raka$ has a different $kriy\bar{a}$ with reference to $p\bar{a}kakriy\bar{a}$ etc.?

अधिश्रयणोदकासेचनतण्डुलावपनैधोपकर्षणक्रियाः प्रधानस्य कर्तुः पाकः

The $p\bar{a}ka$ of the $pradh\bar{a}na$ - $kart\bar{a}$ consists in heating the pot, pouring water into it, throwing rice into it and lastly removing the fuel.

अधिश्रयणोदकासेचनतण्डुलावपनैधोपकर्षणादिकियाः कुर्वन्नेव देवदत्तः पचित इंत्युच्यते । तत्र तदा पचिर्वर्तते । एषः प्रधानकर्तुः पाकः । एतत्प्रधानकर्तुः कर्तृत्वम् Dēvadatta, when he heats the pot, pours water into it, throws rice into it, removes the fuel etc., is said that he cooks. The $p\bar{a}kakriy\bar{a}$ exists there. This is the $p\bar{a}ka$ of the $pradh\bar{a}na-kart\bar{a}$. This is the kartrtva of $pradh\bar{a}na-kart\bar{a}$.

द्रोणं पचत्यादकं पचतीति सम्भवनिक्रया धारणिक्रया चाधिकरणस्य पाकः

The $p\bar{a}ka$ of adhikarana is the capacity to hold and to keep it on, seen in the expressions $dr\bar{o}nam$ pacati and $\bar{a}dhakam$ pacati.

द्रोणं पचित आढकं पचित इति सम्भवनिकयां धारणिकयां च कुर्वती स्थाली पचितीत्युच्यते । तत्र तदा पचिर्वर्तते । एषोऽधिकरणस्य पाकः । एतदिधिकरणस्य कर्तृत्वम् ।

The cooking pot holding a $dr\bar{o}na$ measure of corn or $\bar{a}dhaka$ measure of corn and keeping it on till the cooking is finished is said to cook $dr\bar{o}na$ or $\bar{a}dhaka$. The $p\bar{a}ka$ - $kriy\bar{a}$ exists then and there. This is the $p\bar{a}ka$ of adhikarana. This is the kartrtva of adhikarana.

एधाः पक्ष्यन्त्याविक्कित्तेर्ज्विष्यन्तीति ज्वलनिक्रया करणस्य पाकः

The $p\bar{a}ka$ of karana is the continuity of burning till the corn is completely boiled as in $\bar{e}dh\bar{a}h$ paksyanti (fuel will cook).

एधाः पक्ष्यन्ति आ विक्कितेर्ज्निलिष्यन्तीति ज्वलनिक्रयां कुर्वन्ति काष्ठानि पचन्तीत्युच्यन्ते । तत्र तदा पचिर्वर्तते । एष करणस्य पाकः । एतत्करणस्य कर्तृत्वम् । The fuel is said to cook with the idea that it will burn till the corn is completely boiled. The $p\bar{a}ka-kriy\bar{a}$ exists then and there. This is the $p\bar{a}ka$ of karana. This is the kartrtva of karana.

उद्यमननिपातनानि कर्तुर्चिछदिक्रिया

Raising and beating is the $ch\bar{e}dana-kriy\bar{a}$ (the act of cutting) of the $kart\bar{a}$.

उद्यमनिपातनानि कुर्वन्नेव देवदत्ति इत्युच्यते । तत्र तदा छिदिर्वर्तते । एष प्रधानकर्तु इछेदः । एतत्प्रधानकर्तुः कर्तृत्वम् ।

 $D\bar{e}vadatto$, while raising and beating, is said to cut. The cutting exists then and there. This is the $ch\bar{e}da$ of the $pradh\bar{a}na$ - $kart\bar{a}$. This is the kartrtva of the $pradh\bar{a}na$ - $kart\bar{a}$.

यत्तन तृणेन तत्परशोच्छेदनम्

The cutting of an axe is not that of a grass.

यत्तत् समाने उद्यमने निपातने च परशुना छिद्यते, न तृणेन, तत् परशोच्छेदनम्

Even though raising and beating are the same whether one is cut with an axe or with grass, the cutting of the axe is that done with the axe, but not with grass.

अवश्यं चैतदेवं विज्ञेयम्

This is certainly to be understood in this way.

इतरथा हि असितृणयोक्छेदनेऽविशेषः स्यात्

For, otherwise there will be no difference between the cutting with an axe and that with grass.

यो हि मन्यते उद्यमननिपातनादेवैतद्भवति छिनत्तीति असितृणयोद्देशेन न तस्य विशेषः स्याद् यद्रसिना छिद्यते तृणेनापि तत् छिद्येत ।

There will be no difference between the cutting with an axe and that with grass for him who holds the view that cutting is nothing but raising and beating. That which is cut with an axe may, according to him, be cut with grass.

अपादानादीनां त्वप्रसिद्धिः

But the kartriva of apādānādis is not well-known.

अपादानादीनां तु कर्तृत्वस्यापसिद्धिः । यथा हि भवता करणादीनां कर्तृत्वं निदर्शितं, न तथा अपादानादीनां निदर्श्यते

There is a prasiddhi of kartriva of a pādānādis. The kartriva of a pādānādis is not demonstrated by you in the same way as that of karanādis.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{o}jibhatta$ reads here:— $\bar{A}din\bar{a}$ samprad $\bar{a}nam$. $Bahuvacanam\ tu\ pray\bar{o}ga-b\bar{u}hulya-abhipr\bar{a}y\bar{e}na$.

न वा स्वतन्त्रपरतन्त्रत्वात्तयोः पर्यायेण वचनं, वचनाश्रया च संज्ञा

No; $vivak s\bar{a}$ depends upon $sv\bar{a}tantrya$ (independence) or $p\bar{a}ratantrya$ (dependence) which alternates with each other and $saiij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ depends upon $vivak s\bar{a}$.

न वैप दोषः This difficulty does not arise.

किं कारणम्? Why?

स्वतन्त्रपरतन्त्रत्वात् - सर्वत्रैवात्र स्वातन्त्र्यं पारतन्त्रयं च विवक्षितम्

On account of being svatantra and paratantra. Attention is here paid in all cases to $sv\bar{a}tantrya$ and $p\bar{a}ratantrya$.

तयोः पर्यायेण वचनम् - तयोः स्वातन्त्र्यपारतन्त्र्योः पर्यायेण वचनं भविष्यति । वचनाश्रया च संज्ञा भविष्यति

Alternately is their $vivak s\bar{a}$. $Vivak s\bar{a}$ alternates on account of independence and dependence. $Sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ depends upon $vivak s\bar{a}$.

तद्यथा - वलाहकाद् विद्योतते विद्युत्, वलाहके विद्योतते, वलाहको विद्योतते इति

This may be illustrated thus:—Lightning shines from the cloud, lightning shines in the cloud and cloud flashes with lightning.

किं तर्हिं उच्यते अपादानादीनां त्वप्रसिद्धिः इति ?

Why is it, then, the $v\bar{a}rttika$ $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}n\bar{a}d\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ tvaprasiddhih commented upon thus:- $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}n\bar{a}d\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ tu kartrtvasya aprasiddhih?

एवं तर्हि न ब्रूमः अपादानादीनां कर्तृत्वस्याप्रसिद्धिः इति । पर्याप्तं करणाधि-करणयोः कर्तृत्वं निद्शितमपादानादीनां कर्तृत्वनिद्शिनाय, पर्याप्तो हि एकः पुलाकः स्थाल्या निद्शनाय ।

If so, we do not explain it thus:— $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}n\bar{a}d\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ kartrtvasya aprasiddhih. The demonstration how karana and adhikarana can be $kart\bar{a}$ is quite sufficient to prove the kartrtva of $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ etc. One grain of boiled rice is sufficient to tell us the boiled state of rice in the whole pot.

ार्क ताई ! Whose aprasiddhi then?

संज्ञाया अप्रसिद्धिः । यावता सर्वत्रैवात्र स्वातन्त्र्यं विद्यते पारतन्त्र्यं च । तत्र परत्वात्कर्तृसंज्ञैव प्राप्नोति ।

Aprasiddhi of the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ ($ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ etc.) Since both independence and dependence are seen everywhere, $kartrsa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ alone may chance to be had on account of the $s\bar{u}tra$ enjoining it being para.

अलापि न वा स्वतन्त्रपरतन्त्रत्वात्तयोः पर्यायेण वचनं, वचनाश्रया च संज्ञा इत्येव । Even here the answer is the same:— No, $vivak \c s \c a$ depends upon independence or dependence which alternates with each other and $samij\c n \c a$ depends upon $vivak \c s \c a$.

यथा पुनिरिदं भवता स्थाल्याः कर्तृत्वं निद्धितं, सम्भवनिकयां च धारणिकयां च कुर्वती स्थाली स्वतन्त्रेति, केदानीं परतन्त्रता स्थात्?

Since the kartrtva of the $sth\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ was demonstrated by you that it is svatantra with respect to the holding of rice and retaining it, where is it then, possible for it to be paratantra?

यत्तत्प्रक्षालनं परिवर्तनं वा

(It is paratantra) with reference to washing it or inverting it.

न वा एवमर्थ स्थाल्युपादीयते प्रक्षालनं परिवर्तनं च करिप्यामि इति

Pot is not brought in that it should be washed or inverted.

किं तिहें! What then?

सम्भवनिक्रयां धारणिकयां च करिष्यतीति

(It is brought in) that it will hold and retain.

तत्र चासौ स्वतन्त्रा There is it svatantra.

केदानीं परतन्त्रा Where is it, then, paratantra?

एवं तर्हि स्थालीस्थे यते कथ्यमाने स्थाली स्वतन्त्रा, कर्तृस्थे यते कथ्यमाने परतन्त्रा

If so, $sth\bar{a}l\bar{\iota}$ is independent with reference to action in it and dependent with reference to action in the $kart\bar{a}$.

ननु च भोः कर्तृस्थेऽि यत्ने कथ्यमाने स्थाली सम्भवनिकयां घारणिकयां च करोति तत्रासी स्वतन्त्रा । केदानीं परतन्त्रा स्थात्?

Oh! sir, even when the action of the $kart\bar{a}$ is stated, $sth\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ does the act of holding and retaining it and hence it is svatantra. When, then, is it paratantra?

एवं तिहं प्रधानेन समवाये स्थाली परतन्त्रा, व्यवाये स्वतन्त्रा । तद्यथा -अमात्यानां राज्ञा सह समवाये पारतन्त्र्यं, व्यवाये स्वातन्त्र्यम् ।

If, so, $sth\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ is dependent when it is in association with the $kart\bar{a}$ and it is independent when it is not in association with the $kart\bar{a}$. This may be illustrated thus:—There is $p\bar{a}ratantrya$ to ministers if they are in association with the king and $sv\bar{a}tantrya$ in his absence.

किं पुनः प्रधानम् ? Which is pradhāna?

कर्ता The doer.

कथं पुनर्जायते कर्ता प्रधानमिति ?

How is it known that kartā is pradhāna?

यत्सर्वेषु साधनेषु सन्निहितेषु कर्ता प्रवर्तियता भवति

Since he makes all the sādhanas which are ready at hand do their work.

ननु च मो: प्रधानेनापि वै समवाये स्थाल्या अनेनार्थः अधिकरणं कारकमिति Oh, sir, even when $sth\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ is in association with $pradh\bar{a}na$, purpose is served only by this $adhikaranam\ k\bar{a}rakam$.

न हि कारकमित्यनेन अधिकरणत्वमुक्तम् अधिकरणमिति वा कारकत्वम् । उभौ चान्योन्यविशेषकौ भवतः ।

For the word $k\bar{a}raka$ does not connote adhikaranatva, nor does adhikarana connote $k\bar{a}rakatva$. Both of them restrict the application of each other.

कथम् ? How?

एकद्रव्यसमवायित्वात्

Since both exist in the same dravya with samavāya-sambandha.

तद्यथा गार्ग्यो देवदत्त इति । न हि गार्ग्य इत्यनेन देवदत्तत्वमुक्तं, देवदत्त-इत्यनेन वा गार्ग्यत्वम् । उमौ चान्योन्यशिपकौ भवत एकद्व्यसमवायित्वात् । This is like Gārgyō Dēvadattaḥ. The word Gārgya does not connote Dēvadattvam, nor does the word Dēvadatta connote Gārgyatvam. Both restrict the application of each other, since both exist in the same person with samavāya-sambandha.

एवं तर्हि सामान्यभूता किया वर्तते । तस्या निवर्तकं कारकम् If so, $k\bar{a}raka$ is that which does the $pradh\bar{a}nakriy\bar{a}$ or $kriy\bar{a}$ in general.

IV

अथ वा यावद् ब्र्यात् कियायाम् इति तावत् कारके इति । एवं च कृत्वा निर्देश उपपन्नो भवति कारके इति । इतरथा हि कारकेषु इति ब्र्यात्

Or $k\bar{a}rak\bar{e}$ may be interpreted as $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ where the $saptam\bar{\imath}$ denotes visayatva. Only then the use of $k\bar{a}rak\bar{e}$ will be correct. Or he would have read $k\bar{a}rak\bar{e}su$.

Note:—Or means if, on the other hand; it is nirdhāraṇa-saptamī.

ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (1, 4, 24)

There are three topics here:-(I) The need of the word dhruvam in the $s\bar{u}tra$. (2) The need or otherwise of the upasankhyānn of jugupsā etc. and (3) The appropriateness of otherwise of $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$ to words denoting objects in motion.

1

ध्रुवग्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the word dhruva in the sūtra? प्रामादागच्छति शकटेन

Fearing that $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may be applied to $\dot{s}akata$ in the sentence $Gr\bar{a}m\bar{a}d$ $\bar{a}gacchati$ $\dot{s}akat\tilde{e}na$ (since $\dot{s}akata$ too is the $s\bar{a}dhana$ for $ap\bar{a}ya$).

नैतदस्ति । करणसंज्ञाऽत्र बाधिका भविष्यति

No, it is not; $karanasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ sets it at naught here.

इदं तर्हि ग्रामादागच्छन्कंसपाच्यां पाणिनौदनं भुङ्क्ते इति ।

If so, it may be applied to kainsa-pātrī in the sentence Gramād āgacchan kainsa-pātryām pāninā ōdanam bhunktē.

अत्राप्यधिकरणसंज्ञा बाधिका भविष्यति

Even here adhikarana-samjñā sets it at naught.

इदं तर्हि वृक्षस्य पणै पतित, कुड्यस्य पिण्डः पतित इति

If so, it may be applied to vrkṣa and kudya in the sentences vrkṣasya parnam patati and kudyasya pindah patati.

TI

जुगुप्साविरामप्रमादार्थानामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need for the addition of $ap\bar{a}d\hat{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to words denoting objects of $jugups\bar{a}$, $vir\bar{a}ma$ and $pram\bar{a}da$.

जुगुप्साविरामप्रमादार्थानामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम्

Addition of $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to words denoting objects of $jugups\bar{a}, vir\bar{a}ma$ and $pram\bar{a}da$ should be made.

जुगुप्सा - अधर्माज्जुगुप्सते, अधर्माद्धीभत्सते । विराम - धर्माद् विरमति, धर्मान्निवर्तते । प्रमाद - धर्मात्प्रमाद्यति, धर्मान्मुद्यति

With reference to jugupsā—Adharmāj jugupsatē, and adharmād bībhatsatē. With reference to virāma—Dharmād viramati and

dharmān nivartatē. With reference to pramāda—Dharmāt pramādyati and dharmān muhyati.

इदं चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् — साङ्काश्यकेभ्यः पाटलिपुत्रका अभिरूपतराः इति ।

This too should be added: $-S\bar{a}\dot{n}k\bar{a}\acute{s}yak\bar{e}bhyah$ $P\bar{a}taliputrak\bar{a}$ $abhir\bar{u}patar\bar{a}h$.

तत्ति इदं बहु वक्तव्यम्? If so, is it that much has to be said? न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not.

इह तावद् अधर्माज्जुगुप्सते अधर्माद्वीभासते इति, य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्व-कारी भवति, स पश्यति दुःखोऽधर्मो नानेन कृत्यमस्तीति । स बुद्ध्या सम्प्राप्य निवर्तते । तत्र ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

Firstly here in adarmāj jugupsatē and adharmād bībhatsatē, a man of foresight sees that adharma is the source of grief and hence it should not be done and having thus come in association with it in his mind he recedes. Hence it can be secured by the sūtra Dhruvam apāyē apādānam itself.

इह धर्माद्विरमति धर्मान्निवर्तते इति, धर्मात्त्रमाद्यति धर्मान्मुह्यतीति, इह य एष मनुष्यः सम्भिन्नबुद्धिर्भवति स पश्यति नेदं किश्चिद्धर्मो नाम नैनं करिष्यामीति स बुद्ध्या सम्प्राप्य निवर्तते । तत्र ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम्

Here in dharmād viramati (he recedes from dharma) dharmān nivartatē, dharmāt pramādyati (he slips away from dharma) and dharmān muhyati, a man of analytical mind sees that he will not do it since it is not dharma and having thus come in association with it in his mind he recedes. Hence these can come under the sūtra Dhruvam apāyē apādānam.

इह च साङ्काश्यकेभ्यः पाटिलपुलका अभिरूपतरा इति, यस्तैः साम्यं गतवा-न्भवति स एतत् प्रयुङ्क्ते ।

With reference to $S\bar{a}nk\bar{a}syak\bar{e}bhyah$ $P\bar{a}taliputrak\bar{a}$ $abhir\bar{u}patar\bar{a}h$, he who originally began to think that both were of the same beautiful feature says this.

III

गतियुक्तेष्वपादानसंज्ञा नोपपद्यतेऽश्चवत्वात्

 $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to the objects in motion is not appropriate on account of their not being dhruva.

गतियुक्तेष्वपादानसंज्ञा नोपपद्यते - रथात्प्रवीतात्पतितः अश्वात्रस्तात्पतितः, सार्थाद्गच्छतो हीनः इति

 $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is not appropriate with reference to objects in motion, as in $Rath\bar{a}t$ $prav\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}t$ patitah (he fell down from the chariot in motion), $A\dot{s}v\bar{a}t$ $trast\bar{a}t$ patitah (he fell down from the horse which was excited with fear), $S\bar{a}rth\bar{a}d$ $gacchat\bar{o}$ $h\bar{\imath}nah$ (he strayed from the caravan which was going).

किं कारणम् ! Why?

अध्रुवत्वात् On account of the presence of adhrāuvya.

न वाऽभ्रोव्यस्याविवक्षितत्वात्

No, on account of adhrāu ya not being vivakṣita.

न वैष दोषः This defect does not stand.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अधौव्यस्याविवक्षितत्वात् - नाल अधौव्यं विवक्षितम्

On account of adhrāuvya not being vivakṣita. The state of being in motion is not here taken into account.

कि तींह ? What then is taken to account?

· ध्रौठ्यम्? The state of being not in motion.

इह तावद् अश्वात् त्रस्तात् पतित इति, यत्तदश्वे अश्वत्वम् आशुगामित्वं, तद् ध्रुवं, तच्च विवक्षितम् । रथात् प्रवीतात् पतित इति, यत्तद् रथे रथत्वं - रमन्ते अस्मिन् रथ इति, तद् ध्रुवं, तच्च विवक्षितम् । सार्थाद् गच्छतो हीन इति, यत्तत् सार्थे सार्थत्वं - सहार्थीभावः - तद् ध्रुवं, तच्च विवक्षितम् ।

Firstly in the sentence $A \pm v\bar{a}t$ trastāt patitah, the pravṛttinimitta of a\u00e1va, which is \u00e1\u00e3ug\u00e1mittva is dhruva and it is taken
to account (and not the state of excitement on account of
fear). In the sentence $Rath\bar{a}t$ pravīt\u00e1t patitah, the pravṛttinimitta of ratha which is ramanu00e1adhikaranatva is dhruva and it
is taken into account (and not the state of being in motion.)
In the sentence su00e1rthu00e1ad gacchat\u00e0 h\u00e1nah, the pravṛttinimitta of su00e1rtha which is the state being together in a crowd is dhruva

and it is taken into account (and not the state of moving from one place to another).

यद्यपि तावदत्रैतच्छक्यते वक्तुं, ये त्वेतेऽत्यन्तं गतियुक्ताः तत्र कथं, धावतः पतितः, त्वरमाणात्पतितः इति ?

Even though it is possible to say so here, how is it possible to say so where the object is always in motion, as in $Dh\bar{a}vatah$ patitah and $Tvaram\bar{a}n\bar{a}t$ patitah?

अत्रापि, न वाष्ट्रीव्यस्याविवक्षितत्वाद् इत्येव सिद्धम्?

Even here cannot we have that the object is accomplished by the $avivak \dot{s} \bar{a}$ of $adhr \bar{a}uvya$?

कथं पुनः सतो नाम अविवक्षा स्यात्?

How is it possible not to take into account that which actually exists?

सतोऽप्यविवक्षा भवति । तद्यथा - अलोमिकैडका, अनुदरा कन्या इति । असतश्च विवक्षा भवति, समुद्रः कुण्डिका, विन्ध्यो वर्द्धितकम् इति ।

There is $avivak s\bar{a}$ even for that which exists, as in $Al\bar{o}mik\bar{a}$ $\bar{e}dak\bar{a}$ (Ram has no wool) and $Anudar\bar{a}$ $kany\bar{a}$ (the girl has no stomach). Similarly there is $vivak s\bar{a}$ for that which does not exist, as in Samudrah $kundik\bar{a}$ (ocean is a small pitcher) and $Vindhy\bar{o}$ varddhitakam (Vindhya is pyramid-shaped ball of rice).

भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः (1, 4, 25)

अयं योगः शक्योऽनक्तुम् This sūtra may not have been read.

कथं वृक्तेभ्यो चिभेति दस्युभ्यो चिभेति, चोरेभ्यास्त्रायते दस्युभ्यस्त्रायत इति ? What will be the authority for the apādānatva of vṛka, dasyu. cōra and dasyu in the following sentences vṛkēbhyō bibhēti, dasyubhyō bibhēti, cōrēbhyas trāyatē and dasyubhyas trāyatē?

इह तावद् वृकेभ्यो बिमेति दस्युभ्यो बिमेति इति, य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी भवति स पश्यति - यदि मां वृकाः पश्यन्ति ध्रुवो में मृत्युः इति । स बुद्ध्या सम्प्राप्य निवर्तते, तत्र ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम् । इह चोरेभ्य-स्नायते दस्युभ्यस्नायत इति, य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी सुहृद् भवति स पश्यति - यदि इमं चोराः पश्यन्ति ध्रुवमस्य वधवन्धनादिपरिक्नेशाः इति । स बुद्ध्या संप्राप्य निवर्तयति । तत्र ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम्

Firstly with reference to $vrk\bar{e}bhy\bar{o}$ $bibh\bar{e}ti$ (he fears the wolf) and $dasyubhy\bar{o}$ $bibh\bar{e}ti$ (he fears dasyus), a foresighted man sees that, if wolves see him, death is certain and thus having in contact with it in his mind he draws himself away from it. $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ is secured there from the $s\bar{u}tra$ Dhruvam $ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$. With reference to $c\bar{o}r\bar{e}bhyas$ $tr\bar{a}yat\bar{e}$ (he saves him from thieves) and dasyubhyas $tr\bar{a}yat\bar{e}$ (he saves him from dasyus), the man of foresight sees that, if thieves see him, he is sure to meet with death, capture etc. and having thus enabled him to come in contact with them in his mind, he draws him away from them. The object is achieved there by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Dhruvam $ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: $-S\bar{u}tr\bar{a}rambhapakṣ\bar{e}$ tu $k\bar{a}raka-ś\bar{e}$ ṣatv $\bar{u}t$ ṣaṣṭhy $\bar{a}m$ pr $\bar{a}pt\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ idam vacanam.

पराजेरसोढः (1, 4, 26)

अयमपि योगः शक्योऽवक्तुम्

Even this sūtra may not have been read.

कथमध्ययनात् पराजयते ?

How can apādānatva be secured to adhyayana in Adhyayanāt parājayatē.

य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी भवति स पश्यति दुःखमध्ययनं, दुर्धरं च, गुरवश्च दुरुपचारा इति, स बुद्ध्या संप्राप्य निवर्तते । तत्न ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

A man of foresight sees that it is difficult to study the $V\bar{e}das$, to retain them and to serve the teachers to their heart's content and hence comes into association with them in his mind and draws himself away from it. The object is achieved there by the $s\bar{u}tra\ Dhruvam\ ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}\ ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$.

वारणार्थानामीप्सितः (1, 1, 27)

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the example (here)?

माषेभ्यो गा वारयति

The word $m\bar{a}sa$ (bean) in the sentence $m\bar{a}s\bar{e}bhy\bar{o} g\bar{a} v\bar{a}rayati$ (he prevents cows from eating $m\bar{a}sa$).

भवेद्यस्य मापाः न गावः, तस्य मापा ईिम्सिताः स्युः; यस्य तु खळु गावो न माषाः, कथं तस्य ईिम्सिताः स्युः ?

 $M\bar{a}$ sa will be the object of interest to one who owns only $m\bar{a}$ sa and not cows. How can it be the object of interest to one who owns only cows and not $m\bar{a}$ sa?

तस्यापि मापा एवेप्सिताः । आतश्चेप्सिताः, यदेभ्यो गा वारयति । $M\bar{a}$ sa alone is the object of interest to him too. Since he prevents cows from eating it, it is certainly the object of interest to him.

इह क्पादन्धं वारयति इति, कूपे अपादानसंज्ञा न प्राप्तोति, न हि तस्य कूप ईप्सितः

 $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ has no chance to appear in $k\bar{u}pa$ in the sentence $k\bar{u}p\bar{a}d$ and $ham\ v\bar{a}rayati$ since $k\bar{u}pa$ is not an object of interest to him.

कस्ति हैं ? Who is, then, the object of interest?

अन्धः The blind man.

तस्यापि कूप एवे दितः, परयत्ययं अन्धः कूपं मा प्रापद् इति $K\bar{u}pa$ (well) alone is the $\bar{\iota}psita$ even to him, since he is careful that the blind man does not fall into the well.

अथवा यथैवास्य अन्यत्र अपश्यत ईप्सा, एवं क्र्येऽपि Or there is $\bar{\imath} ps\bar{a}$ to the blind man to go to the $k\bar{u}pa$ as to go elsewhere.

इहामेर्माणवकं वारयति, माणवके अपादानसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति Apādānasainjñā may reach māṇavaka (pupil) in the sentence Agnēr māṇavakam vārayati.

कर्मसंज्ञात्र बाधिका भविष्यति Karma-samijñā will set it at naught.

Note:—Since $m\bar{a}$ navaka is $\bar{\imath}$ psitatama, karma-samj \bar{n} a will set in by the $s\bar{u}$ tra Kartur $\bar{\imath}$ psitatamam karma.

अमावीप तर्हि बाधिका स्यात्

It may set at naught the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ even with reference to agni.

Note: —Kāiyaṭa reads here: -Kārakādhikārē prakarṣō na vivakṣyatē iti atantrum tumanirdēśam manyatē.

तसाद्वक्तव्यं कर्मणो यदीप्सितम् इति, ईप्सितोप्सितम् इति वा Hence either karmaṇō yad īpsitam or īpsitēpsitam is to be read in the sūtra.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: -Tatra $karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ nimittam $\bar{e}va$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ iti $m\bar{a}navak\bar{e}$ $pravarttat\bar{e}$. Tatah tasya $\bar{i}psitasya$ $agn\bar{e}h$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ ityarthah. $\bar{I}psit\bar{e}psitam$ iti $v\bar{a}rayatuh$ yad $\bar{i}psitam$ karma tasya yad $\bar{i}psitam$ ityarthah.

वारणार्थेषु कर्मग्रहणानर्थक्यं कर्तुरीप्तितमं कर्म इति वचनात्

Mention of karmaṇah with reference to $v\bar{a}ran\bar{a}rtha$ is unnecessary, since the $s\bar{u}tra$ Kartur $\bar{i}psitatamam$ karma is read.

वारणार्थेषु कर्मग्रहणमनर्थकम्

No purpose is served by reading karmanah with reference to $v\bar{a}ran\bar{a}rtha$.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्मित वचनात् — कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म इत्येव सिद्धम् By the sūtra of Kartur īpsitatamam karma. The object is achieved by the sūtra Kartur īpsitatamam karma.

अयमपि योगः शक्योऽवक्तुम्

This sūtra, too, may not have been read.

कथं माषेभ्यो गा वारयति इति ?

What will be the authority for the usage $M\bar{a}$ $\bar{g}\bar{e}bhy\bar{o}$ $g\bar{a}h$ - $v\bar{a}rayati$?

पर्यत्ययं यदीमा गावस्तत्र गच्छिन्ति ध्रुवः सस्यविनाशः, सस्यविनाशेऽधर्मश्चेव, राजभयं च । स बुद्ध्या सम्प्राप्य निवर्तयित, तत्र ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

He sees that, if these cows go there, the destruction of corn is certain and consequently there will be adharma and royal punishment and having thus come in association with them in his mind, he drives them and hence this usage too will come within the purview of the sūtra Dhruvam apāyē apādānam.

अन्तद्धी येनादर्शनिमच्छति (1, 4, 28)

अयमपि योगः शक्योऽवक्तुम्

This sūtra, too, may not have been read,

कथम् उपाध्यायादन्तर्धत्ते इति?

What is the sanction for the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ of $up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}ya$ in the sentence $Up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}d$ antardhattē.

पर्यत्ययं यदि मामुपाध्यायः पर्यति, ध्रुवं मे भेषणमुपालम्भो वा इति, स बुद्ध्या सम्प्राप्य निवर्तते, तस्र ध्रुवमपाये अपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

He sees that, if the teacher sees him, he is certainly to be sent on errand or to be admonished and having come in association with it in his mind, he withdraws and hence it comes within the purview of the $s\bar{u}tra$ Dhruvam $ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$.

आख्यातोपयोगे (1, 4, 29)

उपयोग इति किमर्थम्? What for is the word upayoga? नटस्य श्रुगोति, श्रन्थिकस्य श्रुगोति

So that $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ may not appear to nata and granthika in the sentences Natasya śrnōti and Granthikasya śrnōti.

उपयोग इत्युच्यमानेऽप्यत्रं प्राप्तोति । एषोऽपि ह्युपयोगः । आतश्चोपयोगो यदारम्भका रङ्गं गच्छन्ति नटस्य श्रोष्यामः प्रन्थिकस्य श्रोष्यामः इति ।

Even if upayōga is read, apādānatva may set in here (in naṭa and granthika). Even this is upayōga. Since the beginners go to the place of learning saying Naṭasya śrōṣyāmaḥ (we shall listen to the naṭa), Granthikasya śrōṣyāmaḥ (we shall listen to the atrologer), it is upayōga

एवं तर्हि उपयोग इत्युच्यते, सर्वश्चोपयोगः, तत्र प्रकर्षगतिर्विज्ञास्यते साधीयो य उपयोगः इति

If so, upayoga is read and all are upayogas. Hence it is taken to mean prakṛṣṭōpayōga.

कश्च साधीयः ? Which is superior upayōga?

यः प्रन्थार्थयोः

That which is used to learn both the text and the sense.

अथ चोपयोगः को भवितुमईति ?

Or which deserves to be the connotation of upayoga?

यो नियमपूर्वकः । तद्यथा, उपयुक्ता माणवका इत्युच्यन्ते, य एते नियम-पूर्वकमधीतवन्तो भवन्ति That which is accompanied with niyama. For the pupils who study with niyama are stated as up yuktā māṇavakāḥ.

र्कि पुनराख्याता अनुपयोगे कारकम्, आहोस्विद् अकारकम्? If there is no niyamapūrvakādhyayana, is ākhyāta kāraka or not?

कश्चात्र विशेषः? What is the difference here?

आख्यातानुपयोगे कारकमिति चेद् अकथितत्वात् कर्मसंज्ञाप्रसङ्गः

If it is said that $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}ta$ is $k\bar{a}raka$ in $anupuy\bar{o}ga$, there is chance for $karmasajm\tilde{n}a$, since it is akathita.

आख्यातानुपयोगे कारकमिति चेद् अकथितत्वात् कर्मसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति । If it is said that $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}ta$ is $k\bar{a}raka$ in $anupay\bar{o}ga$ there is chance for $karmasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, since it is akathita.

अस्तु तर्हि अकारकम् If so, let it be akāraka.

अकारकामिति चेदुपयोगवचनानर्थक्यम्

If it is $ak\bar{a}raka$, the use of the word $upay\bar{o}g$ is serves no purpose.

यद्यकारकम्, उपयोगवचनमनर्थकम्

If it is $ak\bar{a}raka$, the use of the word $upay\bar{o}ga$ serves no purpose.

अस्तु तर्हि कारकम् If so, let it be kāraka.

ननु चोक्तम् - आल्यातानुपयोगे कारकमिति चेदकथितत्वात् कर्मसंज्ञापसङ्ग इति Oh, it was said that, if it is said that $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ is $k\bar{a}raku$ in anupayoga, there is chance for $karmasamj\tilde{n}a$, since it is akathita.

नैष दोष:, परिगणनं तत्र कियते - दुहियाचिरुधिपच्छिभिक्षिचिञाम् इति । This defect does not arise, since pariganana is made thus:- Duhi-yāci-rudhi-pracchi-bhikṣi-ciñām.

अयमपि योगः शक्योऽवक्तुम्

This sūtra too may not have been read.

कथमुपाध्यायादधीत इति ?

What will be the authority for the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ of $up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}ya$ in the sentence $Up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}d$ $adh\bar{\imath}t\bar{\epsilon}$?

अपकामित तसादध्ययनम् Words of instruction get out of him. यद्यपकामित, किं नात्यन्तायापकामित ?

If they get out of him, why do they not get out of him completely?

सन्ततत्वात्

On account of the ideas coming out of him in quick succession in the form of sounds.

अथ वा ज्योतिर्वज्ज्ञानानि भवन्ति

Or the ideas are like the rays emanating from a luminous body.

जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः (1, 4, 30)

अयमपि योगः शक्यतेऽवक्तुम्

This sūtra too may not have been read.

कथं गोमयाद् वृश्चिको जायते, गोलोमाविलोमभ्यो दूर्वा जायन्त इति ? What is the authority of apādānatva of gōmaya and gōlōmā-vilōma in the sentences gōmayād vṛścikō jāyatē (scorpion springs from cow-dung) and gōlōmāvilōmabhyō dūrvā jāyantē (panic grass is produced from the hair of cows and wool of sheep).

अपकामन्ति तास्तेभ्यः They come out from them.

यद्यपकामन्ति किं नात्यन्तायापकामन्ति

If they come out, who do they not come out all at once?

सन्ततत्वात् Since they are coming out in quick succession.

अथ वा अन्याश्च अन्याश्च प्रादुर्भवन्ति

Or they come out in different forms.

भुवः प्रभवः (1, 4, 31)

अयमि योगः शक्यतेऽवक्तुम्

This sūtra, too, may not have been read.

कथं हिमवतो गङ्गा प्रभवतीति ?

What will be the authority for the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ of $Himav\bar{a}n$ in the sentence $Himavat\bar{o}~Ga\dot{n}g\bar{a}~prabhavati$?

अपकामन्ति तास्तसादापः The waters get out of it.

यद्यपकामन्ति किं नात्यन्तायापकामन्ति ?

If they come out of it, why do they not come out all at once? सन्ततत्वात् Since they come in quick succession.

अथ वा अन्याश्च अन्याश्च प्रादुर्भवन्ति Or they come out in different forms.

कर्मणा यमभिष्रीति स सम्प्रदानम् (1, 4, 32)

Five topics are dealt with here:— The $pray\tilde{o}jana$ of the word $karman\bar{a}$, of the pronouns yam and sah and of the two prepositions abhi and pra form the first three. (4) The need or otherwise of adding the word $kriy\bar{a}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$. (5) The need of the $v\bar{a}rttika$ Karmanah $karanasamjn\bar{a}$ $samprad\bar{a}nasya$ ca $karmasamjn\bar{a}$.

I

कर्मग्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the word karmanā?

यमिभैति स सम्प्रदानम् इतीयत्युच्यमाने कर्मण एव सम्प्रदानसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत; कर्मग्रहणे पुनः कियमाणे न दोषो भवति, कर्म निमित्तत्वेनाश्रीयते ।

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read Yam $abhipr\bar{a}iti$ sa $samprad\bar{a}nam$ without the word $karman\bar{a}$, karma will chance to take $samprad\bar{a}nasamjn\bar{a}$; but, on the other hand, if the word $karman\bar{a}$ is read, there will be no difficulty and karma will be taken as the nimitta for $samprad\bar{a}natva$.

II

अथ यंसग्रहणं किमधेम् ?

What for are the pronouns yam and sah read?

कर्मणाभिषेति सम्प्रदानम् इतीयत्युच्यमाने आभिप्रयत एव सम्प्रदानसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत ; यंसग्रहणे पुनः क्रियमाणे न दोषो भवति, यंसग्रहणाद् अभिप्रयतः संप्रदानसंज्ञा निर्भज्यये ।

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $Karman\bar{a}$ abhipr $\bar{a}iti$ samprad $\bar{a}nam$, samprad $\bar{a}nasamjn\bar{a}$ will chance to go to $kart\bar{a}$; but, on the other hand, if yam and sah are read, there will be no difficulty and the $samprad\bar{a}nasamjn\bar{a}$ for $kart\bar{a}$ will be broken.

III

अभिप्रग्रहणं किमर्थम् ?

What is the purpose served by the prepositions abhi and pra? कर्मणा यमेति स सम्प्रदानम् इतीयत्युच्यभाने यमेव संप्रति एति तत्नैव स्याद् उपाध्यायाय गां ददाति इति, इह न स्याद् उपाध्यायाय गामदात्, उपाध्यायाय

गां दास्यति इति ; अभित्रग्रहणे पुनः क्रियमाणे न दोषो भवति, अभिराभिमुख्ये वर्तते, प्रशब्द आदिकर्मणि । तेन यं चाभिपैति, यं च अभिप्रैष्यति, यं च अभिप्रेष्यति, यं च अभिप्रागात् आभिमुख्यमात्रे सर्वत्र सिद्धं भवति ।

If the sūtra is read Karmaṇā yam ēti sa sampradānam without abhi and pra, sampradānasaṁjñā will happen to him whom he now gives, as in Upādhyāyāya gām dadāti and will not happen to him whom he gave or will give, as in Upādhyāyāya gām adāt and Upādhyāyāya gām dāsyati. If abhi and pra are read, there will be no difficulty; abhi connotes ābhimukhya and pra, āditva. Hence sampradānatva is achieved to him whom he directly gives, whom he will directly give and whom he directly gave.

IV

क्रियाग्रहणम् Reading the word kriyā in the sūtra.

क्रियाग्रहणमपि कर्तव्यम्, इहापि यथा स्यात्, श्राद्धाय विगर्हते, युद्धाय सन्नह्यते, पत्ये शेते इति

The word kriyā, too, should be read in the sūtra so that sampradānatva may resort to śrāddha, yuddha and pati in the sentences śrāddhāya nigarhatē (he ridicules over śrāddha), yuddhāya sannahyatē (he armours himself for battle) and patyē śētē (she sleeps near her husband).

तत्तर्हि वक्तत्र्यम् It must, then, be read.

न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not.

कथम् ! How will its purpose be served?

कियां हि नाम लोके कर्मेत्युपचरन्ति - कां कियां करिष्यसि - किं कर्भ करिष्यसि इति

For people in the world use the word karma to denote secondarily $kriy\bar{a}$, as in the sentence $ki\dot{m}$ karma kariṣyasi in the sense of what $kriy\bar{a}$ are you doing.

एवनपि कर्तञ्यम् - कृतिमाकृतिनयोः कृतिमे संप्रत्ययो भवति । Even then it should be read, since, of krtrima and akrtrima, only krtrima should be taken into account.

कियापि कृत्रिमं कर्म Even kriyā is kṛṭrima-karma.

SEVENTEENTH ÄHNIKA-KRUDHA... YAM PRATI KÖPAH 101

न सिध्यति । कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म इत्युच्यते, कथं च नाम क्रियया क्रियेप्सित-तमा स्यात्?

No, it cannot be. The $s\bar{u}tra$ Kartur $\bar{\imath}psitatama\dot{m}$ karma is read. How can $kriy\bar{a}$ become $\bar{\imath}psitatam\bar{a}$ through $kriy\bar{a}$?

कियापि कियया ईप्सिततमा भवति

Even $kriy\bar{a}$ becomes $\bar{\imath}psitatam\bar{a}$ through $kriy\bar{a}$.

कया कियया ? By which $kriy\bar{a}$?

सन्दर्शनिकयया, प्रार्थयतिकियया अध्यवस्यतिकियया च । इह य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी भवति संबुद्धचा तावत् कश्चिद् अर्थं सम्पश्यति, सन्दष्टे प्रार्थना, प्रार्थनायामध्यवसायः, अध्यवसाय आरम्भः, आरम्भे निर्वृत्तिः, निर्वृत्तौ फलावाप्तिः । एवं कियापि कृत्रिमं कर्म ।

By the $kriy\bar{a}$ of seeing, by the $kriy\bar{a}$ of wishing and by the $kriy\bar{a}$ of determining. A man of foresight, here, clearly sees the whole through his mind's eye; after comprehension, there is wish; after wish there is determination; after determination there is attempt; through attempt there is the finishing touch; thro' the finishing touch, there is the reach of the fruit. Hence $kriy\bar{a}$ too is krtrima-karma.

एवमपि - Even then,

कर्मणः करणसंज्ञा संप्रदानस्य च कर्मसंज्ञा

There is need to give $karaṇasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to karma and $karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to $samprad\bar{a}na$.

कर्मणः करणसंज्ञा वक्तन्या संप्रदानस्य च कर्मसंज्ञा - पशुना रुद्धं यजते, पशुं रुद्धाय ददाति इत्यर्थः । अभा किल पशुः प्रक्षिप्यते तद्भुदायोपिह्यत इति । $Karaṇasamij\~n\=a$ has to be given to karma and $karma-samij\~n\=a$ to $samprad\=ana$. Viz., $Paśun\=a$ Rudram $yajat\=a$. It means he gives paśu to Rudra. Paśu is thrown in fire and it is taken as an offering to Rudra.

Note:—It is only the $vap\bar{a}$ and the different organs that are thrown into fire and hence $pa\dot{s}u$ here refers to its parts.

क्रुधद्रुहेर्ष्यास्यार्थानां यं प्रति कोपः (1, 4, 37)

किमेते एकार्थाः आहोस्विन्नानार्थाः ?

Do these have the same meaning or different meanings?

कि चातः? What is gained whether it is this or that?

यद्येकार्थाः किमश्रे पृथङ् निर्दिश्यते ? अथ नानार्थाः, कथं कुपिना शक्यन्ते विशेषितुम् ?

If they are synonyms, why should they be read separately? If they have different meanings, how should each be qualified by kup?

एवं तर्हि नानार्थाः, कुपौ त्वेषां सामान्यमस्ति । न हि अकुपितः कुध्यति न वा अकुपितो दुद्यति, न वा अकुपितो इंद्यिति, न वा अकुपितो असूयित ।

If so, they have different meanings; but each of them springs from anger. For one without anger does not feel irritated; one without anger does not feel treacherous; one without anger does not feel jealous; and one without anger does not belittle others.

साधकतमं करणम् (1, 4, 42)

तमग्रहणं किमर्थं ? न साधकं करणम् इंत्येवोच्येत ?

What for is tama read? Why is not the sūtra read Sādhakam karanam?

साधकं करणम् इतीयत्युच्यमाने सर्वेषामेव कारकाणां करणसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत । सर्वाणि हि कारकाणि साधकानि । तमग्रहणे पुनः कियमाणे न दोषो भवति । If the sūtra is read Sādhakam karaṇam without tama-pratyaya, karaṇa-saṃjñā may chance to take hold of all kārakas; for all kārakas are sādhakas. If tama-pratyaya is read, there will be no defect.

नैतदस्ति भयोजनम् । पूर्वास्तावत् अगवादत्वाद् बाधिका भविष्यन्ति, पराः परत्वाद् अनवकाशत्वाच

This is not the prayojana. Those that precede set this at naught by being $apav\bar{a}da$ and those that follow set this at naught by being utkrsta (i. e.) $anavak\bar{a}sa$.

इह तर्हि धनुषा विध्यति, अपाययुक्तत्वाच अपादानसंज्ञा, साधकत्वाच करण-संज्ञा प्राप्नोति । तमप्रहणे पुनः कियमाणे न दोषो भवति ।

Here, then, in dhanusā vidhyati, there is chance for $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ - $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to dhanus on account of the existence of $ap\bar{a}ya$ and

 $karaṇasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on account of its being $s\bar{a}dhaka$. This defect will be removed, if tama-pratyaya is read.

एवं तर्हि लोकत एतत् सिद्धम् । तद्यथा लोके 'अभिक्ष्ताय उदक्रमानेयम्', 'अभिक्ष्पाय कन्या देया ' इति, न च अनिभक्षपे प्रवृत्तिरिस्त, तत्र अभिक्ष्पतमाय इति गम्यते । एविमहापि साधकं करणम् इत्युच्यते । सर्वाणि च कारकाणि साधकानि, न च अमाधके प्रवृत्तिरिस्त, तत्र साधकतमम् इति विज्ञास्यते । If so, this is so decided from what is found in the world. For instance it is said in the world, "Let water be brought to wash the feet of abhirāpa (the deserving bridegroom)", "Let the kanyā be given in marriage to the abhirāpa." Nobody thinks of the undeserving bridegroom and hence abhirāpāya evidently means to the most deserving. So also here it is said, 'Sādhakē karuṇam.' All kārakas are sādhakas and nobody thinks of asādhakas and hence sādhaka is taken to refer only to sādhakatama.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यत्तमग्रहणं करोति तज्ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः कारकसंज्ञायां तरतमयोगो न भवति इति

If so, $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ reads tama-pratyaya even though its purpose is otherwise served. Hence he suggests that there is no $tara-tama-y\bar{o}ga$ (choice of the better of the two or the best of many) with reference to $k\bar{a}raka-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit of this jñāpana?

अपायमाचार्यः किं न्याय्यं मन्यते ?

Which does Acārya think to be the legitimate apādana? यत्र सम्प्राप्य निवृत्तिः

Where there is separation after association.

तेनेहैव स्यात् श्रामाद् आगच्छति, नगराद् आगच्छति इति । साङ्काश्यकेभ्यः पाटलिपुत्रका अभिरूपतराः इत्यत्र न स्यात् ।

If so, it will operate only here in $-Gr\bar{a}m\bar{a}d$ $\bar{a}gacchati$, and $Nagar\bar{a}d$ $\bar{a}gacchati$ and not in $S\bar{a}\dot{n}k\bar{a}\dot{s}yak\bar{e}bhyah$ $P\bar{a}taliputrak\bar{a}$ $abhir\bar{u}patar\bar{a}h$.

^{1,} अपादानम् is another reading.

कारकसंज्ञायां तरतमयोगो न भवति इत्यत्रापि सिद्धं भवति It is secured even here by the dictum $K\bar{a}rakasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}y\bar{a}m\ taratamay\bar{o}g\bar{o}$ na bhavati.

तथा आधारमाचार्यः किं न्याय्यं मन्यते ?

Which does $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ think, in the same way. to be the legitimate $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ra$?

यत्र कृत्स्न आधारात्मा व्यासो भवित

Where $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ratva$ pervades the whole space occupied by $\bar{a}dh\bar{e}yatva$.

तेन इहैव स्यात् - तिलेषु तैलम्, दिश्च सिर्पः इति । गङ्गायां गावः, कूपे गर्गकुलम् इत्यत्र न स्यात् ।

If so, it will operate only here in Tilēṣu tāilam and Dadhni sarpiḥ and not in Gaṇgāyām gāvaḥ and Kūpē gargakulam.

कारक मंज्ञायां तरतमयोगो न भवति इति अत्रापि सिद्धं भवति ।

It is secured even here by the dictum $K\bar{a}rakasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ $tara-tama-y\bar{o}g\bar{o}$ na bhavati.

उपान्वध्याङुसः (1, 4, 48)

वसेरइयर्थस्य प्रतिषेधः Need to prohibit vas in the sense of fasting.

वसेरइयर्थस्य प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः, ग्रामे उपवसति इति ।

There is need to prohibit $karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to vas in the sense of to fast, so that there can be only this usage $Gr\bar{a}m\bar{e}\ upavasati$.

स तर्हि वक्तव्यः It must, then, be read.

न वक्तव्यः । नात्र उपपूर्वस्य वसेर्गामोऽधिकरणम्

No, it need not be read. $Gr\bar{a}ma$ is not the adhikaraṇa with reference to vas with upa.

कस्य तर्हि ? To which then?

अनुपसर्गस्य । ग्रामे असौ वसंस्निरात्रमुपवसति ।

 $Gr\bar{a}ma$ is adhikaraṇa only to vas preceded by no preposition, as in $Gr\bar{a}m\bar{e}$ $as\bar{a}u$ vasan $trir\bar{a}tram$ upavasati (he living in the village fasts three days).

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the relationship of $k\bar{a}la$ and upavasana is antaranga and that of $gr\bar{a}ma$ and upavasana is bahiranga.

कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म (1, 4, 49)

तमग्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is tama read?

कर्तुरीप्सितं कर्म इतीयत्युच्यमाने इह अझेर्माणवकं वारयति इति माणवकेऽ-पादानसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $Kartur\ \bar{\imath}psitam\ karma$ without tama, there is chance for $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ in $m\bar{a}navaka$ in the sentence $Agn^{\bar{e}r}$ $m\bar{a}navakam\ \bar{\imath}arayati$ by the $s\bar{u}tra\ V\bar{a}ran\bar{a}rth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m\ \bar{\imath}psitah$).

नैप दोषः । कर्मसंज्ञात्र बाधिका भविष्यति

This defect does not arise; $karmasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ (by this $s\bar{u}tra$ which is para) sets it at naught.

अमाविप तिह बाधिका स्यात्

If so, it may set at naught the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ in agni too.

इह पुनस्तमग्रहणे क्रियमाणे तदुपपन्नं भर्वाते यदुक्तं वारणार्थेषु कर्मग्रहणानर्थक्यं कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्मेति वचनादृ इति

If tama is read here, the vārttika Vāraņārthēṣu karmagrahaṇānarthakyam kartur īpsitatamam karmēti vacanāt will be appropriate.

इहोच्यते - ओदनं पचित इति, यद्योदनः पच्येत द्रव्यान्तरम् अभिनिर्वर्तेत The expression Ōdanam pacati is current in the world and the śāstra. If ōdana is cooked, it should transform itself into another.

Note:—Since the word $\bar{o}dana$ refers to cooked food, the $p\bar{u}rvapak$; in thinks that the expression $\bar{o}danam$ pacati is incorrect.

नैष दोष: । ताद्र्धात्ताच्छाब्दं भविष्यति ओदनार्थास्तण्डुला ओदन इति
This difficulty cannot stand. The word ōdana refers to rice which is intended to become ōdana, the word denoting effect being used to denote the cause.

अथ इह कथं भवितव्यम् - तण्डुलानोदनं पचित इति आहोस्वित् तण्डुलाना-मोदनं पचित इति ?

Of the following two usages here:— $Tandul\bar{a}n\ \bar{o}danam\ pacati$ (he cooks rice into food) and $Tandul\bar{a}n\bar{a}m\ \bar{o}danam\ pacati$ (he cooks the food of rice), which is correct?

उभयथापि भवितन्यम् Usage may be both ways. कथम्? How?

इह हि तण्डुलानोदनं पचित इति, द्यर्थः पिचः, तण्डुलान् पचनोदनं निर्वर्तयति । इह इदानीं तण्डुलानामोदनं पचित इति द्यर्थश्चेव पिचिर्विकारयोगे षष्ठी, तण्डुलिकारमोदनं निर्वर्तयति ।

Here in the sentence $Tandul\bar{a}n\ \bar{o}danam\ pacati$, of the two parts of the meaning of pac, $vikl\bar{e}dana$ (transforming into soft material) and nirvartana (obtaining the food), the former takes tandula for its object and the latter $\bar{o}dana$ for its object, so that the sentence means $tandul\bar{a}n\ pacan\ \bar{o}danam\ nirvartayati$ Here, then, in the sentence $Tandul\bar{a}n\bar{a}m\ \bar{o}danam\ pacati$, of the two parts $vikl\bar{e}dana$ and nirvartana the former becomes the $vis\bar{e}sana$ of $\bar{o}dana$ and $\bar{o}dana$ becomes the object of nirvartana, so that the sentence means $tandulavik\bar{a}ram\ \bar{o}danam\ nirvartayati$.

इह कश्चित् कञ्चिद् आमन्त्रयते सिद्धं सुज्यताम् इति । स आमन्त्रयमाण आह प्रभृतं सुक्तमसाभिः इति ।

One says, here to another, "Please take food." He replies, "I have already taken plenty."

आमन्त्र्यमाण आह दिध - खळु भविष्यति, पयः खळु भविष्यति
The former again says, "There will be curds too and there will be milk too."

आमन्त्र्यमाण आह - दथ्घा खल्ल भुक्षीय पयसा खल्ल भुक्षीय इति
The latter says, "I shall certainly take the food with curds;
I shall certainly take the food with milk."

अत्र कर्मसंज्ञा शामोति, तद्धि तस्येप्सिततमं भवति

Here in dadhi and payas - there is chance for $karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, since each of them is $\bar{\imath}psitatama$.

तस्याप्योदन एवेप्सिततमो न तु गुणेष्वस्यानुरोधः, तद्यथा भुझीयाहमोदनं यदि मृदुर्विशदः स्याद् इति । एविमहापि दिधगुणमोदनं भुझीय, पयोगुणमोदनं भुझीय इति ।

Even to him it is $\bar{o}dana$ that is $\bar{i}psitatama$, since he has no $\bar{a}dara$ in the accessories alone, as in the statement $Bhu\tilde{n}j\bar{i}ya$

aham ōdanam yadi mṛdur viśadaḥ syāt (I shall eat the food if it is soft and fresh). So also it means here dadhiguṇam ōdanam bhuñjīya (I shall eat food mixed with curds) and payōguṇam ōdanam bhuñjīya (I shall eat food mixed with milk.)

ईप्सितस्य कर्मसंज्ञायां निर्वृत्तस्य कारकत्वे कर्मसंज्ञाप्रसङ्गः क्रियेप्सितत्वात्

If $\bar{\imath}psita$ gets $karmasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, there is no chance for the object of an action to get it on account of the action being $\bar{\imath}psita$

ईप्सितस्य कर्मसंज्ञायां निर्वृत्तस्य कारकत्वे कर्मसंज्ञा न प्राप्तोति, गुडं भक्षयति If $\bar{\imath}psita$ gets $karma-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, the object of an action does not get it, as guda in Gudam bhakṣayati.

किं कारणम्? Why?

क्रियेप्सितत्वातः क्रिया तस्य ईप्सिता

Since the $kriy\bar{a}$ is the $\bar{\imath}psita$. It is the $kriy\bar{a}$ that is his $\bar{\imath}psita$.

न वोभयेप्सितत्वात् No, on account of both being īpsita.

न वैष दोषः This defect cannot arise.

किं कारणम्? Why?

उभयेप्सितत्वात् । उभयं हि तस्येप्सितम् । आतश्चोभयं यस्य हि गुडभक्षणे बुद्धिः प्रसक्ता भवति नासौ लोष्टं भक्षयित्वा कृती भवति

On account of both being $\bar{\imath}psita$. For both are his $\bar{\imath}psita$. Since he who thinks of swallowing jaggery is not satisfied if he swallows a piece of stone, both are his $\bar{\imath}psita$.

यद्यपि तावदत्रैतच्छक्यते वक्तुं, ये त्वेते राजकर्मिणो मनुष्यास्तेषां कश्चित् कश्चिदाह कटं कुरु इति । स आह नाहं कटं करिष्यामि, घटो मया आहत इति ; तस्य कियामात्रमीप्सितम् ।

Even though it may thus be answered here, the $kriy\bar{a}$ alone is the $\bar{\imath}psita$ to him, who, among the servants of the king, answers "I will not make a mat; pot has been brought by me" to the request "Make a mat" made by another servant of the king.

यद्यपि तस्य क्रियामात्रमीप्सितं, यस्त्वसौ प्रेषयति तस्योभयमीप्सितम् इति Even though $kriy\bar{a}$ alone is $\bar{\imath}psita$ to him, both are $\bar{\imath}psita$ to him who sends him to fetch it.

तथा युक्तं चानीप्सितम् (1, 4, 50)

किमुदाहरणम् ? What is the example?

विषं भक्षयति इति Visam in the sentence Visam bhakṣayati.

नैतद्स्तः पूर्वेणाप्येतत् सिध्यति

No, it is not; it can be secured even from the previous $s\bar{u}tra$.

न सिध्यति । कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म इत्युच्यते, कस्य च नाम विषभक्षण-

No, it cannot be secured. The previous $s\bar{u}tra$ reads Kartur $\bar{\imath}psitatamam\ karma$. To whom will the taking of poison be $\bar{\imath}psita$?

विषभक्षणमपि कस्यचिदीप्सितं भवति

Even visa-bhakṣana becomes īpsita to some one.

कथम् ? How?

इह य एष मनुष्यो दुःखार्तो भवति सोऽन्यानि दुःखानि अनुनिशम्य विष-भक्षणमेव ज्यायो मन्यते । आतश्च ईप्सितं यत्तद् भक्षयति ।

A man here who suffers from misery, arguing within himself the relative strength of other miseries feels that it is better to take poison. Hence whatever he eats is $\bar{\imath}psita$.

यत्ति अन्यत् करिष्यामीति अन्यत् करोति, तदुदाहरणम् If so, that becomes the $ud\bar{a}harana$ which one does even though he wanted to do another.

किं पुनस्तत्? What is it?

श्रामान्तरमयं गच्छन् चोरान् पश्यति, अहिं लङ्घयति, कण्टकान् मृद्गाति इति One who goes to another village sees thieves, transgresses serpents, walks over thorns etc.

इह ईप्सितस्यापि कर्मसंज्ञा आरभ्यते अनीप्सितस्यापि । यदिदानीं नैवेप्सितं नाप्यनीप्सितं तत्र कथं भवितव्यं - ग्रामान्तरमयं गच्छन् वृक्षमूलान्युपसर्पति कुड्य-मूलान्युपसर्पति इति

 $Karma-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is, here, enjoined to the $\bar{\imath}psita$ and to the $an-\bar{\imath}psita$. What should be the lot of one which is neither $\bar{\imath}psita$ nor $an-\bar{\imath}psita$, as $vrksam\tilde{\imath}la$ and $kudyam\tilde{\imath}la$ in the

sentence Grāmāntaram ayam gacchan vṛkṣamūlāni upasarpati and kuḍyamūlāni upasarpati.

अत्रापि सिद्धम् It is secured even here.

कथम् श How?

अनीप्सितम् इति नायं प्रसज्यप्रतिषेधः ईप्सितं न इति

The word an- $\bar{\imath}psitam$ is not prasajya-pratiṣēdha in the sense $\bar{\imath}psitam$ na.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

पर्युदासोऽयं यदन्यद् ईप्सितात् तद् अनीप्सितम् इति । अन्यच एतद् ईप्सिताद् यन्नैवेप्सितं नाप्यनीप्सितम् इति ।

This is $paryud\bar{a}sa$ and hence it means that which is other than $\bar{\imath}psita$. That which is neither $\bar{\imath}psita$ nor $an-\bar{\imath}psita$ is one other than $\bar{\imath}psita$.

अकथितं च (1, 4, 51)

Ten ślōkavārttikas are discussed here. They deal with five topics:—(1) Enumeration of roots with reference to which akathita takes karma-samjāā (2) Do lakārārtha, krtyārtha etc. have reference to kathita or akathita? (3) Which roots are dvikarmakas? (4) Which take karma-samjāā with reference to akarmakadhātus? (5) Are the preceding two sūtras necessary or not?

1

केन अकथितम्? By which is it avivakṣita?

अपादानादिभिर्विशेषकथाभिः

By the special kārakas like apādāna which have been mentioned.

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the udaharana?

1. All of them are published as $v\bar{a}rttikas$ in Guruprasada Sastri's edition. But five or six found in the middle are published as $v\bar{a}rttikas$ and the rest as $bh\bar{a}sya$ in Panduranga Javaji's edition.

दुहियाचिरुधिप्रच्छिभिक्षिचित्रामुपयोगनिमित्तमपूर्वविधौ । ब्रुविशासिगुणेन च यत्सचते तद्कीर्तितमाचरितं कविना ॥

The nimitta of the phala, when they do not take the special $k\bar{a}raka$ by the previous $s\bar{u}tras$, with reference to the roots duh, $y\bar{a}c$, rudh, pracch, bhiks and ci and that which is associated with the $pradh\bar{a}na$ -karma of $br\bar{u}$ and $s\bar{a}s$ are considered to be akathitas by the seer ($\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ $P\bar{a}nini$).

Note:—The word kavi is used here in the sense found in the $V\bar{e}das$. Cf. Kavikratuh in the first $s\bar{u}kta$ of the $Rgv\bar{e}das$ $samhit\bar{a}$.

दुहि - गां दोग्धि पयः

The word $g\bar{a}m$ in the sentence $G\bar{a}m$ $d\bar{o}gdhi$ payah is an example-

नैतदस्ति । कथिताऽत्र पूर्वा अपादानसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

Note:—Nāgōjibhaṭṭa reads here:—Payō-niṣṭha-vibhagaja-saṃyōgānukūla - vyāpārasyāiva dhātvarthatvē idam bhaṣyam tattvam. Ēvam agrēspi. Ēvam-rūpē arthē apādānatva-avivak-ṣābhiprāyēṇa siddhāntinā udāharaṇa-dānam, tad ajānataḥ pūrvapakṣiṇaḥ khaṇḍanam ityēva sāram.

याचि - इदं तर्हि पौरवं गां याचते

If so, this - the word $p\bar{a}uravam$ in $P\bar{a}uravam$ $g\bar{a}m$ $y\bar{a}cat\bar{e}$ is an example.

नैतदस्ति । कथितात्र पूर्वा अपादानसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $\bar{a}p\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

न याचनादेव अपायो भवति । याचितोऽसौ यदि ददाति ततोऽपायेन युज्यते । Separation does not take place merely from yācana; only if one who is begged gives, there is separation.

रुधि - अन्ववरुणद्धि गां त्रजम्

The word vrajam in Anvavarunaddhi gām vrajam is an example.

नैतदस्ति । कथितात्र पूर्वा अधिकरणसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $adhikaranasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ which precedes has been enjoined to it.

प्रचिछ - माणवकं पन्थानं पृच्छति

The word $m\bar{a}navakam$ in $M\bar{a}navakam$ panth $\bar{a}nam$ prechati is an example.

नैतदस्ति । कथितात्र पूर्वा अपादानसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

न प्रश्नादेव अपायो भवति, पृष्ठोऽसो यद्याचष्टे ततोऽपायेन युज्यते । Separation does not spring only from the question; only when one who is questioned answers, he is associated with $ap\bar{a}ya$

भिक्षि - पौरवं गां भिक्षते

The word pāuravam in Pāuravam gām bhikṣatē is an example.

नैतदस्ति । कथितात्र पूर्वा अपादानसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:-Atha $y\bar{a}ci$ -bhik $sy\bar{o}r$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rtha$ - $tv\bar{a}t$ kimartham $ubhay\bar{o}r$ $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$? $Ucyat\bar{e}$ - $anunay\bar{a}rthasy\bar{a}pi$ $y\bar{a}c\bar{e}r$ $grahan\bar{a}rtham$.

न भिक्षणादेव अवायो भवति, भिक्षितोऽसौ यदि ददाति ततोऽपायेन युज्यते Separation does not take place only from bhikṣaṇa; only when the person begged gives, he is associated with apāya.

चिञ् - वृक्षमवचिनोति फलानि

The word vrkṣam in the sentence Vrkṣam avacinōti phalāni is an example.

नैतदिस्त । कथिता अत्र पूर्वा अपादानसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

ब्रुविशासि - 1

ब्रुविशासिगुणन च यत्सचते - सम्बध्यते - तच्चोदाहरणम्

That which is associated with the $pradh\bar{a}na.karma$ of $br\bar{u}$ and $s\bar{a}s$ is an example.

1. The whole line is printed in all editions. Since it is only a pratika bruvi-śāsi alone is mentioned here,

कि पुनस्तत्? What is it?

पुत्रं ब्रूते धर्म, पुत्रमनुशास्ति धर्मम् इति

Putra in the sentences Putram brūtē dharmam, and Putram anušāsti dharmam.

नैतद्स्ति । कथितात्र पूर्वा सम्प्रदानसंज्ञा

No, it is not. $Samprad\bar{a}na$ -sam $j\tilde{n}a$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

तसात् त्रीण्येव उदाहरणानि - पौरवं गां याचते, माणवकं पन्थानं पृच्छति, पौरवं गां भिक्षते इति

Hence only three— $p\bar{a}uravam$, $m\bar{a}navakam$ and $p\bar{a}uravam$ in the sentences $P\bar{a}uravam$ $g\bar{a}m$ $y\bar{a}cat\bar{e}$, $M\bar{a}navakam$ $panth\bar{a}nam$ prechati and $P\bar{a}uravam$ $g\bar{a}m$ bhik name at a serve as examples.

IJ

अथ ये धातूनां द्विकर्मकाः, तेषां किं कथिते लादयो भवन्ति आहोस्विद् अकथिते

Are lādis used with kathita or akathita with reference to the roots which are dvikarmakas?

Note:—1. $L\bar{a}dis$ are $la-krtya-kta-khalarth\bar{a}h$.

Note:—?. Kathitah means pradhānah (īpsitatamah).

कृथिते लाद्यः Lādis are used with kathita.

कथिते लादिभिरभिहिते गुणकर्मणि का कर्तव्या?

If kathita is abhihita by $l\bar{a}dis$, what $k\bar{a}raka$ should be used with $apradh\bar{a}na-karma$ (i.e.) if the nominative case is used with the $pradh\bar{a}na-karma$ in the passive voice, what case should be used with the $apradh\bar{a}na-karma$?

कथिते लादयश्चेत्स्यः पष्टीं क्यित्तदा गुणे

If $l\bar{a}dis$ are used with kathita, sixth case should be used with the $apradh\bar{a}na-kurma$.

कथिते लादयश्चेत् स्युः षष्ठी गुणकर्माणि तदा कर्तव्या दुह्यते गोः पयः, याच्यते पौरवस्य कम्बल इति

lf lādis are used with kathita, sixth case should be used with a pradhāna-karma. Viz., Duhyatē gōḥ payaḥ; yācyatē pāuravasya kambalaḥ.

कथम्? Why?

अकारकं ह्यकथितात्, कारकं चेतु नाकथा

It is akāraka on account of akathitatva and if it is kāraka, it cannot be akathita.

अकारकं होतद् भवति This is akāraka.

ाकें कारणम् ? Why?

अकथितत्वात् On account of its being akathita.

अथ कारकं नाकथितम् If it is kāraka, it is not akathita.

अथ कारके सति का कर्तव्या ?

If it is $k\bar{a}raka$, what should be done?

कारकं चेद्विजानीयाद्यां यां मन्येत सा भवेत्

If it is taken as a $k\bar{a}raka$, that which is considered suitable may be used.

कारकं चेद् विजानीयाद् या या शामोति सा सा कर्तव्या । दुह्यते गोः पयः, याच्यते पौरवात् कम्बलः इति

If it is taken as a $k\bar{a}raka$, whatever is found suitable may be used. Viz. $Duhyat\bar{e}\ g\bar{o}h\ payah$; $y\bar{a}cyat\bar{e}\ p\bar{a}urav\bar{a}t\ kambalah$.

कथितेऽभिहिते त्वविधिस्त्वमतिर्गुणकर्माण लादिविधिः सपरे

Your opinion stands when kathita is abhihita; but, in the opinion of others, there is $l\bar{a}dividhi$ in the $apradh\bar{a}nakarma$ and also with reference to those found in the following two $s\bar{u}tras$.

कथिते लादिभिरभिहिते त्वविधिरेष भवति

When $pr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}na$ -karma is suggested by $l\bar{a}dis$, your opinion stands.

किमिदं त्वविधिः ? What does tva-vidhi mean?

तव विधिः त्वविधिः Tva-vidhih means tava vidhih.

Note:—The derivation of tva-vidhih is evidently tvasya vidhih where tva means some one as in the Rk Uta tvah pasyan na dadarsa $v\bar{a}cam$ mentioned in $Paspas\bar{a}hnika$. But here it refers to the $p\bar{u}rvapak$ sin in a light way.

त्वमतिः Your considered opinion.

किमिदं त्वमतिरिति? What is mean by tva-matih? तव मतिस्त्वमतिरिति Tvamatih means tava matih. नैवमन्ये मन्यन्ते Others do not consider so. कथं तर्द्धन्ये मन्यन्ते ? How do then others consider?

गुणकर्मणि लादिविधिः सपरे - गुणकर्मणि लादिविधयो भवन्ति सह परेण योगेन - गतिबुद्धिपत्यवसानार्थशब्दकर्माकर्मकाणामणि स णौ

 $L\bar{a}dividhi$ is with reference to guṇakarma here along with those mentioned in the following $s\bar{u}tra~Gati$ -buddhi-pratyav \bar{a} - $s\bar{a}n\bar{a}rtha$ -sabdakarma-akarmak $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m~ani~kart\bar{a}~sa~n\bar{a}u$.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{o}jibhatta$ says that $sapar\bar{e}$ refers to both the $s\bar{u}tras\ Gatibuddhi...$ and $Hrkr\bar{o}r...$

धुवचेष्टितयुक्तिषु चाप्यगुणे तदनल्पमतेर्वचनं सारत

Remember the words of the man of great wisdom that $l\bar{a}dis$ occur to $pradh\bar{a}nakarm\imath$ with reference to akarmakas and gatyarthas.

ध्रुत्रयुक्तिषु चेष्टितयुक्तिषु चाप्यगुणे कर्माण लादयो भवन्तीत्येतदनल्पमते-राचार्यस्य वचनं सार्यताम्

Let the words of the $Ac\bar{a}rya$ of great wisdom be remembered that $l\bar{a}dis$ occur to aguna-karma with reference to akarmakas and gatyarthas.

अपर आह Another says.

प्रधानकर्मण्याख्येये लादीनाहुर्द्विकर्मणाम्

Lādis occur to pradhana-karma with reference to roots taking two objects.

प्रधानकर्मण्यभिघेये द्विकर्मणां घातूनां कर्मणि लादयो भवन्तीति वक्तन्यम् - अजां नयति प्रामम्, अजा नीयते प्रामम्, अजा नीता प्रामम् इति It must be said that $l\bar{a}dis$ occur to the $pradh\bar{a}na-karma$ with reference to roots taking two objects. Viz., $Aj\bar{a}m$ nayati

grāmam, ajā nīyatē grāmam, ajā nītā grāmam.

अप्रधाने दुहादीनां, ण्यन्ते कर्तुश्च कर्मणः

1. लाद्य: is another reading.

 $L\bar{a}dis$ occur to $apradh\bar{a}na$ with reference to $duh\bar{a}dis$ and to $pradh\bar{a}na$ in nyanta.

अप्रधाने दुहादीनां कर्मणि लादयो भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम् - दुह्यते गौः पयः इति । ण्यन्ते कर्तुश्च कर्मणो लादयो भवन्ति इति वक्तव्यम् - गम्यते यज्ञदत्तो प्रामं देवदत्तेन ।

It must be stated that $l\bar{a}dis$ occur to $apradh\bar{a}na$ -karma with reference to $duh\bar{a}dis$. Viz., $Duhyat\bar{e}$ $g\bar{a}uh$ payah, $Dugdh\bar{a}$ $g\bar{a}uh$ payah. It must be stated that $l\bar{a}dis$ occur to $pradh\bar{a}na$ -karma with reference to causal roots. Viz. $Gamyat\bar{e}$ $yaj\bar{n}a$ - $datt\bar{o}$ $gr\bar{a}mam$ $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{e}na$.

111

के पुनर्धातूनां द्विकर्मकाः ?

Which, among roots, take two objects after them?

Note: $-N\bar{a}g\bar{o}jibhatta$ reads here: $-Idam\ vy\bar{a}p\bar{a}radvay\bar{a}r$ thakadhāthûpalakṣaṇam.

नीवह्योहरतेश्वापि गत्यर्थानां तथैव च । द्विकर्मकेषु ग्रहणम् द्रष्टव्यमिति निश्चयः ॥

It is the decided opinion that, among dvikarmakas, the roots $n\bar{\imath}$, vah, hr, and gatyarthas should be taken.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $-Cak\bar{a}r\bar{e}na$ jayaty $\bar{a}dayah$ samucciyant \bar{e} (the roots $j\bar{\imath}$, mus and dand).

अजां नयति ग्रामम्, भारं वहति ग्रामम्, भारं हरति ग्रामम्, (गत्यर्थानां) गमयति देवदत्तं ग्रामम्, यापयति देवदत्तं ग्रामम् ।

The examples are: $-Aj\bar{a}m$ nayati grāmam, $Bh\bar{a}ram$ vahati grāmam, $Bh\bar{a}ram$ harati grāmam, Gamayati $D\bar{e}vadattam$ grāmam, $Y\bar{a}payati$ $D\bar{e}vadattam$ grāmam.

सिद्धं वाप्यन्यकर्मणः

It is achieved by taking one to be the karma of another $dh\bar{a}tu$ (which is understood).

सिद्धं वा पुनरेतद्भवति Or this object is achieved.

कुतः How?

अन्यकर्मणः - अन्यस्यात्र अजा कर्म, अन्यस्य ग्रामः - अजाम् असौ गृहीत्वा ग्रामं नयति By taking one to be the object of another verb that is understood. Here $aj\bar{a}$ is the object of one and $gr\bar{a}ma$ is the object of another. It means that he, having taken $aj\bar{a}$, went to $gr\bar{a}ma$.

अन्यकर्मेति चेहूया छादीनामविधिभवेत्

If it is said to be the karma of another, $l\bar{a}dis$ cannot be used with reference to them.

अन्यकर्मेति चेद् ब्र्याद् लादीनामविधिरयं भवेत् - अजा नीयते प्रामम् इति-परसाधन उत्पद्यमानेन लेन अजाया अभिधानं न प्रामोति ।

If it is said that it is the karma of another, $l\bar{a}dis$ used with reference to the other $(gr\bar{a}ma)$ cannot be applied to it, so that the sentence $Aj\bar{a}$ $n\bar{i}yat\bar{e}$ $gr\bar{a}mam$ cannot be accomplished, since $karmanilak\bar{a}ra$ of $n\bar{i}$ having $gr\bar{a}ma$ for its karma cannot denote the karmatva of $aj\bar{a}$.

कालभावाध्वगन्तव्याः कर्मसंज्ञा ह्यकर्मणाम्

Time, duration and the distance to be travalled take $karma-sa\bar{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to $akarmaka-dh\bar{a}tus$.

कालभावाध्वगन्तव्या अकर्मकाणां धातूनां कर्मसंज्ञा भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम् -(काल) - मासमास्ते, मासं स्विपिति ; (भाव) गोदोहमास्ते, गोदोहं स्विपिति ; (अध्वगन्तव्य) क्रोशमास्ते, क्रोशं स्विपिति ।

It must be stated that time, duration and the distance to be travelled take $karma-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to intransitive roots. Viz. (Time) - $M\bar{a}sam\ \bar{a}st\bar{e}$ and $m\bar{a}sam\ svapiti$; (Duration) $G\bar{o}d\bar{o}ham\ \bar{a}st\bar{e}$ (he stays the time taken for milking the cow) and $g\bar{o}d\bar{o}ham\ svapiti$; (Distance to be travelled) - $Kr\bar{o}sam\ \bar{a}st\bar{e}$ and $kr\bar{o}sam\ svapiti$.

देशश्राकर्मणाम् 1 Country too with reference to akarmakas.

देशश्चाकर्मकाणां कर्मसंज्ञा भवतीति वक्तव्यम् - कुरून् स्विपिति, पञ्चालान् स्विपिति ।

l. This is the reading given in Sri Guruprasadasastri's edition; but, on considering the following $v\bar{a}rttika$, it may read $D\bar{e}\dot{s}a\dot{s}c\bar{a}karmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ hi. Panduranga Javaji's edition reads $D\bar{e}\dot{s}a\dot{s}$ ca.

It must be stated that country too take $karma-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to intransitive verbs. Viz. $Kur\bar{u}n$ svapiti and $Pa\tilde{n}c\bar{a}l\bar{a}n$ svapiti.

देशश्वाकर्मकाणां हि कर्मसंज्ञो भवेद्यदि । विपरीतं तु यत्कर्म तत्करम कवयो विदुः ॥

Learned men call it kalma which is other than those mentioned in the three $s\bar{u}tras$ above (i.e.) the $k\bar{a}la$, $bh\bar{a}va$, adhvagantavya and $d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ used as karma of akarmakas.

किमिदं कल्मेति? What is this - kalma?

अपरिसमाप्तं कर्म कल्म । न वा अस्मिन् सर्वाणि कर्मकार्याणि क्रियन्ते । Kalma is incomplete karma; for all the karma-kāryas are not operated here (i.e. la, kṛtya, kta etc.)

किं तर्हि ! Which karma-kārya does it take then? द्वितीयैव Only the second case.

यस्मिस्तु कर्मण्युपजायतेऽन्यद्धात्वर्थयोगापि च यत्र षष्ठी । तत्कर्म कल्मेति च, कल्म नोक्तं धातोहिं वृत्तिने रलत्वतोऽस्ति

Kalma is that karma in the presence of which the $pradh\bar{a}na-karma$ is produced and which takes the sixth case when it qualifies the $pradh\bar{a}na-karma$ and hence it is not separately mentioned, since there is no change in the meaning of the word simply at the interchange of r and l.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says $aparisam\bar{a}ptam$ karma kalma to be tuccha-pakṣa. The second line alone is read as $bh\bar{a}ṣya$ in the Bombay edition.

एतेन कर्मसंज्ञा सर्वा सिद्धा भवत्यकथितेन । तत्रेप्सितस्य किं स्थात्त्रयोजनं कर्मसंज्ञायाः ॥

All karma-samjñās are achieved by the sūtra Akathitam ca. Hence what purpose is served by the sūtra Kartur īpsitatamam karma?

यतु कथितं पुरस्तादीप्सितयुक्तं च तस्य सिध्यर्थम् । ईप्सितमेव तु यत्स्यात्तस्य भविष्यत्यकथितेन ॥

1. Sri Guruprasadasastri's edition says that this line is found in the $V\bar{a}rttika$ manuscripts.

The $s\bar{u}tra$ Kartur $\bar{\imath}psitatamam$ karma is intended for achieving $karmasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to that which gets $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $V\bar{a}ra\bar{n}arth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ $\bar{\imath}psita\dot{p}$. The $s\bar{u}tra$ Akathitam ca is intended when $\bar{\imath}psitattva$ alone is wished for and not $\bar{\imath}psitatamatva$.

अथेह कथं भवितव्यं नेताश्वस्य स्नुप्तम् इति, आहोस्वित् नेता अश्वस्य स्नुप्तस्य इति !

Of these two, Nētā aśvasya Snughnam, Nētā aśvasya Snughnasya, which is correct?

उभयथा गोणिकापुत्रः 1

Both are correct, says Gönikāputra.

गतिबुद्धित्रत्यवसानार्थशब्दकर्माकर्मकाणामणि कर्ता स णौ (1, 4, 52) There are two topics dealt with here:-(1) The meaning of the word karma in śabdakarma (2) Additions to, and alterations in, the sūtra.

I

शब्दकर्म इति कथमिदं विज्ञायते, शब्दो येषां किया इति, आहोस्वित् शब्दो येषां कर्म इति?

With reference to the compound word śabdakarma, is it to be understood in the sense śabdaḥ yēṣām kriyā or śabdaḥ yēṣām karma (i.e.) is the word karma used here in the sense of kriyā or karmakāraka?

कश्चात्र विशेषः ? What is the difference here?

शब्दकर्मनिर्देशे शब्दक्रियाणामिति चेत् ह्वयत्यादीनां प्रतिषेधः

If the word śabdakarma refers to roots which mean to make noise, there is need for the pratisēdha of the roots hvē etc.

शब्दकर्मनिर्देशे शब्दिकयाणामिति चेत् ह्रयत्यादीनां प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः । If the word śabdakarma refers to roots which mean to make noise, there is need to enjoin the pratisēdha of hvayatyādis.

के पुनर्ह्वयत्यादयः ! Which are hvayatyādis?

ह्वयति, क्रन्दति, शब्दायते । ह्वयति देवदत्तः, ह्वाययति देवदत्तेन ; क्रन्दिति देवदत्तेन ; क्रन्दिति देवदत्तेन ।

1. With reference to Gönikāputra Nāgōjibhaṭṭa says, Bhāṣyākāra ityāhuḥ. Cf. Vol. I Preface p. XLIX (1st Edn.)

The roots $hv\bar{e}$, krand and $\hat{s}abda$ (nominal root). Viz., Hvayati $D\bar{e}vadattah$, $hv\bar{a}yayati$ $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{e}na$; krandati $D\bar{e}vadattah$, krandayati $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{e}na$; $\hat{s}abd\bar{a}yat\bar{e}$ ($\hat{s}abdam$ $kar\bar{o}ti$) $D\bar{e}vadattah$, $\hat{s}abd\bar{a}yat\bar{e}$ yayati $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{e}na$.

शृणोत्यादीनाञ्चोपसङ्ख्यानमशब्दक्रियत्वात्

Need for the $upasankhy\bar{a}na$ of $srn\bar{o}ty\bar{a}dis$ on account of their not being $sabdakriy\bar{a}$.

शृणोत्यादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम्

There is need to add to the list the roots sr etc.

के पुनः शृणोत्यादयः ? What are śṛṇōtyādis?

शृणोति, विजानाति, उपलभते । शृणोति देवदत्तः; श्रावयति देवदत्तम् । विजानाति देवदत्तः, विज्ञापयति देवदत्तम् । उपलभते देवदत्तः, उपलम्भयति देवदत्तम्

The roots śr, jñā with vi and labh with upa. Viz., śrnöti Dēvadattaḥ, śrāvayati Dēvadattam; vijānāti Dēvadattaḥ, vijñāpapayati Dēvadattam; upalabhatē Dēvadattaḥ, upalambhayati Dēvadattam.

कि पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति ? Why are not these forms secured? अशब्दिक्रियत्वात् On account of their being not śabdakriya अस्तु तिई शब्दो येषां कर्म इति ।

If so, let it be understood in the sense śabdaḥ yēṣām karma.

शब्दकर्मण इति चेत् जल्पतिप्रभृतीनामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

If it is taken in the sense of \$abdakarma, there is need for the upasankhyāna of jalpatyādis.

शब्दकर्मण इति चेत् जल्पतिश्मृतीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् । If it is taken in the sense of śabdakarma, there is need for the upasankhyāna of the roots jalp etc.

के पुनर्जल्पतिम्मृतयः ! Which are jalpatyādis?

जल्पति, विलपति, आभाषते । जल्पति देवदत्तः, जल्पयति देवदत्तम् ; विलपति देवदत्तम् ; विलपति देवदत्तम् ; आभाषते देवदत्तः, आभाषयति देवदत्तम् । The roots jalp, lap with vi and bhāṣ with ā. Viz. Jalpati Dēvadattaḥ, jalpayati Dēvadattam; vilapati Dēvadattaḥ, vilāpayati Dēvadattaḥ, ābhāṣayati Dēvadattam.

सर्वत्र हरोः 1

Need for the upasankhyāna of drś in both the interpretations.

हशेः सर्वत्रोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - पश्यति रूपतर्कः कार्षापणं, दर्शयति रूपतर्कं कार्षापणम् ।

There is need for the upasankhyāna of drś in both the interpretations. Viz. Paśyati rūpatarkah kārṣāpaṇam, darśayati rūpatarkam kārṣāpaṇam.

II

अदिखादिनीवहीनां प्रतिषेधः

There is need for the pratisedha of ad, khād, nī and vah.

अदिखादिनीवहीनां प्रतिषेघो वक्तज्यः - अत्ति देवद्त्तः, आद्यते देवद्त्तेन । There is need to enjoin the pratisedha of ad, khād, nī and vah. Viz. Atti Dēvadattaḥ, ādayatē Dēvadattēna.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ reads here: -Adi- $kh\bar{a}dy\bar{o}h$ pratyavas \bar{a} - $n\bar{a}rthatv\bar{a}t$ pr $\bar{a}ptih$, $n\bar{i}$ - $vahy\bar{o}r$ gatyarthatv $\bar{a}t$.

अपर आह - सर्वमेव प्रत्यवसानकार्यमदेः न भवतीति वक्तव्यं, परसौपदमपि इदमेकिमिष्यते - क्तोऽधिकरणे च घ्रोव्यगतिष्रत्यवसानार्थभ्यः, इदमेषां जग्धम् Another says that ad does not take all the kārya of pratyavasāna and parasmāipada except the operation of the rule Ktōsdhikaraṇē ca dhrāuvya-gatipratyavasānārthēbhyaḥ (3, 4, 76) so that the prayōga Idam ēṣām jagdham is secured.

खादि - खादित देवदत्तः, खादयित देवदत्तेन (नी - नयित देवदत्तः, नाययित देवदत्तेन

The examples with reference to the roots $kh\bar{a}d$ and $n\bar{\imath}$ are:- $Kh\bar{a}dati\ D\bar{e}vadattah$, $kh\bar{a}dayati\ D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{e}na$; $nayati\ D\bar{e}vadattah$, $n\bar{a}yayati\ D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{e}na$.

वहेरनियन्तृकतृकस्य

Vah should be restricted with reference to one who is not $niyantrkart\tilde{a}$.

वहेरनियन्तृककर्तृकस्य इति वक्तव्यम् - वहति भारं देवदत्तः, वाहयति भारं देवदत्तः, वाहयति भारं

1. दशेः सर्वत्र is another reading.

It must be said that vah should be restricted with reference to one who is not controlled by another.

अनियन्तृककर्तृकस्य इति किमर्थम्?

What for is the restriction aniyantrkakartrkasya?

वहन्ति बलीवर्दा यवान्, वाहयति बलीवर्दान् यवान्

So that it should not operate here: $-Vahanti\ bal\bar{\imath}vard\bar{a}\ yav\bar{a}n$, $v\bar{a}hayati\ bal\bar{\imath}vard\bar{a}n\ yav\bar{a}n$.

भक्षेरहिंसार्थस्य

Bhaks should be restricted to the meanings other than to destroy.

मक्षेरिहंसार्थस्येति वक्तन्यम् - भक्षयिति िण्डीं देवदत्तः, भक्षयिति पिण्डीं देवदत्तेन There is need to restrict the root bhaks to denote meanings other than to destroy. Viz. Bhaksayati piṇḍīm Dēvadattaḥ (Dēvadatta eats the ball of food), bhaksayati piṇḍīm Dēvadattēna (he makes the ball of food eaten by Dēvadatta).

अहिंसार्थस्य इति किमर्थम्?

What for is the mention of ahimsārthasya?

मक्षयन्ति यवान् बलीवर्दाः, भक्षयति यलीवर्दान् यवान्

So that $karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may come here - to $bal\tilde{i}varda$ in $bhakṣa-yati bal\tilde{i}vardan$ yavan corresponding to bhakṣayanti yavan $bal\tilde{i}vardah$ (oxen destroy yava).

अकर्मकग्रहणे कालकर्मणामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to add kālakarmanām after akarmaka in the sūtra.

अकर्मकग्रहणे कालकर्मणामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - मासम् आस्ते देवदत्तः, मासमासयति देवदत्तम् : मासं शेते देवदत्तः, मासं शाययति देवदत्तम् ।

There is need to add kālakarmaṇām after akarmaka in the sūtra, so that karmasamjñā may come to Dēvadatta in the following cases:-Māsam āsayati Dēvadattam; māsam śāyayati Dēvadattam, Corresponding to māsam āstē Dēvadattaḥ, māsam śētē Dēvadattaḥ.

सिद्धं तु कालकर्मणामकर्मकवद्वचनात्

It is achieved by stating that kālakarmakas are like akarmakas. सिद्धमेतत् This is achieved.

कथम्? How?

कालकर्मका अकर्मकवद्भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be stated that kālakarmakas behave like akarmakas.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It, then should be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । अकर्मकाणामित्युच्यते । न च केचित् कालभावाध्वभिरकर्मकाः, त एवं विज्ञास्यामः कचिदो अकर्मका इति ।

No, it need not be read. Mention is made of $akarmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$. There is no root which cannot take time, state or distance as its object. Hence $akarmak\bar{a}h$ means those which do not take anything other than those three (i.e.) say dravya for their objects.

अथ वा येन कर्मणा सकर्मकाश्च अकर्मकाश्च भवन्ति तेन अकर्मकाणाम् । न चैतेन कर्मणा कश्चिद्यकर्मकः ।

Or $akarmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ refers to those roots which become akarmakas and sakarmakas by the same karma (i. e.) $k\bar{a}la$ etc. No root is akarmaka by this karma (i. e.) $k\bar{a}la$ etc.

अथ वा यत् कर्म भवति, न च भवति, तेनाकर्मकाणाम् । न चैतत्कर्म कचिद्पि न भवति ।

Or $akarmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ refers to roots which do not take that as karma which becomes karma elsewhere. There is no place where this $k\bar{a}la$ etc. does not become karma.

हक्रोरन्यतरस्थाम् (1, 4, 53)

हुक्रोवीवचनेऽभिवादिदशोरात्मनेपद उपसङ्ख्यानम्

Abhivādi-dṛśōr ūtmanēpadē is to be added to the sūtra.

हकोर्वावचनेऽभिवादिदशोरात्मनेपद उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - अभिवदिति गुरुं देवदत्तः, अिवादयते गुरुं देवदत्तम्, अभिवादयते गुरुं देवदत्तेन; पश्यन्ति भृत्या राजानम्, दर्शयते भृत्यान् राजा, दर्शयते भृत्ये राजा ।

There is need to add Abhivādi-drśōr ātmanēpadē after hrkrōh Viz., Abhivadati gurum Dēvadattah, abhivādayatē gurum Dēvadattam, abhivādayatē gurum Dēvadattēnu; Paśyanti bhrtyā rājānam, darśayatē bhrtyān rājā, darśaya'ē bhrtyāi rājā.

कथं चात्र आत्मनेपदम् ?

What is the authority for ātmanēpada here?

एकस्य णेरणौ इति, अपरस्य णिचश्च इति ।

The sūtra Nēr anāu...(1, 3, 67) is for one and Nicaś ca (1, 3, 74) is for the other.

खतन्त्रः कर्ता (1, 4, 54)

There are two topics here: (1) The meaning of the word svalantra. (2) Need or otherwise of adding prayojyaś ca.

T

किं यस्य स्वं तन्त्रं स स्वतन्त्रः ?

Does svatantra mean he who has warp?

ाक चातः? What if?

तन्तुवाये प्रामोति

The $samj\tilde{n}a$ will chance to reach weaver (alone).

नैष दोषः । अयं तन्त्रशब्दोऽस्त्येव विताने वर्तते । तद्यथा - आस्तीर्णं तन्त्रं, प्रोतं तन्त्रम् इति । वितानमिति गम्यते । अस्ति प्राधान्ये वर्तते । तद्यथा - स्वतन्त्रोऽसौ ब्राह्मण इत्युच्यते, स्वप्रधान इति गम्यते । तद्यः प्राधान्ये वर्तते तन्त्रशब्दः तस्येदं ग्रहणम् ।

This difficulty does not arise. This word tantra means extension, as in $\bar{A}st\bar{\imath}rnam$ tantram and $pr\bar{\imath}tam$ tantram and it means $pr\bar{\imath}adh\bar{\imath}anya$, as in $Svatantr\bar{\imath}s\bar{\imath}au$ $br\bar{\imath}ahmanah$. Here it should be taken to mean $pr\bar{\imath}adh\bar{\imath}anya$.

II

स्वतन्त्रस्य कर्तृसंज्ञायां हेतुमत्युपसङ्ख्यानमस्वतन्त्रत्वात्

If $kartrsamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ is to svatantra, there is need to add $pray\tilde{o}jya$ to get the $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ since he is asvatantra.

स्वतन्त्रस्य कर्तृसंज्ञायः हेतुमत्युपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - पाचयत्योदनं देवदत्तो यज्ञदत्तेन इति

If $kartrsamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ is to svatantra, there is need to add $pray\tilde{o}jya$ so that third case may be used along with $Yaj\tilde{n}adatta$ in the sentence $P\bar{a}cayati\ \bar{o}danam\ D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{o}\ Yaj\tilde{n}adatt\bar{e}na$.

कि पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति? Why is it not secured? अस्वतन्त्रत्वात् On account of his not being svatantra.

न वा खातन्त्र्यात्, इतरथा ह्यकुर्वत्यापे कारयतीति स्थात्

No, it need not be on account of $sv\bar{a}tantrya$; otherwise there is chance for the $pray\bar{o}ja-k\bar{a}rayati$ even with reference to one who does not do the action.

न वा कर्तव्यम् No, it need not be read.

किं कारणम्? Why?

स्वातन्त्र्यात् - स्वतन्त्रोऽसौ भवति

On account of svatantrya. He is svatantra.

इतरथा ह्यकुर्वत्यिप कारयतीति स्यात् - यो हि मन्यते नासौ स्वतन्त्रः अकुर्वत्यिप तस्य कारयति इत्येतत् स्यात् ।

Otherwise there will be the usage $k\bar{a}rayati$ even with reference to one who does not do the karma. There is chance, for the use of the term $k\bar{a}rayati$ even with reference to one who does not do the karma in his opinion who thinks that the $pray\bar{o}jya-kart\bar{a}$ is not svatantra.

नाकुर्वतीति चेत् खतन्त्रः

If it is said that the term is not used with reference to one who does not do the karma, he is svatantra.

न चेदकुर्वति तस्मिन् कारयति इत्येतद् भवति, स्वतन्त्रोऽसौ भवति । If it is said that the term $k\bar{a}rayati$ is not used with reference to one who does not do, he becomes svatantra.

शक्यं तावद् अनेनोपसङ्ख्यानं कुर्वता वक्तुं कुर्वन् स्वतन्त्रः, अकुर्वन्न इति । It is then possible for one who favours upasankhyāna to say that he who does is svatantra and that he who does not do is not svatantra.

साधीयो ज्ञापकं भवति । प्रेषिते च किल अयं कियां च अक्रियां च हृष्ट्रा अध्यवस्यति, कुर्वन् स्वतन्त्रः अकुर्वन्न इति । यदि च प्रेषित्रोऽसौ न करोति स्वतन्त्रोऽसौ भवतीति । The inference is better. One decides that he who does is svatantra and that he who does not do is not svatantra only after seeing the prayōjya doing it or not doing it. Even when the prayōjya does not do it, he is svatantra (to choose not to do it).

तत्प्रयोजको हेतुश्र (1, 4, 55)

प्रैषेऽस्वतन्त्रप्रयोजकत्वाद्<u>वेत</u>ुसंज्ञाप्रसिद्धिः

Direction being in the range of the $pr\bar{e}rakatva$ of asvatantra, there is no chance for $h\bar{e}tusa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$ to take $kartrsa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$.

पैषे अस्वतन्त्रपयोजकत्वात् हेतुसंज्ञाया अशिसद्धिः शामोति । स्वतन्त्रपयोजको हेतुसंज्ञो भवतीत्युच्यते न चासौ स्वतन्त्रं प्रयोजयति ।

Since direction is within the range of the $pr\bar{e}raktva$ of asvatantra, there is no chance for $h\bar{e}tusamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to take $kartrsamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. The $s\bar{u}tra$ says that the $h\bar{e}tu$ which is the $pray\bar{o}jaka$ of svatantra takes $katrsamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$; but this is not the $pray\bar{o}jaka$ of svatantra.

स्वतन्त्रत्वात् सिद्धम्

The object is achieved on account of svatantratva.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम् ! How ?

स्वतन्त्रत्वात् । स्वतन्त्रमसौ प्रयोजयति

On account of svatantratva (of the prayōjya). He serves as the prayōjaka of the svatantra.

स्वतन्त्रत्वात् सिद्धमिति चेत् खतन्त्रपरतन्त्रत्वं विप्रतिषिद्धम्

If it is said Svatantratvād siddhum, there is conflict in saying svatantra and paratantra.

यदि स्वतन्त्रो न प्रयोज्यः, अथ प्रयोज्यो न स्वतन्त्रः, प्रयोज्यः स्वतन्त्रश्चेति विप्रतिषिद्धम्

If he is svatantra, he cannot be prayōjya and if he is prayōjya, he cannot be svatantra; hence it is contradictory to say that one is both prayōjya and svatantra.

उक्तं वि It has been answered.

किमुक्तम्? How has it been answered?

एकं तावद्क्तम् न वा स्वातन्त्र्यादितस्था ह्यक्रवित्यपि कारयतीत्येतत्स्याद् इति Firstly it was said in the previous sūtra "Na vā svātantryād itarathā hyakurvatyapi kārayatītyētat syāt."

अपरमुक्तं न वा सामान्यकृतःवाद्धेतुतो ह्याविशिष्टम् । स्वतन्त्रपयोजकःवाद् अप्रयोजक इति चेन्मुक्तसंशयेन तुल्यम् इति ।

Another argument has been said (by Vārttikakāra under Hētumati ca 3, 1, 26) "Na vā sāmānyakṛtatvāt hētutō hyavisiṣṭam; Svatantraprayōjakatvād aprayōjaka iti cēn muktasamśayēna tulyam."

SEVENTEENTH AHNIKA ENDS

Eighteenth Ahnika

(First adhyāya, fourth pāda, fourth āhnika)

प्राग्रीश्वरानिपाताः (1, 4, 56)

There are two topics here:-(1) Why is $r\bar{\imath} \dot{s} var\bar{a}d$ read instead of $\bar{\imath} \dot{s} var\bar{a}d$? (2) What is the purpose of reading $pr\bar{a}k$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$?

T

किमर्थ रेफाधिक ईश्वरशब्दो गृह्यते ?

Why is rīsvara read in place of īśvara?

रीश्वराद्वश्विरान्मा भृत्

So that the $nip\bar{a}ta$ -sa $inj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ may not extend to the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{I}svar\bar{e}$ $t\bar{o}sun$ -kasun $\bar{a}u$ (3, 4, 13) which follows $\dot{S}aki$ $namulkamul\bar{a}u$, but may stop with $Adhir\bar{\imath}svar\bar{e}$ (1, 4, 97).

रीश्वराद् इत्युच्यते वीश्वरान् मा भूदिति - शिकणमुल्कमुलावीश्वरे तोसुन्कसुनौ इति

 $R\bar{\imath}\acute{s}var\bar{a}d$ is read so that $v\bar{\imath}\acute{s}var\bar{a}d$ found in $\acute{S}aki$ -namul- $kumal\bar{a}$ - $v\bar{\imath}\acute{s}var\bar{e}$ $t\bar{o}sun$ - $kasun\bar{a}u$ ($sa\dot{m}hit\bar{a}$ - $p\bar{a}tha$) may not be taken.

Note: Definiteness is aimed at though with incorrect expression.

नैतद्क्ति प्रयोजनम् । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित अनन्तरो य ईश्वरशब्दस्तस्य प्रहणम् इति, यद्यं कृन्मेजन्तः इति कृतो मान्तस्यैजन्तस्याव्ययसंज्ञां शास्ति । No, this cannot be the prayōjana. Since Ācārya (Sūtrakāra) enjoins in the sūtra Kṛnmējantaḥ (1, 1, 39) that mānta and ējanta get avyayasaṃjñā (by being nipāta), his procedure suggests that the word īśvara which immediately follows should be taken into account.

कुन्मेजन्तः परोऽपि सः There is kṛnmējanta even after it

परोऽप्येतस्मात् कृत्मेजन्तश्चास्ति तद्रथमेतत् स्यात्

There is $m\bar{a}nta$ and $\bar{e}janta$ even after the second $\bar{i}svara$ and it is intended for it.

Note:—The ējanta is in the sūtra Kṛtyārthē tavāikēnkēnyatvanah which immediately follows the sūtra Īśvarē tōsun-

If so, since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) enjoins $avyayasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to $avyay\bar{\imath}-bh\bar{a}va$, he suggests that the word $\bar{\imath}svara$ which immediately follows should be taken into account.

Note:—If the second $\bar{\imath} \dot{s} vara$ is taken into account, the $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ mentioned in the second $adhy\bar{a}ya$ will take $nip\bar{a}ta-sa\dot{m}i\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and consequently $avyaya-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ since the former is in the fourth $p\bar{a}d\iota$ of the third chapter.

समासेष्त्रव्ययीभावः

To suggest that $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ alone takes $avyayasainjn\bar{a}$ among $sam\bar{a}sas$.

समासस्यैतज्ज्ञापकं स्यात् - अञ्ययीभाव एव समासोऽज्ययसंज्ञो अवित नान्य इति ।

It becomes $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}paka$ only to $sam\bar{a}sa$ (i.e.) among $sam\bar{a}sas$, $avyay\bar{b}h\bar{a}va$ alone gets the $avyayasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

एवं तर्हि, लोकत एतत्सिद्धम् । तद्यथा लोके आ वनान्ताद् आ उदकान्तात् भियं पान्थमनुत्रजेत् इति । य एव प्रथमो वनान्त उदकान्तश्च ततोऽनुत्रजन्ति । If so, it is decided from what is seen in the world. It is said that one should wish his friend bon-voyage after accompanying him as far as thick bush or water. They accompany him only as far as the vana or the first udakāśaya which is nearest.

लौकिकं चातिवर्तते

One even transgresses that rule found in the world.

द्वितीयं च तृतीयं च वनान्तमुद्कान्तं च अनुव्रजन्ति

They accompany him even as far as the second or the third vana or udaka which is one more remote or two.

तसाद्रेफाधिक ईश्वरशब्दो प्रहीतव्यः

Hence the word rīśvarāt alone has to be read in the sūlra.

11

अथ प्राग्वचनं किमर्थम्? What for is the word prāk read?

kasunāu and mānta in the sūtra Karmaņyākrōśē krňah khamuñ 3, 4, 25).

प्राग्वचनं संज्ञानिवृत्त्यर्थम्

The word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read not to allow the $samj\tilde{n}a$ to recede.

प्राग्वचनं क्रियते निपातसंज्ञाचा अनिवृत्तिर्यथा स्यात् । अक्रियमाणे हि प्राग्वचने अनवकाशा गत्युपसर्गकर्मप्रचनीयसंज्ञा निपातसंज्ञां बाधेरन्; ताः मा बाधिषत इति प्राग्वचनं क्रियते ।

The word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read so that there may be no nivrti to the $nip\bar{a}tasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. If the word $pr\bar{a}k$ is not read, the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ gati, upasarga and $karmapravacan\bar{i}ya$ which are $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ may set at naught the $nip\bar{a}tasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$; in order that they may not do it, the word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read.

अथ कियमाणेऽपि प्राग्वचने यावता अनवकाशा एताः संज्ञाः कसादेव न

How do these $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$, if $anavik\bar{a}sas$, not set it at naught, even though the word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read?

कियमाणे हि प्राग्वचने सत्यां निपातसंज्ञायामेता अवयवसंज्ञा आरभ्यन्ते, तत्र वचनात् समावेशो भवति ।

These avayavasamij $\tilde{n}as$ are enjoined only when $nip\bar{a}tasamij\tilde{n}a$ is there on account of the reading of $pr\bar{a}k$ and hence, on its strength all exist together.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:-Kēvolē tu nipātaļı ityadhikārē kriyamāṇē satyām api pratiyōgam anuvṛttāu ēkasamjñādhikārāt paryāyaḥ syāt, na tu samāvēśaḥ syāt.

चादयोऽसचे (1, 4, 57)

There are two topics here:-(1) What is the meaning of sattva?
(2) Is asattvē paryudāsa or prasajya-pratisēdha?

I

अयं सत्त्वश्राब्दोऽस्त्येव द्रव्यपदार्थकः - तद्यथाः सत्त्वमयं ब्राह्मणः; सत्त्वमियं ब्राह्मणी इति । अस्ति क्रियापदार्थकः - सद्भावः सत्त्वम् इति । कस्येदं प्रहणम्? This word sattva means dravya, as in sattvam ayam brāhmaṇaḥ and sattvam iyam brāhmaṇā. It means kriyā; sattvam is sadbhāva or sattā. In which meaning is this word used here?

द्रव्यपदार्थकस्य In the meaning of dravya.

कुत एतत् ? Why is it so?

एवं हि कृत्वा विधिश्च सिद्धो भवति, प्रतिपेधश्च

Only on this interpretation, vidhi and pratisēdha are possible.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: -Yadi sattvaśabdēna satt \bar{a} ucyatē, tadā pratiṣēdhōsnarthakaļ syāt. Na hi cādiṣu sattāvācī kaścit śabdōssti yadarthō niṣēdhaḥ syāt.

II

किं पुनरयं पर्युदासः - यदन्यत् सत्त्ववचनादिति, आहोस्वित् प्रसज्यायं प्रतिषेधः - सत्त्ववचने न इति ?

ls it paryudāsa meaning that which is other than sattva or prasajya-pratiṣēdha meaning but not in sattva.

किं चातः ? What is the difference?

यदि पर्युदासः, वित्र इत्यत्रापि प्राप्तोति । क्रियाद्रव्यवचनोऽयं सङ्घातः । द्रव्यादन्यश्च विधिना आश्रीयते । अस्ति च प्रादिभिः सामान्यमिति कृत्वा तदन्त-विधिना निपातसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति । अथ प्रसज्यप्रतिषेघो न दोषो भवति ।

If it is $paryud\bar{a}sa$, $nip\bar{a}tasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ will chance to come to the word vipra. It denotes $kriy\bar{o}pasarjana-dravyatva$ and hence it is other than dravya and so the word gets the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ through this $s\bar{u}tra$. Since the word is considered similar to $pr\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$, $nip\bar{a}tasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ sets in on account of the operation of tadantavidhi. If, on the other hand, it is taken as $prasajyapratis\bar{e}dha$, there is no difficulty.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads: $-Vipr\bar{a}ti$ iti viprah.

यथा न दोषः तथास्तु

Let it be taken in that way which will give no room for any difficulty.

प्रादय उपसर्गाः क्रियायोगे (1. 4, 58-59)

प्रादय इति योगविभागः

Prādayaḥ is split as a separate sūtra.

प्रादय इति योगविभागः कर्तव्यः प्रादयोऽसत्त्ववचना निपातसंज्ञा भवन्ति । तत उपसर्गाः क्रियायोगे इति । There is need to read $Pr\bar{a}dayah$ as a separate $s\bar{u}tra$, so that $pr\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}s$ which do not denote dravya take $nip\bar{a}tasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. Then $Upasarg\bar{a}h$ $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{o}g\bar{e}$ is to be read as a $s\bar{u}tra$.

किमर्थो योगविभागः? What for is the yōgavibhāga?

निपातसंज्ञार्थः So that prādis may get nipātasainjñā.

निपातसंज्ञा यथा स्यात्

So that nipātasamjñā may take hold of them.

एकयोगे हि निपातसंज्ञाभावः

For, there is no chance for $nip\bar{a}tasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ if the whole is taken as one $s\bar{u}tra$.

एकयोगे हि सति निपातसंज्ञाया अभावः स्यात् । यस्मिन्नेव विशेषे गत्युपसर्ग-कर्मशवचनीयसंज्ञास्तस्मिन्नेव विशेषे निपातसंज्ञा स्यात्

If it is taken as one $s\bar{u}tra$, there is no chance for them to get $nip\bar{a}tasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ as a general case. The $nip\bar{a}tasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ will take hold of them only on such occasions as will enable them get $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, $upasargasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ or $karmapravacan\bar{i}yasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

मरुच्छब्दस्योपसङ्ख्यानम्

The word marut should be added to the list.

मरुच्छब्दस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - मरुद्दतो मरुतः - अच उपसर्गाद् इति तत्त्वं यथा स्यात्

There is need to add marut to the list, so that by the operation of the sūtra Aca upasargāt taḥ (7, 4, 47) Marud dattaḥ may become maruttaḥ.

श्रच्छव्दस्योपसङ्ख्यानम् The word śrat should be added to the list. श्रच्छब्दस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यं - श्रद्धा

There is need to add $\acute{s}rat$ to the list, so that the word $\acute{s}raddh\bar{a}$ may be secured.

गतिश्व (1, 4, 60)

कारिकाशब्दस्य Need to add the word kārikā.

कारिकाशब्दस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - कारिकाकृत्य

There is need to add the word $k\bar{a}rik\bar{a}$ to the list, so that the form $k\bar{a}rik\bar{a}krtya$ may be secured.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:- $K\bar{a}rik\bar{a}$ $kriy\bar{a}$, $mary\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ sthith ityarthah. Yatna $ityapar\bar{e}$.

पुनश्रनसौ छन्दसि

Need to add punar and canas with reference to the usage in $V\bar{e}das$.

पुनश्चनसौ छन्दिस गतिसंज्ञौ भवत इति वक्तव्यम् - पुनरुत्स्यृतं वासो देयम्, पुनर्निष्कृतो रथः, उशिग्दूतश्चनोहितः इति

It must be said that punar and canas take gatisainjūā in Vēdas, for the sake of samāsa in punarutsyūtam and punarnişkrtah in the Vēdic sentences Punar-utsyūtam vāsō dēyam and punar-niṣkrtō rathah and for the sake of prakrtisvara in canōhitah in the Vēdic sentence Uśidūtaś canōhitah.

गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञाः क्रियायोगे यत्क्रियायुक्तास्तं प्रतीति वचनम्

Need to enjoin that there are $gatisamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $upasargasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only with reference to the $kriy\bar{a}$ with which they are associated.

गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञाः कियायोगे यत्कियायुक्तास्तं प्रति गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञा भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be enjoined that those that get $gatisamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $upasargasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ have them only with reference to the $kriy\bar{a}$ with which they are associated.

कि प्रयोजनम् ? What is the benefit?

प्रयोजनं घञ् षत्वणत्वे

Benefit is with reference to ghañ, satva and natva.

घन - प्रमाव: - प्रभाव:, अनुपसर्गे इति प्रतिषेधो मा भूत Ghañ - So that the pratisēdha of ghañ, by the sūtra Śrīṇibhuvōnupasargē (3, 3, 24) may not take place in the formation of the word prabhāvaḥ whose vigraha is pravrddhō bhāvaḥ.

Note:—Pra has $upasargasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ only with reference to vrdh and not with reference to $bh\tilde{u}$.

षत्वम् - विगताः सेचकाः असाद्वामात् विसेचको प्रामः । उपसर्गादिति षत्वं मा भृत् ।

So that satva may not take place by the sūtra Upasargāt sunēti ... sica - saŭja-svaŭjūm (8, 3, 65) in the word visēcakah

of the sentence Visēcakō grāmaḥ, whose vigrahavākya is vigatāḥ sēcakāḥ asmāt.

Note: -Vi has got $upasargasamj\tilde{n}a$ only with reference to gam and not with reference to sic.

णत्वम् - प्रगता नायका असाद्घामात् प्रनायको ग्रामः । उपसर्गादिति णत्वं मा भूत्

Natva. So that natva may not take place by the sūtra Upasargāt (8, 4, 14) in the word pranāyakaņ of the sentence pranāyakō grāmaḥ whose vigrahavākya is pragatāḥ nāyakāḥ asmāt.

वृद्धिविधौ च धातुग्रहणानर्थक्यम्

Non-necessity of reading dhātu in vrddhividhi.

वृद्धिविधो च धातुग्रहणमनर्थकम् - उपसर्गाद्दिति धातौ इति । तत्र धातु-ग्रहणस्यैतत प्रयोजनम्, इह मा भूत् प्रषभं वनम् इति । कियमाणे चापि धातुग्रहणे प्रर्छक इत्यत्र प्राप्नोति । यत्कियायुक्ताः तं प्रतीतिवचनान्न भवति

No purpose is served by reading $dh\bar{a}t\bar{a}u$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Upasarg\bar{a}d$ rti $dh\bar{a}t\bar{a}u$ (6, 1, 91) which deals with vrddhi. It is used to avoid vrddhi in prarsabha in the phrase prarsabham vanam. Even if it is read, it will chance to appear in the word prarchakah. This may be avoided by taking recourse to the dictum $Yatkriy\bar{a}yukt\bar{a}s$ tam prati $gatyupasargasamjm\bar{a}$ bhavanti.

वद्विधिनस्भावाबीत्वस्वाङ्गादिसारणत्वेषु दोषः

Difficulty will arise with respect to vadvidhi, nashhāva, abītva, svāngādisvara and natva.

वद्विधिनस्भावाबीत्वस्बङ्गादिस्वरणत्वेषु दोषो भवति

Difficulty will arise with respect to vadvidhi, nashhāva, abītva, svāngādisvara and natva.

विद्याधि यदुद्वती निवती यासि वप्सन् (R. V. 10, 142, 4)

There will be difficulty with reference to vat-pratyaya in the words udvatah and nivatah in the Vēdic sentence Yad udvatā nivatā yāsi vapsan, by the sūtra Upasargāc chandasi dhātvarthē (5, 1, 118).

नस्भाव - प्रणसं मुखं, उन्नसं मुखम्

There will be difficulty with reference to $nashh\bar{a}va$ of $n\bar{a}sik\bar{a}$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Upasarg\bar{a}cca$ (5, 4, 119) in the words pranasam and unnasam, in the phrases pranasam mukham and unnasam mukham.

अबीत्व - त्रेपं परेपम्

There will be difficulty with reference to the *īttva* of ap by the sūtra Dvyantar upasargēbhyōspa īt (6, 3, 97) in the words prēpam and parēpam.

स्वाङ्गादिस्वर - प्रस्फिक्, प्रोदरः

There will be difficulty with reference to uttarapadadyudāttasvara by the sūtra Upasargās svāngam dhruvam aparśu (6, 2, 177) in prasphik and prōdaraḥ.

णत्व - प्रणः शूद्रः, प्रण आचार्यः, प्रणो राजा प्रणो वृत्रहा उपसर्गाद् इत्येते विधयो न प्रामुवन्ति

There will be difficulty with reference to natva in the word nah in the phrases Pra nah śūdrah, Pra na ācāryah, Pra nō rājā, Pra nō vṛtrahā by the sūtra Upasargād bahulam (8, 4, 28). These vidhis cannot operate, since they are enjoined after upasarga.

वद्विधिनस्भावाबीत्वस्वाङ्गादिस्वरणत्वेषु वचनप्रामाण्यात्सिद्धम्

Vadvidhi, nashhāva, abītva, svā ingādisvara and natva take place on account of vacanaprāmānya.

अनवकाशा एते विधयस्ते वचनप्रामाण्याद्भविष्यन्ति

These vidhis have no other room to operate and hence they operate on the strength of their being read.

सुदुरोः प्रतिपेधो नुम्विधितत्वषत्वणत्वेषु

Need for the pratisēdha of su and dur with reference to numvidhi, tatva, satva and natva.

नुम्विधि - सुलमं, दुर्लमम्, उपसर्गादिति नुम् मा भूद् इति । न सुदुभ्याँ केवलाभ्याम् इत्येतन्न वक्तव्यं भवति ।

So that num cannot appear in the words sulabham and durlabham by the sūtra Upasargād khalghañōḥ (7, 1, 67). In

that case the $s\bar{u}tra$ Na $sudurbhy\bar{a}m$ $k\bar{e}val\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$ (7, 1, 68) need not be read.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । क्रियते एतन्न्यास एव This benefit cannot arise. It is read in the sūtra.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya$ areads here: $-K\bar{e}val\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$ iti viś \bar{e} san \bar{a} -bhidh \bar{a} n \bar{a} rtham ava \hat{s} yam kartavyam.

तत्व - सुदत्तम्, अच उपसर्गात्तः इति तत्वं मा भूदिति । So that tatva may not appear in the word sudattam by the sūtra Aca upasargāt taḥ (7, 4, 47).

पत्य - सुसिक्तं घटशतेन, सुस्तुतं श्लोकशतेन । उपसर्गादिति पत्वं मा भूदिति । सुः पूजायामित्येतन्त्र वक्तव्यं भवति ।

So that satva may not appear in susiktam and sustutam in the sentences Susiktam ghaṭaśatēna and sustutam ślōkaśatēna by the sūtra Upasargāt sunōti ... stāuti ... sica ... (8, 3, 65). In that case the sūtra Suḥ pūjāyām (1, 4, 95) need not be read.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । क्रियत एतन्न्यास एव This is not the benefit. It is read in the sūtra.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaļa$ reads here: $-P\bar{u}j\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ iti $viś\bar{e}ṣaṇ\bar{o}p\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ - $n\bar{a}rtham$.

ण्ह्य - दुर्नयं, दुर्नीतिमिति । उपसर्गादिति ण्ह्यं मा भूदिति So that natva may not appear in the words durnayam and durnītam by the sūtra Upasargāt ... (8, 4, 14).

ऊर्यादिचित्रडाचश्र (1, 4, 60)

कुभ्नस्तियोगे Need to read in association with kṛ, bhū and as.

क्रभ्वस्तियोग इति वक्तव्यम् - इहैव यथा स्थात् - ऊरीकृत्य, ऊरीभृय । इह मा भृत् ऊरी पक्त्वा ।

It must be said that they take $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ only in association with the roots kr, $bh\bar{u}$ and as, so that it may operate only here in $\bar{u}r\bar{\imath}krtya$ and $\bar{u}r\bar{\imath}bh\bar{u}ya$ and not in $\bar{u}r\bar{\imath}paktv\bar{a}$.

तत्ति वक्तत्र्यम् It must then be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । क्रियायोग इत्यनुवर्तते । न चान्यया क्रिययोर्यादिच्विडाचां योगोऽस्ति । No, it need not. There is anuvitti for $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{o}g\bar{e}$ and $\bar{u}ry\bar{a}di$, cvi and $d\bar{a}c$ do not come in association with roots other than they.

अनुकरणं चानितिपरम् (1, 4, 62)

There are two topics here:-(1) Is itiparam a tatpuruṣa compound or a bahuvrīhi compound? (2) What is the need for this sūtra?

1

कथिमदं विज्ञायते, इतेः परम् इतिपरं, न इतिपरम् अनितिपरम् इति, आहोस्विद् इतिः परो यसात् तदिदिमितिपरं, न इतिपरम् अनितिपरम् इति?

How is this (anitiparam) interpreted? Is it taken as the nan-samāsa of na and itiparam where itiparam is sasthī-tatpurusa or is it taken as the nansamāsa of na and itiparam where itiparam is a bahuvrīhi samāsa?

किं चातः ? What will be the difference?

यदि विज्ञायते इतेः परम् इतिपरं, न इतिपरम् अनितिपरिमिति, खाडिति कृत्वा निरष्ठीवत् इत्यत्र प्राप्नोति । अथ विज्ञायते इतिः परा यसात् तदितिपरं, न इतिपरम् अनितिपरिमिति, श्रीषड् - वीषड् इति कृत्भा निरष्ठीवत् इत्यत्न प्राप्नोति ।

If it is taken as the $sam\bar{a}sa$ of na and itiparam where the latter is a tatpuruṣa, $gatisamj\~n\~a$ will chance to appear to $kh\bar{a}t$ in $Kh\bar{a}d$ iti $krt\~a$ $niraṣth\~v\bar{a}t$. If, on the other hand, it is taken as the $sam\~asa$ of na and itiparam where the latter is a $bahuv\~rihi$ -samāsa, $gatisamj\~n\~a$ will chance to appear to $\'sr\~auṣat$ in $\'sr\~auṣad$ - $\~v\~auṣad$ iti $krt\~v\~a$ $niraṣth\~ivat$.

अस्तु तावत् इतिः परो यसात् तदितिपरं न इतिपरम् अनितिपरम् इति If so, let it be the samāsa of na and itiparam where the latter is a bahuvrīhi compound.

ननु चोक्तं श्रोषद्वीषडिति कृत्वा निरष्ठीवत् इत्यत्र प्राप्तोति इति Oh it was said that it will chance to appear in śrāuṣaṭ in śrāuṣaḍ-vāuṣaḍ iti kṛṭvā niraṣṭhīvat.

नैष दोषः । इदं तावद्यं प्रष्टव्यः - अथेह ते प्राग्वातोः इति कथं गति-मात्रस्य पूर्वप्रयोगो भवति उपोद्धरतीति ? गत्याकृतिः प्रतिनिर्दिश्यते ? इहापि तर्हि अनुकरणाकृतिः प्रतिनिर्दिश्यते । This difficulty does not arise. He is to be put this] question whether, with reference to $T\bar{e}$ $pr\bar{a}g$ $dh\bar{a}t\bar{o}h$, only one word which has $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ precede the root or the whole $samud\bar{a}ya$ of $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ in the word $up\bar{o}ddharati$. Here too, then, the whole $samud\bar{a}ya$ of anukarana is taken into account.

II

किमर्थमिद्मुच्यते ? What is the need for this sūtra?

अनुकरणस्थेतिकरणपरत्वप्रतिषेधोऽनिष्टश्चद्दनिवृत्त्वर्थः

The pratisēdha of itikaraņaparatva to anukaraņa is to avoid anistašabda.

अनुकरणस्येतिकरणपरत्वप्रतिषेध उच्यते

It is said that the use of iti is to be prohibited after anukaranaśabdas.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? What is the prayojana?

अनिष्टशब्दनिवृत्त्यर्थः - अनिष्टशब्दता मा भृद् इति

For the sake of avoiding aniṣṭa-śabda. In order that an incorrect word may not be used.

इदं विचारियण्यते - प्राग्वातुवचनं प्रयोगितियमार्थं वा स्यात् संज्ञानियमार्थं वा इति । तद्यदा प्रयोगितियमार्थं तदा अनिष्टशब्दिनिवृत्त्यर्थमिदं वक्तव्यम् । यदा हि संज्ञानियमार्थं तदा न दोषो भवति ।

This is going to be discussed whether the sūtra Tē prāg dhātōḥ is for prayōganiyama or samjñāniyama. If it is for prayōganiyama, this sūtra is necessary to avoid aniṣṭaśabda. If it is for samjñāniyama, there will be no harm (if this sūtra is not read).

आदरानादरयोः सदसती (1, 4, 63)

इदमतिबहु कियते - आदरे अनादरे सदसद इति । आदरे सत् इत्येव सिद्धम्

This $s\bar{u}tra$ is too long by reading $\bar{a}dar\bar{e}$ and asad; its purpose will be served by reading only $\bar{A}dar\bar{e}$ sat.

कथम् असत्कृत्य इति ?

How is it to arrive at the form asatkrtya?

तदन्तविधिना भविष्यति It is arrived at by tadantavidhi.

 $ext{Note:} - K ar{a} iya ta$ reads here: $- A vyaya sa inj ilde{n} ar{a} y ar{a} m$ as $ty ar{e} va$ tadanta vidhih.

केनेदानीमनादरे भविष्यति ?

By which will it be shown that it is anādarē?

नञा आदरप्रतिषेधं विज्ञास्यामः न आदरे अनादरे इति

We shall understand $\bar{a}darapratis\bar{e}dha$ through the negative particle na thus: na $\bar{a}dar\bar{e}=an\bar{a}dar\bar{e}$.

नैवं शक्यम् । आदरपसङ्गे एव हि स्याद् अनादरप्रसङ्गे न स्यात् । अनादर-प्रहणे पुनः क्रियमाणे बहुत्रीहिरयं विज्ञायते अविद्यनानादरे अनादरे इति । तस्मा-दनादरप्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । असतस्तु तदन्तविधिना सिद्धम् ।

This is not possible. It will be possible only when there is chance for $\bar{a}dara$ and not when there is chance for $an\bar{a}dara$. If $an\bar{a}dar\bar{e}$ is read, it may be taken to be $bahuvr\bar{i}hi$ thus: $avidyam\bar{a}na\dot{h}$ $\bar{a}dara\dot{h}$ yasmin $sa\dot{h}$. Hence there is need to read $an\bar{a}dar\bar{e}$. The $k\bar{a}rya$ of asat is achieved through tadantavidhi.

अन्तरपरिग्रहे (1, 4, 65)

अन्तः शब्दस्याङ्किविधिसमासणत्वे षूपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to give the samjña to the word antar with reference to an, kividhi, samāsa and natva.

अन्तःशब्दस्याङ्किविधिसमासणत्वेषूपसङ्ख्यानम् कर्तव्यम् - अङ् - अन्तर्द्धाः किविधिः अन्तर्द्धः ; समास - अन्तर्हत्यः , णत्वम् - अन्तर्हण्याद्गोभ्यो गाः

It must be added that antar takes gati-sanijnā with reference to an, kividhi, samāsa and natva. Viz., antarddhā (an), antarddhiḥ (kividhi), antarhatya (samāsa) and antarhanyāt in antarhanyād gōbhyō gāḥ (natva).

साक्षात्प्रभृतीनि च (1, 4, 74)

साक्षात्प्रभृतिषु च्व्यर्थवचनम्

Need to enjoin that $s\bar{a}ks\bar{a}d$ etc. take the $samjn\bar{a}$ only if there is the meaning of cvi.

1. Kāiyaļa reads here: -Gurnm asatk γ tya ityādāu syāt, pākhandinam asatk γ tya, ityēvamādāu na syāt.

साक्षात्प्रभृतिषु च्व्यर्थवचनं कर्तव्यम् । असाक्षात् साक्षात् कृत्वा साक्षात्कृत्य । यदि हि साक्षादेव सत् किञ्चित्कियते तदा मा भूत इति ।

It must be stated that $s\bar{a}k\dot{s}\bar{a}t$ etc. take the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only when there is the meaning of cvi. Hence $s\bar{s}k\dot{s}\bar{a}tkrty\imath$ is formed only when it gives the sense $as\bar{a}k\dot{s}\bar{a}t$ $s\bar{a}k\dot{s}\bar{a}t$ $krtv\bar{a}$ and not when something is done in $pratyak\dot{s}a$.

मकारान्तत्वं च गतिसंज्ञासंनियुक्तम्

 $Gatisamj\tilde{n}a$ to be given to lavana only when it ends in m.

मकारान्तत्वं च गतिसंज्ञासंनियोगेन वक्तव्यम् - लवणङ्कत्य

It must be stated that $gatisamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $mak\bar{a}r\bar{a}ntatva$ go together to secure the form lavanankrtya.

तत्र च च्वित्रतिषेधः Need to prohibit it if there is cvi.

तत्र च च्व्यन्तस्य प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - खवणीकृत्य

There is need to prohibit $mak\bar{a}r\bar{a}ntatva$ to cvyanta. Viz. $lavan\bar{\imath}krtya$.

न वा पूर्वेण कृतत्वात्

No, it need not be enjoined since it is accomplished by the previous one.

न वा वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be enjoined.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

पूर्वेण कृतत्वात् - अस्तु अनेन विभाषा, पूर्वेण नित्यो भविष्यति Since it is accomplished by the previous one (through $p\bar{u}rva-prasanga-vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$). Let there be $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ by this; but it becomes nitya by the previous $v\bar{a}rttika$.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनं, मकारान्तत्वं च गतिसंज्ञासित्रयुक्तम् इत्युक्तं, तत् च्व्यन्तस्य मा भूद् इति । एतदपि नास्ति प्रयोजनम्; लवणशब्दस्यायं विभाषा लवणशब्दः आदेशः क्रियते । यदि च लवणशिब्दस्यापि विभाषा लवणशब्द आदेशो भवति, न किञ्चिद् दुष्यति । त्रेशब्दं चेह साध्यं, तच्चैवं सित सिद्धं भवति ।

If so, this is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that cvyanta does not become $mak\bar{a}r\bar{a}nta$ with $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. This is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ to the word lavana and its $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ is lavanam. If there is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ to lavana sabda too, the word lavana may come as the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and

there will be no difficulty. Three formations (lavaṇam kṛtvā, lavaṇam-kṛtya and lavaṇīkṛtya) are here possible and theyare achieved under this interpretation.

ते प्राग्धातोः (1, 4, 80)

किमिदं प्राग्धातुवचनं प्रयोगितयमार्थम् - एते प्रागेव धातोः प्रयोक्तव्याः, आहोस्वित् संज्ञानियमार्थम् - एते प्राक् च अप्राक् च प्रयोक्तव्याः । प्राक् प्रयुज्य-मानानां गतिसंज्ञा भवति इति ।

Does this expression $Pr\bar{a}g$ $dh\bar{a}t\bar{o}h$ suggest $pray\bar{o}ga$ -niyama that they must be used only before roots and not after roots or $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ -niyama that they may used both before roots and after roots and that they get gati-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only when they are used before roots.

कश्चात्र विशेषः ? What will be the difference here?

प्राग्धातुवचनं प्रयोगनियमार्थमिति चेद्नुकरणस्थेतिकरणपरप्रतिपेधोऽनिष्टशब्द-निवृत्त्यर्थः

If the expression $Pr\bar{a}g\ dh\bar{a}t\bar{o}h$ is for $pray\bar{o}ganiyama$, the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Anukaranam\ c\bar{a}nitiparam\ (1, 4, 62)$ is necessary for anista-sabdanivrtti.

प्राग्धातुवचनं प्रयोगनियमार्थमिति चेदनुकरणस्येतिकरणपरप्रतिषेधो वक्तज्यः

If the expression $pr\bar{a}g$ $dh\bar{a}t\bar{o}h$ is intended for $pray\bar{o}ga$ -niyama, itikaraṇa-para-pradiṣēdha has to be stated with reference to anukaraṇa.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why ?

अनिष्टशब्दनिवृत्त्यर्थः - अनिष्टशब्दता मा भूदिति ।

For the sake of aniṣṭaśabdanivṛtti. So that there may not be aniṣṭaśabdat \bar{a} .

छन्द्सि परव्यवाहेतवचनं च

The sūtras Chandasi parespi and Vyavahitāś ca have to be read.

छन्दिस परेऽपि, व्यवहिताश्च इति वक्तव्यम्

The sūtras Chandasi parēspi (1, 4, 81), and Vyavahitāś ca. (1, 4, 82) have to be read.

संज्ञानियमे सिद्धम्

The above object is achieved if the $s\bar{u}tra$ is for $samj\tilde{n}a-niyama$.

संज्ञानियमे सति सिद्धमेतद् भवति

If it suggests samjñā-niyama, this object is achieved.

अस्तु तर्हि संज्ञानियमः Let it then suggest $samj \tilde{n} \bar{a}$ -niyama.

उभयोरनर्थकं वचनमनिष्टादर्शनात्

No need for both the paksas due to the absence of any anista.

उभयोरिप पक्षयोर्वचनमनर्थकम्

There is no need to mention both the pakṣas.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अनिष्टाद्शनात्

On account of the absence of anything in usage contrary to grammar.

न हि कश्चित् प्रपचतीति प्रयोक्तव्ये पचित प्र इति प्रयुङ्के । यदि चानिष्टं हर्येत ततो यताई स्थात्

No one in the world makes use of pacati pra in place of prapacati; Attempt has to be made only if any anista is seen.

Note:—From this it is clear that $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ has decided that this $s\bar{u}tra$ is unnecessary.

उपसर्जनसन्निपाते तु पूर्वपरव्यवस्थार्थम्

Need for this sūtra to decide which, of the two upasarjanas that come together, precedes and which follows.

उपसर्जनसन्निपाते तु पूर्वपरव्यवस्थार्थमेतद्वक्तव्यम् - ऋषमं कूलमुद्रुजम् ऋषमं कूलमुद्रुहम् । अत्र गतेः प्राग्धातोः प्रयोगो यथा स्यात् ।

This sūtra has to be read to decide which, of the two upasarjanas, has to precede and which has to follow. In the words kūlam-ud-rujam and kūlam-ud-raham in the expressions Rṣabham kūlam-ud-rujam and Rṣabham kūlam-ud-vaham, ud will precede rujam and vaham on account of this sūtra.

Note:—Otherwise doubt will arise whether $k\bar{u}lam$ -udiujam and $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-vaham are the correct forms or ut- $k\bar{u}lam$ rujam and ut- $k\bar{u}lam$ -vaham are correct ones, since both $k\bar{u}la$ and ut are upapadas.

यद्यपसर्जनसन्निपाते पूर्वपरव्यवस्थार्थमिदमुच्यते सुकटक्कराणि वीरणानि इत्यत्र गतेः प्राग्धातोः प्रयोगः प्राप्नोति ।

If it is said this $s\bar{u}tra$ is intended to decide the respective position of two upasarjanas which come together, there is chance for su, a gati, in $sukataikar\bar{a}ni$ in the expression $sukataikarain\bar{a}ni$ $v\bar{v}ran\bar{a}ni$ to precede $kar\bar{a}ni$.

आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयति नात्र गतेः प्राक्पयोगो भवति इति, यदयमीषहुःसुषु कृच्छाकृच्छार्थेषु खल् इति खकारमनुबन्धं करोति ।

The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that the gati is not used immediately before $karan\bar{a}ni$, since he reads khal with kh as anubandha in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{I}sad$ -dus-susu $krechra-akrechr\bar{a}rth\bar{e}su$ khal (3, 3, 126).

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम्? How is it jñāpaka?

खित्करणे एतत्प्रयोजनं खिति इति मुम् यथा स्यात् इति । यदि चात्र गतेः प्राक्प्रयोगः स्यात् खित्करणमनर्थकं स्यात् । अस्त्वत्र मुम् । अनव्ययस्य इति प्रति-षेधो भविष्यति । पश्यति त्वाचार्यो नात्र गतेः प्राग्धातोः प्रयोगो भवतीति, ततः स्वकारमनुबन्धं करोति ।

This is the prayojana of khitkarana that the $\bar{a}gama$ mum will set in on account of the $\bar{a}nubandha$ of kh. If gati here precedes $dh\bar{a}tu$, khitkarana will be of no use. Since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ reads kh as anubandha, he sees that gati does not precede $dh\bar{a}tu$ here. Even if there is mum here, there will be pratisedha by anavyayasya (6, 3, 66) $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ sees that gati does not precede $dh\bar{a}tu$ here and hence has read the anubandha kh.

नैतदस्ति ज्ञापकम् । यद्यन्न गतेः प्राक् प्रयोगः स्यात्, स्यादेवात्र मुनागमः This is not a $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$. If gati is used before $dh\bar{a}tu$, there will be the $\bar{a}gama$ mum here.

कथम्? How?

कुद्रहणे गतिकारकपूर्वस्यापि ग्रहणं भवति इति

By the paribhāṣā Kṛdgrahaṇē gati kāraka-pūrvasyāpi grahaṇam.

तसान्नार्थ एवमर्थेन प्राम्वातुवचनेन

Hence there is no need to read this $s\bar{u}tra$ for the above purpose.

कथम् ऋषमं कूलमुद्रुजम्, ऋषमं कूलमुद्रहम्

How are we to arrive at the forms $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-rujam and $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-vaham in the expressions R-sabham $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-rujam and R-sabham $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-vaham?

नैष दोषः । नैष उदिरुपपदम्

There is no difficulty here. This ut is not an upapada.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

विशेषणम् । उदि कूछे रुजिवहोः उत्पूर्वाभ्यां रुजिवहिभ्यां कूछे उपपदे इति । It is viśēṣaṇa. It is taken thus:-Udi kūlē rujivahōḥ, which means, when kūla is upapada to ruj and vaḥ preceded by ut.

कमप्रवचनीयाः (1, 4, 83)

किमर्थ महती संज्ञा कियते ?

What is the need for this long $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$?

अन्वर्थसंज्ञा यथा विज्ञायेत - कर्म प्रोक्तवन्तः कर्मप्रवचनीया इति So that it may be considered an anvartha-samjñā. Karmapravacınīyas are those that suggested kriyāviśēṣa when they were used with verbs?

के पुनः कर्म प्रोक्तवन्तः ?

Which are those that suggested kriyāvišēsa when they were used with verbs?

ये सम्प्रति कियां नाहुः

Those which do not now suggest kriyāviśēṣa.

के च संपति कियां नाहुः ?

Which do not now suggest kriyāviśēşa?

ये अप्रयुज्यमानस्य क्रियामाहुः, ते कर्मप्रवचनीयाः

Those which suggest the $sambandhvviś\bar{e}$ sa of a $kriy\bar{a}$ which is not now used are $karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yas$.

अनुरुक्षणे (1, 4, 84)

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते ? What for is this sūtra?

कर्भप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा यथा स्यात्, गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे मा भूतामिति

So that it may get karmapravacanīya-samjñā and not gati and upasarga samjñās.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ notes that this is not a correct answer and it is given to test the pupil's intelligence.

किं च स्यात् ! What will happen if it gets gati-samjñā ?

शाकल्यस्य संहितामनु प्रावर्षत् । गतिर्गतौ इति निघातः प्रसज्येत The word anu will get sarvānudātta by the sūtra Gatir gatāu (8, 1, 70) in the sentence Śākalyasya samhitām anu prāvarṣat.

यद्येवं वेरिप कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा वक्तव्या । वेरि निघातो नेष्यते प्रादेशं विपरिलिखति

If so, vi in $Pr\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}am$ viparilikhati has to be given $karma-pravacan\bar{i}yasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ since $anud\bar{a}ttatva$ is not desired for it.

अस्त्यत्र विशेषः । नात्र वेर्लिखं प्रति क्रियायोगः

There is a difference here; there is no $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{o}ga$ for vi with likh here.

कि तर्हि ! With what then?

अगयुज्यमानं - पादेशं विमाय परिलिखति

With that which is not used, (so that the above sentence means) prādēśam vimāya parilikhati.

यद्येवम् अनोरिप कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञ्या नार्थः । अनोरिप हि न वृषिं प्रति क्रियायोगः

If so, no purpose is served by enjoining karmapravacanīya-samjñā to anu too; for there is no kriyāyōga for anu with vṛṣ.

किं तिहें ? With what then ?

अत्रयुज्यमानं - शाकल्येन सुकृतां संहितामनुनिशम्य देवः प्रावर्षत् With that which is not used, (so that the sentence means) Śākalyēna sukṛtām sanhitām anuniśamya dēvaḥ prāvarṣat.

इदं ति प्रयोजनं, द्वितीया यथा स्यात् कर्मपवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति This, then, is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that there will set in $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{\imath}tra$ $Karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yayukt\bar{\imath}$ $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$.

अत उत्तरं पठित Then he reads the answer.

अनुरुक्षणे वचनानर्थक्यं सामान्यकृतत्वात्

Non-necessity of the $s\bar{u}tra$ Anur $lak san\bar{e}$, on account of its purpose being served by the $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyas\bar{u}tra$.

अनुर्रक्षणेवचनमनर्थकम्

The sūtra Anur lakṣanē serves no purpose.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

सामान्यकृतत्वात् - सामान्येनैवात्र कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा भविष्यति रुक्षणेत्थंभूता-रूयानभागवीप्सासु प्रतिपर्यनवः इति

On account of its purpose being served by the $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyas\bar{u}tra$. $Karmapravacan\bar{i}ya$ - $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is secured here by the $sam\bar{a}nya$ - $s\bar{u}tra$ Lak- $san\bar{e}tth$ ambh $\bar{u}t\bar{a}khy\bar{a}nabh\bar{u}gav\bar{i}$ $ps\bar{a}su$ pratiparyanava (1, 4, 90).

हेत्वर्थं तु वचनम् This sūtra is, then, intended for the sake of hētu.

हेत्वर्थमिदं वक्तव्यम् - हेतुः शाकल्यस्य संहिता वर्षस्य, न रुक्षणम् This has to be said so that $h\bar{e}tu$ may take the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. $S\bar{a}kalasa\dot{m}hit\bar{a}$ is the $h\bar{e}tu$ for the rain and not laksana.

किं वक्तव्यमेतत्?

Is there any necessity to advance this line of argument?

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $-H\bar{\epsilon}t\bar{o}r$ lakṣaṇam nāstīti kim paribhāṣitavyam iti prechati.

न हि No, there is no necessity.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते ?

How is it to be understood without its being specifically stated?

लक्षणं हि नाम स भवति येन पुनः पुनर्लक्ष्यते, न यः सक्रदपि निमित्तत्वाय करुपते । सक्चचासौ शाकल्येन सुकृतां संहिताम् अनुनिशम्य देवः प्रावर्षत् ।

Lakṣana is that which is seen frequently associated with an object and not that which is once seen as a nimitta. The $d\bar{e}va$ rained on hearing the well-made $sa\dot{m}hit\bar{a}$ of $\dot{S}\bar{a}kalya$ only once.

स तर्हि तथा निर्देशः कर्तव्योऽनुर्हेताविति

If so, the sūtra should be changed to Anur hētāu.

अथेदानीं लक्षणेन हेतुरिप व्याप्तः, नार्थोऽनेन?

Is not this unnecessary since hētu too is within the range of lakṣaṇa?

लक्षणेन हेतुरपि व्याप्तः । न इवक्यं तदेव लक्षणं भक्ति येन पुनः पुनर्रुक्यते

Yes, hētu is within the range of lakṣaṇa; for it is not necessary to define lakṣaṇa only as yēna punaḥ punar lakṣyotē.

किं तर्हि ! What then?

यत्सक्रदिप निमित्तत्वाय कर्पते तदिप लक्षणं भवति । तद्यथा - अपि भवान् कमण्डलुपाणि छात्रमद्राक्षीत् इति । सक्रदसौ कमण्डलुपाणिश्लात्रो दृष्टस्तस्य तदेव लक्षणं भवति ।

Even that becomes a lakṣaṇa which serves as nimitta once. This may be illustrated thus:—The question whether you saw the pupil with kamaṇḍalu in his hand arises on seeing the pupil only once with kamaṇḍalu in his hand.

तदेव तर्हि प्रयोजनं द्वितीया यथा स्यात् कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति If so, this is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that it gets $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ by the $s\bar{\imath}utra$ $Karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yayukt\bar{e}$ $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$.

एतद्पि नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । सिद्धात्र द्वितीया कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते इत्येव । This too is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$, since $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ has already been accomplished by $Karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yayukte\ dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ (2, 3, 8).

न सिध्यति । परत्वात् हेत्वाश्रया तृतीया प्राप्तोति No, it cannot be accomplished. $T_{rt\bar{t}y\bar{a}}$ will chance to come by the $s\bar{u}tra\ H\bar{e}t\bar{a}u$ (2, 3, 23), since it is para.

आङ्मयीदावचने (1, 4, 89)

आङ् मर्यादाभिविध्योः

The $s\bar{u}tra$ has to be recast $\bar{A}\dot{n}$ mary $\bar{a}d\bar{a}bhividhy\bar{a}h$.

आङ् मर्यादाभिविध्योरिति वक्तव्यम् । इहापि यथा स्यात् आकुमारं यशः पाणिनेः इति ।

The $s\bar{u}tra$ must be read $\bar{A}\dot{n}$ mary $\bar{a}d\bar{a}bhividhy\bar{o}h$, so that it may operate on $\bar{a}kum\bar{a}ram$ in the expression $\bar{A}kum\bar{a}ram$ yasah $P\bar{a}nin\bar{e}h$ (Panini's fame was known even to young boys).

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It should, then, be read so,

न वक्तव्यम् । मर्यादावचने इत्येव सिद्धम् । एषा अस्य यशसो मर्यादा No, it need not. It is accomplished by the statement maryādāvacanē. This is the limit where his fame has spread.

NOTE:—1. $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here to explain the difference of opinion in the interpretation of the word $mary\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ between

Vārttikakara and Mahābhāṣyakāra:-Vinā tēna iti maryādā, saha tēna iti abhividhir ityarthabhēdam matvā vārttikam paṭhati ... vacanagrahaṇasya idam prayōjanam avāntarabhēdaparihārēṇa paricchēdahētumātram maryādā yathā gṛhyēta.

Note:—2. The statement $\bar{A}kum\bar{a}ram\ yaśah\ P\bar{a}nin\bar{e}h$ suggests that, at $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra's$ time, even young boys were informed of $P\bar{a}nini's$ greatness.

लक्षणत्थमभूताख्यानभागवीप्सासु प्रतिपर्यनवः (1, 4, 90)

कस्य लक्षणाद्योऽर्था निर्दिश्यन्ते ?

With reference to whom are laksanadi's taken?

वृक्षादीनाम् With reference to vrkṣādis.

किमर्थ पुनरिद्मुच्यते? What for is this sūtra read?

कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा यथा स्यात् गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे मा भूतामिति

So that they may get $karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yasa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and not $gatisa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $upasargasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । यत्क्रियायुक्तास्तं प्रति गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे भवतो न च वृक्षादीन् प्रति कियायोगः

No, this is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. Gati and upasarga are only with reference to the verbs with which they are associated and there is no $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{o}ga$ with reference to $vrks\bar{a}dis$.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनं द्वितीया यथा स्यात्, कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति, वृक्षं प्रति विद्योतते, वृक्षमनु विद्योतते

This, then, is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ sets in on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yayukt\bar{\epsilon}$ $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$, so that we have the following expressions $Vrk\bar{\imath}am$ prati $vidy\bar{o}tat\bar{\epsilon}$ and $vrk\bar{\imath}am$ anu $vidy\bar{o}tat\bar{\epsilon}$.

अधिपरी अनर्थकौ (1, 4, 93)

किमर्थमधिपर्योरनर्थकयोः कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा उच्यते ?

Why is $karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ enjoined to adhi and pari which suggest no meaning?

कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा यथा स्याद् गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे मा भूताम् इति
So that karmapravacanīyasamjñā may be secured and gatisamjñā and upasargu-samjñā may be avoided.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम्, यत्क्रियायुक्तौ तं प्रति गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञौ भवतः अनर्थकौ चेमौ

This is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. $Gati-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $upasarga-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ set in only with reference to verbs with which they are used. These two have no meaning.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम्, पञ्चमी यथा स्यात् पञ्चम्याङ्परिभिः इति, कुतः पर्यागम्यते इति '

This, then, is the $pray\bar{o}jana$, that $pa\tilde{n}cam\bar{\imath}$ may be used on the authority of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Pa\tilde{n}camy\bar{a}\dot{n}$ -paribhih (2, 3, 10), so that we may have the expression kutah $pary\bar{a}gamyat\bar{\epsilon}$.

सिद्धात्र पञ्चम्यपादाने इत्येव । आतश्च अपादानपञ्चम्येव, यत्र अधिशब्देन योगे पञ्चमी न विधीयते, तलापि श्रूयते कुतोऽध्यागम्यते इति

Pañcamī can be secured here by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}n\bar{e}$ $pañcam\bar{\iota}$ (2, 3, 28). There is another reason also to take it to; be $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}napa\bar{n}cam\bar{\iota}$, since $pa\bar{n}cam\bar{\iota}$ is used in the expression kutō $adhy\bar{a}gamyat\bar{e}$ (where adhi suggests change in the meaning of the $dh\bar{a}tu$ gam) for which there is no authority other than $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}n\bar{e}$ $pa\bar{n}cam\bar{\iota}$.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यदनर्थकयोगित्युपसर्गसंज्ञाबाधिकां कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञां, शास्ति तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्योऽनर्थकानामप्येषां भवत्यर्थवत्कृतम् इति Since, then, $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ enjoins $karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yasamij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ to set at

Since, then, A carya enjoins $karmapravacan \bar{\imath} yasam j \bar{n} \bar{a}$ to set at naught $gatisam j \bar{n} \bar{a}$ and $upasarga-sam j \bar{n} \bar{a}$ to the two which suggest no meaning even though $pa\bar{n}cam\bar{\imath}$ is $siddh\bar{a}$ by another $s\bar{u}tra$, he suggests that even those that are anarthakas do the same duty as that of the arthakas.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit of this $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pana$?

निपातस्यानर्थकस्य प्रातिपदिकत्वं चोदितं तन्न वक्तव्यं भवति

The discussion regarding the prātipadikatva of nipātas which are anarthaka (by the Vārttikakāra) need not be done (in pp. 94 & 95 of Vol. IV).

अथ वा नेमावनर्थकौ Or these two are not anarthakas.

किं तर्हि ? अनर्थकौ इत्युच्यते!

What then? They are stated to be anarthaka.

अनर्थान्तरवाचिनावनर्थकौ । धातुनोक्तां कियामाहुः । तदविशिष्टं भवति, यथा शङ्को पयः ।

The word anarthakāu means those that have no other prayōjana. They denote the same $kriy\bar{a}$ denoted by the root. They become one with $dh\bar{a}tu$ as water poured into conch-shell.

यद्येवं धातुनोक्तत्वात् तस्यार्थस्य उपसर्गत्रयोगो न प्राम्नोति उक्तार्थाना-मप्रयोगः इति

If so, there is no chance to use the *upasarga* since its meaning is expressed by the root on the strength of the dictum $Ukt\bar{a}rth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ $apray\bar{o}gah$.

उक्तार्थानामपि प्रयोगो हश्यते । तद्यथा - अपूपी द्वावानय, ब्राह्मणी द्वावानय इति ।

Even the use of words whose meaning has been expressed is seen (in the world). Viz., $Ap\bar{u}p\bar{a}u$ $dv\bar{a}u$ $\bar{a}naya$, $Br\bar{a}hman\bar{a}u$ $dv\bar{a}u$ $\bar{a}naya$.

Note:—The meaning of $dv\bar{a}u$ in the above two examples is expressed by $\bar{a}u$ in $ap\bar{u}p\bar{a}u$ and $br\bar{a}hman\bar{a}u$.

अपिः पदार्थसम्भावनान्ववसर्गगर्हासमुच्चयेषु (1, 4, 96)

इह कस्मान्न भवति सर्पिषोऽपि स्यात् गोमूत्रस्यापि स्यात्?

Why does it not take effect in the words sarpiṣah and $g\bar{o}m\bar{u}trasya$ of the expressions $Sarpiṣ\bar{o}spi$ $sy\bar{a}t$ and $G\bar{o}m\bar{u}trasy\bar{a}pi$ $sy\bar{a}t$ (as it has taken effect in the $niṣ\bar{e}dha$ of ṣatva in $sy\bar{a}t$)? (i. e.) Why is not $dvit\bar{i}ya$ used in place of sarpiṣah and $g\bar{o}m\bar{u}$ -trasya by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Karmapravacan\bar{i}yayukt\bar{e}$ $dvit\bar{i}y\bar{a}$?

किं च स्यात् श What will take place there? द्वितीयापि प्रसज्येत कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति

 $Dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$, too. will set in by $Karmaprav^a can\bar{\imath}yayukt\bar{\epsilon}\ dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$.

नैष दोषः, नेमे अप्यर्थाः निर्दिश्यन्ते

This difficulty does not arise; for these are not mentioned as the arthas of api.

कि तिह ? Whose arthas are they then?

परपदार्था इमे निर्दिश्यन्ते । एतेष्वर्थेषु यत्पदं वर्तते तत्प्रति अपिः कर्म-प्रवचनीयसंज्ञो भवति इति । These are mentioned as the arthas of another (i.e.) $sy\bar{a}t$. The word api takes $karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to that word whose meaning is suggested here (i.e.) the word bindu. (drop.)

अथ वा यदत्र कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्तं नादः प्रयुज्यते

Or the word associated with the karmapravacanīya api is not used here.

किं पुनस्तत् ? What is it?

बिन्दुः The word bindu.

विन्दोस्तर्हि कस्मान्न भवति? Why is not dvitīya used with bindu? उपपद्विभक्तेः कारकविभक्तिर्वेलीयसी इति प्रथमा भविष्यति इति

Prathamā is used after bindu on the basis of the dictum Upa-padavibhaktēḥ kārakavibhaktir balīyasī. (i.e.) Svatantraḥ kartā is stronger here than Karmapravanīyayuktē dvitīyā.

अधिरीश्वरे (1, 4, 97)

अधिरीश्वरवचने उक्तम् 1

It has been said with reference to the sūtra Adhirīśvarē.

किमुक्तम् ? What has been said?

यस्य चेश्वरवचनमिति कर्तृनिर्देशश्चेदवचनात् सिद्धम्, प्रथमानुपपत्तिस्तु, स्व-वचनात्सिद्धम् इति

The vārttikas-Yasya cēśvaravacanam iti kartrnirdēśaś cēd avacanāt siddham, Prathamānupapattis tu, and Švavacanāt siddham (under the sūtra Yasmād adhikam yasya cēśvaravacanam (2, 3, 9)

अधिः स्वं प्रति कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञो भवतीति वक्तव्यम्
The sūtra should be modified into Adhiḥ svē.

लः परसीपदम् (1, 4, 99)

लादेशे परसौपदग्रहणं पुरुषबाधितत्वात्

1. All editions read Adhir īśvaravacanē uktam as a vārttika. It has been so read perhaps on the strength of Kāiyaṭa's statement Vakṣyamāṇānām api buddhyā nirūpitatvād uktam iti uktam. If it is taken as bhāṣya, uktam is correct, since the Vārttikas on the whole of Aṣṭādhyāyī existed before Maābhāṣhya was written.

Need to read $parasm\bar{a}ipada$ in the $l\bar{a}d\bar{e}saprakarana$ (3, 4, 77 to the end of the $p\bar{a}da$), on account of its being set at naught by purusa.

लादेशे परसीपद्रग्रहणं कर्तन्यम्

There is need to read parasmāipada in lādēšaprakaraņa.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

पुरुषवाधितत्वात्

On account of its being set at naught by purusa.

इह यचने हि संज्ञाबाधनम् Samjñā-bādhana if it is read here.

इह हि कियमाणेऽनवकाशा पुरुषसंज्ञा परसैपदसंज्ञां बाधेत

For, if it is read here, it will be set at naught by puruṣa-samj $n\bar{a}$ on account of its being anavak \bar{a} śa.

परसोपदसंज्ञाप्यनवकाशा, सा वचनाद्भविष्यति

 $Parasm\bar{a}ipada-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, too, is anavakaśa and hence it sets in on account of its mention.

सावकाशा परसेपदसंज्ञा Parasmāipada-samjñā is sāvakāśā.

कोऽनकाशः Where is the room for it to operate?

शतृकस् अवकाशः Both sati and kvasu are avakāsas.

सिचि बृद्धौ तु परसैपद्ग्रहणं ज्ञापकं पुरुषाबाधकस्य

Reading of $parasm\bar{a}ipada$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Sici vrddhih $parasm\bar{a}ipad\bar{e}su$ is $jn\bar{a}paka$ for purusa not setting at naught the $parasm\bar{a}ipadatva$.

यद्यं सिचि वृद्धिः परसेपदेषु इति परसेपद्यहणं करोति तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यो न पुरुषसंज्ञा परसेपदसंज्ञां वाधते इति

Since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ has read $parasm\bar{a}ipada$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Sici vrddhih $parasm\bar{a}ipad\bar{e}su$ (7, 2, 1), he suggests that $purusasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ does not set $parasm\bar{a}ipadasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ at naught.

तिङस्रीणि त्रीणि प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाः (1, 4, 101)

प्रथममध्यमोत्तमसंज्ञायामात्मनेपद्ग्रहणं समसङ्ख्यार्थम्

Need to read ātmanēpada in the sūtra enjoining the samjñās prathama, madhyama and uttama to enable the samjñās and samjñins to be of the same number.

पथममध्यमोत्तमसंज्ञायामात्मनेपद्ग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । आत्मनेपदानां च प्रथम-मध्यमोत्तमसंज्ञा भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम्

 $\bar{A}tman\bar{e}pada$ must be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ enjoining the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ prathama, madhyama and uttama. It must be read that $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}padas$ too take the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ prathama, madhyama and uttama.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

समसङ्ख्यार्थम् - सङ्ख्यातानुदेशो यथा स्यात्

For the sake of the same number (in $samj\tilde{n}as$ and $samj\tilde{n}ins$), so that $Yath\bar{a}sankhyaś\bar{a}stra$ may operate.

अकियमाणे ह्यात्मनेपद्रग्रहणे तिस्रः संज्ञाः षट् संज्ञिनः । वैषम्यात् सङ्ख्यातानुदेशो न स्यात् ।

For, if $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}pada$ is not read, $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ will be three and $samj\tilde{n}ins$ will be six. $Yath\bar{a}sankhyas\bar{a}stra$ cannot operate on account of the unevenness of the number in $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ and $samj\tilde{n}ins$.

क्रियमाणेऽपि चात्मनेपदम्रहणे Even if ātmanēpada is read,

आनुपूर्व्यवचनम् Need to read ānupūrvya.

आनुपूर्व्यवचनं च कर्तव्यम् । अकियमाणे हि कस्यचिदेव त्रिकस्य प्रथमसंज्ञा स्यात् , कस्यचिदेव मध्यमसंज्ञा, कस्यचिदेवोत्तमसंज्ञा ।

The word $\bar{a}nup\bar{u}rvya$ too is to be read. If it is not read, $prathamasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may take hold of any three, $madhyamasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ of another three and $utiamasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ of the remaining three.

न वैकशेषनिर्देशात् No, it cannot be on account of ēkaśēṣanirdēśa.

यत्तावदुच्यते आत्मनेपदम्रहणं कर्तव्यं समसङ्ख्यार्थमिति तन्न कर्तव्यम् । संज्ञा अपि षडेव निर्दिश्यन्ते ।

Firstly with reference to the statement $Atman\bar{e}padagrahanam$ $kartavyam samasankhy\bar{a}rtham$, it need not be read; for the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ too that are mentioned here are six.

कथम् ? How?

एकशेषनिर्देशोऽयम्

This (prathama, madhyama and uttama) is ēkašēṣa.

अथैतिसन्नेकरोषितिर्देशे सित किमियं कृतैकरोषाणां द्वन्द्वः, - प्रथमश्च प्रथमश्च प्रथमों, मध्यमश्च मध्यमश्च मध्यमों, उत्तमश्च उत्तमश्च उत्तमों, प्रथमों च मध्यमों चोत्तमों च प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाः इति, आहोस्वित् कृतद्वन्द्वानामेकरोषः - प्रथमश्च मध्यमश्च उत्तमश्च प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाः, प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाश्च प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाश्च प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाः इति ।

Is it, in the $\bar{e}kaś\bar{e}ṣanird\bar{e}śa$, the dvandva of $\bar{e}kaś\bar{e}ṣas$ or the $\bar{e}kaś\bar{e}ṣa$ of dvandvas, the former assuming the form—prathamaś ca prathamaś ca = prathamāu, madhyamaś ca madhyamaś ca = madhyamāu, uttamaś ca uttamaś ca = uttamāu, prathamāu ca madhyamāu ca uttamāu ca = prathamamadhyamōttamāḥ. and the latter assuming the form prathamaś ca madhyamaś ca uttamaś ca = prathamamadhyamōttamāḥ, prathamamadhyamōttamāḥ?

किं चातः ? What is the difference?

यदि क्रतैकशेषाणां द्वन्द्वः प्रथममध्यमयोः प्रथमसंज्ञा प्रामोति, उत्तमप्रथमयो-र्मध्यमसंज्ञा प्रामोति, मध्यमोत्तमयोरुत्तमसंज्ञा प्रामोति, अथ कृतद्वनद्वानामेकशेषो न दोषो भवति

If $\bar{e}ka\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$ is first done and then dvandva, there is chance for $prathamasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to the prathama and the madhyama, $madhyamasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to the uttama and the prathama and $uttamasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to the madhyama and the uttama and if, on the other hand, dvandva is first done and then $\bar{e}ka\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$, there will be no harm.

यथा न दोषस्तथास्तु

Let it be taken in the way in which there is no defect.

किं पुनरत्र न्याय्यम्? Which is legitimate here?

उभयमित्याह ; उभयं हि दृश्यते । तद्यथा बहु शक्तिकिटिकम्, बहूनि शक्तिकिटिकानि ; बहु स्थालीपिठरं, बहूनि स्थालीपिठराणि

"Both", says he; for both are seen. Viz. Bahu śaktikiţikam, bahūni śaktikiţikāni; bahu sthālīpiṭharam, bahūni sthālīpiṭharāni

यदप्युच्यते कियमाणेऽप्यात्मनेपदमहणे आनुपूर्व्यवचनं कर्तव्यमिति, न कर्तव्यम्। लोकत एतत् सिद्धम् । तद्यथा लोके विहव्यस्य द्वाभ्यां द्वाभ्यामिकपस्थेय इति, न चोच्यते आनुपूर्व्येण इति । आनुपूर्व्येण चोपस्थायत इति । With reference to the statement—that $\bar{a}nup\bar{u}rvya$ has to be read even if $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}pada$ is read, it need not be read. It is accomplished from the procedure seen in the world. We see the statement in the world that agni is to be invoked by every two rks in the $s\bar{u}kta$ named vihavya (R. V. X, 128) without the world $\bar{a}nup\bar{u}rvya$ and the rks are pronounced only in the respective order.

विभक्तिश्व (1, 4, 104)

त्रीणि त्रीणि इत्यनुवर्तते उताहो न?

Is there anuvrtti for trīni trīni or no?

किं चातः ?

What will be the difference whether there is anuvrtti or not?

यदि अनुवर्तते अष्टन आ विभक्तौ इत्यात्वं न प्रामोति

If there is anuvrtti, $\bar{a}tva$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Astana \bar{a} vibhakt $\bar{a}u$ (7, 2, 84) has no chance to set in.

 ${
m Note:-}Kar{a}iyata$ reads here:-Na hi aṣṭanśabd $ar{a}t$ para \dot{m} yugapat trikam asti.

अथ निवृत्तं प्रथमयोः पूर्वसवर्णः इत्यत्र प्रत्ययोरेव ग्रहणं प्राप्तोति

If there is no anuvitti, the word prathamayōḥ in the sūtra

Prathamayōḥ pūrvasavarṇaḥ (6, 1, 102) will refer only to two
suffixes of the nominative case.

यथेच्छिस तथास्तु Let it be as you are pleased to take it.

अस्तु तावदनुवर्तते इति

First let it be taken that there is anuvrtti.

ननु चोक्तम् अष्टन आ विभक्तो इत्यात्वं न प्रामोति इति

Oh! it has been said that $\bar{a}tva$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Astana \bar{a} $vibakt\bar{a}u$ has no chance to set in.

वचनाद्भविष्यति It sets in on account of its mention.

अथ वा पुनरस्तु निश्चतम्

Or let it be taken that there is no anuvitti.

ननु चोक्तं प्रथमयोः पूर्वसवर्णः इत्यत्र प्रत्यययोरेव ग्रहणं प्राप्नोति इति
Oh! it has been said that the word prathamayōḥ in the sūtra
Prathamayōḥ pūrvasavarṇaḥ will refer only to two suffixes of
the nominative case.

नैष दोषः । अचि इत्यनुवर्तते । न च अजादी प्रथमी प्रत्ययी स्तः

This difficulty does not arise. The word aci is taken here by auuvrtti from the sūtra. Ikō yan aci (6, 1, 77). Both the first suffixes of the nominative case do not commence with a vowel. (But only the second of them is so.)

ननु चैवं विज्ञायते - अजादी यौ प्रथमी, अजादीनां वा यौ प्रथमी इति
Oh, it is thus explained:—the first two suffixes commencing with a vowel or the first two among the suffixes commencing with a vowel.

Note:—In both the cases there will be grahna to $\bar{a}u$ and as of the nominative case,

यत्ति तस्माच्छसो नः पुंसि इत्यनुकान्तं पूर्वसवर्णदीर्घ प्रतिनिर्दिशति, तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यो विभक्त्योप्रहणम् इति । अथवा वचनप्रहणमेव कुर्यात् औजसोः पूर्व-सवर्णः इति

Ācārya (Sūtrakāra) suggests that the word prathamayōḥ denotes the first two cases, since he reads the sūtra Tasmāc chasō naḥ puṁsi (6, 1, 103) depending upon the pūrvasavarṇadīrgha in the second case plural. Otherwise he would have read the sūtra Āujasōḥ pūrvasavarṇaḥ.

Note:—Nāgēšabhaṭṭa reads here:-Siddhāntē tu trikasya vibhaktitvē avayavadharmavibhaktitvasya trikē ārōpē vā yāugikā ēva tē vyavahārāḥ sambhavanti iti tāḥ samjināḥ sūtrakṛṭā na kṛṭā iti pratīmaḥ.

युष्मद्युपपदे समानाधिकरणे स्थानिन्यपि मध्यमः (1, 4, 105) अस्मद्युत्तमः (1, 4, 107), शेषे प्रथमः (1, 4, 108)

There are five topics dealt with here:-(1) Is this sūtra a vidhi or a niyama? (2) If it is the latter, is there upapadaniyama or puruṣaniyama? (3) What should be the verb if the subject is, Tvam ca Dēvadattaś ca and Aham ca Dēvadattaś ca? (4) What should be the nature of the verb after paramatvam tvattarah, tvadrūpah, tvatkalpah, atitvam etc.? (5) Which is correct either tvadbhavati and madbhavati or tvadbhavasi and madbhavāmi?

I

किमर्थमिद्मुच्यते ?

What is the purpose served by this $s\bar{u}tra$? (Is it $vidh\bar{a}na$ or niyama?

Note:- $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:-Kim $l\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ - $vidh\bar{a}na$ - $v\bar{a}ky\bar{e}na$ $\bar{e}kav\bar{a}kyatv\bar{a}t$ vidhyartham idam, artha $vihit\bar{e}\hat{s}u$ $l\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}\bar{e}\hat{s}u$ $niyam\bar{a}$ -rtham idam iti $pra\hat{s}nah$.

युष्मदसम्छेषवचनं नियमार्थम्

Reading of yuşmad, asmad and śēṣa is for the sake of niyama.

नियमार्थोऽयमारम्भः This attempt is for the sake of niyama'

II

अथैतिसान्नियमार्थे विज्ञायमाने किमयमुपपदिनयमः - युष्मदि मध्यम एव, असादि उत्तम एव, आहोस्वित् पुरुषिनयमः - युष्मदेव मध्यमः, असाद्येव उत्तम इति? After it is decided that this attempt is for the sake of niyama, it is to be seen whether it is upapadaniyama that, along with yuṣmad, only the second personal termination is used after the root and that, along with asmad, only the first personal termination is used after the roots or whether it is puruṣaniyama that only with yuṣmad the second personal termination is used after the root and that only with asmad the first termination is used after the root.

किं चातः? What will be the difference if it is this or that? यदि पुरुषनियमः शेषप्रहणं कर्तव्यं शेषे प्रथमः इति

If it is puruṣa-niyama, there is need for the sūtra Śēṣē prathamaḥ.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

मध्यमोत्तमौ नियतौ, युष्मदस्मदी अनियते, तत्र प्रथमोऽपि प्राप्नोति । तत्र शेषप्रहणं कर्तव्यं प्रथमनियमार्थं, शेष एव प्रथमो भवति नान्यत्रेति

The second personal termination and the first personal termination are restricted in their scope, but yusmad and asmad are not restricted in their scope and hence there is chance for the first personal termination to be used with verbs after them. Hence there is need for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $S\bar{e}s\bar{e}$ prathamah to

restrict the scope of the third personal termination that it is used after verbs only after words other than yuşmad and asmad.

अथाप्युपपदिनयमः, एवमि शेषप्रहणं कर्तव्यं शेषे प्रथम इति । युष्मदस्मदी नियते मध्यमोत्तमाविनयतौ, तौ शेषेऽपि प्राप्नुतः । तत्र शेषप्रहणं कर्तव्यं शेषिनयमार्थं शेषे प्रथम एव भवति नान्य इति ।

Even if it is taken as upapadaniyama, there is need for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\hat{S}\bar{e}s\bar{e}$ prathamah. Yuṣmad and asmad are restricted in their scope, but not the second personal termination and the first personal termination and so they may be used along with words other than yuṣmad and asmad. Therefore there is need for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\hat{S}\bar{e}s\bar{e}$ prathamah to restrict the scope of $\hat{s}\bar{e}sa$, so that only the third personal termination may be used after verbs along with words other than yuṣmad and asmad.

उपपदिनयमे शेषमहणं शक्यमकर्तुम् 1

It is possible to dispense with the word $S\bar{e}S\bar{e}$ if it is taken as upapadaniyama.

कथम ? How?

युष्मदस्मदी नियते, मध्यमोत्तमो अनियती, तौ शेषेऽपि प्राप्नुतः । ततो वक्ष्यामि प्रथमो भवतीति । तिश्वयमार्थं भविष्यति - यत्र प्रथमश्च अन्यश्च प्राप्नोति तत्र प्रथम एव भवतीति ।

Yusmad and asmad are restricted in their scope and madhyama and uttama are aniyata and they have a chance to be used after verbs with words other than yusmad and asmad. Then shall I read Prathamō bhavati. It will be taken as a niyama that, where both prathama and others have a chance to appear, only the prathama appears.

III

तत्र युष्मद्रमद्नयेषु १ प्रथमप्रतिषेधः शेपत्वात्

- 1. This is read as a vārttika by Sri Guruprasada Sastri.
- 2. In the extant editions, yuşmad asmadanyēşu is taken to mean with reference to yuşmad and anya and asmad and anya; but it seems to me that yusmad, asmad and anya too may be added.

In both the *niyamas* there is need for the *pratiṣēdha* of *prathama* on the authority of $\hat{S}\bar{e}\bar{s}\bar{e}$ prathamah when the subject is yuṣmad and anya and asmad and anya.

तत्र युष्मद्सादन्येषु प्रथमस्य प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः, त्वं च देवदत्तश्च पचथः, अहं च देवदत्तश्च पचावः 1

In both the niyamas there is need for the pratisēdha of prathama with reference to yuṣmad and anya and asmad and anya.

So that the following expressions can be had: $Tvam \ ca \ D\bar{e}va-datta\acute{s} \ ca \ pacatha\dot{h}$; $Aham \ ca \ D\bar{e}vadatta\acute{s} \ ca \ pac\bar{a}va\dot{h}$.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

शेषत्वात् । शेषे प्रथम इति प्रथमः प्राप्तोति

Since they are other than $yu \not= mad$ and asmad. There is chance for prathama to be used there on the authority of $\hat{S}\bar{e},\bar{e}$ prathamah.

सिद्धं तु युष्मदस्मदोः प्रतिषेधात्

The object is achieved by prohibiting yusmad and asmad.

सिद्धमेतत् The object is achieved.

कथम्? How?

युष्मद्रमदोः प्रतिषेधात् । शेषे प्रथमः, युष्मद्रमदोर्नेति वक्तव्यम्

On account of the pratisēdha with reference to yuṣmad and asmad. After the sūtra Śēṣē prathamaḥ, Yuṣmadasmadōr na should be read.

युष्मदिमध्यमादस्मद्यत्तमो विप्रतिषेधेन

The sūtra Asmadyuttamah wins over Yuşmadimadhyamah by vipratisedha.

युष्मिद्दमध्यमात् अस्मद्युत्तम इत्येतद्भवति विप्रतिषेधेन । युष्मिद्द मध्यमः इत्यस्य अवकाशः त्वं पचिसि, अस्मद्युत्तम इत्यस्यावकाशः अहं पचािम, इहोभयं प्राप्नोति त्वं च अहं च पचावः । अस्मद्युत्तम इत्येतद्भवति विप्रतिषेधेन । Asmadyuttamaḥ wins over yuşmadi madhyamaḥ by vipratişēdha. Yuşmadi madhyamaḥ plays its part in Tvam pacasi and

1. It is to be seen Whether any manuscript reads after this Tvam ca aham ca Dēvadattaś co pacāmaḥ.

Asmadi uttamaḥ plays its part in Aham pacāmi. Both have a chance to play their parts where the subject is both tvam and aham as in Tvam ca aham ca pacāvaḥ. Asmadi uttamaḥ plays its part here in preference to Yuṣmadi madhyamaḥ by the śāstra Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam.

स तर्हि विश्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः ?

Is it then necessary to arrive at it by the vipratisēdha?

न वक्तब्यः No, it need not be arrived at by it.

त्यदादीनां यद्यत्परं तत्तत् शिप्यते इत्येवम् असादः शेषो भविष्यति । तत्र अस्मद्यत्तम इत्येव सिद्धम्

Asmad may be considered to be the $s\bar{e}sa$ on the strength of the statement $Tyad\bar{a}d\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ yad param tattat $sisyat\bar{e}$ and the object is achieved by Asmadyuttamah.

अनेकशेषभावार्थं तु

Need for resorting to $vipratis\bar{e}dha$ in places where there is no $\bar{e}kas\bar{e}sa$.

अनेक्रशेषभावार्थं तु स विपतिषेधो वक्तव्यो यदा चैक्शेषो न There is need to resort to the *vipratisēdha* in places where there is no ēkašēṣa.

कदा चैकशेषो न

Under which circumstances is ēkasēsa not found?

सहिववक्षायामेकशेषः, यदा न सहिववक्षा तदैकशेषो नास्ति

There is $\bar{e}kas\bar{e}s\bar{a}$ ($\bar{a}v\bar{a}m$ for tvam ca aham ca) when there is $sahavivaks\bar{a}$, (collective denotation) and where there is no $sahavivaks\bar{a}$, there is no $\bar{e}kas\bar{e}s\bar{a}$.

न वा, युष्मद्रसदोरनेकशेषभावात् तद्धिकरणानामप्यनेकशेषभावाद्विप्रतिषेधः

No, it is not necessary to resort to $vipratis\bar{e}dha$ since there is no $\bar{e}ka\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$ for the verbs which have their adhikaranas in yusmad and asmad if there is no $\bar{e}ka\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$ in yusmad and asmad.

न वार्थो विप्रतिषेधेन

No, there is no necessity to take recourse to vipratiṣēdha.

किं कारणम् श Why?

युष्मदस्मदोरनेकशेषभावात् तद्धिकरणानामपि - युष्मदस्मद्धिकरणानामपि, एक-शेषेण न भवितव्यम् । त्वं च अहं च पचिस च पचिम च इति ।

There is no ēkaśēṣa for the verbs which have their adhikaraṇas in yuṣmad and asmad on account of the absence of ēkaśēṣa of yuṣmad and asmad. Viz. Tvam ca aham ca pacasi ca pacāmi ca.

Note: -Tadadhikaraṇānām is a bahuvrīhi compound and hence tadadhikaraṇa refers to the $kriy\bar{a}$ whose adhikarṇa is the subject. $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ justifies the use of the plural in $tadadhikaraṇ\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ instead of the dual by the statement $an\bar{e}kayuṣmad-asmacchabdapray\bar{o}g\bar{a}bhipr\bar{a}y\bar{e}na$.

क्रियापृथक्त्वे च द्रव्यपृथक्त्वदर्शनमनुमानमुत्तरत्रानेकशेपभावस्य

The use of different subjects after different predicates suggests the absence of $\bar{e}ka\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$ in the following verbs.

कियापृथक्त्वे च द्रव्यपृथक्त्वं दृश्यते, तद्यथा पचिस च पचािम च त्वं चाहं च इति । तदनुमानमुत्तरयोरिप किययोरेकशेषो न भवतीित । एवं च कृत्वा सोप्यदोषो भवति यदुक्तं 'तत्र युष्मद्रमदन्येषु प्रथमप्रतिषधः शेषत्वात्' इति ; तत्रािप ह्येवं भवितव्यं - त्वं च देवदत्तश्च पचिस च पचित च, अहं च देवदत्तश्च पचािम च पचित च इति ।

It is seen that different subjects are used if the predicates are differently used at first; Viz. Pacasi ca pacāmi ca tvam ca aham ca. It serves as anumāna for the absence of ēkaśēṣa in verbs if they follow the subjects. On this basis the statement Tatra yuṣmad-asmad-anyēṣu prathamapratiṣēdhaḥ śēṣatvāt need not be made, since the verbs con be similarly used thereto thus:-Tvam ca Dēvadattaś ca pacasi ca pacati ca and Aham ca Dēvadattaś ca pacati ca.

यत्तावदुच्यते न वा युष्मदस्मदोरनेकशेषभावात्तद्धिकरणानामप्यनेकशेषभावा-दिविपतिषेधः इति, दृश्यते हि युष्मदस्मदोश्च अनेकशेषः तद्धिकरणानां चैकशेषः, तद्यथा त्वं चाहं च वृत्तहन्त्रभौ संपयुज्यावहे । इति

1. But in the text of the Rgvēdasaiihitā the reading is slightly different:— अहं च त्वं चं वृत्रहुन्त्संयुंज्याव (R. V. 8, 51, 41)

संत्रयुज्यावहै is the reading of Sri Guruprasda Sastri's edition and संत्रयुज्यावहै of Pandurang Javaji's edition.

With reference to the first statement made Na vā yuṣmad-asmadōr anēkaśēṣabhāvāt tad-adhikaraṇānām api anēkaśēṣa-bhāvād avipratiṣēdhaḥ, it is seen on actual usage anēkaśēṣa of yuṣmad and asmad and ēkaśēṣa of the verbs that follow. Viz. Tvaṁ ca ahaṁ ca Vṛṭraham ubhāu samprayujyāvahāi.

यदप्युच्यते कियापृथक्त्वे च द्रव्यपृथक्त्वदर्शनमनुमानमुत्तरत्रानेकशेषभावस्य इति कियापृथक्त्वे खल्वपि द्रव्यैकशेषो भवतीति हृश्यते, तद्यथा - अक्षाः भज्यन्तां भक्ष्यन्तां दीव्यन्ताम् इति

With reference to the other statement $kriy\bar{a}prthaktv\bar{e}$ ca dravya-prthaktvadarśanam $anum\bar{a}nam$ uttaratra $an\bar{e}kaś\bar{e}ṣabh\bar{a}vasya$, it
is seen in actual usage $dravy\bar{a}ikaś\bar{e}ṣa$ even in the presence of $kriy\bar{a}prthaktva$. Viz. Akṣah $bhajyant\bar{a}m$ $bhakṣyant\bar{a}m$ $d\bar{v}vyant\bar{a}m$.

Note: $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $-\hat{S}akat\bar{a}ksa$ - $bibh\bar{\imath}tik\bar{a}ksa$ - $d\bar{\imath}van\bar{a}ks\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ sahaviksay $\bar{a}m$ $\bar{\imath}kas\bar{\imath}sah$.

एवं च कृत्वा सोऽपि दोषो भवति यदुक्तं - तत्र युष्मदस्मदन्येषु प्रतिषेधः शेषत्वाद् इति

If so, the defect raised by Tatra yuşmad-asmad-anyēşu pratisēdhaḥ śēṣatvāt will stand.

नैष दोष: । परिहृतमेतत् - सिद्धं तु युष्मद्रमादोः प्रतिषेधाद् इति
This defect cannot stand. It was already met with by the statement Siddham tu yuşmad-asmadōḥ pratiṣēdhāt.

स तर्हि प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः The pratisēdha, then, has to be read.
न वक्तव्यः । शेषे प्रथमो विधीयते । न हि शेषश्च अन्यश्च शेषग्रहणेन
गृह्यते ।

No, it need not be read. Prathamapuru a is enjoined in $s\bar{e}sa$. The association of $s\bar{e}sa$ and another is not taken to come under $s\bar{e}sa$.

भवेत्, प्रथमो न स्यात् । मध्यमोत्तमाविष न प्राप्नुतः

Yes, there is no chance for prathama there; but there will be no chance even for either madhyama or uttama.

किं कारणम्? Why?

युष्मदस्मदोरुपपदयोर्मध्यमोत्तमावुच्येते । न च युष्मदस्मदी अन्यश्च युष्मदस्म-द्रहणेन गृह्यते । Second personal termination or the first personal termination will be added to the verbs only when the *upapada* is *yuṣmad* or *asmad*. Yuṣmad and another and *asmad* and another cannot be denoted by yuṣmad and asmad.

यदत्र युष्मद् यच असाद् तदाश्रया मध्यमोत्तमा भविष्यतः

Second personal termination is used on account of the presence of yuṣmad in yuṣmad and anya and first personal termination is used on account of the presence of asmad in asmad and anya.

यथैव तर्हि यदत्र युष्मद् यचासात् तदाश्रयो मध्यमोत्तमो भवतः, एवं योऽत्र शेषः तदाश्रयः प्रथमः प्राप्नोति

If it is said that madhyama is used on account of yuṣmad in yuṣmad and anya and uttama is used on account of asmad in asmad and anya, there is chance for prathama also on account of the presence of śēṣa also.

एवं तर्हि शेष उपपदे प्रथमो विधीयते । उपोच्चारि पदम् उपपदम् । यचात्र उपोचारि न स शेषः, यश्च शेषः न तदुपोचारि ।

If so, prathama is enjoined if $\dot{s}\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ is upapada. Upapada means the word read near. That which is read here near the verb is not $\dot{s}\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ (since it is yusmad and $\dot{s}\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ or asmad and $\dot{s}\bar{e}\dot{s}a$) and that which is $\dot{s}\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ (Dēvadatta) is not upāccāri.

भवेत् - प्रथमो न स्थात् मध्यमोत्तमाविष न प्रामुतः

If there is no chance for prathama, there is no chance for madhyama and uttama.

किं कारणम्? Why?

युष्मद्रसदोरुपपद्योर्मध्यमध्यमोत्तमावुच्येते । उपोच्चारि पद्मुपपद्म् । यचा-त्रोपोच्चारि न ते युष्मद्रसदी, ये च युष्मद्रसदी, न तदुपोच्चारि ।

Madhyama verb and uttama verb are enjoined when yuşmad and asmad are respectively upapada. Upapada is the word read close to it. That which is upōccāri, is not yuşmad or asmad. Those which are yuşmad and usmad are not upōccāri.

एवं तर्हि शेषेण सामानाधिकरण्येन प्रथमो विधीयते, न चाल शेषेणैव सामानाधिकरण्यम् If so, prathama is enjoined if it has sāmānādhikaraņya with śēṣa. There is no sāmānādhikaraņya with ṣēśa alone here.

भवेत्- - प्रथमो न स्थात्, मध्यमोत्तमाविष तु न प्राम्नतः

Yes, prathama may not come; but madhyama and uttama too may not have chance to come.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

युष्मदस्मन्द्यां सामानाधिकरण्ये मध्यमोत्तमे। उच्येते, न चात्र युष्मदसान्द्यामेव सामानाधिकरण्यम् ।

Madhyama and uttama verbs are enjoined when there is $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$ with yusmad and asmad; and here there is no $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$ only with yusmad and asmad.

एवं तर्हि त्यदादीनि सर्वैनित्यमित्येवमत्र युष्मद्रसदोः शेषो भविष्यति । तत्र युष्मदि मध्यमोऽसम्युत्तम इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

If so, $\dot{se}\dot{sa}$ refers to that other than $yu\dot{s}mad$ and asmad in the list $tyad\bar{a}di$ found in the $ganap\bar{a}tha$. Hence the object is accomplished since madhyama is used along with $yu\dot{s}mad$ and uttama is used along with asmad.

न सिध्यति, स्थानिन्यपि इति प्रथमः प्रामोति

No, it is not accomplished, since prathama will have chance to come, even if $\hat{s}\bar{e}sa$ is not pronounced.

त्यदादीनां खरुविप यद्यत्परं तत्तत् शिष्यते इति यदां भवतः शेषः तदाश्रयः भथमः प्रामोति

If, in your opinion, śēṣa refers to whatever follows in tyadādis there is chance for prathama on that basis.

IV

युष्मदि मध्यमः असादि उत्तमः इत्येवोच्यते, ताविह न प्राप्नुतः - परमत्वं पचिस, परमाहं पचामि इति ।

It is only said that madhyama comes with yuşmad and uttama with asmad and hence those two will have no chance to serve as predicates with reference to paramatvam and paramāham and hence the following expressions cannot be secured:—
Paramatvam pacasi, Paramaham pacāmi.

तदन्तविधिना भविष्यति

Such usage is secured through tadantavidhi.

इहापि तर्हि तदन्ति शिमा प्रामुतः, अतित्वं पचिति, अत्यहं पचिति इति । ये चाप्येते समानाधिकरणशृत्तयस्तिद्धतास्तत्र च मध्यमोत्तमो न प्रामुतः - त्वत्तरः पचिस, मत्तरः पचामि इति ; त्वद्रूपः पचिस, मद्रूपः पचिम इति ; त्वत्करूपः पचिस, मत्करूपः पचिम इति ।

If so, those two sūtras will have chance to operate here too through tadantavidhi in Atitvam pacati and atyaham pacati. Besides there may not be a chance for madhyama and uttama to be used along with words having taddhitapratyayas of samānādhikaraṇavṛtti as in:—Tvattaraḥ pacasi, mattaraḥ pacāmi; Tvadrūpaḥ pacasi, madrūpaḥ pacāmi; Tvatkalpaḥ pacasi and matkalpaḥ pacāmi.

एवं तर्हि युष्मद्वत्यसमद्वति 1 इत्येवं भविष्यति

If so, yuşmadi and asmadi are taken to be used in the sense of yuşmadvati and asmadvati with matup-lopa.

इहापि तर्हि प्रामुतः, अतित्वं पचित, अत्यहं पचित इति

If so, they (madhyama and uttama verbs) will chance to come here too in Atitvam pacati and Atyaham pacati.

एवं तर्हि युष्मदि साधने, अस्मदि साधने इत्येवं भविष्यति

If so, it is taken thus:— $Yu \not= madi \ s \bar{a}dhan \bar{e}$ and $asmadi \ s \bar{a}dhan \bar{e}$ which means that madhyama is used when there is $yu \not= mad-artha$ and utlama is used when there is asmad-artha.

एवं च कृत्वा सोऽप्यदोषो भवति यदुक्तं तत्र युष्मदस्मदन्येषु प्रथमप्रतिषेधः शेषत्वाद् इति

On this basis, the statement Tatra yuşmad-asmad-anyēşu prathama-pratiṣēdhaḥ śēṣatvat too will be free from defect.

अथ वा प्रथम उत्सर्गः करिष्यते । तस्य युष्मद्रसदोरुपपद्योः मध्यमोत्तमाव-पवादौ भविष्यतः । तत्र युष्मद्गन्धश्च अस्मद्गन्धश्च अस्तीति कृत्वा मध्यमोत्तमौ भविष्यतः ।

Or prathamah is read first as utsarga and Yuşmadyupapadē and Asmadyupapadē become its apavādas. Madhyama and uttama happen there since there is something of yuşmad and something of asmad in the above cases.

1. युष्मदस्मद्वति is another reading.

\mathbf{V}

अथेह कथं भवितव्यम् अत्वं त्वं संपद्यते इति त्वद्भवति, मद्भवतीति आहोस्वित् त्वद्भवसि, मद्भवामि इति

Which is correct here:-Tvadbhavati (whose derivation is atvam tatvam bhavati) or tvadbhavasi and similarly madbhavati or madbhavāmi?

त्वद्भवति मद्भवति इत्येवं भवितव्यम्

Tratbhavati and madbhavati should be taken to be correct.

मध्यमोत्तमा कस्मान्न भवतः ?

Why do not madhyama and uttama set in here?

गौणमुख्ययोर्भुख्ये सम्प्रत्ययो भवति । तद्यथा गौरनुबन्ध्यो अजोऽमीषोमीयः इति न वाहीकोऽनुबध्यते

Operation should take place on the primary and not on the secondary one. This may be illustrated thus:—On hearing the $vidhi\ G\bar{a}ur\ anubandhy\bar{o}sj\bar{o}sgn\bar{i}s\bar{o}m\bar{i}yah$, the resident of $V\bar{a}h\bar{i}kagr\bar{a}ma$ is not tied to the stake.

Note:— $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}$ $\dot{s}yak\bar{a}ra$ uses on many occasions $G\bar{a}ur$ $v\bar{a}h\bar{\imath}kah$.

कथं तर्हि वाहीके वृद्धचात्वे भवतः गौर्वाहीकस्तिष्ठति, गामानय इति ?

How then is it possible for vrddhi in the word $g\bar{o}$ as in $G\bar{a}u\dot{h}$ $v\bar{a}h\bar{i}kah$ tisthati and $\bar{a}tva$ as in $g\bar{a}m$ $\bar{a}naya$ when it refers to $v\bar{a}h\bar{i}ka$?

अर्थाश्रय एतदेवं भवति

This holds good when the artha is taken into account.

यद्धि शब्दाश्रयं शब्दमात्रे तद्भवति । शब्दाश्रये च वृद्ध्यात्वे

That which holds good for a form of a word, holds good whether the word gives the primary sense or the secondary sense. Vrddhi and $\bar{a}tva$ are concerned with the form of the word $g\bar{o}$.

परः सनिकर्षः संहिता (1, 4, 109)

परः सन्निकषः संहिता चेदद्रुतायामसंहितम्

If the definition of $sainhit\bar{a}$ is the close proximity in pronunciation, it cannot have within its range sounds which are not produced in the quick mode of pronunciation.

परः सन्निकर्षः संहिता चेद् अद्भुतायां वृत्तौ संहितासंज्ञा न प्रामोति, द्भुतायामेव हि परः सन्निकर्षो वर्णानां, नाद्भुतायाम् ।

If the samjñā samhitā is given to the close proximity of two sounds, the samjñā cannot hold good where the sounds are not produced in the quick mode of pronunciation, since close proximity is possible between two sounds only when they are pronounced quickly one after the other and not otherwise.

तुल्यः सन्निकर्षः Proximity between two sounds is the same.

तुल्यः सन्निकर्षो वर्णानां द्भुतमध्यमविलम्बितासु वृत्तिषु

Proximity between two sounds is the same in whatever $v_{l}ttis$ they are pronounced— $drut\bar{a}$, $madhyam\bar{a}$ and $vilambit\bar{a}$.

Note:—Drutā vṛttiḥ is the rapid mode of pronunciation, madhyamavṛttiḥ is the medium mode of pronunciation and vilambitāvṛttiḥ is the slow mode of pronunciation.

किंकृतस्तर्हि विशेषः?

What is the cause, then, of their difference?

वर्णकालभूयस्त्वम् तु

It is the duration of time spent in pronouncing the sounds.

वर्णानां तु कालभूयस्त्वम्

It is the duration - long, medium or short - of time spent in pronouncing them.

तद्यथा हस्तिमशकयोस्तुल्यः सन्निकर्षः, प्राणिभूयस्त्वं तु

It may be illustrated thus:—The proximity between one elephant and another when they stand together is the same as that between one mosquito and another when they stand together, though there is difference in their respective size.

यद्येवम्]f so,

द्रुतायां तपरकरणे मध्यमिवलम्बितयोरुपसङ्ख्यानं, कालभेदात्

If there is taparakarana to a sound in drutāvr/ti, there is need for the upasankhyāna of sounds in other vrttis on account of difference in the duration of pronunciation.

द्रुतायां तपरकरणे मध्यमविलम्बितयोरुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम्

If taparakarana is made in drutāvrtti, there is need for the upasankhyāna of madhyamā and vilambitā.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

कालभेदात् - ये द्रुतायां वृत्तौ वर्णाः विभागाधिकास्ते मध्यमायां, ये मध्यमायां वृत्तौ वर्णाः विभागाधिकास्ते विलम्बितायाम्

On account of the difference in the duration of pronunciation. The sounds that are pronounced in *madhyamā vrtti* take one and one-third duration of those in *drutā vrtti* and the sounds that are pronounced in *vilambitā vrtti* take one and one-third duration of those in *madhyamā vrtti*.

¹ उक्तं वा It has been answered.

किमुक्तम्? How has it been answered?

सिद्धं त्ववस्थिता वर्णा वक्तुश्चिराचिरवचनाद्भृत्तयो विशिष्यन्ते इति It is accomplished; the quantity of sounds is settled; the time of pronouncing them varies with different persons.

अथवा शब्दाविरामः संहिता इत्येत स्रक्षणं करिष्यते

Or non-stopping of sounds will be stated to be the lakṣaṇa of $samhit\bar{a}$.

शब्दाविरामे प्रतिवर्णमवसानम्

If śabdāvirāma is taken to be the samhitālakṣaṇa, there is chance for every letter taking avasānasamjñā.

शब्दाविरामे प्रतिवर्णमवसानसंज्ञा प्राप्नोति

If śabdāvirāma is taken to be the samhitālakṣaṇa, there is chance for every letter taking avasānasamjñā.

किमिदं प्रतिवर्णमिति ! What is this prativarn !?

वर्ण वर्ण प्रति प्रतिवर्ण

The derivation of prativarnam is varnam varnam.

येनैव प्रयत्नेनेको वर्ण उच्चार्यते, विच्छिन्ने वर्णे उपसंहत्य तमन्यमुपादाय द्वितीयः प्रयुज्यते, तथा तृतीयः, तथा चतुर्थः । एवं तर्हि अनवकाशा संहितासंज्ञा अवसानसंज्ञां बाधिष्यते ।

After a varṇa is pronounced with a certain prayatna we dispense with it and take recourse to another prayatna to pronounce the second varṇa and after finishing it, we follow

1, Vol. III pp. 250 & 251 (under Taparas tatkālasya 1, 1, 70).

the same process to pronounce the third varna, the fourth varna etc. If so, $sa\dot{m}hit\bar{a}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ being $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ will set at naught $avas\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

अथ वा अवसानसंज्ञायां प्रकर्षगितिविज्ञास्यते, साधीयो यो विराम इति Or the word $s\bar{a}dh\bar{\imath}yah$ is added to $vir\bar{a}ma$ in the laksana of $avas\bar{a}nasoinj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

कश्च साधीय: ? What is meant by sādhīya-virāma?

यः शब्दार्थयोविरामः The virāma of sabda and artha.

 $Note:-N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ reads here:- $\hat{S}abdasya$ virāmah $\hat{s}ravanakrtah$ arthasya bōdhakrtah.

अथ वा हादाविरामः संहिता इत्येत छक्षणं करिष्यते

Or the laksana is read thus: -Hrādāvirāmah samhitā.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa explains the meaning of hrāda thus:—
Prayatnaviśēṣajanitō varṇavirāmēspi anuraṇanarūpō varṇāntarōccāraṇēspi anuvartamānaḥ ghōṣō hrādā ucyatē. Hrāda is the
voice which continues between two sounds of different
prayatnas.)

ह्रादाविरामे स्पर्शाघोषसंयोगेऽसन्निधानादसंहितम्

If $hr\bar{a}d\bar{a}vir\bar{a}ma$ is taken to be the laksana of $samhit\bar{a}$, there will be $avy\bar{a}pti$ when the first and second letters of each of the five vargas are used as conjunct consonants on account of the $asannidh\bar{a}na$ of $hr\bar{a}da$.

ह्वादाविरामे स्पर्शानामघोषाणां च संयोगेऽसन्निधानात् संहितासंज्ञा न प्राप्नोति - कुक्कुटः, पिप्पकः, पित्तम् इति ।

If $hr\bar{a}d\bar{a}vir\bar{a}ma$ is taken to be the lak sana of $samhit\bar{a}$, there will be $avy\bar{a}pti$ when the first and second letters of each of the five vargas are used as conjunct consonants on account of the $asannidh\bar{a}na$ of $hr\bar{a}da$, as between k and k, p and p and t and t in the words kukkutah, pippakah and pittam.

Note:—Since $hr\bar{a}da$ is voice and since k, p, t are voiceless consonants, there will be no voice between k and k, p and p and t and t, though there is voice after vowels and voiced consonants.

किमुच्यते संयोग इति? Why is it said that it is in samyoga?

अथ यत्रैकः पचति इति

What is the case where there is one voiceless consonant (as c or t) not in conjunction with another?

एकः पूर्वपरयोहिद्ने प्रच्छाद्यते । तद्यथा द्वयो रक्तयोर्वस्त्रयोर्भध्ये शुक्कं वस्त्रं तद्भणमुपलभ्यते । बदरपिटके रिक्तको लोहकंसस्तद्भण उपलभ्यते ।

The one in the middle (the consonant c t) between two vowels is covered by their $hr\bar{a}da$ in the same way as the white cloth between two red cloths appears to be red and as a small stray ball of red copper in the basket full of jujube fruits appears to have their quality.

एकेन तुल्यः सन्तिधिः । यथैवैको वर्णो हादेन प्रच्छाचते, एवमनेकोऽपि । Sannidhi (of many) is similar to that of one. Just as one letter is covered by $hr\bar{a}da$ of the preceding vowel and the succeeding vowel, so also are many covered by the same.

अथ वा पौर्वापर्यमकालव्यवेतं संहिता इत्येतल्रक्षणं करिष्यते

Or $samhit\bar{a}$ is defined to be the continuity without being intercepted by varna-śūnya-kāla.

पौर्वापर्यमकालव्यवेतं संहिता चेत् पूर्वापराभावादसंहितम्

If the lakṣaṇa of samhitā is avyavētam pāurvāparyam, there is no chance for $sa\dot{m}hit\bar{a}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on account of the absence of pūrvatva and aparatva.

पौर्वापर्यमकालब्यवेतं संहिता चेत् पूर्वापराभावात् संहितासंज्ञा न प्राप्नोति । न हि वर्णानां पौर्वापर्यमस्ति ।

If akālavyavētam pāurvāparyam is said to be the lakṣaṇa of samhitā, there is no chance for securing samhitāsamjnā; for there is no pāurvāparya among varnas.

किं कारणम् श Why?

एकैकवर्णवर्तित्वाद्वाच उचरितप्रध्वंसित्वाच वर्णानाम् On account of the vocal organ pronouncing only one varṇa at a time and on account of the loss of what was pronounced.

एकैकवर्णवर्तिनी वाङ् न द्वौ युगपदुचारयति । गौः इति यावद् गकारे वर्तते न औकारे न विसर्जनीये, यावदौकारे न गकारे न विसर्जनीये, यावद्विसर्जनीये न गकारे न औकारे । उच्चरितप्रध्वंसित्वात् - उच्चरितप्रध्वंसिनः खल्विप वर्णाः । उच्चरितः प्रध्वस्तः । अथापरः प्रयुज्यते, न वर्णो वर्णस्य सहायः ।

Vocal organ pronounces only one varna at a time and does not pronounce two varnas simultaneously. When it is engaged in pronouncing $gak\bar{a}ra$ of the word $g\bar{a}u\dot{p}$, it is not engaged in pronouncing either $\bar{a}uk\bar{a}ra$ or visarga; similarly when it is engaged in pronouncing $\bar{a}uk\bar{a}ra$, it is not engaged in pronouncing gakāra or visarga; when it is engaged in pronouncing visarga it is not engaged in pronouncing either $gak\bar{a}ra$ or āukāra. On account of the pradhvainsa of what was pronounced, varnas evidently die after being pronounced; what was pronounced is now dead. Then another varna is pronounced. No varna is pronounced along with another varna.

एवं तर्हि

बुद्धौ कृत्वा सर्वाश्चेष्टाः कर्ता घीरस्तत्वन्नीतिः । शब्देनार्थान् वाच्यान्द्ृष्टा बुद्धौ . कुर्यात्पौर्वापर्यम् ॥

बुद्धिविषयमेव शञ्दानां पौर्वापर्यम् । इह य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी भवति स पश्यति अस्मिन्नर्थेऽयं शब्दः प्रयोक्तव्यः, अस्मिस्तावच्छव्देऽयं ताबद्वर्णस्ततोऽयं ततोऽयम् इति ।

If so, the intelligent speaker forms in his mind the whole of what he has to say, thinks of the words which convey his meaning and the letters in order which make up the words. Succession of words lies only in mind. Here the man, if he is

one of foresight, sees in his mind's eye that this word should be pronounced to convey this idea and these are the letters in succession which form this word.

विरामोऽवसानम् (1, 4, 110)

डदं विचार्यते अभावो वा अवसानलक्षणं स्याद् विरामो वा इति This is examined whether abhava is the laksana of avasana or virāma.

कश्चात्र विशेषः ? What is the difference ?
अभावेऽवसानलक्षणे उपर्यभाववचनम्
lf abhāva is the avasānalakṣaṇa, there is need to read

uparyabhāva.

अमावे अवसानलक्षणे उपर्यभावग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । उपरि यो अभाव इति वक्तव्यम् । पुरस्तादिप हि शब्दस्याभावः, तत्र मा भूद् इति ।

If $abh\bar{a}va$ is given as the lakṣaṇa of $avas\bar{a}na$, $abh\bar{a}va$ should be replaced by $uparyabh\bar{a}va$ i. e. the $abh\bar{a}va$ after the śabda, so that it may not refer to the $abh\bar{a}va$ which exists even before the word.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaļa$ reads here: $-Varņōcc\bar{a}ran\bar{a}d$ $\bar{u}rdhvam$ $y\bar{o}s-bh\bar{a}vah$, tad-upalakṣitō $varnōsvas\bar{a}nam$ iti vaktvyam, $pr\bar{a}gabh\bar{a}$ -vasya upalakṣaṇatva-nivṛttyartham

किंच स्यात्? What will then happen?

रसः, रथः, खरवसानयोर्विसर्जनीयः इति विसर्जनीयः प्रामोति ।

Visarga will have chance to replace r in the words rasah and rathah on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ —Kharavas $\bar{a}nay\bar{o}r$ visarjan \bar{i} -yah (8, 3, 15).

Note: $-N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhațța$ reads here: $-Pad\bar{a}vayavasya$ $avas\bar{a}n\bar{e}$ $r\bar{e}phasya$ visarga iti $s\bar{u}tr\bar{a}rthah$, Khari iti $v\bar{a}ky\bar{e}$ $r\bar{e}ph\bar{a}ntasya$ padasya ityartha iti $b\bar{o}dhyam$.

अस्तु तर्हि विरामः If so, let it be virāma.

विरामे विरामवचनम्

If $vir\bar{a}ma$ is $avas\bar{a}nalakṣaṇa$, there is need for the reading of $vir\bar{a}ma$.

यस्य विरामः, विरामप्रहणं तेन कर्तव्यम्

Why! he too who holds the view that $vir\bar{a}ma$ is $avas\bar{a}nu-laksana$, has to read $vir\bar{a}ma$.

ननु च यस्याप्यभावः तेनाप्यभावश्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Why! he too who holds the view that $abh\bar{a}va$ is $avas\bar{a}na-lakṣaṇa$, has to read $abh\bar{a}va$.

परार्थं मम भविष्यति अभावो लोपः ततोऽवसानं चेति

It will be useful in my opinion, for another; it will be the samjñin of lopa and upalakṣaṇa of avasāna.

ममापि तर्हि विरामग्रहणं परार्थं भविष्यति, विरामो लोपः, अवसानं च इति . Even to me then the mention of virāma will be useful for another; it will be the samjūin of lõpa and upalakṣaṇa of avasāna.

उपरि विरामवचनम् Reading of Upari virāmaḥ.

उपरि यो विराम इति वक्तत्यम् । पुरस्ताद्पि हि शब्दस्य विरामः तत्र मान्भूत्

The word $vir\bar{a}ma$ must be qualified by the word upari, so that the $vir\bar{a}ma$ which precedes the word may not be the upalak sana of $avas\bar{a}na$.

किं च स्थात! What will be the effect if it happens so?

रसः, रथः, खरवसानयोर्विसर्जनीय इति विसर्जनीयः प्रसज्येत

Visarga will replace r in rasaḥ and rathaḥ by the sūtra Kharava-sānayōr visarjanīyaḥ.

नैष दोषः, आरम्भपूर्वको मम विरामः

This difficulty does not arise; for $vir\bar{a}ma$, in my opinion, can be for one that has a beginning.

अथ वा नेदमवसानलक्षणं विचार्यते

Or the lakṣaṇa of avasāna is not discussed here.

किं तर्हि! What then?

संज्ञी The samjñin (of avasāna).

अभावोऽवसानसंज्ञी स्याद्विरामो वेति । कश्चात्र विशेषः ?

Let the samjñin of avasāna be abhāva or virāma. What difference does it make?

अभावेऽवसानसंज्ञिनि उपर्यभाववचनम्

If $abh\bar{a}va$ is $avas\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}in$, there is need to qualify $abh\bar{a}va$ by upari.

अभावेऽवसानसंज्ञिनि उपर्यभावग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । उपरि यो अभाव इति वक्तव्यम्ः। पुरस्तादिष हि शब्दस्य अभावस्तत्र मा भूदिति ।

If $abh\bar{a}va$ is the $samj\tilde{n}in$ of $avas\bar{a}na$, there is need to read $uparyabh\bar{a}va$, which means the $abh\bar{a}va$ which follows; for there is $abh\bar{a}va$ even before the word and the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ should not reach it.

किं च स्यात्? What is the harm if it reaches it?

रसः, रथः, खरवसानयोर्विसर्जनीय इति विसर्जनीयः प्रसज्येत .Visarga will replace r by the sūtra Kharavasānayōr visarjanīyaḥ.

अस्तु तर्हि विरामोऽवसानम्

If so, let virāma be the samjnin of avasāna.

यस्य विरामः, तेन विरामग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

He who holds that virāma is the samjñin of avasāna has to read virāma.

ननु च यस्याप्यभावः, तेनाप्यभावग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Why! Even he who holds that $abh\bar{a}va$ is the $savij\tilde{n}in$ has to read $abh\bar{a}va$.

परार्थं मम भविष्यति - अभावो लोपः, ततोऽवसानं च इति

It will, in my opinion, be useful to another; $abh\bar{a}va$ will be the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}in$ of $l\bar{o}p\tau$ and $avas\bar{a}na$.

ममापि तर्हि विरामग्रहणं परार्थ भविष्यति - विरामो लोपः, अवसानं च इति $Vir\bar{a}ma$, too, will, then, in my opinion be useful for another; it will be the $sainj\tilde{n}in$ of $l\bar{o}pa$ and also $avas\bar{a}na$.

उपरि यो विराम इति वक्तव्यम्

Virāma should be qualified by the word upari.

ननु चोक्तम् आरम्भपूर्वको विराम इति

Oh, it has been said that virāma can be for one that has a beginning.

नावश्यमयं रिमः प्रवृत्तावेव वर्तते

The root ram does not, necessarily, mean niviti preceded by praviti (commencement.)

किं तर्हि ? What does it mean then?

अप्रवृत्ताविष - तद्यथा उपरतान्यिसम् कुले व्रतानि, उपरतः खाध्यायः इति । न च तत्र खाध्यायो भूतपूर्वो भवति, नाषि व्रतानि ।

It is used to denote apravrtti, as in the sentences uparatāni asmin kulē vratāni (vratas have become obsolete in this family), uparatah svādhyāyah (study of Vēdas has become obsolete.)

भावविरामभावित्वाच्छब्द्स्यावसानलक्षणं न

Impossibility of avasānalakṣaṇa to śabda on account of its existence through non-virāma of bhāva.

भावाविरामभावित्वाच्छब्दस्य अवसानलक्षणं नोपपद्यते

It is not possible for $\hat{s}abda$ to have $avas\bar{a}nalaksana$ on account of the fact that it exists through the $non\text{-}vir\bar{a}ma$ of $bh\bar{a}va$.

किमिदं भावाविरामभावित्वादु इति?

What is this - bhāvāvirāmabhāvitvāt?

भावस्य अविरामः भावाविरामः; भावाविरामेण भवतीति भावाविरामभावी; भावाविरामभाविनो भावः, भावाविरामभावित्वम् The derivation of $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}mabh\bar{a}vitvam$ is this:— $bh\bar{a}vasya$ $avir\bar{a}mah = bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}mah$; $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}m\bar{e}na$ bhavati iti $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}mabh\bar{a}v\bar{a}$; $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}mabh\bar{a}vin\bar{o}$ $bh\bar{a}val = bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}mabhavivam$.

अपर आह - भावभावित्वाद् अविरामभावित्वाच शब्दम्य अवसानलक्षणं नोपपद्यते इति

Another says that it is not possible for $\hat{s}abda$ to have $avas\bar{a}na$ -laksana since it exists through $bh\bar{a}va$ and $avir\bar{a}ma$.

Note:—Kāiyata tells anupapattih of lakṣaṇadvaya thus. Bhāvēna sattayā avirāmēṇa avicchēdēna bhavati ityarthah.

तत्पर इति वा वर्णस्यावसानम्

Or avasānasamjāā is to the varņa having avasāna after it.

विरामपरो वर्णोऽवसानसंज्ञो भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be stated that the varna which has $avas\bar{a}na$ following it gets the $avas\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$

वर्णोऽन्त्यो वावसानम्

Or the final letter of a word gets the avasānasanijāā.

अथवा व्यक्तमेव पिठतव्यम्, अन्त्यो वर्णोऽवसानसंज्ञो भवतीति
Or it must be plainly stated that the final letter of a word
gets the avasānasamjñā.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It must, then, be stated.

न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be stated.

संहितावसानयोर्लीकविदितत्वात् सिद्धम्

It is achieved since samhitā and avasāna are known to the world.

संहितावसानमिति लोकविदितावेतावर्थों । एवं च कश्चित् कञ्चिद् अधीयान-माह शन्नो देवीयं संहितया अधीष्व इति । स तत्र परमसन्निकर्षमधीते । अपर आह केन अवस्यसि इति । स आह अकारेणेकारेकारेण इति । एवभेती लोकविदि-तावर्थी, तयोः लोकविदितत्वात् सिद्धम् इति ॥

The words $samhit\bar{a}$ and $avas\bar{a}nam$ are known to the world. One says to another, "Read the $s\bar{u}kta$ $Sunn\bar{o}$ $d\bar{e}v\bar{\imath}h$... in the $samhit\bar{a}$ form." He reads it one word closely following another. Another says, "With which do you end?" The other replies, "With a, i and u. Thus the two words are known to the world and hence the object is achieved.

Note:—This shows that $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ feels that the $s\bar{u}tras$ Parah sannikarṣah ... and $vir\bar{a}m\bar{o}svas\bar{a}nam$ are not necessary.

EIGHTEENTH ÄHNIKA ENDS First Chapter of Aṣṭādhyāyī ends.

Nineteenth Ahnika

(Second $adhy\bar{a}ya$, first $p\bar{a}da$, first $\bar{a}hnika$)

समर्थः पदिविधिः (2, 1, 1)

This $\bar{a}hnika$ deals with this $s\bar{u}tra$ alone. It contains ten topics:—(1) What is the meaning of the word vidhih? (2) Is this $adhik\bar{a}ra$ - $s\bar{u}tra$ or $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$? Does the word samartha refer to $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{b}h\bar{a}va$ or $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$? (3) What is the need for the word samartha in the $s\bar{u}tra$, or what is the need for this $s\bar{u}tra$? (4) What are the different kinds of $s\bar{a}marthya$? (5) What is the definition of $v\bar{a}kya$? (6) Is there possibility to split $r\bar{v}jagav\bar{v}ks\bar{v}ram$ in two ways? (7) What for is the $samarth\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ in padavidhi? (8) Is there need to add $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikara$ - $n\bar{e}su$? (9) Is there need to add $lupt\bar{a}khy\bar{a}t\bar{e}su$? (10) How is $sam\bar{a}sa$ formed between two at a time or more than two?

T

विधिरिति कोऽयं शब्दः ?

What is this—the word vidhi? i.e. what is its meaning?

वि-पूर्वात् धाञः कर्मसाधनः इकारः - विधीयते विधिरिति
The word vidhi means that which is enjoined and is formed by adding the suffix i denoting karma to the root $dh\bar{a}$ preceded by the preposition vi.

किं पुनर्विधीयते ? What is enjoined?

समासः, विभक्तिविधानं, पराङ्गवद्भावश्च

Compound, special vidhis regarding case-suffixes and the state of considering one as if it is a part of what follows.

11

किं पुनरयमधिकारः, आहोस्वित् परिभाषा? Is this, then, adhikāra-sūtra or paribhāṣā - sūtra?

Note:—Kāiyata says:-Kārya-viśēṣa-anirdēśād asya pārārthyam avagatya parārthēṣu rūpadvayam dṛṣṭvā pṛcchati.

कः पुनरधिकारपरिभाषयोर्विशेषः ?

What is the difference between adhikāra and paribhāṣā?

अधिकारः प्रतियोगं तस्यानिर्देशार्थ इति योगेयोगे उपिष्ठते । परिभाषा पुनः एकदेशस्था सती कृत्स्तं शास्त्रम् अभिज्वलयति प्रदीपवत् ; तद्यथा, प्रदीपः सुप्रज्वलितः एकदेशस्थः सर्वं वेश्माभिज्वलयति ।

The need for $adhik\bar{a}ra-s\bar{u}tra$ is to avoid repetition of the same in each $s\bar{u}tra$ and hence it is taken to each $s\bar{u}tra$ for the interpretation of the latter. On the other hand $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, remaining in its own place, illumines the whole $s\bar{a}stra$ in the same way as a lamp, well-lighted, illumines the whole house from the place where it is

कः पुनरत्र प्रयत्नविशेषः?

What is the special effort to be taken here?

अधिकारे स्नित स्वर्यितव्यम् । परिभाषायां पुनः सत्यां सर्वम् अपेक्ष्यम् । If it is taken as ādhikāra-sūtra, the accent svarita is to be used (on the word samartha, and consequently the word padavidhih is unnecessary) If it is taken, on the other hand, as paribhāṣā-sūtra, there is need for such a linga as will enable the whole range within the purview of pada-vidhi to be taken into account.

तथा इदमपरं द्वेतं भवति, एकार्थीभावो वा सामर्थ्यं स्थाद् व्यपेक्षा वा Besides another doubt arises whether sāmarthya in either case refers to ēkārthībhāva or vyapēkṣā.

Note:— $\bar{E}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}bh\bar{a}va$ is found where the meaning of one word merges into another, it being $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}sana$ to it as in $r\bar{a}jan$ in $r\bar{a}japuru\dot{s}a$ or the word tells the meaning of another as $p\bar{i}ta$ and ambaram in the word $p\bar{i}t\bar{a}mbarah$. $Vyap\bar{e}k\dot{s}\bar{a}$ is the capacity of one word needing another to complete the sense, as $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ needing $puru\dot{s}ah$. The former is found in compounds and the latter in simple words.

तत्र एकार्थीभावे सामर्थे अधिकारे च सित समास एकः संगृहीतो भवति, विभक्तिविधानं पराङ्गवद्भावश्च असंगृहीतः । व्यपेक्षायां पुनः सामर्थे अधिकारे च सित विभक्तिवियानं पराङ्गवद्भावश्च संगृहीतो भवति, समासस्त्वेकोऽसंगृहीतः । अन्यत्र खल्यपि समर्थप्रहणानि युक्तप्रहणानि च कर्तव्यानि भवन्ति ।

If it is taken that it is an adhikāra-sūtra and sāmarthya refers to ēkārthībhāva, samāsa alone comes within the purview of the sūtra and vibhaktividhāna and parāngavadbhāva fall outside its range; but, on the other hand, if it is taken that it is an adhikāra-sūtra and sāmarthya refers to vyapēkṣā, vibhakti-vidhāna and parāngavadbhāva come within the purview of the

 $s\bar{u}tra$ and $sam\bar{a}sa$ alone falls outside its range; besides there is need for reading the words samartha and yukta elsewhere.

कान्यत्र? Which does elsewhere refer to?

इसुसोः सामर्थ्ये, न चवाहाहैवयुक्ते इति

To the $s\bar{u}tras\ Isus\bar{o}h$ $s\bar{a}marthy\bar{e}$ (8, 3, 44) and $Na\ ca\ v\bar{a}h\bar{a}h\bar{a}iva-yukt\bar{e}$ (8, 1, 24).

व्यपेक्षायां पुनः सामर्थ्ये परिभाषायां च सत्यां यावान् व्याकरणे पद्गन्धो नाम स सर्वः संगृहीतो भवति, समासस्त्वेकोऽसंगृहीतः

If it is taken that it is $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ and $s\bar{a}marthya$ refers to $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$, wherever there is scope for padavidhi it comes within the range of this $s\bar{u}tra$ but $sam\bar{a}sa$ alone falls outside.

तत्र एकार्थीभावः सामर्थ्यं परिभाषा च इत्येवं सूत्रमभिन्नतरकं भवति Among them, taking that the $s\bar{u}tra$ is $puribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ and $s\bar{a}marthya$ refers to $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{v}bh\bar{a}va$ may better enable the $s\bar{u}tra$ to convey the desired meaning without $s\bar{u}tra$ -split.

एवमपि कचिदकर्तव्यं समर्थग्रहणं क्रियते, कचिच कर्तव्यं न क्रियते । अकर्तव्यं क्रियते समर्थानां प्रथमाद्वा इति ; कर्तव्यं न क्रियते, कर्मण्यण् , समर्थादिति । Even then the word samartha is read where it is not necessary and it is not read where it is necessary. It is not necessary in the sūtra Samarthānām prathamād vā (4, 1, 82) and samarthād is necessary in the sūtra Karmanyan (3, 2, 1).

ननु च गम्यते तत्र सामध्ये कुम्भकारो नगरकार इति
Oh! sāmarthya is seen in kumbhakārah and nagarakārah.

सत्यं गम्यते उत्पन्ने हि प्रत्यये; स एव तावत् समर्थादुत्पाद्यः Yes, it is seen only after the pratyaya is added and it has to be secured through sāmarthya.

III

अथ समर्थग्रहणं किमर्थम् ?

What, then, is the need for the word samarthah?

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says here that the need of the $s\bar{u}tra$ itself is indirectly questioned.

वक्ष्यति द्वितीया श्रितादिभिः समस्यते, कष्टश्रितो नरकश्रित इति

 $(Ac\bar{a}rya)$ is going to enjoin (in 2-1-24) that a word in the second case compounds with $\dot{s}rita$ etc., as in $ka\dot{s}ta\dot{s}rita\dot{h}$ and $naraka\dot{s}rita\dot{h}$.

समर्थग्रहणं किमर्थम्? What for is the word samartha there? पश्य देवदत्त कष्टं - श्रितो विष्णुमित्रो गुरुकुलम्

So that samāsa may not take place between kaṣṭam and śritaḥ found at the end and at the beginning of the two sentences—Paśya Dēradatta kaṣṭam and Śritō Viṣṇumitrō gurukulam, where there is no sāmarthya between kaṣṭam and śritaḥ since kaṣṭam governs paśya and śritaḥ is governed by gurukulam.

तृतीया तत्कृतार्थेन गुणवचनेन - उपादानविकलः, शङ्कुलाखण्डः, किरिकाणः। (Acārya is going to read) the sūtra Trtīyā tat-krtārthēna guṇa-vicanēna (2, 1, 30) by which the compound forms upādāna-vikalaḥ (one who is emaciated through the control of sense-organs), śaṅkulā-khaṇḍaḥ (one who is cut with lancet) and kirikāṇaḥ (one who has become blind through eye-disease) are secured.

समर्थप्रहणं किमर्थम्? What for is the word samartha?

तिष्ठ त्वं शङ्कुलया, खण्डो धावति मुसलेन ; किं त्वं करिष्यसि शङ्कुलया, खण्डो विष्णुमित्र उपलेन ।

So that śankulayā and khandah in the following pairs of sentences may not compound with each other:—Tiṣṭha tram śankulayā, Khandō dhāvati musalēna; kim tvam kariṣyasi śankulayā, Khandō Viṣṇumitra upalēna.

चतुर्थी तद्रश्रिश्वालेहितसुखरिक्षतैः - गोहितम्, वृषभिहतं, अश्वाहितम् (Ācārya is going to read) the sūlra Caturthī tad-arthārtha-bali-hita-sukha-rakṣitāiḥ (2, 1, 36) by which the forms gō-hitam, vrṣabha-hitam and aśva-hitam are secured.

समर्थग्रहणं किमर्थम्? What for is the word samartha? सुखं गोभ्यः, हितं देवदत्ताय

So that gōbhyaḥ and hitam in the two sentences Sukham gōbhyaḥ and Hitam Dēvadattāya may not compound with each other.

पञ्चमी भयेन - वृकभयं, दस्युभयं, चोरभयम्

($\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ is going to read) the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Pa\tilde{n}cam\bar{\imath}$ $bhay\bar{e}na$ (2, 1, 37) by which the forms vrka-bhayam, dasyu-bhayam and $c\bar{o}ra$ -bhayam are secured.

समर्थमहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the word samartha?

गच्छ त्वम्, मा वृकेभ्यः, भयं देवदत्ताद् यज्ञदत्तस्य

So that the words $vrk\bar{e}bhyah$ and bhayam may not compound with each other in the sentences $Gaccha\ tva\dot{m}$ $m\bar{a}\ vrk\bar{e}bhyah$, $Bhayam\ D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}d\ Yaj\tilde{n}adattasya$.

षष्ठी सुबन्तेन समस्यते - राजपुरुषः, ब्राह्मणकम्बलः

A word in the sixth case compounds itself with a *subanta*, as in $r\bar{a}ja$ -puruṣaḥ and $br\bar{a}hmaṇa$ -kambalaḥ.

समर्थमहणं किमर्थप्? What for is the word samartha?

भार्या राज्ञः, पुरुषो देवदत्तस्य इति

So that the words $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ and $puru\bar{s}ah$ in the sentences $Bh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ $raj\tilde{n}ah$ and $Puru\bar{s}\bar{o}$ $D\bar{e}vadattasya$ may not compound together.

सप्तमी शोण्डै: - अक्षशोण्डः, स्त्रीशोण्डः

(Ācārya is going to read) the sūtra Saptamī śāuṇḍaīḥ (2, 1, 40) by which the forms akṣa-śāuṇḍaḥ and strī-śāuṇḍaḥ are secured.

समर्थे प्रहणं किमर्थे म् ? What for is the word samartha?

कुशलो देवदत्तोऽक्षेषु, शौण्डः पिबति पानागारे

So that $ak ilde{s} ilde{e} ilde{s} u$ and $ilde{s} ilde{a} u ilde{n} da h$ in the sentences $Ku ilde{s} d\bar{o}$ $D ilde{e} vadatt ilde{o} ilde{s} k ilde{e} ilde{e} u$ and $\hat{s} ilde{a} u ilde{n} da h$ pibati $p ilde{a} n ilde{a} g ilde{a} r ilde{e}$ may not compound with each other.

अथ कियमाणेऽपि समर्थप्रहणे इह कस्मान्न भवति - महत्कष्टं श्रित इति? Even when the word samartha is read, what is the reason for the absence of the compound in mahat kaṣṭam śritaḥ?

न वा भवति महाकष्टश्रित इति ?

Does it not compound into mahākaṣṭaśritaḥ?

भवति यदैतद् वाक्यं भवति - महत् कष्टम् = महाकष्टम्, महाकष्टं श्रितः = महाकष्टाश्रितः इति । यदा त्वेतद् वाक्यं भवति महत्कष्टं श्रित इति तदा न भवितव्यम् । तदा च प्राप्नोति

It does form into a compound if the sentence takes the following form-Mahat kastam = $mah\bar{a}kastam$, $mah\bar{a}kastam$ sritah = $mah\bar{a}kastasritah$. If, on the other hand, the sentence takes this form-mahat kastam śritah, there is no sanction for the formation of the compound; but it chances to come then.

तदा कसान्न भवति? Why does it not form a compound there?

कस्य कसाः न भवति ? किं द्वयोः, आहोत्विद् बहूनाम्?

To which does the question kasmān na bhavati refer? Does it refer to two words or to more than two?

बहूनां कस्मान्न भवति ? Why does it not happen to many? सुप्सुपा इति वर्तते

There is anuvrtti for sup and $sup\bar{a}$ from the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sup\bar{a}$ (2, 1, 4) by which one subanta compounds itself with another subanta.

 $\mathbf{Note}:-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: $-Sankhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ vivakṣitatv $\bar{a}d$ ēkasy $\bar{a}iva$ subantasya ēkēna subantēna samāsah; tatō bah $\bar{u}n\bar{u}m$ na bhavati ityarthah.

ननु च भो आकृतौ शास्त्राणि प्रवर्तन्ते । तद्यथा - प्रातिपदिकाद् इति वर्तमाने अन्यस्माचान्यस्माच प्रातिपदिकाद् उत्पत्तिभैवति ।

Oh! Sir, rules in the śāstra refer to genus. On the mention of $pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}t$, $k\bar{a}rya$ takes place after one $pr\bar{a}tipadika$, then after another, then after another and so on.

सत्यमेवैतत् । आकृतिस्तु प्रत्येकं परिसमाप्यते, न समुदाये । यावत्येतत् परिसमाप्यते प्रातिपदिकादिति, तावत् उत्भन्त्या भवितव्यम् । प्रत्येकं चैतत् परिसमाप्यते न समुदाये । एवम् इहापि यावत्येतत् परिसमाप्यते सुप्सुपेति, तावतः समासेन भवितव्यम् । द्वयोश्चेतत् परिसमाप्यते, न बहुषु ।

It is certainly true. But genus refers to one individual at a time and not to the whole group simultaneously. Operation takes place to that which is referred to by $pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}t$. It refers to each individual $pr\bar{a}tipadika$ and not to a group of $pr\bar{a}tipadikas$. So also $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}sa$ should take place here only where $Sup\ sup\bar{a}$ has its $sum\bar{a}pti$ (operation). It has it with reference to every two and not for more than two.

द्वयोस्तर्हि कसान्न भवति?

How is it, then, samāsa does not take place between the two (i.e.) between kaṣṭam and śritaḥ in mahatkaṣṭam śritaḥ)?

असामध्यति On account of the absence of sāmarthyu. कथमसामध्यम् ? How is it that there is asāmarthyu? सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति

That which is qualified by a separate word does not compound with another.

यदि सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवतीत्युच्यते, राजपुरुषोऽभिरूपः राजपुरुषो दर्शनीयः अत

If it is said $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ sam asamartham bhavati, puruṣa in the word $r\bar{a}j$ apuruṣa in the sentences $R\bar{o}j$ apuruṣ \bar{o} sbhir \bar{u} paḥ and $R\bar{o}j$ apuruṣ \bar{o} darśan \bar{i} yaḥ, cannot compound with $r\bar{a}j$ an.

नैष दोषः । प्रधानमत्र सापेक्षम् । भवति च प्रधानस्य सापेक्षस्यापि समासः । This difficulty does not arise. The viśēṣya is here sapēkṣa. There is samāsa between a viśēṣya, though it has apēkṣā for another, and its viśēṣana.

यत्र तर्ह्यप्रधानं सापेक्षं भवति, तत्र वृत्तिर्न प्रामोति, देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुलम् देवदत्तस्य गुरुपुत्रः देवदत्तस्य दासभायां इति

If, then, $viś\bar{e}$ ṣaṇa has $ap\bar{e}kṣ\bar{a}$ for another, it cannot compound with its $viś\bar{e}$ ṣya, as in $D\bar{e}vadattasya$ gurukulam (the family of the guru of $D\bar{e}vadatta$), $D\bar{e}vadattasya$ guruputraḥ, $D\bar{e}vadattasya$ $d\bar{a}sabh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ etc.

 $ext{Note:-}Dar{e}vadattasya gurukulam means }Dar{e}vadattasya yah guruh tasya kulam.$

नैष दोषः; समुदायापेक्षात्र षष्ठी सर्व गुरुकुलमपेक्षते ।

This difficulty does not arise. The sixth case has $ap\bar{e}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$ for the whole word and hence qualifies the compound word gurukulam (and consequently it compounds with it).

यत्र तर्हि न समुदायापेक्षा षष्ठी, तत्र ते वृत्तिने प्रामोति - किमोदनः शालीनाम्, सक्त्वाढकमापणीयानाम्, कुतो भवान् पाटलिपुत्रकः इति

Where, then, the sixth case has no $ap\bar{e}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$ for the whole, $sam\bar{a}sa$ will not, according to your opinion, take place, as in

Kim-ōdanah śālīnām, Śuktvāḍhakam āpaṇīyānām and Kutō bhavān Pātaliputrakah.

Note:—Kim·ōdanaḥ śālīnām means śālīnām kēṣām ōdanaḥ (food of which rice) and not śālīnām kīdṛśa ōḍanaḥ (what kind of food prepared from śāli rice). Saktvāḍhakam āpaṇīyānām means āpaṇīyānām saktūnām āḍhakam (a measure of flours found in the shop) and not āpaṇīyānām madhyē saktvāḍhakam (a measure of flour among the things sold in the shop). Kutō bhavān Pāṭaliputrakaḥ means Kasmāt Paṭaliputrād bhavān āgataḥ (from which Pāṭaliputra have you come) and not kutō bhavān Pāṭaliputrakaḥ (wherefrom have you come, the resident of Pāṭaliputra).

इह चापि देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुलं, देवदत्तस्य गुरुपुत्रः, देवदत्तस्य दासभायां इति, यद्येषा समुदायापेक्षा षष्ठी स्थात्, नैतत् नियोगतो गम्येत देवदत्तस्य यो गुरुः तस्य यः पुत्र इति ।

If, here too-in Dēvadattasya gurukulum, Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ and Dēvadattasya dāsabhāryā - the sixth case qualifies the whole, the expression Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ cannot give the sense Dēvadattasya yō guruḥ tasya yaḥ putraḥ.

किं तर्हि? What sense will it give then?

अन्यस्यापि गुरुपुत्रः, देवदत्तस्य किञ्चिद् इत्येषोऽर्थो गम्येत ।

The meaning that he is guruputra to one other than Dēvadatta and is connected in some way with Dēvadatta will be secured.

यतस्तु खलु नियोगतो देवदत्तस्य यो गुरुः तस्य यः पुत्र इत्येषोऽथीं गम्यते, अतो मन्यामहे नैषा समुदायापेक्षा षष्ठीति ।

Since, from the statement, it is to be interpreted in this way— Dēvadatiasya yō guruḥ, tasya putraḥ, we think that the sixth case does not govern the whole.

अन्यत्र खल्त्रपि समर्थप्रहणे सापेक्षस्यापि कार्यं भवति

Even in other places the $k\bar{a}rya$ depending upon $s\bar{a}marthya$ takes place even though it is qualified by another.

कान्यत्र? What is the place referred to by anyatra? इसुसो: सामर्थ्ये - ब्राह्मणस्य सर्पिष्करोति इति

In the expression $Br\bar{a}hmanasya$ sarpiş-karōti which comes within the purview of the sūtra Is-usōh sāmarthyē (8, 3, 44).

तस्मात् नैतत् शक्यं वक्तुम् - सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति Hence it is not possible to postulate $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ ṣam asamartham bhavati.

वृत्तिस्तर्हि कस्मान्न भवति महत्कष्टं श्रित इति ?

How is it then that there is no samāsa between kaṣṭam and śritaḥ in the expression mahat kaṣṭam śritaḥ.

सविशेषणानां वृत्तिर्न, वृत्तस्य वा विशेषणयोगो न

The word qualified by one does not form a compound with another and that which has compounded with another does not take a visēṣaṇa before it.

सविशेषणानां वृत्तिनं, वृत्तस्य वा विशेषणं न प्रयुज्यते इति वक्तव्यम्
It must be said that the words having visēṣaṇas do not compound with others and that which has compounded with another is not allowed to take a viśēṣaṇa before it.

यदि सविशेषणानां वृत्तिनं वृत्तस्य वा विशेषणं न प्रयुज्यते इत्युच्यते, देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुरुं, देवदत्तस्य गुरुगुत्रः, देवदत्तस्य दासभार्या इत्यत्र वृत्तिने प्राप्नोति ।

If it is said: -Saviśēṣaṇānām vṛttir na vṛttasya vā viśēṣaṇam na prayujyatē - there is chance for the compound guru-kulam, guru-putraḥ or dāsa-bhārya in the expressions Dēvadattasya guru-kulam, Dēvadattasya guru-kulam, Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ or Dēvadattasya dāsa-bhāryā.

अगुरुपुत्रादीनाम् 1 Need to read aguruputrādīnām.

अगुरुपुत्रादीनामिति वक्तव्यम्

It must be said that it operates except in guruputra etc.

तत्ति वक्तव्यं सिवशेषणानां वृत्तिने वृत्तस्य वा विशेषणं न प्रयुज्यते अगुरु-पुत्रादीनामिति

Then this must be said: $-Saviś\bar{e}$ saṇānām vṛttir na vṛttasya vā viśēṣaṇam na prayujyatē aguruputrādīnām.

1. Agurukulaputrādīnām is the reading in Sri Guruprasada Sastri's edition,

न वक्तत्र्यम् No, it need not be resorted to.

वृत्तिस्तर्हि कस्मान्न भवति ?

If so, why does not kastam compound with śritah?

अगमकत्वात्

On account of the absence of proof (in the form of usage)

इह समानार्थेन वाक्येन भवितव्यं समासेन च । यश्चेहार्थो वाक्येन गम्यते महत्कष्टं श्रितः इति, नासौ जातुचित् समासेन गम्यते महत्कष्टश्रितः इति

The sentence and the compound, here, should convey the same meaning. The compound mahat-kaṣṭa-śritaḥ is nowhere found in the world conveying the same sense as the sentence mahat kaṣṭam śritaḥ.

एतस्माद्धेतो ब्रूमः अगमकत्वादिति । न ब्रूमः अपशब्दः स्थाद् इति । यत्र च गमको भवति, भवति तत्र वृत्तिः ; तद्यथा - देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुरुम्, देवदत्तस्य गुरुपुत्रः, देवदत्तस्य दासभायां इति

It is, only on account of this, that we say agamakatvāt. We do not say that it will become an apaśabda. Compound does make its appearance where it conveys the same sense as the sentence as in Dēvadattasya gurukulam, Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ and Dēvadattasya dāsabhāryā.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa writes:—Mahat-kaṣṭ 1-śritalı ityayam tu mahat-kaṣṭam śritah ityētadvākyārthē nāiva prayujyatē.

यद्यगमकत्वं हेतुः, नार्थः समर्थम्रहणेन । इहापि - मार्या राज्ञः, पुरुषो देवदत्तस्य - इति योऽर्थो वाक्येन गम्यते, नासौ जातुचित् समासेन गम्यते - मार्या राजपुरुषो देवदत्तस्य - इति । तस्मान्नार्थः समर्थम्रहणेन

If agamakatva is the $h\bar{e}tu$, no purpose is served by the mention of samartha (in the $s\bar{u}tra$). The meaning expressed in the sentences $Bh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ $r\bar{a}j\bar{n}ah$ and $Purus\bar{o}$ $D\bar{e}vadattasya$ is never expressed in the sentence $Bh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ $r\bar{a}japuras\bar{o}$ $D\bar{e}vadattasya$, where $r\bar{a}j\bar{n}ah$ and purusah have compounded together. Hence the mention of the word samartha is unnecessary.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् । अयमस्त्यसमर्थसमासो नव्समासो गमकः, तस्य साधुत्वं मा भृत् - अकिञ्चित्कृर्वाणम्, अमापं हरमाणम्, अगाधाद् उत्सृष्टम् इति । There is $na\tilde{n}$ -samāsa which is asamartha but gamaka (current in the world) as a-kiñcit kurvāṇam, a-māṣam haramāṇam and a-gādhāt utsrṣṭam and it should be taken as sādhu (su-śabda).

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: $-Ki\tilde{n}cid$ akurvāṇam, māṣam aharamāṇam, gādhād anutsṛṣṭam ityētēṣvarthēṣu ētē nañsamāsāḥ.

एतद्पि नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । अवश्यं कस्य चिन्नञ्समासस्य असमर्थस्य गमकस्य साधुत्वं वक्तव्यम् - असूर्यपश्यानि मुलानि, अपुनर्गयाः स्ठोकाः, अश्राद्धभोजी ब्राह्मणः, अलवणभोजी ब्राह्मण इति । सुडनपुंसकस्य इत्येतत् नियमार्थं भविष्यति -एतस्येव असमर्थसमासस्य नञ्समासस्य गमकस्य साधुत्वं भवति नान्यस्य इति । तस्मान्नार्थः समर्थग्रहणेन ।

This too is not a prayōjana. It is absolutely necessary to admit the sādhutva of some nañsamāsas which are asamarthasamāsas and at same time gamakas like asūryampaśyāni, apunargēyāḥ, aśrāddhabhōjī and alavaṇabhōjī in the expressions Asūryampaśyāni mukhāni, apunargēyāḥ ślōkāḥ, Aśrāddhabhōjī brāhmaṇaḥ and Alavaṇabhōjī brāhmaṇaḥ. The sūtra Suḍ anapuṃsakusya (in place of Na suḍ napuṃsakusya) may be taken to restrict the sādhutva of these asamartha-gamakanañsamāsas alone and to none else:-Hence the word samarthaḥ in the sūtra is unnecessary (and consequently the sūtra, as a whole, is unnecessary.)

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Asūryampaśyāni iti. Sūrya-karmikayā drśikriyayā nañaḥ sambandhaḥ, na tu sūryasthayā sattayā iti asāmarthyam Apunargēyā iti. Punar na gēyā iti gānēna nañaḥ sambandhaḥ na tu punaḥ-śabdārthēna. Aśrāddha-bhōjī iti. Bhujinā nañaḥ sambandhō na tu śrāddhēna ... Anapunsakasyēti. Prasajyapratiṣēdhapakṣē ayam asamarthasamāsaḥ.

IV

अथ कियमाणेऽपि समर्थप्रहणे समर्थमित्युच्यते, किं समर्थं नाम? The word samartha is read in the sūtra. Let it stand. What is meant by it?

Note:-The purpose of this sentence is to serve as an introduction for the exhaustive analysis of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{v}bh\bar{a}va$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$ and $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$ -laksana- $s\bar{a}marthya$ made by $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$.

1. Alavaņabhōjī brāhmaņah is not found in some editions.

पृथगर्थानामेकार्थीभावः समर्थवचनम्

The word samartha expresses the ēkārthībhāvalva of pṛthagarthas.

पृथगर्थानां पदानामेकार्थीभावः समर्थम् इत्युच्यते

The capacity of denoting the same object lying in two words having different meanings is referred to by the word samartha.

क पुनः पृथगर्थानि, कैकार्थानि ?

Where are they, then, prthag-arthas and where, ēkārthas?

वाक्ये पृथगर्थानि राज्ञः पुरुष इति, समासे पुनरेकार्थानि राजपुरुष इति । They are prthag-arthas in phrases like $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$, purusah, and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rthas$ in compounds like $r\bar{a}japurusah$.

किमुच्यते पृथगर्थानीति, यावता राज्ञः पुरुष आनीयताम् इत्युक्ते राजपुरुष आनीयते; राजपुरुषः इति च स एव !

How is it said that $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}a\dot{h}$ and $purusa\dot{h}$ are said to be prthag-arthas even though the same person who is referred to by the compound $r\bar{a}ja-purusa\dot{h}$ is brought in when the sentence $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}a\dot{h}$ purusa $\bar{a}n\bar{i}yat\bar{a}m$ is uttered?

नापि ब्रूमः अन्यस्यानयनं भवति इति

We never say that another is brought in.

कस्तर्हि एकार्थीभावकृतो विशेषः ?

What, then, is the special feature secured by $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{\iota}bh\bar{a}va$?

सुबलोपो व्यवधानं यथेष्टमन्यतरेणाभि सम्बन्धः स्वर इति 1 8 2

The absence of the elision of the case-suffix of the former member, the interception by another word between them, the absence of restriction in the order of words and the difference in accent.

Note:—1. $K\bar{a}iyaļa$ reads here:— $\bar{E}k\bar{a}rth\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}vakrt\bar{o}spi$ $viś\bar{e}ṣah$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ ityucyate.

Note:—2. The expression $\bar{E}t\bar{e}s\bar{a}m$ abhāvah after iti found in the manuscript might have been let slip by the scribe:

सुबलोपो भवति वाक्ये - राज्ञः पुरुषः इति । समासे ⁸ पुनर्न भवति राजपुरुषः इति ।

- 1. This is noted as a vārttika in Sri Guruprasada Sastri's edition.
- 2. These are the viśēsas of ēkārthībhāvābhāva.
- 3. I is another reading.

There is the absence of the elision of case-suffix in the former member of the phrase - $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣah; but it $(sub-al\bar{o}pa)$ is absent in a compound, as in $r\bar{a}japuruṣah$.

व्यवधानं च भवति वाक्ये - राज्ञ ऋद्धस्य पुरुषः इति । समासे तु न भवति राजपुरुष इति ।

There is interception in the phrase - $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}a$ rddhasya purusah; but it is absent in the compound, $r\bar{a}japurusah$.

यथेष्टमन्यतरेणाभिसम्बन्धो भवति वाक्ये - राज्ञः पुरुषः, पुरुषो राज्ञः इति । समासे न भवति, राजपुरुष इति ।

The order of words in a phrase may vary according to the will of the speaker, as in $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣah and $Puruṣ\bar{a}$ $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$; but it is not the case in the compound, $r\bar{a}japuruṣah$.

द्वी स्वरी भवतो वाक्ये राज्ञः पुरुषः इति । समासे पुनरेक एव राजपुरुषः इति The two words in a phrase like $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣah take each its accent (so that \bar{a} in $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ and u after p in puruṣah take the $ud\bar{a}tta$); but only one syllable takes $ud\bar{a}tta$ in a compound.

नैत एकार्थीभावकृता विशेषाः

These are not the special features secured by $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}bh\bar{a}va$.

किं तर्हि ! What then are these?

वाचिनकान्येतानि । आह हि भगवान्, सुपो धातुपातिपदिकयोः, उपसर्जनं पूर्वम्, समासान्तस्य उदात्तो भवति इति

These are secured by the $s\bar{u}tras$ read; for the revered $Ac\bar{a}rya$ has read the $s\bar{u}tras$ $Sup\bar{o}$ $dh\bar{a}tupr\bar{a}tipadikay\bar{o}h$ (enjoining the elision of the case-suffix), Upasarjanam $p\bar{u}rvam$ (restricting the order) and $Sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}ntasya$ $ud\bar{a}tt\bar{o}$ bhavati.

इमे तर्हि एकार्थीभावकृता विशेषाः - सङ्ख्याविशेषो व्यक्ताभिधानम् उपसर्जन-विशेषणं चयोगः इति 1

These, then, are the special features secured from $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}-bh\bar{a}va$:— $sankhy\bar{a}viś\bar{e}$ \$\sigma, $vyakt\bar{a}bhidh\bar{a}na$, $upasarjanaviś\bar{e}$ \$\sigma and $cay\bar{o}ga$.

सङ्ख्याविशेषो भवति वाक्ये - राज्ञः पुरुषः, राज्ञोः पुरुषः, राज्ञां पुरुष इति । समासे न भवति राजपुरुषः इति ।

1. एतेषामभावः seems to be absent here.

There is clear indication of numbers in $v\bar{a}kya$, as in $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣaḥ (singular number), $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{o}h$ puruṣaḥ (dual number) and $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}m$ puruṣaḥ (plural number) and it is not so in the compound word $r\bar{a}japuruṣaḥ$.

अस्ति कारणं येनैतदेवं भवति There is a cause by which it is so. किं कारणम्? What is the cause?

योऽसौ विशेषवाची शब्दः तदसान्निध्यात् । अङ्ग, हि भवांस्तमुचारयतु गंस्यते स विशेषः

On account of the absence of the element denoting the viśēṣa. You, sir, better pronounce that element and the viśēṣa will be seen.

ननु च नैतेनैवं भवितव्यम् । न हि शब्दकृतेन नामार्थेन भवितव्यम् । अर्थकृतेन नाम शब्देन भवितव्यम् ।

No, it is not the case. The meaning is not decided by the use of words; but words are used to suit the sense.

तदेतदेवं दश्यताम् - अर्थरूपभेवैतदेवंजातीयकं येनात्र विशेषो न गम्यत इति । Hence it may here be seen that the sense here is of such a nature as does not allow the $vi \le \bar{e} \le a$ to be known.

अवश्यं चैतदेवं विज्ञेयम् । यो हि मन्यते - योऽसा विशेषवाची शब्दः तद्सानिध्यादत्र विशेषो न गम्यते इति, इह तस्य विशेषो गम्यते - अप्युचरः, गोषुचरः, वर्षासुजः इति ।

This point should be certainly borne in mind. Let him who thinks that the $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ is not seen on account of the element denoting it, find the $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ in the expressions apsu-caral, $g\bar{o}\dot{s}u$ -caral and $var\dot{s}\bar{a}su$ -jah (where it is definitely seen).

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ reads here:— $G\bar{o}$ ṣu-caraḥ kukkuṭa ucyatē. Ēkasyām gavi, dvayōḥ, bahuṣu vā yaś carati sa sarvōssāu aviśēṣēṇa ucyatē.

व्यक्ताभिधानं भवति वाक्ये - ब्राह्मणस्य कम्बलस्तिष्ठति । समासे पुनरव्यक्तम् , ब्राह्मणकम्बलस्तिष्ठति इति ; सन्देहो भवति सम्बुद्धिर्वा स्यात् , षष्ठीसमासो वेति There is explicit mention in vākya, as in brāhmaņasya kambalas tiṣṭhati. But in samāsa, on the other hand, it is not explicit. For instance in the expression Brāhmaṇakambalas tiṣṭhati, there arises a doubt whether brāhmaṇakambalaḥ is made up of

the vocative brāhmaņa and kambaluņ or whether it is ṣaṣṭhī-tatpurusa.

Note:—The words $v\bar{a}kya$ and $sam\bar{a}sa$ seem to indicate the use of case-suffix and its elision. If $sam\bar{a}sa$, on the other hand, means compound, how can the vocative $br\bar{a}hmana$ compound with kambala?

एषोऽप्यविशेषः । भवति हि किश्चिद्वाक्येऽव्यक्तं, तच समासे व्यक्तम् । वाक्ये तावदव्यक्तम् 'अर्द्धं पशोर्देवदत्तस्य इति; सन्देहो भवति - पशुगुणस्य वा देवदत्तस्य यदर्द्धम् , अथ वा योसो संज्ञीभृतः पशुर्नाम तस्य यदर्द्धम् इति । तच समासे व्यक्तं भवति अर्द्धपशुर्देवदत्तस्य इति ।

Besides, this need not be taken as a special feature. For sometimes there is the want of explicitness in $v\bar{a}kya$ but there is explicitness in $sam\bar{a}sa$. For instance in the expression Arddham paśōr $D\bar{e}vadattasya$, there is the doubt whether arddham is qualified by $D\bar{e}vadatta$ who has the qualities of a paśu belonging to $D\bar{e}vadatta$; but in the compound ardhapaśuh in the expression Arddhapaśur $D\bar{e}vadattasya$, it is explicit.

उपसर्जनविशेषणं भवति वाक्ये - ऋद्धस्य राज्ञः पुरुष इति । समासे न भवति राजपुरुष इति

The member which becomes upasarjana in samāsa can take a višēṣaṇa in vākya, as in Ŗddhasya rājñaḥ puruṣaḥ; but it cannot do so in a compound, as in rājapuruṣaḥ.

Note:— $R\bar{a}jan$ in $r\bar{a}japuruṣaḥ$ is upasarjana, since it, subordinating its meaning, denotes another.

एपोऽप्यविंशेषः । समासेऽप्युपसर्जनाविंशेषणं भवति ; तद्यथा - देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुलं, देवदत्तस्य गुरुपुत्रः, देवदत्तस्य दासभार्या इति ।

This, too, is not a special feature. Upasarjana member in a samāsa too takes a riśēṣaṇa, as the word guru in Dēvadattasya gurukulam, Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ and Dēvadattasya dāsabhāryā.

चयोगो भवति वाक्ये, स्वचयोगः स्वामिचयोगश्चेति । स्वचयोगः - राज्ञो गौश्च अश्वश्च पुरुषश्च इति ; समासे न भवति - राज्ञो गवाश्वपुरुषाः इति । स्वामिचयोगः - देवदत्तस्य च यज्ञदत्तस्य च विष्णुमित्रस्य च गौः इति ; समासे न भवति - देवदत्तयज्ञदत्तविष्णुमित्राणां गौः इति । There is the association with the $nip\bar{a}ta$ ca in the $v\bar{a}kya$ of both of the objects owned and of the owners. The objects owned are in association with ca, as in $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ $g\bar{a}u\dot{s}$ ca $a\dot{s}va\dot{s}$ ca $puru\dot{s}a\dot{s}$ ca; but it is not so in $sam\bar{a}sa$ as in $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ $gav\bar{a}\dot{s}va-pur\bar{u}\dot{s}ah$. The owners are in association with ca as in $D\bar{e}va-dattasya$ ca $Yaj\tilde{n}adattasya$ ca $Vi\dot{s}numitrasya$ ca $g\bar{a}uh$ and it is not so in the compound $D\bar{e}vadatta-Yaj\tilde{n}adatta-Vi\dot{s}numitranam$ $g\bar{a}uh$.

अथैतिसान्नेकार्थीभावकृते विशेषे किं स्वाभाविकं शब्दैरर्थानामभिधानम् ¹ आहो-स्विद्वाचिनकम् ?

With reference to this $vi \le \bar{e} \le a$ in $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{v}bh\bar{a}va$, is the capacity of words giving the sense $sv\bar{a}bh\bar{a}vika$ (innate) or $v\bar{a}canika$ (stated in $s\bar{u}tras$)?

स्वाभाविकमित्याह 2 He says that it is svābhāvika.

कुत एतत्? Why is it so?

अर्थानादेशनात् । न ह्यर्था आदिश्यन्ते

On account of the non-mention of artha. For the meanings are not stated.

कथं पुनरर्थानादिशक्तेवं ब्र्यात् - नार्था आदिश्यन्ते इति, यदाह भगवान् अनेकमन्यपदार्थे, चार्थे द्वन्द्वः, अपत्ये, रक्ते, निर्वृत्ते इति

How can it be said that the arthas are not stated. The Acārya (Sūtrakāra) reads Anēkam anyapadārthē (2, 2, 24), Cārthē dvandvaḥ (2, 2, 29), Apatyē, raktē (5, 4, 32), Nirvṛttē (4, 4, 19).

नैतान्यथदिशनानि । स्वभावत एतेषां शब्दानामेतेष्वर्थेषु अभिनिविष्टानां निमित्तत्वेनान्वाख्यानं क्रियते । तद्यथा, कूपे हस्तदक्षिणः पन्थाः, अभे चन्द्रमसं पश्य इति । स्वभावतः तत्रस्थस्य पथः चन्द्रमसश्च निमित्तत्वेन अन्वाख्यानं क्रियते । एविमहापि चार्थे यः स द्वन्द्रसमासः, अन्यपदार्थे यः स बहुत्रीहिः इति

These do not enjoin the meaning. The words are given the samjñās for guidance on the basis of the meaning which they naturally denote. This may be illustrated thus:—There are

- 1. Arthābhidhānam is the reading in Sri Guruprasada Sastri's edition.
- 2. Sri Guruprasada Sastri feels that there should have been a vārttika Svābhāvikam arthānādēśanāt.

the sentences:—The path lies to the right of the well and look at the moon at the sky. The path already exists and also the moon. These sentences simply serve as the guidance to determine the path and the direction of the moon.

किं पुनः कारणमर्था नादि स्थन्ते ? Why are not meanings enjoined?

तच लघ्वर्थम् । लघ्वर्थं हार्था नादिश्यन्ते

It is for the sake of brevity; the meanings are not enjoined for the sake of brevity.

अवश्यं ह्यानेनार्थानादिशता केन चिच्छब्देनार्थनिर्देशः कर्तव्यः स्यात् । तस्य च तावत् केन कृतो येनासौ क्रियते । अथ तस्य केन कृतः, तस्य केन कृतः, तस्य केन कृत इति अनवस्था च स्यात् ।

By him who attempts to enjoin the meaning of words, another word should be sought for to give the meaning of one word; another word should again be sought after, by which the meaning of this can be given and so on, so that there will be no end to it.

असम्भवः खल्वप्यर्थादेशनस्य । को हि नाम समर्थो धातुप्रातिपदिकप्रत्यय-निपातानामर्थानादेष्ट्रम् ।

There is no possibility for $arth\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}ana$. Is there any one who is competent to enjoin the meanings of $pr\bar{a}tipadikas$, pratyayas and $nip\bar{a}tas$?

न चैतन्मन्तव्यं प्रत्ययार्थे निर्दिष्टे प्रकृत्यर्थोऽनिर्दिष्ट इति । भवति हि गुणा-भिधाने गुणिनः सम्प्रत्ययः । तद्यथा - शुक्कः, कृष्णः इति

It is not to be taken that, where the meaning of pratyaya is stated, the meaning of the stem is not stated. For, where guna is stated, gunin is understood, as in the words śuklah and kranah.

विषम उपन्यासः । सामान्यशब्दा एते एवं स्युः । सामान्यशब्दाश्च नान्तरेण विशेषं प्रकरणं वा विशेषेष्वतिष्ठन्ते । यतस्तु खल्ल नियोगतो वृक्ष इत्युक्ते स्वभावतः किस्मिश्चिदेव विशेषे वृक्षशब्दो वर्तते, अतो मन्यामहे नेमे सामान्यशब्दा इति । न चेत् सामान्यशब्दाः, प्रकृतिः प्रकृत्यर्थे वर्तते, प्रत्ययः प्रत्ययार्थे च वर्तते

The argument is not sound. These words – $\hat{s}uklah$ and krsnah are $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya\hat{s}abdas$ i. e. they denote all dravyas which are

white, or black. Without either their viśēṣya or the context, it is not possible to determine the particular object which they refer to. On the other hand, at the mention of a word like vṛkṣa, it, without its context or viśēṣya being determined, invariably denotes by nature a special dravya and hence we conclude that such words are not sāmānyasabdas. If they are not sāmānyasabdas, their prakṛti has its own meaning.

अप्रवृत्तिः खल्वप्यर्थादेशनस्य । बहवोऽपि हि शब्दा येषामर्था न विज्ञायन्ते - जर्भरीं तूर्फरीतू । अन्तरेण खल्विप शब्दप्रयोगं बहवोऽर्था गम्यन्ते - अक्षिनिकोचैः, पाणिविहारैश्च । न खल्विप निर्ज्ञातस्यार्थस्यान्वाख्याने किञ्चिदिप प्रयोजनमस्ति । यो हि ब्र्यात् पुरस्ताद् आदित्य उदेति पश्चादस्तं गच्छिति, मधुरो गुडः, कटुकं शृङ्गवेरम् इति किं तेन कृतं स्यात् ?

There will be at the same time no tendency to enjoin the meaning of words. For there are many words like jarbharī, tūrpharītu whose meanings have not been determined; besides ideas are expressed even without words through twinkling of the eyes or the motion of the palm of the hand. There is, besides, no use in enjoining the meanings, which are well-known. What is the purpose gained by one who says, "Sun rises in the east and sets in the west," "Jaggery is sweet and ginger is punjent"?

वावचनानर्थक्यं च स्वभावसिद्धत्वात्

Non-necessity of $adhik\bar{a}ra$ - $s\bar{u}tra$ $Vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ (2, 1, 11) since its purpose is served by nature.

वावचनमनर्थकम्

The $mah\bar{a}vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ 2, 1, 11 is not necessary.

किं कारणम् ! Why?

स्वभावसिद्धत्वात् Since it is inherent by nature.

इह द्रौ पक्षो, वृतिपक्षश्च अवृत्तिपक्षश्च इति । स्वभावतश्चितद्भवति वाक्यं च समासश्च । तत्न स्वाभाविके वृत्तिविषये नित्ये समासे प्राप्ते वावचनेन किमन्यत् शक्यमभिसम्बन्धमन्यद् अतः संज्ञायाः ? न च संज्ञाया भावाभावाविष्येते । तस्मान्तार्थो वावचनेन । There are two sides here, vrttipakṣa and avrttipakṣa. It is in the nature of words to remain independent or to compound with one another. Since the stage of $nitya-sam\bar{a}sa$, which is the viṣaya of vrtti, is secured by nature, with what can $vibh\bar{a}ṣ\bar{a}$ be associated except with the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$? But the presence of the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and its absence are not desired. Hence the $vibh\bar{a}ṣ\bar{a}$ does not serve any purpose.

अथ ये वृत्तिं वर्तयन्ति किं त आहुः ?

Those, then, who establish through $\delta \bar{a}stra$ alone the vrtti ($\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}bh\bar{a}va$), what do they say?

परार्थाभिधानं वृत्तिरित्याहुः

They say that *vrtti* is that where one word has the capacity of giving the meaning of another.

अथ तेषामेवं ब्रुवतां किं जहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिर्भवति, आहोस्विद् अजहत्स्वार्था भवति ?

Do those who establish the existence of vrtti admit $jahatsv\bar{a}rth\bar{a}-vrtti$ or $ajahatsv\bar{a}rth\bar{a}-vrtti$?

कि चातः? What difference does it make?

यदि जहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिः स्यात् "राजपुरुषमानय" इत्युक्ते पुरुषमात्रस्यानयनं प्राप्नीति, "औपगवमानय" इत्युक्ते अपत्यमात्रस्य । अथ अजहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिः उभयोविद्यमानस्वार्थयोद्वियोद्विवचनमिति द्विवचनं प्राप्नोति ।

If it is jahatsvārthā vrtti, there is chance for the $\bar{a}nayana$ of the puruṣa alone, on hearing the sentence $R\bar{a}japuruṣam$ $\bar{a}naya$ and there is chance for the $\bar{a}nayana$ of the apatya alone, on hearing the sentence $\bar{A}upagavam$ $\bar{a}naya$. But, on the other hand, if it is $ajahatsv\bar{a}rth\bar{a}$ vrtti, there is chance for the use of the dual number on the strength of $Dvay\bar{o}r$ dvivacanam as $r\bar{a}japuruṣ\bar{a}u$ and $\bar{a}upagav\bar{a}u$.

का पुनर्वृत्तिन्यीय्या ?

Which vrtti is then legitimate to be taken?

जहत्स्वार्था - Jaha't-svārthā is the legitimate one.

युक्तं पुनर्यज्जहत्स्वार्था नाम वृत्तिः स्यात्?

Is it reasonable to admit jahat-svārthā vṛtti?

NOTE: —This question arises that, since words are used to signify their meanings, they may not at all be used if they are not taken to convey any meaning.

बाढं युक्तम् । एवं हि दृश्यते लोके, पुरुषोऽयं परक्रमीण प्रवर्तमानः स्वं कर्म जहाति । तद्यथा - तक्षा राजकर्मणि प्रवर्तमानः स्वं तक्षकमे जहाति । एवं युक्तं यद्राजा पुरुषार्थे वर्तमानः स्वमर्थे जह्यात् , उपगुश्च अपत्यार्थे वर्तमानः स्वमर्थे जह्यात् । It is perfectly reasonable. It is thus seen in the world that the man engaged in the service of another has to give up his own work. A carpenter in the royal service gives up his own work. So also the word $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ engaged in conveying the meaning of purusa gives up its meaning and the word Upagu, engaged in conveying the meaning of apatya gives up its own meaning.

ननु चोक्तं राजपुरुषमानय इत्युक्ते पुरुपमात्रस्य आनयनं प्राप्नोति, औपगवमानय इत्युक्ते अपत्यमात्रस्य इति ।

Oh, it has been said that, on hearing the sentence $R\bar{a}ja$ puruṣam $\bar{o}naya$, there is chance to fetch puruṣa alone and
that, on hearing the sentence $\bar{A}upagavam$ $\bar{a}naya$, there is
chance to fetch apatya alone.

नैप दोषः । जहद्प्यसौ स्वार्थं नात्यन्ताय जहाति । यः परार्थिवरोधी स्वार्थस्तं जहाति ; तद्यथा - तक्षा राजकर्मणि प्रवर्त्तमानः स्वं तक्षकर्म जहाति, न तु हिक्कितश्वसितहसितकण्डूयितानि ; न चायमर्थः परार्थिवरोधी विशेषणं नाम, तस्मात्तन हास्यति ।

There is no room for this difficulty. He, though he gives up his work, does not give it up entirely. That which is detrimental to other's work, he gives it up. This may be taken as an illustration that a carpenter engaged in royal service gives up his own carpenter's work and does not give up hiccough, breathing, laughing and relieving his itching sensation. The visēsanatva which the word conveys is not detrimental to its giving the meaning of another and hence it does not give it up.

Note: It gives up the viśēsyalva in its meaning.

अथवा अन्वयाद् विशेषणं भविष्यति; तद्यथा, घृतघटः तैलघटः इति । निषिक्ते घृते तैले च अन्वयाद् विशेषणं भवति, अयं घृतघटः अयं तैलघट इति । Or it becomes the viśēṣaṇa on account of its having left its trace, as the words ghrta and tāila in the compounds ghrta-ghaṭa and tāila-ghaṭa. Even after ghrṭa (ghee) or (tāila) (oil) is poured out, the vessel is called ghrṭa-ghaṭa or tāila-ghaṭa, since the trace of ghrṭa or tāila is left.

विषम उपन्यासः । भवति हि तत्र या च यावती च अर्थमात्रा । अङ्ग, हि भवानस्रो निष्टभ्य वृत्रघटं तृणकूर्चेन प्रक्षालयतु, न गंस्यते स विशेषः ।

The argument is not sound. It can be called ghrta-ghata or $t\bar{a}ila$ -ghata, only so long as the trace is left there. Sir, when you heat it in fire and clean it with the brush made of kusa grass, there is no trace of ghee or oil left there.

यथा तर्हि मिछिकापुटः चम्पकपुटः इति । निष्कीर्णास्विपि सुमनःसु अन्वया-द्विशेषणं भवति, अयं मिछकापुटः अयं चम्पकपुट इति ।

If so, the words $mollik\bar{a}$ and campaka in the compounds $mallik\bar{a}$ -putah and campakaputah form the examples. For even after
the flowers are taken away, they are called $mallik\bar{a}$ putah and campakaputah and $mallik\bar{a}$ and campaka form $vis\bar{e}sanas$, since
they have left their trace there.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ here reads:- $Vi\hat{s}\bar{e}\hat{s}anam=vi\hat{s}\bar{e}\hat{s}akah=itaravy\bar{a}vartakah$.

अथ वा समर्थाधिकारोऽयं वृत्तौ कियते

Or the word samartha is taken in vrtti through adhikāra.

सामर्थं नाम भेदः संसर्गो वा । अपर आह भेदसंसर्गो वा सामर्थ्यमिति Sāmarthya is bhēda or samsarga. Another says that both bhēda and samsarga form sāmarthya.

कः पुनर्भेदो संसर्गो वा ? What is bhēda? What is samsarga?

इह राज्ञ इत्युक्ते सर्व स्वं प्रसक्तम्; पुरुष इत्युक्ते सर्वः स्वामी प्रसक्तः । इहेदानीं राजपुरुषमानय इत्युक्ते राजा पुरुषं निवर्तयत्यन्येभ्यः स्वामिभ्यः, पुरुषोऽपि राजानमन्येभ्यः स्वेभ्यः । एवम् एतस्मिन्नुभयतो व्यवच्छिन्ने यदि स्वार्थ जहाति, कामं जहातु, न जातुचित् पुरुषमात्रस्थानयनं भविष्यति ।

On the mention of the word $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$, all that forms his property have a chance to be used after it; and on the mention of the word purusah, all those who form his masters have a chance to be used before it. But if the sentence

 $R\bar{a}japuru$ şam $\bar{a}naya$ is read, the word $raj\bar{a}n$ eliminates other masters of puruşa and the word puruşa eliminates other properties of $r\bar{a}jan$. If, after both have restricted the application of each other, $r\bar{a}jan$ leaves off its meaning, let it leave it. Never can puruşa who has no connection with $r\bar{a}jan$ be fetched.

अथवा पुनरस्त्वजहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिः

Or let ajahat-svārthā vrtti be the legitimate one.

युक्तं पुनरिदं यदजहत्स्वार्था नाम वृत्तिः स्यात्?

Is it reasonable to admit ajahatsvārthā vrtti?

बाढं युक्तम् । एवं हि दृश्यते लोके, भिक्षुकोऽयं द्वितीयां भिक्षां समासाद्य पूर्वां न जहाति, सञ्चयायैव प्रवर्तते ।

It is perfectly reasonable. It is thus seen in the world that a beggar having received alms once, proceeds to get it elsewhere without leaving the former and tries to add one to another.

ननु चोक्तम्, उभयोर्विद्यमानस्वार्थयोर्द्वयोद्धिवचनमिति द्विवचनं प्राप्नोति इति । Oh, it has been said that there is chance for the use of the dual number on the strength of the sūtra Dvayōr dvivacanam on account of both conveying their meaning.

कस्याः पुनर्द्धिवचनं प्राप्नोति ? Whose dual number has a chance?

प्रथमायाः Of the nominative case.

न प्रथमासमर्थो राजा

 $R\bar{a}jan$ has not the $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the nominative case.

षष्ट्यास्तर्हि प्रामोति

There is, then, a chance for the dual of the genetive case.

न षष्ठीसमर्थः पुरुषः

Purușa has not the sāmarthya with the genetive case.

प्रथमाया एव तर्हि प्रामोति

If so, the dual of the nominative itself has a chance.

ननु चोक्तम्, न प्रथमासमर्थो राजा इति

Oh, it was said that $r\bar{a}jan$ has no $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the nominative case.

अभिहितः सः, अन्तर्भूतः प्रातिपदिकार्थः सम्पन्नः । तत्र प्रातिपदिकार्थे प्रथमा इति प्रथमाया एव द्विवचनं प्राप्तोति ।

It (meaning of the sixth case) is mentioned and the meaning of the $pr\bar{a}tipadika$ is implied. Hence $pratham\bar{a}$ may set in on the authority of $Pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$ $pratham\bar{a}$ and hence the dual of the nominative alone has a chance to set in.

सङ्घातस्यैकार्थ्यान्नावयवसङ्ख्यातः सुबुत्पत्तिः

The presence of the case-suffix is not based on the number of the parts on account of the whole being one.

सङ्घातस्यैकत्वमर्थः । तेनावयवसङ्ख्यातः सुबुत्पत्तिनं भविष्यति । Ekatva (oneness) of the whole is the meaning. Hence casesuffix is not used on the basis of the number of parts.

परस्परव्यपेक्षां सामर्थ्यमेके

Some think that sāmarthya is parasparavyapēkṣā.

परस्परव्यपेक्षां सामर्थ्यमेक इच्छन्ति

Some favour the view that sāmarthya is parasparavyapēkṣā.

का पुनः शब्दयोर्व्यपेक्षा?

What is this, the vyapēkṣā of two śabdas?

न ब्रमः शब्दयोरिति

We do not say that it is between two śabdas?

कि तर्हि? Between what then?

अर्थयोः । इह राज्ञः पुरुष इत्युक्ते राजा पुरुषमपेक्षते - ममायमिति, पुरुषोऽपि राजानमपेक्षते - अहमस्येति । तयोरभिसम्बन्धस्य षष्ठी वाचिका भवति ।

Between arthas. When one says $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣah, $r\bar{a}jan$ needs puruṣa to qualify it that he is his and puruṣa, too, needs $r\bar{a}jan$ to be qualified by it that his is he. The sixth case conveys that this relation exists between them.

तद्यथा कष्टं श्रित इति कियाकारकयोरिमसम्बन्धस्य द्वितीया वाचिका भवति Similarly the second case in $kastam \, sritah \, conveys the relation between the <math>kriy\bar{a}$ denoted by $srita \, and \, kasta \, which takes the case-suffix after it.$

अथ यद्येव एकार्थीभावः सामर्थ्यम् अथापि व्यपेक्षा सामर्थ्यं, किं गतमेतदियता स्रेवण, आहोस्विद् अन्यतरस्मिन् पक्षे भूयः सूत्रं कर्तव्यम् ?

Whether it is $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}bh\bar{a}va$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$ or $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$, will this $s\bar{u}tra$ do? Or is it necessary to read another to meet the alternative paksa?

गतमित्याह "This sūtra will do," says he.

कथम् ? How?

समोऽयम् अर्थशब्देन सह समासः । सं चोपसर्गः । उपसर्गाश्च पुनरेवमात्मकाः -यत्र कश्चित् कियावाची शब्दः प्रयुज्यते, तल्ल कियाविशेषमाहुः

There is here the samāsa between sam and artha. Sam is an upasarga. Upasargas are of the nature that, wherever they are used with verbs, they enable them convey some specialised meaning.

न चेह कश्चित् कियावाची शब्दः प्रयुज्यते, येन समः सामर्थ्यं स्यात् But no $dh\bar{a}tu$ is read here along with it, so that it can have $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the $kriy\bar{a}$ denoted by it.

तत्र प्रयोगाद् एतद् अवगन्तव्यम् - नूनमत्र कश्चित् प्रयोगार्हः शब्दो न प्रयुज्यते, येन समः सामर्थ्यमिति । तद्यथा, धूमं दृष्ट्वा अभिरत्र इति गम्यते, त्रिविष्टब्धकं दृष्ट्वा परित्राजक इति ।

Hence it must be determined from the usage that a word which deserves to be used with it so that sam may have sāmarthya with it is dropped. The case is similar to our inference of fire on seeing the smoke and a hermit on seeing a three-plank-book-holder.

कः पुनरसौ प्रयोगार्हः शब्दो न प्रयुज्यते ?

What, then, is the word dropped here, which deserves to be read?

सङ्गतार्थ समर्थ, संस्रष्टार्थ समर्थ, संप्रेक्षितार्थ समर्थ, संबद्धार्थ समर्थमिति
The word gata or srsta and the word prēksita or baddha are the words dropped so that samartham can be split into sangatam artham or samsrstam artham and samprēksitam artham or sambaddham artham.

and samprēkṣitam and sambaddham have the same meaning.

तद्यदा तावदेकाशीभावः सामर्थ्यम् तदैवं विग्रहः करिष्यते - सङ्गतार्थः समर्थः, संस्रष्टार्थः समर्थ इति । तद्यथा - सङ्गतं घृतं, सङ्गतं तैलम् इत्युच्यते, एकीभूतमिति गम्यते ; संस्रष्टार्थः समर्थ इति, तद्यथा संस्रष्टोऽभिः इत्युच्यते, एकीभूत इति गम्यते ।

1. It seems Samsystārthah samartha iti here is an interpolation.

With reference to $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}bh\bar{a}va$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$, the vigraha is done thus:— $Sangat\bar{a}rthah$ samarthah and sansrstarthah samarthah; the word sangata in sangatam ghrtam and sangatam tailam means $\bar{e}k\bar{i}bh\bar{u}ta$ and sansrsta in s

यदा व्यवेक्षा सामर्थ्य तदैवं वित्रहः करिष्यते - सम्प्रेक्षितार्थः समर्थः, सम्बद्धार्थः समर्थ इति ।

With reference to vyapēkṣā-sāmarthya, the vigraha is done thus:-Samprēkṣitārthaḥ samarthaḥ and səmbaddhārthaḥ samarthaḥ.

कः पुनरिह सम्बध्नात्यर्थः?

What is the meaning of badh with sam?

व्यतिषक्षः - सम्बद्ध इत्युच्यते यो रज्ज्वायसा वा कीले व्यतिषक्तो भवति Mutual connection. That which is tied to a stake with a rope or iron-chain is said to be sambaddha.

नावश्यं बभ्नातिर्न्यतिषङ्ग एव वर्तते

The root badh does not always mean vyatisanga.

कि तर्हि? What then does it mean?

अहानाविष वर्तते । तद्यथा - सम्बद्धाविमा दम्या इत्युच्येते यावन्योन्यं न जहीतः It means non-abandonment also. For instance the two bulls which do not abandon each other are said sambaddhāu.

.. अथ वा भवति चैवंजातीयकेषु बध्नातिर्वर्तते । तद्यथा, अस्ति नो गर्गै: सम्बन्धः, अस्ति नो वत्सैः सम्बन्ध इति

Or, the root badh is used where there is $vyap\bar{e}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$, as in Asti $n\bar{o}$ Gargāih sambandhah (we have relationship with Gargas), Asti $n\bar{o}$ Vatsāih sambandhah (we have relationship with Vatsas.)

अथैतिस्मिन् व्यपेक्षायां सामध्ये योऽसावेकाधीं भावकृतो विशेषः स वक्तव्यः If we take into account $vyap\bar{e}k$ $\bar{s}\bar{a}$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$, there is the necessity to read all the benefits accrued from $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{a}bh\bar{a}va$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:—Yadi $vrtt\bar{a}u$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}bh\bar{a}v\bar{o}$ na abhyupagamyatē, tadā $v\bar{a}kyavat$ sankhyāviśēṣa-upasarjana-viśēṣa-nādīnām prasangāt tad-abhāvō vacanēna pratipādyah.

तत्र नानाकारकानिघातयुष्मदस्मदादेशप्रतिषेधः

There (in both) there is the need for the pratisedha of nighāta and the ādēśas of yuṣmad and asmad after words which take one case-suffix in their relation to one verb and another in their relation to another.

तत्रैतसिन् व्यपेक्षायां सामर्थ्ये सित नानाकारकात् निघातात् युष्मदस्मदादेशाः पामुवन्ति, तेषां प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः ।

There (in $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{b}h\bar{a}va$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$) and here in $vyap\bar{e}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$, there is chance for $nigh\bar{a}ta$ and the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sas$ of yusmad and asmad after words which take different casesuffixes, one in relation to one verb and another in relation to another.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: $-Bhinn\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ yat $k\bar{a}ryam$ tat $n\bar{a}n\bar{a}k\bar{a}rakam$.

निघात - अयं दण्डो हरानेन । अस्ति दण्डस्य हरतेश्च व्यपेक्षेति कृत्वा निघातः प्रामोति ।

Nighāta (anudātta). In the expression Ayam daṇḍō hara anēna, there is the chance for sarvānudātta of hara (by the Tinnatinaḥ (8, 1, 28) and the vārttika Samānavākyē nighāta-yuṣmad-asmadādēśā vaktavyāḥ) since it follows the word daṇḍa with which it has vyapēkṣā-sāmarthya.

युष्मदस्मदादेशाः । ओदनं पच तव भविष्यति, ओदनं पच मम भविष्यति । अस्ति ओदनस्य युष्मदस्मदोश्च व्यपेक्षेति कृत्वा वाझावादयः प्राप्नुवन्ति

The ādēśas of yuṣmad and asmad:—There is chance for ta a to be replaced by tē and mama by mē in the expressions Ōdanam paca tava bhaviṣyati and Ōdanam paca mama bhaviṣyati (by the sūtras Ṣaṣṭhī...vāmnāvāu 8, 1, 20 and Tē mayāvēkava canasya 8, 1, 22), since there is vyapēkṣā-sāmarthya between them and ōdana.

तेषां प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः There is need to prohibit them.

किमुच्यते नानाकारकादिति, यदा तेनैवासज्य ह्रियते ?

How is it said $n\bar{a}n\bar{a}k\bar{a}rak\bar{a}d$, when the separation takes place when it comes in association with it alone.

Note:—The bone of contention is the expression Ayam daṇḍaḥ hara anēna. The objection is that daṇḍa is not a kāraka with reference to the haraṇakriyā and hence it is not nānākāraka. The answer is this:—The word asti is understood after it and hence it is $katrk\bar{a}raka$ with reference to asti and karaṇa-kāraka with reference to haraṇa.

नापि ब्रूमः अन्येनासज्य ह्रियते इति

We do not say that separation takes place after it comes in association with another.

किं तर्हि ! What then?

शब्दप्रमाणका वयम् । यच्छब्द आह तद्साकं प्रमाणम् । शब्दश्चेह सत्तामाह, अयं दण्डः । अस्तीति गम्यते । स दण्डः कर्ता भूत्वा अन्येन शब्देनाभि-सम्बध्यमानः करणं सम्पद्यते ।

We have $\pm abda$ for our authority; whatever $\pm abda$ says is authority for us. $\pm abda$ says here, in Ayam daṇḍaḥ, sattā. Hence the word asti is to be inferred. The daṇḍa being kartā becomes karaṇa in its association with another $\pm abda$.

तद्यथा - कश्चित् कञ्चित् पृच्छिति "क देवदत्तः ?" इति । स तस्मै आचष्टे असौ वृक्षे इति । कतरस्मिन् ? यस्तिष्ठति इति । स वृक्षोऽधिकरणं मूत्वा अन्येन शब्देनाभिसम्बध्यमानः कर्ता सम्पद्यते ।

This may be illustrated thus:—One says to another, "Where is $D\bar{e}vadatta$?" He replies to him, "He is on the tree." In which tree? That which stands. The word tree (vrksa) being the adhikarana becomes $kart\bar{a}$ in its association with another word.

प्रचये समासप्रतिषेधः Need for samāsa-pratiṣēdha in pracaya.

प्रचये समासस्य प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः । राज्ञो गौश्च अश्वश्च पुरुषश्च राज-गवाश्वपुरुषा इति ।

There is need to prohibit $sam\bar{a}sa$ if one is associated with many, so that $r\bar{a}jan$ when it is associated with $g\bar{o}$, $a\dot{s}va$ and purusa cannot form the compound $r\bar{a}jagav\bar{a}\dot{s}vapurus\bar{a}h$.

समर्थतराणां वा Or among those which are samarthatara.

समर्थतराणां वा पदानां समासो भविष्यति

There will be samāsa among words which are samarthatara (śaktatara).

कानि पुनः समर्थतराणि ? What, then, are samarthatarus? यानि द्वन्द्वभावीनि

Those which can form into a dvandva compound.

कुत एतत्? Why is it so?

एषां ह्याशुतरा वृत्तिः प्रामोति

Since they can very easily form a compound.

तद्यथा - समर्थतरोऽयं माणवकोऽध्ययनाय इत्युच्यते, आशुतरत्रन्थः इति गम्यते । The following may serve as an example:—If it is said that this pupil is samarthatara in learning, it is suggested that the book is one which can be easily learnt.

अपर आह Another says.

समर्थतराणां वा Samarthalarāṇām vā.

समर्थतराणां वा पदानां समासो मिविष्यति

Samarthatarāṇām vā padānām samāsō bhaviṣyati.

कानि पुनः समर्थतराणि? What, then, are samarthataras? यानि द्वन्द्वभावीनि

Those that can form into a dvandva compound.

कुत एतत्? Why is it so?

समानविभक्तीनि एतानि; अन्यविभक्ती राजा । भवति विशेषः स्वसिन् आतिर पितृव्यपुत्रे च ।

These have the same vibhaktis; rājan (in rājūah gāuh, aśvah, puruṣaḥ ca) is of a different vibhakti. There is difference between one's own brother and paternal uncle's son.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyaţa$ writes:— $Tulyaj\bar{a}t\bar{\imath}yatvam$ $vyap\bar{e}kṣ\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}v\bar{e}$ ca praty $\bar{a}sannataram$ $k\bar{a}ranam$ ityarthah.

समुदायसामध्यदा सिद्धम्

The object is achieved by the $s\bar{a}$ marthya with the samudāya.

समुदायसामर्थ्याद्वा पुनः सिद्धमेतत् । समुदायेन राज्ञः सामर्थ्यं भवति नावयवेन

Or the object is achieved by the $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the whole. $R\bar{o}jan$ has $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the whole $(gav\bar{a}\acute{s}vapuru\dot{s}\bar{a}\dot{h})$ and not with the part.

अपर आह Another says.

समर्थतराणां वा समुदायसामध्यति

Or samāsa among samarthataras on account of the sāmarthya with the whole.

समर्थताराणां वा पदानां समासो भविष्यति

Or samāsa among samarthataras on account of the sāmarthya with the whole.

कृत एतत्? How is it so?

समुदायसामध्यदिव On account of samudāya-sāmarthya itself.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here:— $Atra~pakṣē~samud\bar{a}yas\bar{a}marthyam~samarthataratvē~hētuḥ.~Samudāyasambandhē~ca~avayavasyāpi~anumīyamānaḥ~sambandhōssti~iti~tud-apēkṣaḥ~prakarṣapratyayaḥ.$

अस्मिन्पक्षे वा इत्येतदसमर्थितं भवति

The significance of the word $v\bar{a}$ is not brought out in this pakṣa.

एतच समर्थितम् This too is brought out.

कथम् १ Нож ?

नैव वा पुनरत्र राज्ञोऽश्वपुरुषावपेक्षमाणस्य गवा सह समासो भवति । There is no $sam\bar{a}sa$ here first with $g\bar{o}$ of the word $r\bar{a}jan$ which has to combine with $a\hat{s}va$ and purusa later on.

कथं तर्हि ! How then ?

गोः राजानमपेक्षमाणस्य अश्वपुरुषाभ्यां सह समासो भवति

Here is $sam\bar{a}sa$ between $g\bar{o}$ which expects $r\bar{a}jan$ to be its $vi\hat{s}\bar{e}sana$ and $a\hat{s}vapurus\bar{a}u$.

प्रधानमत्र तदा गौभवति । भवति च प्रधानस्य सापेक्षस्यापि समासः $G\bar{a}uh$ becomes $pradh\bar{a}na$ here. $Pradh\bar{a}na$, though $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}ksa$, can combine with what follows.

77

आख्यातं साव्ययकारकविशेषणं वाक्यम्

 $V\bar{a}kya$ (sentence) is the finite verb modified by avyayas and $k\bar{a}rakas$ with or without $viś\~esanas$.

Note:—The need for the definition of $v\bar{a}kya$ arises, since $sarv\bar{a}nud\bar{a}ttatva$ happens sometimes and does not happen in other places in $v\bar{a}kyas$. Such $v\bar{a}kyas$ are not the same as the $l\bar{a}ukika-v\bar{a}kyas$.

आख्यातं साव्ययं सकारकं सकारकविशेषणं वाक्यसंज्ञं भवतीति वक्तव्यम् It must be stated that a finite verb modified by avyayas, $k\bar{a}rakas$ and $k\bar{a}rakas$ qualified by adjectives takes the $v\bar{a}kya$ -samij $n\bar{a}$.

(साब्ययम्) - उच्चैः पठित, नीचैः पठिति

(Akhyāta with avyaya):—Uccāih pathati and nīcāih pathati.

(सकारकम्) - ओदनं पचति

 $(\bar{A}khy\bar{a}ta \text{ with } k\bar{a}raka):-\bar{O}danam \ pacati.$

(सकारकविशेषणम्) - ओदनं मृदु विशदं पचति

(Akhyāta with sakārakavišēsaņa):-Ōdanam mṛdu viśadam pacati.

सक्रियाविशेषणं च

(Need to read) along with compliments of verbs also.

सिक्रयाविशेषणं चेति वक्तन्यम् - सुष्टु पचिति, दुष्टु पचिति इति Sakriyāviśēṣaṇam also is to be read:—Suṣṭhu pacati and Duṣṭhu pacati.

अपर आह आख्यातं सिवशेषणं इत्येव । सर्वाणि द्येतानि कियाविशेषणानि । Another says that $\bar{A}khy\bar{a}tam$ saviśēṣaṇam will do, since all these are $kriy\bar{a}viś\bar{e}$ ṣaṇas.

एकतिङ्

That which has the finite verb repeated (gets the $v\bar{a}kyasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$).

एकतिङ् वाक्यसंज्ञं भवतीति वक्तव्यम्, ब्रूहि ब्रूहि

That which has the finite verb repeated as $br\bar{u}hi\ br\bar{u}hi$ must be given the $v\bar{a}kyasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:— $\bar{E}kasabdah$ samānavacanah na tu sankhyāvācī; bahuvrīhiś ca ayam.

समानवाक्ये निघातयुष्मद्रसदादेशाः

Need to read the presence of $nigh\bar{a}ta$, $yusmad\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and $asmad\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ in the same $v\bar{a}kya$.

समानवाक्ये इति प्रकृत्य निघातयुष्मदस्मदादेशाः वक्तव्याः

It is necessary to read that $nigh\bar{a}ta$, $yusmad-\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and $asmad-\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ occur only in the same sentence.

किं प्रयोजनम् श Why?

नानावाषयेषु मा भ्वित्रघातादय इति - अयं दण्डः, हर अनेन; ओदनं पच, तव भविष्यति; ओदनं पच, मम भविष्यति

So that nighāta etc. need not occur in the following pairs of sentences:—Ayam daṇḍaḥ, hara anēna; Ōdanam paca, tava bhaviṣyati; Ōdanam paca, mama bhaviṣyati.

योगे प्रतिषेधश्रादिभिः

Need for pratisēdha when there is the association with ca etc.

चादिभियोंगे प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः । प्रामस्तव च स्वं, मम च स्वम् There is need for pratisēdha when there is the association with ca etc. Viz. Grāmaḥ tava ca svam, mama ca svam.

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते, यथान्यास एव चादिभिर्योगे प्रतिषेध उच्यते? What for is this $(v\bar{a}kyalak \stackrel{\cdot}{s}anam \text{ and } pratis\bar{e}dha)$ said here, when the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ is found in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Na ca $v\bar{a}h\bar{a}h\bar{a}iva-yukt\bar{e}$ (8, 1, 24)?

इदमद्यापूर्व कियते वाक्यसंज्ञा, समानवाक्याधिकारश्च । तद् द्वेष्यं विज्ञानीयात् सर्वमेतद्विकल्पत इति । तदाचार्यः सुहृद् भूत्वा अन्वाचष्टे चादिभियोगे यथान्यासमेव भवति इति । सा चावश्यं वाक्यसंज्ञा वक्तव्या, समानवाक्याधिकारश्च वक्तव्यः । Here vākyasamjñā and samānavākyādhikāra are enjoined afresh. One will, at once, think that this is antagonistic to the sūtra Na ca vā...... and hence will proclaim that there will be vikalpa i for everything (on the dictum Tulyabalāir virādhē vikalpaḥ). Hence Ācārya (Vārttikakāra) with an affectionate heart tells us that there is no vikalpa to what is enjoined in the sūtra Na ca vā... and hence reads the vārttika Yōgē pratiṣēdhaḥ cādibhiḥ in consonance with it. Hence vākyasamjñā is necessarily to be enjoined and also samānavākyādhikāra.

समर्थनिघाते हि समानाधिकरणयुक्तयुक्तेषूपसङ्ख्यानमसमर्थत्वात्

With reference to nighāta etc. after a word which is samartha, addition has to be made of those that are in apposition or that are connected with the word which is samartha, on account of their being asamartha.

समर्थनिघाते हि सित समानाधिकरणयुक्तयुक्तेषूपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यं स्यात् । (समानाधिकरणे) - पटवे ते दास्यामि, मृदवे ते दास्यामि । (युक्तयुक्ते) नद्यास्तिष्ठति कूले, वृक्षस्य लम्बते शाखायां, शालीनां ते ओदनं ददामि, शालीनां मे ओदनं ददासि । With reference to nighāta, yuṣmad-ādēśa and asmad-ādēśa after a word which is samartha, there is need to add that the same happens after a word which is in apposition with it or is related to it. Viz. Paṭavē tē dāsyāmi and mṛdavē tē dāsyāmi. (Here

1. But Nāgēśabhaṭṭa takes vikalpatē in the sense of vyāpnōti,

tava is replaced by $t\bar{e}$ after $paṭav\bar{e}$ and $mrdav\bar{e}$ which are in apposition with tava) $Nady\bar{a}s$ tiṣṭhati $k\bar{u}l\bar{e}$ and vrkṣasya $lambat\bar{e}$ $ś\bar{a}kh\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$. (Here tiṣṭhati and $lambat\bar{e}$ take $nigh\bar{a}ta$ after $nady\bar{a}s$ and vrkṣaya which are related only to $k\bar{u}l\bar{e}$ and $ś\bar{a}kh\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ which are samartha. Śā $l\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ $t\bar{e}$ $\bar{o}danam$ $dad\bar{a}mi$ and $ś\bar{a}l\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ $m\bar{e}$ $\bar{o}danam$ $dad\bar{a}si$. (Here $t\bar{e}$ and $m\bar{e}$ are found after $ś\bar{a}l\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ which is related only to $\bar{o}danam$ which is samartha).

िकं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति? Why is it not accomplished? असमर्थत्वात् Since they are not samartha.

VI

राजमवीक्षीरे द्विसमासप्रसङ्गो द्विषष्टीभावात्

There is chance for two $sam\bar{a}sas$ with reference to the word $r\bar{a}jagav\bar{i}ks\bar{i}ram$ on account of the presence of two words in the sixth case.

राजगवीक्षीरे द्विसमासप्रसङ्गः

There is chance for another $sam\bar{a}sa$ in the place of $r\bar{a}ja-gav\bar{\imath}k\bar{s}\bar{\imath}ram$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads: $-R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ $y\bar{a}$ $g\bar{a}uh$, $tasy\bar{a}h$ $ks\bar{i}ram$ $ity\bar{e}tasmin$ $arth\bar{e}$ $r\bar{a}jag\bar{o}ks\bar{i}ram$ ityapi $pr\bar{a}pn\bar{o}ti$.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

द्विषष्ठीभावात् - द्वे ह्यत्र षष्ठ्यौ - राज्ञो गोः क्षीरम् इति

On account of the presence of two $sasth\bar{i}s$. For there are two $sasth\bar{i}s$ - here $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}a\dot{h}$ and $g\bar{o}h$ in $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ $g\bar{o}h$ $ks\bar{i}ram$.

किमुच्यते द्विसमासपसङ्ग इति, यावता सुप्सुपेति वर्तते ?

How is it said dvi-sam $\bar{a}sa$ -prasaiga when the $s\bar{u}tra$ Sup $sup\bar{a}$ enjoins that subanta compounds with subanta?

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ reads: $-Sankhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ vivakṣitatv $\bar{a}t$ samu-d $\bar{a}yasya$ ca asubantatv $\bar{a}t$ n $\bar{a}sti$ sam $\bar{a}saprasanga$ ityarthah.

द्विसमासपसङ्ग इति नैवं विज्ञायते द्वयोः सुबन्तयोः समासप्रसङ्गो द्विसमास-प्रसङ्ग इति

The word dvisamāsaprasangah is not split thus:—Dvayōh subantayōh samāsaprasangah.

1. यदा सुप्सुपेति वर्तते न तदा द्विसमासप्रसङ्गः इति is the reading of Pandurang Jawaji's edition.

कथं तर्हि ! How then ?

द्भित्रकारस्य समासस्य प्रसङ्गो द्विसमासप्रसङ्ग इति । राजगोक्षीरम् इत्यपि प्रामोति

 $Dvisam\bar{a}saprasangah$ is split thus:— $Dviprak\bar{a}rasya$ $sam\bar{a}sasya$ prasangah. (Hence) there is chance for the form $r\bar{a}ja$ - $g\bar{o}$ -k $\bar{s}\bar{i}ram$ alone.

न चैवं भवितव्यम्? Can it not be so?

भवितव्यं च यदैतद्वाक्यं भवति - गोः क्षीरं गोक्षीरं, राज्ञो गोक्षीरं राजगो-क्षीरम् इति

It can be so, if the $sam\bar{a}sa$ is of this nature:— $G\bar{o}h$ $k\bar{s}\bar{\imath}ram$ $g\bar{o}k\bar{s}\bar{\imath}ram$; $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ $g\bar{o}k\bar{s}\bar{\imath}ram$ $r\bar{a}jag\bar{o}k\bar{s}\bar{\imath}ram$.

Note:—The word $r\bar{a}jag\bar{o}k\bar{s}\bar{i}ram$ can only mean cow's milk belonging to the king. Hence the cow need not belong to him.

यदा त्वेतद्वाक्यं भवति - राज्ञः गोः क्षीरम् इति, तदा न भवितव्यम् ; तदा च प्राप्तोति । तदा कस्मान्न भवति ?

When it is the samāsa of rājñah gōh kṣīram, it should not take that form. But it has a chance to take that form. What prevents it from taking it?

सिद्धं तु राजविशिष्टाया गोः श्लीरेण सामर्थ्यात्

The object is achieved on account of the $s\bar{a}marthya$ of $g\bar{o}$ belonging to the king with $ks\bar{i}ra$.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम्? How?

राजविशिष्टाया गोः क्षीरेण सह समासो भवति, न केवलायाः

 $Sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place between $g\bar{o}$ belonging to the king and $k\bar{s}\bar{\imath}ra$ and not between any $g\bar{o}$ and $k\bar{s}\bar{\imath}ra$.

किं वक्तव्यमेतत्? Is this to be stated?

न हि No, it need not.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते?

How is it to be so understood unless it is stated?

यथैवायं गवि यतते, न च क्षीरमात्रेण सन्तोषं करोति, एवं राजन्यपि यतते राज्ञो या गौः तस्था यत् क्षीरम् इति । Just as one, not being satisfied with any milk, seeks after $g\bar{o}$, so also he, not being satisfied with cow belonging to any man, seeks after it which belongs to the king.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads:— $G\bar{o}h$ $p\bar{u}rvam$ $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ sambandhah, paścāt kṣīrēṇa. Nāgēśabhaṭṭr reads:— $Y\bar{e}na$ kramēṇa sambandhah tat-kramēṇāiva samāsa-śāstra-pravṛttih.

नैव वा पुनरत्र गो राजानमपेक्षमाणायाः क्षीरेण सह समासः प्राप्नोति Oh! here is no chance for $g\bar{o}$ which expects $r\bar{a}jan$ to qualify it to compound itself with $k\bar{s}\bar{i}ra$.

किं कारणम्? Why?

असामध्यति On account of the absence of sāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम्? How is it that sāmarthya is absent?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवतीति

That which expects another to qualify it is not samartha to compound itself with another.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{o}jibhatta$ reads:—" $Saviś\bar{e}$ ṣaṇānām vṛttir na" ityatra saviś \bar{e} ṣaṇatvam api $\bar{a}k\bar{a}nk$ ṣ \bar{a} -labhya-viś \bar{e} ṣaṇavattvam \bar{e} va iti na d \bar{o} sah.

कथं तर्हि गोः क्षीरमपेक्षमाणाया राज्ञा सह समासो भवति ?

How is it then there is $sam\bar{a}sa$ between $r\bar{a}jan$ and $g\bar{o}$ when the latter expects to be compounded with $k\bar{s}\bar{i}ra$?

प्रधानमत्र तदा गौभैवति । भवति च प्रधानस्य सापेक्षस्यापि समासः $G\bar{o}$ becomes $pradh\bar{a}na$ then. $Pradh\bar{a}na$ can compound with another even though it is $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}ksa$.

VII

अथ किमर्थं पद्विधौ समर्थाधिकारः क्रियते ?

What for is samarthādhikāra made in pada-vidhi?

Note:—Since it has already been decided that the $s\bar{u}tra$ Samarthah padavidhih is a $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ and not an $adhik\bar{a}ra-s\bar{u}tra$, it may strike one whether the use of the word $adhik\bar{a}ra$ above is appropriate. True, it is not so. But $K\bar{a}iyata$ explains it this way:—Since $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}rthya$ is common to both, $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ is referred to here by the word $adhik\bar{a}ra$; for $adhik\bar{a}ra$ is $viniy\bar{o}ga$. $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}sabhatta$ gives the meaning of the word $viniy\bar{o}ga$ to be $S\bar{a}str\bar{a}ntar\bar{e}$ upasthitih.

पद्विधौ समर्थवचनं वर्णाश्रये शास्त्रे आनन्तर्यविज्ञानात्

Mention of samartha with reference to pada-vidhi is for the sake of suggesting \bar{a} nantarya alone in $varn\bar{a}$ śraya-vidhi.

पदिवधों समर्थाधिकारः कियते, वर्णाश्रये शास्त्रे आनन्तर्यमात्रे कार्य यथा विज्ञायेत इति । तिष्ठतु दध्यशान त्वं शाकेन, तिष्ठतु कुमारी च्छतं हर देवदत्त इति Samarthādhikāra is made in padavidhi, so that one may understand that, in varṇa-vidhi, ānantarya (immediate following) alone is the criterion to effect the kārya. Viz. Tiṣṭhatu dadhyaśāna tvam śākēna, Tiṣṭhatu kumārī cchatram hara Dēvadatta.

Note:—In the first example there is $s\bar{a}marthya$ between dadhi and $a\pm \bar{a}na$ and $ya-\bar{a}d\pm \bar{s}a$ and in the second there is no $s\bar{a}marthya$ between $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ and chatram and there is tuk between them.

समर्थाधिकारस्य विधेयसामानाधिकरण्यानिर्देशानर्थक्यम्

 \bar{A} narthakya of the mention of samartha on account of its being in apposition with the $vidh\bar{e}ya$.

समर्थाधिकारोऽयं विधेयेन समानाधिकरणः

The word samartha in the $s\bar{u}tra$ is in apposition with the $vidh\bar{e}ya$.

किं च विधेयम्? What is vidhēya?

समासः । यावद् ब्र्यात् समर्थः समासः इति, तावत् समर्थः पदविधिः इति । $Sam\bar{a}sa$. He may as well have said Samartkah, $sam\bar{a}sah$ in the same way as Samarthah padavidhih.

Note:—It has already been mentioned that vidhih in padavidhih is karmasādhana.

न च राजपुरुषः इत्येतस्यामवस्थायां समर्थाधिकारेण किञ्चिद्पि शक्यं प्रवर्तयितुं निवर्तयितुं वा । समर्थाधिकारस्य विधेयसामानाधिकरण्यान्निर्देशोऽनर्थकः ।

When the compound $r\bar{a}japuruṣah$ is in existence, samarthādhi- $k\bar{a}ra$ can neither do a thing afresh nor undo a thing. The mention of samarthādhikāra serves no purpose, since it is in apposițion with the $vidh\bar{e}ya$.

सिद्धं तु समर्थानामिति वचनात्

The object is achieved by explaining it as samarth $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ pad \bar{a} - $n\bar{a}m$ vidhih.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved. कथम्? How?

समर्थानां पदानां विधिभवतीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be explained that vidhi operates in words which are samarthas.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iya$ ța reads:-Samartha-pada-sambandhitv $\bar{a}t$ pada-vidhir upac $\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$ samarthasabd $\bar{e}na$ ucyat \bar{e} .

एवमपि द्येकयोर्न प्राप्तोति 1

If so, vidhi will not operate on one or two padas which are samartha (on account of $samarth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ being in the plural number).

एकशेषनिर्देशाद्वा Or on account of its being read in ēkašēṣa.

अथ वा एकशेषनिर्देशोऽयम् - समर्थस्य च समर्थयोश्च समर्थानां च समर्थानाम् इति

Or this is $\bar{e}ka \pm \bar{e} = nird\bar{e} \pm a$; samarth $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ stands for samarthasya ca samarthay $\bar{o} \pm a$ samarth $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ ca.

एवमपि षट्प्रमृतीनामेव प्राप्ताति - षट्प्रमृतिषु ह्येकशेषः परिसमाप्यते Even then, the vidhi will operate when the number of samarthapada is six or more, since the minimum number to satisfy the ēkaśēṣa is six.

नैष दोष: This difficulty does not arise.

प्रत्येकं वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिर्देष्टा इति द्येकयोरपि भविष्यति ।

Since it is seen that the fruit of the meaning of the sentence is seen individually, it will operate with reference to one or two also.

् एवमपि विभक्तीनां न प्राप्तोति - समर्थात्समर्थे, पदात्पदे इति ।

Even then it may not operate with reference to all vibhaktis, like $samarth\bar{a}d$, $samarth\bar{e}$, $pad\bar{a}t$ and $pad\bar{e}$.

Note:—This question arises only on the assumption that samarthah padavidhih can be explained in only one way as samarthānām padānām vidhih.

1. Pandurang Jawaji Edition reads thus:—एवमिप द्येक्योर्न प्राप्नोति इत्युत्तरं भाष्ये राज्दसंस्कारार्थमेव बहुत्वविवक्षया कृतं बहुवचनं तच्च कार्यानन्वयितया अविवक्षितम् इति समाधानं त्रुटितम् । अन्ययैकशेषनिर्देशाद्वेति वाशब्दासङ्गति:

एवं तर्हि समर्थपदयोरयं विधिशब्देन सर्वविभक्त्यन्तः समासः - समर्थस्य विधिः समर्थविधिः, समर्थयोविधिः समर्थविधिः, समर्थानां विधिः समर्थविधिः, समर्थाद्विधिः सगर्थविधिः, समर्थे विधिः समर्थविधिः । पदस्य विधिः पदविधिः, पदयोर्विधिः पदविधिः, पदानां विधिः पदविधिः, पदाद् विधिः पदविधिः, पदे विधिः पदविधिः । समर्थविधिश्च समर्थविधिश्च समर्थविधिश्च समर्थविधिश्च समर्थविधिश्च समर्थविधयः । पद्विधिश्च पद्विधिश्च पद्विधिश्च पद्विधिश्च पद्विधिश्च पद्विधिश्च पद्विधयः । समर्थविधयश्च पद्विधयश्च समर्थः पद्विधिः । पूर्वः समास उत्तरपद्लोपी, याद्दच्छिकी च विभक्तिः 1 If so, the words samartha and pada compound with vidhi in different case relations thus: -Samarthasya vidhih, samarthayōr vidhih, samarthanam vidhih, samarthad vidhih and samarthe vidhih; padasya vidhih, padayor vidhih, padanam vidhih, padat vidhih and padē vidhih. Then samarthavidhiś ca samarthavidhiś ca samarthavidhiś ca samarthavidhiś ca samarthavidhiś ca form samarthavidhayah. Similarly padavidhiś ca padavidhiś ca padavidhiś ca padavidhiś ca padavidhiś ca form padavidhayah. Then samarthavidhayas ca padavidhayas ca form samarthah padavidhih, where the second member of samarthavidhi is dropped and the singular number is used at will.

VIII

समानाधिकरणेषुषसङ्ख्यानं द्रव्यं पदार्थ इति चेत्

There is need to read samānādhikaraņēṣu padavidhiḥ if dravya is taken to be padārtha.

समानाधिकरणेषूपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - वीरः पुरुषः वीरपुरुषः

It must be stated that there is $sam\bar{a}sa$ between words having $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$, so that $v\bar{i}rah$ and purusah may compound themselves into $v\bar{i}rapurusah$.

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति?

How is it that it is not accomplished otherwise? असमर्थत्वात

On account of the absence of sāmarthya between them.

कथमसामध्येम् ?

Under what circumstances is there as amarthya?

1. Yādrcchikī vibhaktiś ca is another reading.

यदि द्रव्यं पदार्थः, न भवति तदा सामर्थ्यं, भेदाभावात् If the meaning is the object without reference to the pravrttinimitta of each word, there is no sāmarthya, since there is no difference in their denotation.

अथ हि गुणः पदार्थः ?

Suppose on the other hand, that the connotation (pravrtti-nimittam) is taken to be the meaning?

भवति तदा सामर्थ्यम्, अन्यो हि वीरत्वं गुणः, अन्यो हि पुरुषत्वम् There is sāmarthya in that case; for the connotation vīratva is different from the connotation purusatva.

नान्यत्वमस्ति इतीयता सामर्थ्यं भवति । अन्यो हि देवदत्तो गोभ्यश्च अश्वेभ्यश्च । न चैतस्य एतावता सामर्थ्यं भवति ।

Difference in pravrttinimitta alone is not the factor to decide the existence of $s\bar{a}marthya$. $D\bar{e}vadatta$ is different from cows and horses. For that reason no $s\bar{a}marthya$ exists between him and cows and horses.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads:- $Upak\bar{a}ra$ -vivakṣ $\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ $s\bar{a}marthyam$ and $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}$ \$\$\same{a}bhatta\$ explains it thus:-Vi\$\$\bar{e}\$ana-bhavena anvayarāpopakāravivak\$\bar{a}y\bar{a}m.

को वा विशेषो यद् गुणे पदार्थे सामर्थं स्याद् द्रव्ये च न स्यात्? What is the discerning factor to determine that there is sāmarthya if guṇa is padārtha and that there is no sāmarthya if dravyu is padārtha?

एष विशेष: - एकं तयोरिधकरणम्, अन्यश्च वीरत्वं गुण:, अन्यः पुरुषत्वम् This is the discerning factor:—They have the same adhikaraṇa and they, in their nature of being vīratva and puruṣatva, are different.

द्रव्यपदार्थिकस्यापि तर्हि गुणभेदात् सामर्थ्यं भविष्यति
Let there be sāmarthya even when dravya is padārtha on account of the difference in their connotation.

अशक्यो द्रव्यपदार्थिकेन द्रव्यस्य गुणकृत उपकारः प्रतिज्ञातुम् It is impossible for connotation to be the discerning factor when the denotation of words is taken into consideration.

ननु चाभ्यन्तरोऽसौ भवति Oh! it is within it.

यद्यप्यभ्यन्तरः, न तु गम्यते । न हि गुडः इत्युक्ते मधुरत्वं गम्यते, शृङ्गवेरम् इति वा कटुकत्वम्

Though it is within, it is not expressed. At the mention of the word guḍa, madhuratva is not expressed; nor kaṭukatva, at the mention of the word śṛṅgavēra.

गुणपदार्थिकेनापि तर्हि अशक्यो गुणस्य द्व्यकृत उपकारः प्रतिज्ञातुम् । lf so, it is equally impossible for denotation to be the discerning factor when the connotation of words is taken into consideration.

अथ गुणपदार्थिकः प्रतिजानीते

Then it is stated that words whose connotation is taken into consideration form into a compound when there is $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhi-karanya$.

द्रव्यपदार्थिकोऽपि कस्मान प्रतिजानीते ?

Why should it not be stated that words whose denotation is taken into consideration form into a compound also when there is $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$?

एवमनयोः सामर्थ्यं स्याद्वा न वा । क तावदिदं स्यात् समानाधिकरणेनेति ? Let there be sāmarthya between those two or not. Where will they have the sāmānādhikaraṇya-sambandha?

यत्र सर्वे समानम् - इन्द्रः, शकः, पुरुहृतः, पुरन्दरः; कन्दुः कोष्ठः कुसूलः इति । Where all - both denotation and connotation - are the same. Viz. Indrah, śakrah, puruhūtah, purandarah; and kanduh, kōsthah, kusūlah (granary).

Note:—1. $K\bar{a}iyata$ explains that $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranam$ is a $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ compound where adikaranam means $v\bar{a}cyah$, so that it means $pary\bar{a}ya$ (synonym).

Note:—2. Even though the words indrah, śakrah, puruhū/ah anā purandarah have different connotations, yet they are not taken into consideration and each is taken to connote only indratva.

नैवंजातीयकानां समासेन भवितव्यं, प्रत्ययेन वोत्पत्तव्यम्

There cannot be samāsa between synonyms of this type, nor will they, when used together, convey any sense.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अर्थगत्यर्थः शब्दप्रयोगः । अर्थं सम्प्रत्यायिष्यामीति शब्दः प्रयुज्यते । तत्र एकेन उक्तत्वात् तस्यार्थस्य द्वितीयस्य प्रयोगेण न भवितव्यम्

Words are used to convey meaning. Word is used so that one may convey the meaning which he has in his mind to another. Since it is expressed by one word, there is no need to use its synonym after it.

किं कारणम् श Why?

उक्तार्थानामशयोग इति

There is the dictum that there should be no repetition of the ideas which have been expressed.

न तर्हि इदानीमिदं भवति भृत्यभरणीय इति ? If so, is there no chance here for the compound bhrtyabharanīyaḥ?

नैतौ समानार्थो । एकोऽत्र शक्यार्थे कृत्यः, अपरोऽहें । शक्यो भर्तुं मृत्यः ; अहिति मृतिं भरणीयः ; मृत्यो भरणीयो मृत्यभरणीयः

These two (the words bhrtyn and $bharan\overline{\imath}ya$) are not synonyms. Of them one has krtyapratyaya in the sense of $\acute{s}akya$ and the other in the sense of arha. Bhrtyah means $bhartum \acute{s}akyah$ and $bharan\overline{\imath}yah$ means bhrtim arhati. Bhrtyah and $bharan\overline{\imath}yah$ form into the compound $bhrtya-bharan\overline{\imath}yah$.

यदि तर्हि यत्र किञ्चित् समानं कश्चिच विशेषः तत्र भवितव्यम् इहापि तर्हि प्राप्तोति - दर्शनीया या माता दर्शनीयामाता इति । अत्रापि किञ्चित् समानं कश्चिच विशेषः ।

If it is then said that there is $sam\bar{a}sa$ between two words which have similarity in some respect and dissimilarity in other respects, there is chance for 'karmadhāraya-samāsa here also - $Dar śan \bar{i} y \bar{a} y \bar{a} m \bar{a}t \bar{a}$ into $dar śan \bar{i} y \bar{a} - m \bar{a}t \bar{a}$. Here too there is some similarity and some dissimilarity.

ार्के पुनस्तत्? What is it then?

सद्भावान्यभावौ Sattā and anyatva.

न कचित् सद्भावान्यभावौ न स्तः, उच्यते चेदं समानाधिकरणेनेति, तत्र प्रकर्षगतिर्विज्ञास्यते - यत्र साधीयः सामानाधिकरण्यम

There is no place where $sadbh\bar{a}va$ and $anyabh\bar{a}va$ are absent. Still mention is made of $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{e}na$. Hence it should

be taken to refer to the prakrsta so that it means where there is superior $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$.

क च साधीयः सामानाधिकरण्यम्?

Where is superior $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\hat{a}dhikaranya$?

यत्र सर्वं समानं सद्भावान्यभावौ द्रव्यं च

Where there is similarity in everything - $sadbh\bar{a}va$ and $anya-bh\bar{a}va$ and denotation.

अथ वा समानाधिकरणेनेति तत्समानमाश्रीयते यत्समानं भवति न च भवति । न चैतत्समानं क्वचिद्पि न भवति ।

Or samāna in the word samānādhikaraņēna refers to that which is found in some places and which is not found in others; but there is no place where this samāna (i.e.) sattā is not found.

अथवा यावद् ब्र्यात् समानद्रव्येण इति तावत् समानाधिकरणेन इति । द्रव्यं हि लोके अविकरणम् इत्युपचर्यते; तद्यथा - एकस्मिन्द्रव्ये व्युदितम्, एकस्मिन्निध-करणे व्युदितम्; तथा व्याकरणे विप्रतिषिद्धं चानधिकरणवाचि - अद्रव्यवाचीति गम्यते Or samānādhikaraņēna is taken in the sense of samānadravyēṇa. The word adhikaraṇa is used in the world in the sense of dravya through upacāra, as in Ekasmin adhikaraṇē vyuditam (there is quarrel with respect to a dravya) in place of Ekasmin dravyē vyuditam. Similarly the word anadhikaraṇavāci in the sūtra Vipratiṣiddham cānadhikaraṇavāci is used in the sense of adravyavāci.

एवमपि इदमवश्यं कर्तव्यं, समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद्भवति इति Still this is certainly to be accepted that samānādhikaraṇam is only through asamarthatva.

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit?

सिं कालकं, यजुः पीतकम् इत्येवमर्थम्

So that satva may be prevented in sarpih kālakam and yajuh pītakam.

यदि समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद्भवति इत्युच्यते सर्थिष्पीयते यजुष्कियते इत्यत्र षत्वं न प्रामोति

If samānādhikaraņa is said to be asamarthavat, there will be no satva in sarpiṣpīyatē and yajuṣkriyatē.

अधारवभिहितमित्येवं तत्

Only when $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaraṇa$ refers to what is not said by a pratyaya which follows a $dh\bar{a}tu$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ writes: $-Dh\bar{a}tu$ -sahacaritaḥ pratyayō dhātu-śabdēna uktaḥ. Ṭēna abhihitam karmādi yadi bhavati tadā sāmarthyam ēva ityarthaḥ.

एवं च कृत्वा समानाधिकरणेषु उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तञ्यम् - वीरः पुरुषः वीरपुरुष इति ।

Under these circumstances it is necessary to read $Sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhi-karan\bar{e}$ su $upasankhy\bar{a}nam$, so that $v\bar{\imath}rah$ and purusah may combine into $v\bar{\imath}rapurusah$.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

असमर्थत्वात् On account of the absence of sāmarthya.

न वा वचनप्रामाण्यात

No, it need not be read on account of the authority of usage.

न वा कर्तव्यम् It need not be read.

किं कारणम्? Why?

वचनप्रामाण्यात् - वचनप्रामाण्यादत्र समासो भविष्यति

On account of the authority of usage (by the $S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$). There will $sam\bar{a}sa$ here on the authority of usage.

किं वचनप्रामाण्यम्?

What is the usage which is to be taken as authority?

समानमध्यमध्यमवीराश्च इति

The expression Samāna-madhya-madhyama-vīrāś ca (2, 1, 58).

IX

द्धप्ताल्यातेषु च Need to read Luptākhyātēṣu ca upasaṅkhyānam.

ल्वप्तात्वातेषु चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - निष्कौशाम्बिः, निर्वाराणसिः

It must be added that $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place where verbs have been elided, as in $Nis-k\bar{a}u\acute{s}\bar{a}mbi\dot{h}$ and $nir-v\bar{a}r\bar{a}nasi\dot{h}$.

छप्त। ख्यातेषु च

There is no need for $upasankhy\bar{a}na$ with reference to $lupt\bar{a}-khy\bar{a}tas$ also.

Note:— $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ has taken the same $v\bar{a}kya$ $lupt\bar{a}khy\bar{a}t\bar{e}su$ ca twice and interprets the former towards the $vidh\bar{a}na$ of $upasa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}na$ and the latter towards its $nis\bar{e}dha$.

किम्? Why?

वचनप्रामाण्यादित्येव Evidently on the authority of vacana. किं वचनप्रामाण्यम्?

What is the vacana which stands as authority?

कुगतिप्राद्यः इति The sūtra Ku-gati prādayaḥ (2, 2, 18).

अस्त्यन्यदेतस्य वचने प्रयोजनम्

There is another prayojana accruing from this vacana.

किम्? What?

सुराजः अतिराजा इति $Sur\bar{o}j\bar{a}$ and $atir\bar{o}j\bar{a}$ may be secured.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}$ śubhatta here reads:— $\bar{E}t\bar{e}na$ pr $\bar{a}daya$ iti bahuvacanam j $n\bar{a}$ pakam ityap \bar{a} stam.

न ब्रूमो वृत्तिसूत्रवचनप्रामाण्याद् इति

We do not say that it is so on the authority of the ($s\bar{u}tra$ of $Ac\bar{a}rya\ P\bar{a}nini$) which is capable of a $v\bar{a}rttika$ under it.

ाकें तर्हि ? On what then?

वार्त्तिककारवचनप्रामाण्याद् इति । सिद्धं तु काङ्खितिदुर्गतिवचनात् - प्रादयः कार्थे इति

On the prāmānya of Vārttikakāra's vacana - Siddham tu kvān-svatidurgativacanāt, Prādyah ktārthē (under 2, 2, 18).

तदर्थगतेर्वा Or on account of suggesting its meaning.

तदर्थगतेर्वा पुनः सिद्धमेतत्

Or this is accomplished by tadarthagati.

किमिदं तदर्थगतेरिति ? What is this:—tadarthagatēḥ?

तस्य अर्थः तदर्थः, तदर्थस्य गतिः तदर्थगतिः, तदर्थगतेः इति ; यस्य अर्थस्य कौशाम्बया सामर्थ्यं स निसा उच्यते ।

Tasya and arthah compound into tadarthah; tadarthasya and gatih compound into tadarthagatih; tadarthagatēh is the fifth case of tadarthagatih; the artha which has $s\bar{a}marthya$ with $k\bar{a}u\bar{s}amb\bar{\imath}$ is suggested by nis.

अथ वा सोऽर्थः तद्रथः, तद्रथस्य गितः तद्रथगितः, तद्रथगितेः इति ; योऽर्थः कौशाम्ब्या समर्थः स निसा उच्यते ।

Or sah and arthah compound into tadarthah; tadarthasya and gatih compound into tadarthagatih and tadarthagatēh is the fifth case of tadarthagatih; the meaning which is samartha with $k\bar{a}u\hat{s}\bar{a}mb\bar{\imath}$ is suggested by nis.

X

अथ यत्न बहूनां समासप्रसङ्गः किं तत्र द्वयोर्द्वयोः समासो भवति आहोस्विद् अविशेषेण ?

Where there is a chance for more than two words to become a $sam\bar{a}sa$, do they form the $sam\bar{a}sa$ two by two or all at a time?

कश्चात्र विशेषः? What is the difference here?

समासो द्वयोर्द्वयोश्चेद् द्वन्द्वेऽनेकग्रहणम्

If $sam\bar{a}sa$ is between two at a time, the word $an\bar{e}ka$ is to be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ dealing with dvandva.

समासो द्वयोर्द्वयोश्चेद् द्वन्द्वेऽनेकप्रहणं कर्तव्यम् - चार्थे द्वन्द्वोऽनेकम् इति वक्तव्यम् । इहापि यथा स्यात् - प्रक्षन्यप्रोधखिद्रपलाशाः इति ।

If $sam\bar{a}sa$ is between two at a time, the word $an\bar{e}ka$ is to be read thus:— $C\bar{a}rth\bar{e}\ dvandv\bar{o}sn\bar{e}kam$, so that the $sam\bar{a}sa$ may take place in $Plak\bar{s}a-nyagr\bar{o}dha-khadira-pal\bar{a}s\bar{a}h$.

Note:—Nāgēśabhaṭṭa says that dvandva above is upalakṣaṇa to bahuvrīhi also.

नैष दोषः । अत्रापि द्वयोर्द्वयोः समासो भविष्यति

This difficulty does not arise. Even here $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place between two at a time.

द्वयोर्द्वयोः समास इति चन्न बहुषु दित्वाभावात्

It is not possible for the samāsa taking place two at a time since dvitva is absent when many are taken as a whole.

द्वयोर्द्वयोः समास इति चेत् तन्न

If it is said that, (even there) samāsa takes place between two at a time, it is not possible.

किं कारणम्! Why?

बहुषु द्वित्वाभावात् - न बहुषु द्वित्वमस्ति

On account of the absence of dvitva in many. Dvitva is not comprehended when more than two are taken as a whole.

नावश्यमेवं विम्रहः कर्तव्यः - प्रक्षश्च न्यमोधश्च खदिरश्च पलाशश्च इति
It is not absolutely necessary to form the vigraha thus:—
Plakṣaḥ ca nyagrōdhaḥ ca khadiraḥ ca palāśaḥ ca.

किं तर्हि ? How then?

एवं विम्रहः करिष्यते - ष्रक्षश्च न्यमोधश्च ष्रक्षन्यमोधो, खदिरश्च पलाशश्च खदिरपलाशो, प्रक्षन्यमोधो च खदिरपलाशो च प्रक्षन्यमोधखदिरपलाशाः इति । The vigraha is done thus:—Plakṣaḥ and nyagrōdhaḥ compound into plakṣa-nyagrōdhāu, khadiraḥ and palāśaḥ into khadira-palāśāu and then plakṣa-nyagrōdhāu and khadirapalāśāu into plakṣanyagrōdhakhadirapalāśāḥ.

होतृपोतृनेष्टोद्गातारस्तर्हि न सिध्यन्ति ; होतापोतानेष्टोद्गातारः इति प्राप्नोति । The form $h\bar{o}t\bar{r}$ - $p\bar{o}t\bar{r}$ - $n\bar{e}$ s् $t\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}rah$ cannot, then, be secured ; the form $h\bar{o}t\bar{a}$ - $p\bar{o}t\bar{a}$ - $n\bar{e}$ s् $t\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}rah$ will take its place.

Note: Sidhyati may be a better reading for sidhyanti.

न चैतदेवं भवितव्यम्? Is not that form admissible?

भवितव्यं च यदैवं विमहः क्रियते - होता च पोता च होतापोतारी, नेष्टा च उद्गाता च नेष्टोद्गातारी, होतापोतारी च नेष्टोद्गातारी च होतापोतानेष्टोद्गातार इति । होतृपोतृनेष्टोद्गातारस्तु न सिध्यन्ति

It is admissible if the vigraha is done thus:— $H\bar{o}t\bar{a}$ ca $p\bar{o}t\bar{a}$ ca $h\bar{o}t\bar{a}p\bar{o}t\bar{a}r\bar{a}u$, $n\bar{e}st\bar{a}$ ca $udg\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ ca $n\bar{e}st\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}r\bar{a}u$, $h\bar{o}t\bar{a}p\bar{o}t\bar{a}r\bar{a}u$ ca $n\bar{e}st\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}r\bar{a}u$ ca $h\bar{o}t\bar{a}p\bar{o}t\bar{a}n\bar{e}st\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}rah$. The form $h\bar{o}tr$ - $p\bar{o}tr$ - $n\bar{e}st\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}rah$ cannot be secured.

समासान्तप्रतिषेधश्र Need for the pratisedha of samāsāntavidhi.

समासान्तस्य च प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - वाक्तवक्सुग्दषदम् इति, वाक्तवच - सुग्दषदम् इति प्राप्नोति

There is the need to prohibit the operation of $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}ntavidhi$. Otherwise the form $v\bar{a}k$ -tvak-srug-drsadam cannot be secured and the form $v\bar{a}k$ -tvaca-srug-drsadam will take its place.

नेष दोषः । अत्रापि परेण सह समासो भविष्यति - सुक् च दषच सुक्दषदं, त्वक् च सुक्दषदं, त्वक् च सुग्दषदं च त्वक्सुग्दषदं, वाक् च त्वक्सुदषदं च वाक्त्वक्सुग्दषदम् इति ।

This difficulty cannot arise if $sam\bar{a}sa$ is started from the end thus:—Sruk ca drsad ca srukdrsadam, tvak ca srug-drsadam ca tvak-srug-drsadam, $v\bar{a}k$ ca tvak-srug-drsadam ca $v\bar{a}k-tvak-srug-drsadam$.

होतृपोतृनेष्टोद्गातार एव तर्हि न सिध्यति

If so, the form hotr-potr-neṣṭodgātāraḥ cannot be secured.

इह च युसूक्ष्मजटकेशेन सुनताजिनवाससा । समन्तिशितिरम्भ्रेण द्वयोर्वृत्तौ न सिध्यति ।

Besides if samāsa is between two at a time, the bahuvrīhis susūkṣmajaṭakēśēna, sunatājinavāsasā and samantaśitirandhrēṇa which have more than two component parts cannot be secured.

अस्तु तर्हि अविशेषेण If so, let it be with any number.

अविशेषेण बहुत्रीहावनेकपदप्रसङ्गः

If $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ takes place with any number, there is chance for the difficulties to arise due to many words becoming a $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ compound.

यद्यविशेषेण बहुत्रीहावनेकपद्रप्रसङ्गः

If it takes place with any number, there is chance for the difficulties to arise due to many words becoming a bahuvrīhi compound.

तल को दोष:? What harm is there?

तत्र खरसमासान्तपुंवद्भावेषु दोषः

Harm lies in that case in svara, samāsānta and pumvadbhāva.

तत्र स्वरसमासान्तपुंवद्भावेषु दोषो भवति

In that case there will be difficulty with reference to svara, $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}nta$ and $pu\bar{m}vadbh\bar{a}va$.

स्वर - पूर्वशालाभियः, अपरशालाभियः

There will be difficulty in the svara of the words $p\bar{u}rva-\hat{s}\bar{a}l\bar{a}-priyah$ and $apara-\hat{s}\bar{a}l\bar{a}-priyah$.

Note:—If all the three words $p\bar{u}rva$, $\pm \bar{a}l\bar{a}$ and priya compound into $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ at the same time, the $ud\bar{a}tta$ will have to be on the initial syllable of $p\bar{u}rva$ and if, on the other hand, $p\bar{u}rva$ and $\pm \bar{a}l\bar{a}$ first compound together and then $p\bar{u}rva\pm \bar{a}l\bar{a}$ compounds together with priya, the second syllable of $\pm \bar{a}l\bar{a}$

will be $ud\bar{a}tta$. The same will be the case in the word apara- $s\bar{a}l\bar{a}$ -priyah.

समासान्त - पञ्चगविषयः, पञ्चनाविषयः

There will be difficulty in the $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}nta$ of the words $pa\tilde{n}ca-gava-priyah$ and $pa\tilde{n}ca-n\bar{a}va-priyah$.

Note:—If all the three words $pa\tilde{n}ca$, $g\bar{o}$ and priyah form into the compound at the same time, they will form into $pa\tilde{n}ca$ - $g\bar{o}$ -priyah and not $pa\tilde{n}ca$ -gava-priyah. Similarly there will be $pa\tilde{n}ca$ - $n\bar{a}u$ -priyah and not $pa\tilde{n}ca$ - $n\bar{a}va$ -priyah.

पुंबद्भाव - खाद्रेतरशम्यम्

There will be difficulty in the $pu\dot{m}vadbh\bar{a}va$ in $kh\bar{a}dar\bar{e}tara-\dot{s}amyom$.

Note:— $Kh\bar{a}dir\bar{\imath}$ will take $pu\dot{m}vadbh\bar{a}va$ and change to $kh\bar{a}dira$ only if it first compounds with $itar\bar{a}$.

न वावयवतत्पुरुषत्वात्

This difficulty does not arise, since its avayava is tatpurusa.

न वैष दोष: This difficulty does not arise.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अवयवतत्पुरुषत्वात् - अवयवोऽत्र तत्पुरुषसंज्ञः, तदाश्रयौ समासान्तपुंवद्भावौ भविष्यतः

On account of the avayava being tatpuruṣa. The avayava here is tatpuruṣa and $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}nta$ and $pumvadbh\bar{a}va$ which depend upon it set in.

स्वरः कथम्? How to explain the svara?

तस्यान्तोदात्तत्वं विप्रतिषेघात्

It takes antōdāttatva through vipratiṣēdha.

अन्तोदात्तत्वं क्रियतां पूर्वपदप्रकृतिस्वर इति, अन्तोदात्तत्वं भवति विप्रतिषेधेन | Doubt arises whether there should be antōdāttatva or pūrva-padaprakṛtisvaratva and antōdāttatva has precedence through vipratiṣēdha.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa says that vipratiṣēdha means virōdha.

नेष युक्तो विप्रतिषेधः । विप्रतिषेधे परम् इत्युच्यते, पूर्वं चान्तोदात्तत्वं, परं पूर्वपदप्रकृतिस्वरत्वम् ।

Vipratiṣēdha does not fit in. The vidhi is Vipratiṣēdhē param; antōdāttatva is pūrva and pūrvapadaprakṛtisvaratva is para.

न परविप्रतिषेधं ब्र्मः

We do not refer to Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam.

किं तर्हि ? To what then ?

अन्तरङ्गविप्रतिषेधम् To antaranga-vipratisēdha.

Note: $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that $avayav\bar{a}\acute{s}rita$ is antaranga.

निमित्तिखरबलीयस्त्वाद्वा

Or on account of the greater strength of the svara of nimittin.

अथ वा निमित्तस्वरान्निमित्तिस्वरो बलीयानिति वक्तव्यम्

Or it must be said that nimittisvara is stronger than nimittasvara.

किं पुनर्निमित्तं, को वा निमित्ती?

Which is nimitta and which is nimittin?

बहुत्रीहिर्निमित्तम्, तत्पुरुषो निमित्ती

Bahuvrīhi is nimitta and tatpuruṣa is nimittin.

तत्तर्हि वक्तव्यम् - निमित्तस्वरान्निमित्तिस्वरो बलीयान् इति

It, then, must be stated that nimitti-svara is stronger than nimitta-svara.

न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be stated.

एकशितिपात्स्वरवचनं तु ज्ञापकं निमित्तिस्वरबलीयस्त्वस्य

Mention of svara for the word $\bar{e}ka$ - $\pm iti$ - $p\bar{a}t$ suggests that nimitti-svara is stronger.

यदयं युक्तारोह्यादिषु एकशितिपाच्छब्दं पठति, तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्थो निमित्त-स्वरानिमित्तिस्वरो बलीयान् इति ।

Since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) reads the word $\bar{e}ka$ - $\hat{s}iti$ - $p\bar{a}t$ in $Yukt\bar{a}$ - $r\bar{o}hy\bar{a}digana$, he suggests that nimitti-svara is stronger than nimitta-svara.

Note:—1. The first syllable in the word $\bar{e}ka$ - $\dot{s}iti$ - $p\bar{a}t$ is $ud\bar{a}tta$ on the authority of $Yukt\bar{a}r\bar{o}hy\bar{a}daya\dot{s}$ ca (6, 2, 81).

Note:—2. Kāiyaṭa reads:-Tripadē bahuvrīhāu kṛtē dvayōś ca tatpuruṣē, Bahuvrīhāu prakṛtyā iti ādyudāttatvē, jñāpanārthaḥ pāṭhaḥ sampadyatē.

कः पुनरहिति युक्तारोह्यादिषु एकशितिपाच्छव्दं पठितुम् ?

Who deserves to read the word $\bar{e}ka\dot{s}itip\bar{a}t$ in $yukt\bar{a}r\bar{o}hy\bar{a}digana$?

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:— $Sarvath\bar{a}$ svarasya $siddhatv\bar{a}t$ akartavya $\bar{e}va$ $p\bar{a}th\bar{o}$ na $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$ ityarthah.

एवं किल नाम पठ्यते, एकः शितिः एकशितिः, एकशितिः पादो यस्य इति It is read in this sense:— $\bar{E}kah$ śiti $h=\bar{e}ka$ śitih; $\bar{e}ka$ śitih; $\bar{e}ka$ śitih; $p\bar{a}dah$ yasya. (i.e.) it is bahuvrihi with dvigu at the beginning.

तच्च न; एवं विश्रहः करिष्यते, एकः शितिः एषु त इमे एकशितयः, एकशितयः पादा यस्येति एकशितिपाद् इति ।

No, it is not; the vigraha will be done this way:—Ēkaḥ śitiḥ ēṣu tē ēkaśitayaḥ; ēkaśitayaḥ pādāḥ yasya ēkaśitipāt

अथाप्येवं विम्रहः कियते - एकः शितिः एकशितिः, एकशितिः पादः यस्य इति, एवमिप नार्थः पाठेन । इगन्ते द्विगौ इत्येष स्वरोऽत्र वाधको भविष्यति Even when the vigraha is done this way— $\bar{e}kah$ śiti $h=\bar{e}ka$ śitih, $\bar{e}ka$ śitih, $p\bar{a}dah$ yasya, no purpose is served by reading it in the gaṇa, as $Iganiak\bar{a}la...dvig\bar{a}u$ (6, 2, 29) will serve its $b\bar{a}dhaka$.

अस्य तर्हि बहुत्रीह्यवयवस्य तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा प्रामोति-

सुस्भजटकेशेन सुनताजिनवाससा । समन्तशितिरम्धेण ॥ इति If so, the avayava of the bahuvrīhi—susūkṣmajaṭakēśēna, sunatā-jinavāsasā and samantaśitirandhrēṇa will get tatpuruṣa-saṁjñā.

तल को दोष:? What harm is there?

तस्यान्तोदात्तत्वं विपतिषेधात् इत्यन्तोदात्तत्वं स्याद् विपतिषेधेन । It will have its final syllable udātta through vipratiṣēdha on the strength of the statement Tasyāntödāttatvam vipratiṣēdhēna.

नैष दोष: । नेदं बहुत्रीह्यवयवस्य तत्पुरुषस्य रुक्षणमार्भ्यते । This difficulty will not arise. This does not have any reference to the tatpuruṣa which is an avayava of bahuvrīhi.

कि तर्हि ? With reference to what then?

यस्य बहुवीह्यवयवस्य तत्पुरुषस्य तल्लक्षणमस्ति तस्यान्तोदात्तत्वं भविष्यति विवित्तिषेधेन

Antōdāttatva happens only to that which, being an avayava of bahuvrīhi, has that lakṣaṇa.

ननु चास्याप्यस्ति Oh, it can be had to this also. किम? To what?

विशेषणं विशेष्येण बहुलम् इति

To that secured by Viśēṣaṇam viśēṣyēṇa bahulam (2, 1, 57).

बहुलवचनान्न भविष्यति lt will not be as bahulam is read.

अस्य तर्हि बहुत्रीह्यवयवस्य तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा प्रामोति - अधिकष्टष्टिवर्षः इति lf so, tatpuruṣa may take hold of the avayava of the bahuvrīhi-adhika-ṣaṣṭi-varṣah.

तत्र को दोष:? What harm is there?

तस्यान्तोदात्तत्वं विश्रतिषेधात् इत्यन्तोदात्तत्वं स्याद् विश्रतिषेधेन ।

It may get antōdāttatva through vipratiṣēdha on the strength of the statement Tasya antōdāttatvam vipratiṣēdhāt.

नैष दोपः । इगन्ते द्विगौ इत्येष स्वरो बाधको भविष्यति

This difficulty does not arise. It will be set at naught by the $s\bar{u}tra\ Iganta...\ dvig\bar{a}u\ (6, 2, 29)$.

यस्तर्हि नेगन्तः - अधिकशतवर्षः इति?

What about that which does not have iganta like the word adhika-śata-varṣah?

Note:—Here sata is not iganta.

इह चापि अधिकषष्टिवर्ष इति समासान्तः प्राप्तोति 'डचः प्रकरणे सङ्ख्यायाः तत्पुरुषस्योपसङ्ख्यानं निस्त्रिशाद्यर्थम्' इति ।

Even here with reference to the word adhika-ṣaṣṭi-varṣaḥ there is chance for samāsānta on the stregnth of the Vārttika pacaḥ prakaraṇē saṅkhyāyāḥ tatpuruṣasya upasaṅkhyānam nistrimśādyartham (under 5, 4, 73).

नैष दोषः । अन्ययादेरित्येवं तत्

This difficulty does not arise. It is with reference to those compounds whose former member is an avyaya.

किं पुनः कारणमब्ययादेरित्येवं तत्?

Why should it refer to avyayādi alone?

इह मा भूत् - गोत्रिंशत्, गोचत्वारिंशद् इति

It should not take place in Gotrimsat and gocatvarimsat.

बहुत्रीहिसंज्ञा तर्हि पामोति सङ्ख्ययाव्ययासन्नादूराधिकसङ्ख्याः सङ्ख्येये इति In that case it will take bahuvrīhisamjñā on the strength of the sūtra Sankhyayāvyayāsannādūrādhikasankhyayāh sankhyēyē (2, 2, 25).

न सङ्ख्यां सङ्ख्येये वर्तयिष्यामः

We will not take sankhyā to denote sankhyēya.

कथम् श How?

एवं विश्रहः करिप्यते अधिका षष्टिः वर्षाणाम् अस्य इति

The vigraha will be done thus:—Adhikā-ṣaṣṭiḥ varṣāṇām asya.

यथा तर्हि स योगः प्रत्याख्यायते, तथा पूर्वेण प्रामोति

If that $s\bar{u}tra$ is considered unnecessary, it will get it $(bahuvr\bar{\iota}hi-sainj\tilde{n}\bar{a})$ by the previous $s\bar{u}tra$ $An\bar{e}kam$ $anyapad\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$.

कथं स योगः प्रत्याख्यायते ! How is that sūlra unnecessary ?

अशिष्यः सङ्ख्योत्तरपदः सङ्ख्येयार्थाभिधायित्वात् इति

Sankhyōttarapada need not be read since it refers to sankhyēya.

प्रत्याख्याते तस्मिन् योगे सङ्ख्यां सङ्ख्येये वर्तियण्यामः । तत्रैवं विम्रहः करिष्यते अधिका षष्टिवर्षाण्यस्य इति ।

If that $s\bar{u}tra$ is taken away, we take $sa\bar{n}khy\bar{a}$ refer to $sa\bar{n}khy\bar{a}ya$. Then the vigraha is done this way— $Adhik\bar{a}$ sastih $vars\bar{a}ni$ asya.

सर्वथा वयम् अधिकषष्टवर्षात् न मुच्यामहे

Under any circumstances we cannot escape from the formation of adhikaṣaṣṭavarṣaḥ.

कथम्? How?

यावता स योगः प्रत्याख्यायते, अयं विग्रहोऽस्ति - अधिका षष्टिर्वर्षाणामस्य इति When that sūtra is removed, the vigraha is done this way—Adhikā ṣaṣṭiḥ varṣāṇi asya.

यतु तद्क्तम् अधिकषष्टिवर्षो न सिध्यति इति, स सिद्धो भवति । As regards the statement that the form adhika-इवङ्गं-varṣaḥ cannot be secured, it is secured.

कथम्? How?

यावता स योगः प्रत्याख्यायते, अयं च विश्रहोऽस्ति - अधिका षष्टिर्वर्णण्यस्य इति No sooner is the sūtra removed, than this vigraha is done:— Adhikā ṣaṣṭiḥ varṣāṇi asya.

अधिकशतवर्षस्तु न सिध्यति

It is not possible to secure the form adhika-śata-varṣaḥ.

कर्तन्योऽत्र यतः Effort should be taken to secure it.

NINETEENTH ĀHNIKA ENDS

(Second adhyāya, first pāda, first āhnika ends)

Twentieth Ahnika

(Second $adhy\bar{a}ya$, first $p\bar{a}da$, second $\bar{a}hnika$)

सुबामन्त्रिते पराङ्गवत्स्वरे (2, 1, 2)

There are five topics here:—(1) Need for the word sup in the $s\bar{u}tra$? (2) Need to read $sasthy\bar{a}mantritak\bar{a}rakam$ or tannimittam in the $s\bar{u}tra$. (3) Need to read that para gets $p\bar{u}rvangavadbh\bar{a}va$ in $V\bar{e}das$. (4) Need to exempt avyaya, but not $avyay\bar{v}bh\bar{a}va$ from $par\bar{a}ngavadbh\bar{a}va$. (5) $Svar\bar{e}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ is not necessary.

1

सुबिति किमर्थम्? What for is the word sup (in the sūtra)? करोप्यटन्

So that karōṣi (which is tinanta) may not take parāngavadbhāva. नैतद्दित, असामर्थादत्र न भविष्यति

lt is not so; it does not take place on account of asāmarthya. कथमसामध्येम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya?

समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद्भवतीति

The dictum 'That which is $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaraṇa$ with another is considered to have $as\bar{a}marthya$ with it'.

इदं तर्हि पीड्ये पीड्यमान इति

So that $p\bar{\imath}dy\bar{e}$ then may not be parangavat with $p\bar{\imath}dyam\bar{a}na$.

Note:—If there is no $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$, \bar{e} of $p\bar{\imath}dy\bar{e}$ will be $ud\bar{a}tta$ and if it has it, $\bar{\imath}$ will have it.

इदं चाप्युदाहरणम् - करोष्यटन्

Karōsyatan also may be an udāharana.

ननु चोक्तं असामर्थ्यादत्र न भविष्यति

Oh, it was said that there cannot be $par\bar{a}ngavadbh\bar{a}va$ here on account of $as\bar{a}marthya$.

कथमसामर्थ्यम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya? समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद्भवति इति

The dictum Samānādhikaranam asamarthavad bhavati.

नैष दोषः । अधात्वभिहितम् इत्येवं तत्

This difficulty does not arise. It refers to that which is not $dh\bar{a}tvabhihita$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ writes: -Yatra $kriy\bar{a}$ pravrttinimittam $sphut\bar{a}$ ca $dh\bar{a}tupratyay\bar{e}na$ $kartr\bar{a}dipratipattih$ tad $dh\bar{a}tvabhihitam$.

II

आमन्त्रितस्य पराङ्गवद्भावे षष्ट्यामन्त्रितकारकवचनम्

Need to read that $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ due to $\bar{a}mantrita$ is to the word in the sixth case and to the $k\bar{a}raka$ to the $kriy\bar{a}$ denoted by the root in the $\bar{a}mantrita$.

आमन्त्रितस्य पराङ्गवद्भावे षष्ट्यन्तमामन्त्रितकारकं च पराङ्गवद् भवतीति वक्तव्यम् $Par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ due to $\bar{a}mantrita$ is to be read to the word in the sixth case and to the $k\bar{a}raka$ of the $\bar{a}mantrita$.

षष्ट्यन्तं तावत् - मद्राणां राजन् , मगधानां राजन्

Firstly the examples for sasthyanta which take parāngavadbhāva are Madrānām rājan and Magadhānām rājan.

आमन्त्रितकारकम् - कुण्डेनाटन्

The example for the $\bar{a}mantritak\bar{a}raka$ taking $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ is $kund\bar{e}n\bar{a}tan$.

Note:—Whether there is $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ or not, the initial syllable of kunda takes $ud\bar{a}tta$.

नास्त्यत्र विशेषः सति च पराङ्गवद्भावे, असति वा There is no difference here whether there is $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ or not.

इदं तर्हि - परशुना वृश्चन्

If so, paraśunā vrścan serves as the example.

Note:—The word paraśu which is $ant\bar{o}d\bar{a}tta$ takes $\bar{a}dyu-d\bar{a}tta$ through $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$.

तिन्नामित्तग्रहणं वा Or there is need to read tannimittam.

अथ वा तनिमित्तग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । आमन्त्रितनिमित्तं पराङ्गवद्भवतीति वक्तव्यम् - मद्राणां राजन्

Or the word tannimitta is to be read (i.e.) it must be said that which is nimitta to āmantrita takes parāṅgavadbhāva. Viz. Madrāṇām rājan.

तचावश्यमन्यतरद् वक्तव्यम्

Either of the two must, necessarily, be read.

अवचने हि सुबन्तमात्रप्रसङ्गः

For if either of the two is not read, there is chance for it with reference to all subantas.

अनुच्यमाने त्वेतस्मिन् सुबन्तमात्रस्य पराङ्गबद्भावः प्रसज्येत । अस्यापि प्रसज्येत -क्षत्रेणांग्ने स्वायुः संरमस्व, मित्रेणांग्ने मित्रधेये यतस्व (Y. V. 4, 1, 7, 25).

If it is not read, parā igavadbhāva may chance to set in to all subantas. It will chance to appear here also (to kṣatrēṇa and mitrēṇa) in kṣatrēṇāgnē svāyuḥ samrabhasva and Mitrēṇāgnē mitradhēyē yatasva.

 ${f Note:-}Nar{a}gar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ reads:--Bh $ar{a}$ syasya tu samarthaparibh $ar{a}$ s $ar{a}$ nupasthit $ar{a}$ u tad-ananuvrit $ar{a}$ u ca t $ar{a}$ tparyam.

किं पुनरत ज्यायः? Which is better here?

तिनिमत्तप्रहणमेव ज्यायः । इदमपि सिद्धं भवति - गोषु स्वामिन् , पशुषु स्वामिन् । एताद्धि नैव पष्ट्यन्तं नाप्यामन्त्रितकारकम् । Tannimittagrahaṇam is evidently better. It will operate here too— $G\bar{o}$ su svāmin and Pasusu svāmin. This $g\bar{o}$ su or pasusu is neither sasthyanta nor āmantritakāraka

सुबन्तस्य पराङ्गबद्भावे समानाधिकरणस्योपसङ्ख्यानम् अननन्तरत्वात् स्वरेऽव-धारणाच

Need to add $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaraṇas$ with reference to the $par\bar{a}ngavadbh\bar{a}va$ of subanta on account of their not immediately preceding and $par\bar{a}ngavadbh\bar{a}va$ having reference only to svara and not to $\bar{a}nantarya$.

सुबन्तस्य पराङ्गवद्भावे समानाधिकरणस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - तीक्ष्णया सूच्या सीव्यन् , तीक्ष्णेन परशुना वृश्चन्

There is need to add samānādhikaraņas with reference to the parāṅgavadbhāva of subanta, so that tīkṣṇayā and tīkṣṇēna in the expressions Tīkṣṇayā sūcyā sīvyan and Tīkṣṇēna paraśunā vrścan may get parāṅgavadbhāva.

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति? Why is it not accomplished? अननन्तरत्वात् On account of its not immediately preceding. ननु च परस्य पराङ्गवद्भावे कृते पूर्वस्थापि भविष्यति

Oh! if the word which is para gets paraigavadbhāva, that which precedes it also gets it.

स्वरे अवधारणाच्च - स्वरे अवधारणाच्च न सिध्यति । स्वरे अवधारणं कियते, नानन्तर्थे

On account of its having reference only to svara. It is not accomplished since it has reference only to svara. It is mentioned that it refers only to svara and not to anantarya.

TIT

परमपि च्छन्दिसि Para, too, to take pūrvā ingavadbhāva in Vēdas.

परमिप च्छन्दिस पूर्वस्याङ्गवद् भवतीति वक्तव्यम् - आ ते पितर्मरुतां सुम्नमेतु (R. V. 2, 33, 1), प्रति त्वा दुहितर्दिवः (R. V. 7, 81, 3), वृणीष्व दुहितर्दिवः (R. V. 10, 127, 8).

Para, too, in $V\bar{e}das$ should be stated to secure $p\bar{u}rv\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ as the words $marut\bar{a}m$, divah and divah in the expressions— \bar{A} $t\bar{e}$ pitar $marut\bar{a}m$ sumnam $\bar{e}tu$, Prati $tv\bar{a}$ duhitar divah, $Vrn\bar{\imath}sva$ duhitar divah.

Note:—Injunction of $p\bar{u}rv\bar{a}igavadbh\bar{a}va$ in $V\bar{e}das$ makes us infer that $par\bar{a}igavadbh\bar{a}va$ existed in the $l\bar{a}ukika$ language and hence the latter ought to have been pronounced with pitch accent in Vedic times.

IV

अन्ययप्रतिषेधश्च

Need to prohibit avyaya from taking parāngavadbhāva.

अन्ययानां च प्रतिषेधो वक्तन्यः - उच्चैरंधीयान, नीचैरंधीयान

There is need to mention the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ of avyayas, from taking $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ as in $Ucc\bar{a}ir\ adh\bar{i}y\bar{a}na$ and $N\bar{i}c\bar{a}ir\ adh\bar{i}y\bar{a}na$.

अनव्ययीभावस्य But not to avyayībhāva.

अन्ययीभावस्य नेति वक्तन्यम् - इह मा भूत् उपाग्न्यधीयान, प्रत्यग्न्यधीयान It must be said that the pratisēdha does not apply to $avyay\bar{\imath}-bh\bar{a}va$, so that it may not operate here - $Up\bar{a}gnyadh\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}na$ and $Pratyagnyadh\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}na$.

V

अथ किमर्थ स्वरेऽवधारणं कियते?

What is the need to mention that it is only with reference to svara?

खरेऽत्रधारणं सुबलोपार्थम्

Avadhāraņa in svara is to prohibit the lopa of sup.

स्वरेऽवधारणं क्रियते सुपो लोपो मा मूदिति - परशुना वृश्चन्

Avadhāraņa is made in svara, so that sup may not be elided, in words like parašunā in Parašunā vṛścan.

न वा सुबन्तैकान्तत्वात्

It is not necessary on account of its being restricted to subanta.

न वा कर्तव्यम् The word svarē need not be read.

किं कारणस्? Why?

सुबन्तैकान्तत्वात् - सुबन्तैकान्तः पराङ्गवद्भावो भवति

On account of its being restricted to subanta. $Par\bar{a}igavad-bh\bar{a}va$ is restricted to subanta.

प्रातिपदिकैकान्तस्तु सुब्लोपे

It will be restricted to prātipadika at the elision of sup.

प्रातिपदिकैकान्तस्तु भवति सुब्लोपे कृते

It will be restricted to prātipadika if sup is elided.

प्रत्ययलक्षणेन सुबन्तिकान्तता स्यात् । तस्मात् स्वरेऽवधारणं न कर्तव्यं सुबलोपार्थम्, प्रातिपदिकस्थायाः सुपो छुगुच्यते । तस्मात् स्वर्ग्रहणेन नार्थः ॥ It will be restricted to subanta through pratyayalakṣaṇa. Hence avadhāraṇa in svara need not be done to prevent the elision of sup. Luk is enjoined to sup in prātipadika. Hence no purpose is served by reading svarē in the sūtra.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनं - षत्वणत्वे मा भूतामिति - कूपे सिश्चन्, चर्म नमन् इति । This, then, is the prayōjana that ṣatva and ṇatva do not take place in kūpē siñcan and carma naman.

Note:—If the word $svar\bar{e}$ is not read, there will be $par\bar{a}ngavadbh\bar{a}va$ in the above two expressions, so that each will considered as one pada, resulting in the satva of $sak\bar{a}ra$ and natva of $nak\bar{a}ra$.

एतद्पि नास्ति प्रयोजनम् - इह तावत् कूपे सिश्चन् इति, स्वाश्रयं पदादित्वं भविष्यति

This, too, is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. Firstly in $k\bar{u}p\bar{e}$ $si\tilde{n}can$, the $pad\bar{a}ditva$ of $sak\bar{a}ra$ which depends upon itself stands (i.e.) it is not affected by $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}v\bar{a}tid\bar{e}sa$.

Note:—Even though the same answer holds good with reference to natva, another reason is given below.

चर्म नमन् इति पूर्वपदात्संज्ञायामगः इत्येतस्मान्नियमान्न भविष्यति Natva does not take place by the $niyam\ a$ -sū $tra\ P$ $urvapad\bar{a}t$ $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}ay\bar{a}m\ agah\ (8, 4, 3).$

ननु च समास एवतद् भवति पूर्वपदम् उत्तरपदम् इति

Oh! the expressions $p\bar{u}rvapadam$ and uttarapadam are used with reference to $sam\bar{a}sa$.

नेत्याह । अविशेषेणैवैतद् भवति, पूर्व पदं पूर्वपदम्, उत्तरं पदं उत्तरपदम् इति "No," says he. Each is used in a general way: the preceding word is called pūrvapada and the following word, uttarapada.

प्राक्तडारात्समासः (2, 1, 3)

प्राग्नचनं किमर्थम्? What for is the word $pr\bar{a}k$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$?

Note:—This question suggests that the word $sam\bar{a}sa$ alone will do in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

प्राग्वचनं समाससंज्ञानिवृत्त्यर्थम्

Reading of $pr\bar{a}k$ is to prevent $sam\bar{a}sa$ - $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ from being thrown out.

प्राग्वचनं कियते, समाससंज्ञाया अनिवृत्तिर्यथा स्यादिति । अकियमाणे हि प्राग्वचने अनवकाशा अव्ययीभावादयः संज्ञाः समाससंज्ञां बाघेरन्, ता मा बाधिषत इति प्राग्वचनं कियते ।

The word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read so that the $sam\bar{a}sasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may not be thrown out. If $pr\bar{a}k$ is not read, the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ like $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ which are $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ will set it at naught. In order that they may not set it at naught, the word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read.

अथ कियमाणेऽपिं हि पाग्वचने यावता अनवकाशा अव्ययीभावादयः संज्ञाः कस्मादेव न बाधन्ते ?

Even when $pr\bar{a}k$ is read, why do not $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ and others, being $anavak\bar{a}\hat{s}a$, set it at naught?

कियमाणे हि प्राग्वचने, सत्यां समाससंज्ञायाम्, एता अवयवसंज्ञा आरभ्यन्ते । तंत्र वचनात् समावेशो भविष्यति ।

If $pr\bar{a}k$ is read, $sam\bar{a}sa\text{-}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ first takes hold and then only there is room for other $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ of smaller range to be applied.

Hence on the authority of vacana, both remain at the same time.

समाससंज्ञापि अनवकाशा सा वचनाद्भविष्यति

 $Sam\bar{a}sasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, too, if it is $anavak\bar{a}\hat{s}a$, has to operate there on the authority of vacana.

सावकाशा समासर्भज्ञा But it is sāvakāśa.

कोऽवकाशः? Where is the room for it to operate?

विस्पष्टादीन्यवकाशः - विस्पष्टं पदः विस्पष्टपद्यः, व्यक्तं पद्यः व्यक्तपदुः

Samāsasamjā has room to operate when vispasta etc. are followed by words denoting quality, so that vispastam and patuh compound into vispast-patuh and vyaktam and patuh compound into vyakta-patuh.

Note:—1. The words that are read in vispaṣṭa-gaṇa are vispaṣṭa, vicitra, vicitra, vyakta and sampanna and the guṇa-vācaka-śabdas read there are paṭu, paṇḍita, kuśala, capala and nipuṇa.

Note:—2. Kāiyaṭa says that, since vispaṣṭa etc. form the viśēṣaṇas of pāṭavaḥ etc., the pravrttinimitta of paṭu etc., they do not form the mukhya-viśēṣaṇa of paṭu and hence vispaṣṭa-paṭuḥ may not be taken as tatpuruṣa.

नैषोऽस्त्यवकाशः । एषा ह्याचार्यस्य शैली लक्ष्यते - येनैव अवयवकार्यं भवति, तेनैव समुदायकार्यमपि भवति इति । येनैव चात्रावयवकार्यं स्वरः क्रियते, तेनैव समुदायकार्यमपि समासो भविष्यति, विस्पष्टादीनि गुणवचनेषु इति ।

No, this is not the $avak\bar{a}\acute{s}a$. This is the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) that the $s\bar{u}tra$ which brings out a $k\bar{a}rya$ to the avayava accomplishes the $k\bar{a}rya$ of the whole too. The $s\bar{u}tra$ $Vispaṣṭ\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}ni$ guṇavacanēṣu (6, 2, 24) which enjoins $p\bar{u}rvapada$ -prakrti-svaratva (the $avayavak\bar{a}rya$) in vispaṣṭapaṭuh, vyaktapaṭuh etc., enjoins $sam\bar{a}sasamjn\bar{a}$ too $(samud\bar{a}ya-k\bar{a}rya)$.

इदं तर्हि काकतालीयम् अजाकृपाणीयम्

If so, $k\bar{a}kat\bar{a}l\bar{i}yam$ and $aj\bar{a}krp\bar{a}n\bar{i}yam$ form the $avak\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ for the $sam\bar{a}sa\text{-}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to operate.

एतदिप नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । अत्रापि येनैव अवयवकार्यं प्रत्ययोत्पत्तिः क्रियते, तेनैव समुदायकार्यं समाससंज्ञा भविष्यति - समासाच तद्विषयादिति । This, too, does not serve as a prayojana. Even here the sūtra Samāsācca tadviṣayāt (5, 3, 106) which enjoins chapratyaya, the avayava-kārya, brings out samāsa-samjñā, the samudāya-kārya.

इदं तर्हि पुनाराजः, पुनर्गवः

If so, punārājah and punargavah form the avakāśa.

अत्राप्यवश्यं तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा वक्तव्या, तत्पुरुषाश्रयः समासान्तो यथा स्यात् Here too there is absolute necessity to enjoin $tatpurusasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, so that $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}ntapratyaya$ may set in.

इदं तर्हि पुनराधेयेम्]f so, punar-ādhēyam forms the avakāśa.

अत्राप्यवर्यं गतिसंज्ञा वक्तव्या - गतिकारकोपपदात्कृत् इत्येष स्वरो यथा स्यात् Even here gati-samjñā has to be enjoined (by the vārttika Punaścanasāu chandasi under 1, 4, 60), so that ēkāra may be $ud\bar{a}tta$ by the sūtra $Gatik\bar{a}rak\bar{o}papad\bar{a}t$ krt (6, 2, 139).

इदं तर्हि पुनरुतस्यूतं वासो देयम्

If so, punar-utsyūtam in Punar-utsyūtam vāsō dēyam forms the $avak\bar{a}$ śa.

अत्राप्यवश्यं गतिसंज्ञा वक्तव्या गतिर्गतौ इति निघातो यथा स्यात्, यदि तन्नास्ति पुनश्चनसौ छन्दसि इति

Even here gati-samj $n\bar{a}$ has to be enjoined in the absence of the $v\bar{a}rttika$ $Puna\acute{s}canas\bar{a}u$ chandasi, so that $nigh\bar{a}ta$ can be secured by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Gatirgat\bar{a}u$ (8, 1, 70).

सति तसिंगसेनैव सिद्धम

The object is achieved if it is taken into consideration.

एवमप्येका संज्ञेति वचनान्नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

Even then, on the authority of $\bar{A}kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, both cannot exist simultaneously.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत

There will be chance for either to operate at a time.

तसात् प्राग्वचनं कर्तव्यम्

Hence is the need to read the word $pr\bar{a}k$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

सह सुपा (2, 1, 4)

सहवचनं किमर्थम्? What for is the word saha? सहवचनं पृथगसमासार्थम् Reading of saha is to prevent each member from getting $sam\bar{a}sa$ - $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

सहब्रहणं क्रियते, सहभूतयोरेव समाससंज्ञा यथा स्याद्, एकैकस्य समाससंज्ञा मा भूदिति ।

The word saha is read, so that the component members of a compound conjointly take the $sam\bar{a}sa-samj\tilde{n}a$ and not individually.

किं च स्यात्, यद्येकैकस्य समाससंज्ञा स्यात्?

What will happen if each member takes $sam\bar{a}sa-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ individually?

इह ऋक्पाद इति समासान्तः प्रसज्येत । इह राजाधः इति द्वौ स्वरौ स्याताम् The word $rkp\bar{a}da$ will take $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}niapratyaya$ (and will have chance to take the form $rcap\bar{a}da$) and $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}sva$ will have two of its syllables $ud\bar{a}ta$.

कथं च कृत्वैकैकस्य समाससंज्ञा प्राप्नोति?

On what basis is it possible to take $sam\bar{a}sa$ - $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ individually?

प्रत्येकं वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिर्देष्टा इति । तद्यथा वृद्धिगुणसंज्ञे प्रत्येकं भवतः It is seen that the fruit of the meaning of a sentence is enjoyed individually. For instance the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s\ vrddhi$ and guna are applied individually.

ननु चायमप्यस्ति दृष्टान्तः समुद्राये वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिः इति । तद्यथा गर्गाः शतं दृण्ड्यन्ताम् इति, अर्थिनश्च राजानो हिरण्येन भवन्ति, न च प्रत्येकं दृण्डयन्ति Oh! the other $ny\bar{a}ya$ also is found that the fruit of the action is found collectively. $Viz.\ Garg\bar{a}h\ satam\ dandyant\bar{a}m$. Kings want money and they do not fine them individually.

सत्येतसिन् दृष्टान्ते यदि तत्र प्रत्येकिमित्युच्यते, इहापि सहग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्। अथ तत्र अन्तरेण प्रत्येकिमिति वचनं प्रत्येकं गुणवृद्धिसंज्ञे भवतः, इहापि नार्थः सहग्रहणेन।

If, in the presence of this $ny\ddot{a}ya$, the word $praty\bar{e}kam$ is there, here too the word saha should be read. If, without the use of the word $praty\bar{e}kam$ there, the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ guna and vrddhi take place individually, here too can it take place collectively without the use of the word saha.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यत्सहग्रहणं करोति, तस्यैतत्वयोजनं - योगाङ्गं यथा विज्ञायेत । सित च योगाङ्गे योगविभागः करिप्यते When it is thus possible to achieve the object without the word saha in the $s\bar{u}tra$, $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ reads it and it has this $pray\bar{o}jana$ that it may be taken as a part of $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$. When it becomes a part of $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$, the $s\bar{u}tra$ Saha $sup\bar{a}$ is split into Saha and $\bar{S}up\bar{a}$.

सह - सुप् समस्यते

The sūtra Saha means that subunta compounds with another.

केन सह? With what?

समर्थेन - अनुव्यचलत् अनुपाविशत्

With that which has sāmarthya with it. Viz. Anuvyacalat and anuprāviśat.

Note:—Anu is subanta with the case-suffix dropped.

ततः सुपा Then is the sūtra Supā.

सुपा च सह सुप् समस्यते

Subanta compounds with another subanta.

अधिकारश्च लक्षणं च

This sūtra is both adhikāra sūtra and lakṣaṇa-sūtra.

यस्य समासस्य अन्यल्लक्षणं नास्ति इदं तस्य लक्षणं भविष्यति - पुनरुतस्यूतं वासो देर्यम्, पुनर्निष्कृतो रथः (Y. V. 1, 5, 2, 4) इति

This sūtra serves as the lakṣaṇa-sūtra of those cases which do not have a lakṣaṇa-sūtra for them, as for punar-utsyūtam and punar-niṣkrtaḥ in the expressions Punar-utsyūtam vāsō dēyam and Punar-niṣkrtō rathaḥ.

इवेन विभक्खलोपः पूर्वपदप्रकृतिस्वरत्वं च

In the $sam\bar{a}sa$ with iva, there is no elision of case-suffixes and there is $p\bar{u}rva$ -pada-prakrti-svara.

इवेन सह समासो, विभक्त्यलोपः पूर्वपद्पकृतिस्वरत्वं च वक्तव्यम् - वाससीइव,

कन्येइव

There will be $sam\bar{a}sa$ with iva, when there will be no elision of case-suffix and the former member will take its usual accent, as in $v\bar{a}sas\bar{i}iva$ and $kany\bar{e}iva$.

अन्ययीभावः (2, 1, 5)

किमर्थ महती संज्ञा कियते शिhat for is a long samjñā made? अन्वर्थसंज्ञा यथा विज्ञायेत - अनव्ययम् अव्ययं भवतीत्यव्ययीभावः । अव्ययीभावोऽव्ययसंज्ञो भवतीति एतन्न वक्तव्यं भवति ।

So that it may be taken as a $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ true to its derivative meaning. It is split thus —Anavyayam avyayam bhavati. The phala is that it is not necessary to enjoin separately that $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ is avyaya.

अव्ययं विभक्तिसमीपसमृद्धि...साकल्यान्तवचनेषु (2, 1, 6)

इह कस्मान भवति - सुमद्राः, सुमगधाः, सपुत्रः, सच्छात्रः?

Why is not $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}vasa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ applied to $sumadr\bar{a}h$, $sumagadh\bar{a}h$, saputrah and $sacch\bar{a}trah$?

समृद्धौ साकल्ये इति च प्रामोति

It deserves to be applied there, since samrddhi is suggested in the former two and $s\bar{a}kalya$ in the latter two.

नैष दोषः । इह कश्चित् समासः पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् अन्यपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् अमयपदार्थप्रधानः । पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानोऽव्ययीभावः, उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानस्तत्पुरुषः, अन्यपदार्थप्रधानो बहुत्रीहिः, उभयपदार्थप्रधानो द्वन्द्वः । न चात्र पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानयं गम्यते ।

There is no room for this complaint. In literature, some compounds have $pr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$ in $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rtha$, some in $uttarapad\bar{a}rtha$, some in $anyapad\bar{a}rtha$ and some in $ubhayapad\bar{a}rtha$. Avyay $\bar{b}bh\bar{a}va$ has $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rthapr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$, tatpuruṣa $uttarapad\bar{a}rthapr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$, $bahuvr\bar{b}hi$ $anyapad\bar{a}rthapr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$ and dvandva $ubhayapad\bar{a}rthapr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$. $P\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rthapr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$ is not suggested here – in $sumadr\bar{a}h$ etc.

अथ वा समासार्था निर्देश्यन्ते

Or these - vibhakti, samīpa etc. in the sūtra - are not mentioned as samāsārthas.

किं तर्हि ! What then?

अन्ययार्था इमे निर्दिश्यन्ते - एतेष्वर्थेषु यदन्ययं वर्तते तत् सुबन्तेन सह समस्यत इति

These are mentioned as the meanings of avyayas. Hence the $s\bar{u}tra$ tells us that the avyaya which has any of these meanings compounds itself with subanta.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa writes:—Sumadrā ityatra ... su-śabdas-tu samṛddhi-dyōtakō na tu vācakaḥ. Saputra ityatrāpi sahaśabdas tulyayōgasya vācakaḥ na tu sākalyasya.

यथाऽसादृश्ये (2, 1, 7)

असाद्दय इति किमर्थम्? What for is $asar{a}dr\dot{s}yar{e}$?

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that there are three reasons for this question:—I. Since $yath\bar{a}$ has to deal with $upam\bar{a}na$, it is $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}ksa$ with reference to $upam\bar{e}ya$. 2. $Yath\bar{a}$ has $\hat{s}akti$ to mean $s\bar{a}dr\hat{s}ya$. 3. The $s\bar{u}tra$ contradicts what is said in the previous $s\bar{u}tra$.

यथा देवदत्तस्तथा यज्ञदत्त इति

So that $sam\bar{a}sa$ may not happen to $yath\bar{a}$ and $D\bar{e}vadatta$ in the expression $Yath\bar{a}$ $D\bar{e}vadattah$ $tath\bar{a}$ $Yaj\tilde{n}yadattah$.

असाद्दय इत्युच्यते, तलेदं न सिध्यति यथाशक्ति यथाबलम् इति Since asādṛśyē is read, the forms yathāśakti and yathābalam cannot be secured.

किं कारणम् श Why?

यथेत्ययं प्रकारवचने थाल्, स च सादृश्ये वर्तते

The word $yath\bar{a}$ has the suffix $th\bar{a}$ which conveys the meaning of manner and hence $yath\bar{a}$ denotes $s\bar{a}dr\dot{s}ya$.

नैष दोषः । अयं यथाशब्दोऽस्त्येवाव्युत्पन्नः प्रातिपदिकं वीप्सावाचि । अस्ति प्रकारवचने थाल् । तत्न यदव्युत्पन्नं प्रातिपदिकं वीप्सावाचि तस्येदं ग्रहणम् ।

This difficulty does not arise. This $yath\bar{a}$ is both an $a_{\bar{i}}yut-panna-pr\bar{a}tipadika$ meaning repetition and one having the suffix $th\bar{a}$ in the sense of manner. Of these two, the $yath\bar{a}$ used in this $s\bar{u}tra$ is the $avyutpanna-pr\bar{a}tipadika$ meaning $v\bar{v}ps\bar{a}$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $-V\bar{\imath}ps\bar{a}grahanam$ upalakṣaṇam, tēna yōgyatā padārthā nativṛtt $\bar{\imath}$ api yathārthāu.

अथ यः प्रकारवचने थाल् तस्य ग्रहणं कस्मान्न भवति, पूर्वेण प्रामोति सादृश्यसंपत्ति....इति !

How is it that $yath\bar{a}$ having the pratyaya tha in the sense of manner which comes within the range of the previous $s\bar{u}tra...$. $S\bar{a}dr\dot{s}ya$ -sampatti... is not taken here?

प्रतिषेधवचनसामर्थ्यान भविष्यति

It is not taken here since pratisēdha is read here.

सुप्प्रतिना मात्रार्थे (2, 1, 9)

सुब् इति वर्तमाने पुनः सुठ्यहणं किमर्थम्?

When the word sup can be taken here by anutriti (from the sūtra Sub āmantritē parāngavat svarē 2, 1, 2), what is the need for reading the word sup again here?

अन्ययमित्येवं तदभूत्। सुङ्गाले यथा स्यात् - मापपति, सूपपति, ओदनपति। The sup there was applied to avyaya. In order that this may hold good for the words which can take all case-suffixes after them, as in māṣa-prati, sūpa-prati and ōdana-prati, it is again read here.

Note:—The mātrārtha referred to here is a small portion.

अक्षशलाकासङ्ख्याः परिणा (2, 1, 10)

अक्षादयस्तृतीयान्ताः परिणा पूर्वोक्तस्य यथा न तत् 1

The words aksa etc. followed by third case suffix compound with pari if defeat is suggested.

अक्षादयस्तृतीयान्ताः परिणा सह समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् । पूर्वोक्तस्य यथा न तत् - अयथाद्योतने - अयथाजातीयकेद्योत्ये - अक्षेणेदं न तथा वृत्तं यथा पूर्वमिति -अक्षपरि, शलाकापरि ।

It must be said that the words aksa etc. in the third case compound with prati. When it suggests that the cast of dice was not as it had been before (i.e.) when it suggests that there was defeat in the game. Viz. Aksapari and $sal\bar{a}k\bar{a}pari$.

एकत्वेऽक्षशलाक्ष्योः 2 Of akṣa and śalākā in the singular number.

अक्षरालकयोश्चैकबचनान्तयोरिति वक्तव्यम् । इह मा भूत् अक्षाभ्यां वृत्तं, अक्षैर्वृत्तमिति

It must be said that ak imes a and imes al ilde ak ilde a compound with prationly when they are in singular number, so that there will be no compound in ak ilde ab hy ilde am vrttam and ak ilde air vrttam.

कितवन्यवहारे च Only with reference to the dealings of a rogue.

- 1. Ayathādyōtanē is added at the end in some editions. Since it happens to be the explanation of $p\bar{u}rv\bar{o}ktasya$ yathā na tat, the reading without it seems to be better.
 - 2. Akṣaśalākayōścāikavacanāntayōh is another reading.

कितवब्यवहारे इति वक्तव्यम् । इह मा भूत् - अक्षेणेदं न तथा वृत्तं, शकटेन यथा पूर्वमिति

It must be said that it is only with reference to the dealings of a rogue, so that it does not happen in the following cases:— akṣēṇa idam na tathā vrttam, śakaṭēna yathā pūrvam. What are mentioned above are the parts of the ślökavārltika.

अक्षादयस्तृतीयान्ताः पूर्वोक्तस्य यथा न तत् । कितवन्यवहारे च एकःवेऽक्षशलाकयोः ॥

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: $-Pa\bar{n}cik\bar{a}$ $n\bar{a}ma$ $dy\bar{u}tam$ $pa\bar{n}cabhir$ $akṣ\bar{a}ih$ $\acute{s}al\bar{a}k\bar{a}bhir$ $v\bar{a}$ $bhav\bar{a}ti$. Tatra $yad\bar{a}$ $sarv\bar{e}$ $\bar{e}ka$ - $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}h$ patanti $tad\bar{a}$ $p\bar{a}t$ $yit\bar{a}$ jayati; $anyath\bar{a}$ tu $p\bar{a}t\bar{e}$ $par\bar{a}j\bar{i}yat\bar{e}$.

विभाषापपरिवहिरश्चवः पश्चम्या (2, 1, 11)

विभापेति योगिवभागः $Vibh\bar{a}$ s \bar{a} must be split as a separate $s\bar{u}tra$.

विभाषेति योगविभागः कर्तव्यः । विभाषेत्ययमधिकारः । ततः अपपरिबहिरश्चवः पश्चम्या इति

The word $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ must be split as a separate $s\bar{u}tra$ and it is an $adhik\bar{a}ra$ - $s\bar{u}tra$. Then Apa-pari-bahir- $a\tilde{n}cavah$ $pa\tilde{n}camy\bar{a}$ must be taken as another $s\bar{u}tra$.

पञ्चमी यहणं शक्यमकर्तुम्

It is possible to dispense with the word pañcamyā.

कथम्? How is it possible?

सुबन्तेनेति वर्तते । एतैश्चे कर्मप्रवचनीयैयींगे पश्चमी विश्वीयते । तत्रान्तरेणापि पञ्चमीग्रहणं पञ्चम्यन्तैव समासो भविष्यति ।

There is anuvrtti for subantēna. Fifth case is enjoined to nouns which are in association with these karmapravacanīyas by the sūtra $Pa\~ncamyap\=a\'nparibhih$ (2, 3, 10). Hence even without the mention of the word $pa\~ncamy\=a$ in this sūtra, samāsa will take place only with $pa\~ncamyanta$.

Note:—There is anuvrtti only to supā. Subantēna is secured through tadanta-grahana.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् - बहिःशब्देन योगे पञ्चमी न विधीयते, तत्रापि यथा स्यात् - बहिर्शामं, बहिर्शामात् This, then, is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that $pa\bar{n}camyanta$ will compound with bahis, like $bahirgr\bar{a}m\bar{a}t$ in addition to $bahir\cdot gr\bar{a}mam$, since it was not enjoined there that the noun takes the fifth case in association with bahis.

अथ क्रियमाणेऽपि पञ्चमीग्रहणे यावता बहिःशब्देन योगे पञ्चमी न विधीयते, कथिमवैतत् सिध्यति?

How is it possible even on reading $pa\tilde{n}camy\bar{a}$ here for $pa\tilde{n}cam$ -yanta to compound with bahis, when no $s\bar{u}tra$ enjoins the use of $pa\tilde{n}cam\bar{i}$ after nouns in association with bahis?

पञ्चमीत्रहणसामध्यति

On account of the sāmarthya of the reading of pañcamyā here.

आङ् मर्यादाभिविध्योः (2, 1, 13)

मर्यादाभिविधिश्रहणं शक्यमकर्तुम्

It is possible to dispense with maryādābhividhyōh.

कथम्? How?

पञ्चम्यन्तेनेति वर्तते । आङा च कर्मप्रवचनीयेन युक्ते पञ्चमी विधीयते । एतयोश्चेवार्थयोराङ् कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञो भवति, नान्यत्र ।

There is anuvitti for pañcamyanta through pañcamyā. Fifth case is enjoined to the noun when it is in association with the karma-pravacanīya $\bar{a}\dot{n}$ in 1, 4, 88. $\bar{A}\dot{n}$ takes karmapravacanīya-samjñā only when it takes these two meanings and nowhere else.

Note: $-S\bar{u}_i rak\bar{a}ra$ has read only $mary\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ in 1, 4, 88 and he has here read $mary\bar{a}d\bar{a}hhividhy\bar{o}h$. Hence it would have been better if $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ had read only $mary\bar{a}d\bar{a}grahanam$ $\hat{s}akyam\ akartum$.

यस चायामः (2, 1, 16)

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the example?

अनुगङ्गं हास्तिनपुरम्, अनुगङ्गं वाराणसी, अनुशोणं पाटलिपुत्रम् Anugangam Hāstinapuram (Hāstinapuram is as long as the Ganges), Anuganam Vārāṇasī and Anuśōṇam Pāṭaliputram.

यस्य चायामः इत्युच्यते, गङ्गा चाप्यायता, हास्तिनपुरमप्यायतं, वाराणस्यप्या-यता । तत्र कृत एतद् गङ्गाया सह समासो भविष्यति न पुनर्हास्तिनपुरेण, न वारणस्या इति ? The $s\bar{u}tra$ says that the $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place with the word whose length is taken into consideration. $Ga\dot{n}g\bar{a}$ too is long. $H\bar{a}stinapuram$ too is long and $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{\imath}$ too is long. Why should $sam\bar{a}sa$ take place only with $Ga\dot{n}g\bar{a}$ and not with $H\bar{a}stinapura$ or $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{\imath}$?

एवं तिह रक्षणेन इति वर्तते । गङ्गा चैव हि रुक्षणं न वारणासी 1 . If so, the word $lak san \bar{e}na$ is taken here by anuvrtti from 2, 1, 14. Here it is only $Gang\bar{a}$ that is lak san a and not $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{\imath}$.

अथ वा यस्य चायामः इत्युच्यते । गङ्गा चाप्यायता वाराणस्यप्यायता । तत्र प्रकर्षगतिर्विज्ञायते - साधीयो यस्यायामः इति । साधीयश्च गङ्गायाः, न वाराणस्याः ॥ Or the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read Yasya $c\bar{a}y\bar{a}mah$. $Ga\dot{n}g\bar{a}$ is $\bar{a}yat\bar{a}$ and $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{\imath}$ too. That which is more $\bar{a}yata$ is taken into consideration. The $\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ of $Ga\dot{n}g\bar{a}$ is greater and not that of $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{\imath}$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the first explanation is based upon the $lak syalak sanabh\bar{a}va$ between $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{i}$ and $Gang\bar{a}$ and the second is based upon $upam\bar{e}y\bar{o}pam\bar{a}nabh\bar{a}va$ between them.

तिष्ठद्भप्रसृतीनि च (2, 1, 17)

किमर्थश्यकारः ? What does ca mean?

एवकारार्थः, तिष्ठद्भुशभृतीन्येव

It means ēva, to comprehend only Tiṣṭhadgugaṇa.

क मा भूत्? With what should they not compound? परमं तिष्ठद्ध

Tiṣṭhadgu cannot form a compound with Paramam.

तिष्ठद्भ कालिवेशेष Need to add kālaviśēṣē to tiṣṭhadgu.

तिष्ठद्भ कालविशेषे इति वक्तव्यम् - तिष्ठन्ति गावोऽस्मिन्काले स तिष्ठद्भ । Tiṣṭhadgu must be taken to denote a particular time and it is split thus:—Tiṣṭhanti gāvaḥ asmin.

बहद्ध Vahadyu also denotes a particular time.

खलेयवादीनि प्रथमान्तान्यन्यपदार्थे

·Khalēyava etc. must be read to form compounds only in the nominative case to denote anyapadārtha.

1. The reading here may have been Na Hāstinapuram na Vārāṇasī.

खलेयवादीनि प्रथमान्तानि अन्यपदार्थे समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् - खलेयवम्, खलेबुसम्, ॡनयवम्, पूनयवम्, पूयमानयवम् ।

It must be read that khalēyava etc. form compounds in the nominative case denoting anyapadārtha:—Khalēyavam (floor where yava is thrashed), khalēbusam, lūnayavam, pūnayavam and pūyamānayavam.

पारे मध्ये पष्ट्या वा (2, 1, 18)

वावचनं किमर्थम्? Why is vā read?

विभाषा समासो यथा स्यात् । समासेन मुक्ते वाक्यमपि यथा स्यात् - पारं गङ्गाया इति ।

To make $sam\bar{a}sa$ optional so that, in its absence, the phrase like $P\bar{a}ram\ Gang\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ may be read.

नैतदिस्त प्रयोजनम् । प्रकृता महाविभाषा, तया वाक्यमपि भविष्यति No, this is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. There is $mah\bar{a}vibh\bar{a}$ $s\bar{a}$ by $Vibh\bar{a}$ $s\bar{a}$ (2, 1, 11) and the phrase may be allowed by it.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम्, अव्ययीभावेन मुक्ते षष्ठीसमासो यथा स्याद् गङ्गापारम् इति This then is the benefit that, in the absence of avyayībhāva-samāsa, şaṣṭhī-tatpuruṣa - Gaṅgāpāram - may set in.

्र एतदिप नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । अयमपि विभाषा, षष्ठीसमासोऽपि तावुभौ वचनाद्भविष्यतः ।

This, too, is not the benefit. This too is optional. Hence both sasthīsamāsa and the phrase, may appear.

अत उत्तरं पठित $Ac\bar{a}rya$ ($V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$) answers it thus. पारेमध्ये पष्ट्या वावचनम्, अवचने हि षष्टीसमासाभावो यथैकदेशिप्रधाने $V\bar{a}$ has to be read in $P\bar{a}r\bar{e}madhy\bar{e}$ $sasthy\bar{a}$ $v\bar{a}$; otherwise $sasth\bar{a}sa$ has no chance as in $\bar{e}kad\bar{e}sipradh\bar{a}na-sam\bar{a}sa$.

पारेमध्ये षष्ठ्या वा इति वक्तव्यम्

Vā must be read in Pārēmadhyē sasthyā vā.

अवचने हि पष्टीसमासाभावः यथैकदेशिपघाने - अक्रियमाणे हि वावचने पष्टीसमासस्याभावः स्यात्, यथा एकदेशिपघाने - तद्यथा एकदेशिसमासेन मुक्ते पष्टीसमासो न भवति

There will be no $sasth \bar{s}am\bar{a}sa$ in its absence as in $\bar{e}kad\bar{e}sipradh\bar{a}na$ —If $v\bar{a}$ is not read, $sasth \bar{s}sam\bar{a}sa$ has no chance as in

ēkadēśipradhāna-samāsa. There is no ṣaṣṭhī-samāsa, if ēkadēśi-pradhāna-samāsa (pūrvakāyaḥ) is not formed.

किं पुनः कारणमेकदेशिसमासेन मुक्ते षष्ठीसमासो न भवति?

Why is there no sasthī-samāsa in the absence of ēkadēśisamāsa? समासतिद्धितानां वृत्तिर्विभाषा, वृत्तिविषये नित्योऽपवादः

 $\bar{E}k\bar{a}rth\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ is optional in $sam\bar{a}sa$ and $taddhit\bar{a}nta$ and $apav\bar{a}da$ is nitya in the case of vrtti.

इह पुनः वावचने क्रियमाणे एकया वृत्तिर्विभाषा, अपरया वृत्तिविषये 1 विभाषापवादः

If $v\bar{a}$ is read here, the vrtti becomes optional by one and $apav\bar{a}da$ becomes optional in the case of vrtti by another.

एकारान्तनिपातनं च Ekārāntanipātana too.

एकारान्तनिपातनं च कर्तव्यम् - पारेगङ्गम् इति

 $Nip\bar{a}tana$ of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}r\bar{a}nta$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ is necessary to secure $p\bar{a}r\bar{e}gangam$.

न कर्तव्यम् । सप्तम्या अलुका सिद्धम्

No, it is not. It is secured on the strength of bahulam in Tatpuruṣē kṛti bahulam (6, 3, 14).

भवेत् सिद्धं यदा सप्तमी; यदा त्वन्या विभक्तयस्तदा न सिध्यति It may be accomplished in the case of saptamī, but not in the case of other case-suffixes.

नदीभिश्व (2, 1, 20)

नदीभिः सङ्ख्यासमासेऽन्यपदार्थे प्रतिषेधः

Need to prohibit $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ between a word denoting number and the name of rivers, if the compound means one other than rivers.

नदीभिः सङ्ख्यासमासे अन्यपदार्थे प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः द्वीरावतीको देशः, त्रीरावतीको देशः । नदीभिः सङ्ख्या इति प्रामोति

 $Avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ is to be prohibited between a word denoting number and the name of rivers if the meaning is $anyapad\bar{a}rtha$, as $Dv\bar{\imath}r\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}kah$ and $Tr\bar{\imath}r\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}kah$ in $Dv\bar{\imath}r\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}k\bar{o}$ $d\bar{\imath}sah$ and $Tr\bar{\imath}r\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}k\bar{o}$, since, otherwise, it may happen by this $s\bar{\imath}utra$.

1. Panduranga Javaji edition reads nityah in place of vibhāṣā.

न वक्तव्यः । इह कश्चित्समासः पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् अन्यपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् उभयपदार्थप्रधानः । पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानोऽव्ययीभावः, उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानस्तत्पुरुषः, अन्यपदार्थप्रधानो बहुत्रीहिः, उभयपदार्थप्रधानो द्वन्द्वः । न चात्र पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानयं गम्यते ।

No, it need not be read. One samāsa is pūrvapadārthapradhāna, one uttarapadārthapradhāna, one anyapadārthapradhāna and another is ubhayapadārthapradhāna. Avyayībhāva is pūrvapadārthapradhāna, tatpurusa is uttarapadārthapradhāna, bahuvrīhi is anyapadārthapradhāna and dvandva is ubhayapadārthapradhāna. Prominence on the former member of the compound is not seen here.

ननु च यद्येनोच्यते स तस्यार्थो भवति, अत्र च वयमेताभ्यां पदाभ्यामेतमर्थ-मुच्यमानं परयामः ।

Oh! that which is conveyed by a word is taken to be its meaning. We see here that this meaning is conveyed by the two words.

एतदेव न जानीमः, यद्येनोच्यते स तस्यार्थ इति

We are not aware of this:—that which is conveyed by a word is its meaning.

अपि च अन्यपदार्थप्रधानता न कल्पेत - चित्रगुः, शबलगुः इति
Besides it is not possible to arrive at anyapadārthapradhānatā in Citraguḥ and Śabalaguḥ.

किं कारणम् * Why?

अत्रापि हि वयमेताभ्यां शब्दाभ्यामेतमर्थमुच्यमानं पश्यामः

Even here (in citragu) we see that this meaning is conveyed by the two words.

यद्यप्यत्र एताभ्यां पदाभ्यामेषोऽर्थ उच्यते, अन्यपदार्थोऽपि तु गम्यते, तत्रान्य-पदार्थाश्रयो बहुत्रीहिर्भविष्यति ।

Even though the meaning is conveyed by the two words, the meaning of another pada also is suggested by them and in such cases $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ which is $anyapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ will set in.

इहापि तर्हि यद्यप्यन्यपदार्थोऽत्र गम्यते, स्वपदार्थोऽपि तु गम्यते, तत्र स्वपदाश्रयोऽन्ययीभावः प्रामोति If, here, $svapad\bar{a}rtha$ also is conveyed in addition to $anya-pad\bar{a}rtha$, $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ which is $svapad\bar{a}\acute{s}raya$ may set in.

एवं तहींदिमिह सम्प्रधार्यम् - अन्ययीभावः क्रियतां बहुत्रीहिरिति, बहुत्रीहि-भविष्यति विप्रतिषेधेन

If so, this is to be determined whether $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ sets in here or $bahu\dot{v}r\bar{\imath}hi$; the latter will set in through the dictum $Vipratis\bar{\imath}dh\bar{\imath}$ param $k\bar{a}ryam$.

भवेदेकसंज्ञाधिकारे सिद्धम्, परङ्कार्थत्वे तु न सिध्यति । आरम्भसामर्थ्याद-व्ययीभावः प्राप्नोति, परङ्कार्यत्वाच बहुत्रीहिः ।

The object will be achieved on the dictum $\bar{A}ka\bar{q}\bar{a}r\bar{a}d$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, but not on the dictum $Pr\bar{a}k$ $ka\bar{q}\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$ param $k\bar{a}ryam$. There is chance for $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ through $\bar{a}rambha$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$ and for $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ through $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatva$.

परङ्कार्यत्वे च न दोषः । नदीभिः सङ्ख्यायाः समाहारेऽज्ययीभावो वक्तव्यः There is no harm in $parank\bar{a}ryatva$ too. $Avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ has to be enjoined when there is $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ between a word denoting number and the names of rivers.

स चावर्यं वक्तव्यः - सर्वमेकनदीतरे

The word $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ must necessarily be read with reference to the $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ between $sankhy\bar{a}$ and $nad\bar{\imath}$, so that the form $\bar{\imath}kanad\bar{\imath}tar\bar{\imath}$ in $Sarvam\ \bar{\imath}kanad\bar{\imath}tar\bar{\imath}$ can be secured.

द्विगुश्च (2, 1, 23)

द्विगोस्तत्पुरुषत्वे कानि प्रयोजनानि ?

What are the benefits in taking dvigu as tatpuruṣa?

द्विगोस्तत्पुरुषत्वे समासान्ताः प्रयोजनम्

Dvigu, if it is tatpuruṣa, will have the benefit of taking samāsānta-pratyayas.

द्विगोस्तत्पुरुषत्वे समासान्ताः प्रयोजयन्ति - पञ्चगवं, दशगवं, पञ्चराजं, दशराजम् Appearance of samāsānta-pratyayas in dvigu is the benefit reaped by taking it as tatpuruṣa. Viz. Pañcagavam, daśagavam, pañcarājam and daśarājam.

द्वितीया श्रितातीतपतितगतात्यस्तप्राप्तापन्नैः (2, 1, 24)

श्रितादिषु गमिगाम्यादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

 $Gam\bar{\imath}$, $g\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$ etc. to be added to śrita etc.

श्रितादिषु गमिगाम्यादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - श्रामं गमी श्रामगमी, श्रामं गामी श्रामगामी ।

 $Gam\bar{\imath}$, $g\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$ etc. must be added to $\dot{s}rit\bar{a}dis$, so that $gr\bar{a}mam$ and $gam\bar{\imath}$ may compound into $gr\bar{a}magam\bar{\imath}$ and $gr\bar{a}mam$ and $g\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$ into $gr\bar{a}mag\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$.

श्रितादिभिरहीने द्वितीयासमासवचनानर्थक्यं, बहुत्रीहिकृतत्वात्

Non-necessity of enjoining $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ -sam $\bar{a}sa$ of $\dot{s}rita$ etc. with one if it means that which is not abandoned.

श्रितादिभिः अहीनवाचिन्या द्वितीयायाः समासवचनमनर्थकम् It is unnecessary to enjoin the $sam\bar{a}sa$ of words in the second case, meaning $ah\bar{\imath}na$, with srita etc.

किं कारणम्? Why?

बहुत्रीहिकृतत्वात् । इह यः कष्टं श्रितः, कष्टमनेन श्रितं भवति इति तत्र बहुत्रीहिणा सिद्धम् ।

Since its purpose is served by $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$. He who is not separated from kasta is one by whom kasta is taken hold of. Hence its purpose is served by $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$.

अहीने द्वितीयास्वरवचनानर्थक्यं च

Non-need of Ahīnē dvitīyā enjoining pūrvapadaprakrtisvara.

अहीने द्वितीया - पूर्वपदं प्रकृति स्वरं भवति इत्येतत्स्वरवचनम् अनर्थकम् It is not necessary to enjoin that the svara is that of $p\bar{u}rva-pada$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Ah\bar{v}n\bar{e}$ $dvit\bar{v}v\bar{a}$ (6, 2, 47).

किं कारणम्? Why?

बहुत्रीहिकृतत्वादेव Since the same is found in bahuvrīhi.

जातिस्वरप्रसङ्गस्त

But there is chance for the svara enjoined by Jātikāla...

जातिस्वरस्तु प्रामोति - प्रामं गतो प्रामगतः, अरण्यगतः इति । जातिकाल-सुखादिभ्योऽनाच्छादनात् कोऽकृतमितप्रतिपन्नाः इति

The svara (antōdātta) enjoined by Jāti-kāla-sukhādibhyōsnā-cchādanāt ktōskṛtamita-pratipannāḥ (6, 2, 170) will have chance to set in the words grāmagataḥ (grāmam galaḥ), araṇyagataḥ etc.

तत्र जातादिषु वावचनात्सिद्धम्

The aim is secured by changing Vā jātē into Vā jātādişu.

यदेतद् वा जाते इति एतद् वा जातादिषु वक्ष्यामि । इमे जातादयो भविष्यन्ति ।

I shall read $V\bar{a}$ $j\bar{a}t\bar{a}disu$ in place of $V\bar{a}$ $j\bar{a}t\bar{e}$ (6, 2, 171). These will be included under $j\bar{a}t\bar{a}dis$.

ननु च भेदो भवति - बहुत्रीहो सित समासान्तोदात्तत्वेनापि भवितव्यं पूर्वपद्पञ्चित्तस्वरत्वेनापि । तत्पुरुषत्वे सित पूर्वपद्पञ्चितिस्वरत्वेनैव । Oh! there will be difference then. If it is bahuvrīhi, it can take both samāsāntādāttatva and pūrvapadaprakrtisvaratva. If it is tatpuruṣa, it can take only pūrvapadaprakrtisvaratva.

नास्ति मेदः । योऽपि हि तत्पुरुषमारभते, न तस्य दण्डवारितो बहुत्रीहिः । तत्र तत्पुरुषे सित द्वौ समासो, द्वौ स्वरो, बहुत्रीहो सत्येकः समासो, द्विस्वरत्वम् No, there will be no difference. For he who takes it as tatpuruṣa is not prevented, under the pain of punishment, to take it as bahuvrīhi also. Hence when it is taken as tatpuruṣa, there are two kinds of samāsas and two different svaras and when it is taken as bahuvrīhi, there is only one samāsa, but two different svaras.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यत्तरपुरुषं शास्ति, तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः समानार्थे केवलं विश्रहमेदाद्यत्र तत्पुरुषः प्राप्नोति बहुत्रीहिश्च, तत्र तत्पुरुष एव भवति इति । Hence from the fact that $Ac\bar{a}rya$ enjoins tatpuruṣa though it is otherwise secured, he suggests that, if a compound can be split both as tatpuruṣa and bahuvrīhi without any change in meaning, it must be taken only as tatpuruṣa.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्? What is gained from this $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pana$?

राज्ञः सखा राज्यस्यः; राजा सखा अस्य इति बहुत्रीहिन भवति The compound word $r\bar{a}jasakhuh$ can be split only as $r\bar{a}j\tilde{a}akh\bar{a}$ and not as $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ sakh \bar{a} asya.

नैतद् ज्ञापकसाध्यम् । अपवादैरुत्सर्गा बाध्यन्ते इति बाधकेनानेन भवितव्यं सामान्यविहितस्य विशेषविहितेन । अथ न सामान्यविहितः ।

This is not accomplished through $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$. This, being $vis\bar{e}sa$ -vihita, has to set at naught the $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ which is $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya$ -vihita through the dictum $Apav\bar{a}dair$ utsarg \bar{a} $b\bar{a}dhyant\bar{e}$. But $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ is not even $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavihita$.

Note:— $Bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ and $tatpuru\bar{\imath}a$ cannot be taken under utsarga and $apav\bar{a}da$, since the former is $anyapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ and the latter is $svapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$.

यदुच्यते - बहुत्रीतिकृतत्वाद् इति, एतदयुक्तम्; अस्ति खल्विप विशेषो बहुत्रीहेस्तत्पुरुषस्य च ।

The expression bahuvrīhikṛ tatvāt read in the vārttika is not correct; for there is difference between bahuvrīhi and tatpuruṣa.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ says that $siddh\bar{a}ntin$ condemns $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pakatvav\bar{a}din$ and $apav\bar{a}datvav\bar{a}din$ by $Asti\ khalvapi...$

किं शब्दकृतः, अथ अर्थकृतः !

Does the difference exist in form or in meaning?

शब्दकृतश्च अर्थकृतश्च

Difference exists both in form and in meaning.

शब्दकृतस्तावत् - बहुत्रीहो सित कपा भवितव्यम् ; तत्पुरुपे सित न भवितव्यम् Firstly regarding the difference in form, kap is suffixed in bahuvrīhi (by Nadyrtaś ca 5, 4, 153), but not in tatpuruṣa.

अर्थकृतः - तत्पुरुषे सित रहादीनां क्तः कर्तरि भवति धात्वर्थस्यानपवर्गे - आरूढो वृक्षं देवदक्तः । बहुत्रीहो व्यपकृक्ते कर्मणि भवति - आरूढो वृक्षो देवदक्तेन इति With reference to the difference in meaning, Ārūḍhaḥ the ktānta of ruh with ā forms tatpuruṣasamāsa with vṛkṣa when it has the active meaning and its kartā Dēvadatta is in contact with any part of the tree; while the same, when it forms a bahuvrīhisamāsa, is passive in meaning and its kartā Dēvadatta has left his contact with the tree.

अन्यथाजातीयकः खल्विप प्रत्यक्षेणार्थसम्प्रत्ययः, अन्यथाजातीयकः सम्बन्धात् - राज्ञः सखा राजसखः; सम्बन्धाद् एतद् गन्तव्यं, नूनं राजाप्यस्य सखा इति Meaning directly denoted by a word is of one kind and that arrived at through anumāna is of another kind. The word rājasakhaḥ means king's friend and it must be learnt from inference that the king too is his friend.

डभयं खल्बिप इष्यते, स्वस्ति सोमसखा, पुनरेहि गवांसख इति
Both are found necessary in usage. Viz. Svasti Sõmasakhā (bahuvrīhi compound); Punar ēhi gavāmsakha (tatpuruṣa compound).

खट्टा क्षेपे (2, 1, 26)

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the udāharaņa?

खद्दारूढः The word khaṭvārūḍhaḥ (degraded man).

क्षेप इत्युच्यते ; कः क्षेपो नाम?

The word $ks\tilde{e}pa$ is read here. What does it refer to?

अधीत्य स्नात्वा गुरुभिरनुज्ञातेन खट्टा आरोडव्या । य इदानीम् अतोऽन्यथा करोति स उच्यते - खट्टारूढोऽयं जाल्मः - नातित्रतवान् इति । Married life should be enjoyed by one only after studying the $V\bar{e}dos$ and performing the purificatory bath with the permission of the $V\bar{e}dic$ teacher. He who does contrary to it is called $khatv\bar{a}r\bar{u}dhah$. Hence it now means a degraded man who does not strictly perform the vratas enjoined to him.

Note:—The word khatvārūdhah is semantically important.

अत्यन्तसंयोगे च (2, 1, 28)

अत्यन्तसंयोगे समासस्याविशेषवचनात् क्तेन समासवचनानर्थक्यम्

No need for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $K\bar{a}l\bar{a}h$ since $Atyantasamy\bar{o}g\bar{e}$ $c\bar{a}$ refers to both $kt\bar{a}nta$ and $akt\bar{a}nta$.

अत्यन्तसंयोगे समासस्याविशेषवचनात् क्तान्तेन च अक्तान्तेन च कालाः क्तान्तेन इति एतत्समासवचनमनर्थकम्; अत्यन्तसंयोगे इत्येव सिद्धम् Since this $s\bar{u}tra$ Atyantasamyoge ca has reference to both $kt\bar{a}nta$ and $akt\bar{a}nta$, the $s\bar{u}tra$ $K\bar{a}l\bar{a}h$ $(kt\bar{e}na)$ serves no purpose and Atyantasamyoge ca alone will do.

अनत्यन्तसंयोगार्थं तु It is for anatyantasamyōga.

अनत्यन्तसंयोगार्थं तर्हि इदं वक्तव्यम् - षण्मुहूर्ताश्चराचराः । ते कदाचिद-हर्गच्छन्ति, कदाचिद्रात्रिम् । तदुच्यते अहर्गताः रात्रिगता इति । The sūtra (Kālāḥ) must be read to refer to an-atyantasamyōga.

Viz. Ṣaṇmuhūrtāḥ carācarāḥ. They sometimes (in uttarāyaṇa) walk at daytime and sometimes (in dakṣiṇāyana) walk at night. Hence they are called ahargatāḥ and rātrigatāḥ.

नैतदस्ति ; गतत्रहणादप्येतत् सिद्धम्

This need not be. The object is achieved since gata is read with śrita in 2, 1, 24.

इदं तर्हि, अहरतिस्ताः, राज्यतिस्ताः, मासप्रमितश्चन्द्रमाः

For the sake of these then:— $Ahar-atisrt\bar{a}h$, $r\bar{a}tryatisrt\bar{a}h$ and $m\bar{a}sapramitah$ in $m\bar{a}spramitas$ candram $\bar{a}h$.

तृतीया तत्कृतार्थेन गुणवचनेन (2, 1, 30)

The prayojana of the words tatkṛtārthēna and guṇavacanēna, the meaning of the latter and the purpose of artha in tatkṛtārthēna are the four topics here.

I

तत्कृतार्थेन इति किमर्थम्? Why is tatkrtārthēna read? दक्षा पटुः, घृतेन पटुः

The prevent $dadhn\bar{a}$ and patuh and $ghrt\bar{e}na$ and patuh from compounding with each other (since $dadhn\bar{a}$ and $ghrt\bar{e}na$ are $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}nta$ and patuh is gunavacana).

नैतद्स्ति । असामर्थ्यादत्र न भविष्यति

No, it is not so. There will be no samāsa thro' asāmarthya.

कथमसामध्येम्? How is asāmarthya to be explained?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति । न हि दृष्टः पटुना सामर्थ्यम्

On the basis of the dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ sam asamartham bhavati. There is no $s\bar{a}marthya$ for dadhi with patutva.

केन तर्हि? With what then?

भुजिना; द्रधा भुङ्क्ते पटुः इति

With the verb bhuj thus:—dadhnā bhunktē paṭuḥ.

इहापि तर्हि न प्रामोति - शङ्कुलाखण्डः, किरिकाणः इति । अत्रापि न शङ्कुलायाः खण्डेन सामर्थ्यम्

If so, it cannot operate even here: in $\hat{s}ankul\bar{a}khandah$ and $kirik\bar{a}nah$; there is no $s\bar{a}marthya$ even here for $\hat{s}ankul\bar{a}$ with khanda.

केन तर्हि? With what then?

करोतिना, शङ्कुलया कृतः खण्ड इति

With the root kr thus: - Śańkulayā kriah khandah.

वचनाद्भविष्यति It will happen through this sūtra.

इहापि तर्हि वचनात् प्रामोति - दम्ना पटुः, घृनेन पटुः इति

If so, $sam\bar{a}sa$ will appear even here in $dadhn\bar{a}$ paṭuḥ and $ghrt\bar{e}na$ paṭuḥ by this $s\bar{u}tra$.

तसात् तत्कृतार्थमहणं कर्तव्यभ्

Hence the word tatkṛtārthēna should be read.

गुणवचनेनेति किमर्थम्? Why is guṇavacanēna read? गोभिर्वपावान्, धान्येन धनवान्

Otherwise $g\bar{o}bhih$ will compound with $vap\bar{a}v\bar{a}n$ and $dh\bar{a}ny\bar{e}na$ with $dhanav\bar{a}n$.

III

ार्क पुनारिहादाहरणम् ? What is the udāharaņa here? शङ्कुलाखण्डो देवदत्तः इति Śankulākhaṇḍō Dēvadattaḥ.

कथं पुनर्गुणवचनेन समास उच्यमानो द्रव्यवचनेन स्यात् ?

How is it that, though $sam\bar{a}sa$ is enjoined with gunavacana (word denoting quality) in the $s\bar{u}tra$, it is made with dravyavacana in the $ud\bar{a}harana$.

इह 'तृतीया तत्कृतार्थेन गुणेन ' इति इयता सिद्धं, सोऽयमेवं सिद्धे सित यद्वचनश्रहणं करोति तस्यैतत्वयोजनं - एवं यथा विज्ञायेत गुणम् उक्तवता गुणवचननेन इति

Had the Sūtrakāra meant that the samāsa is with the word denoting quality, $Trtīy\bar{a}$ tatkrtārthēna gunēna will do. The purpose of his having read guṇavacanēna instead of guṇēna, is that it means 'with the word denoting dravya having the quality.'

कथं पुनरयं गुणवचनः सन् द्रव्यवचनः सम्पद्यते ?

How can guṇavācaka become dravya-vācaka?

आरभ्यते तत्र मतुब्लोपः - गुणवचनेभ्यो मतुपो लुगिति । तद्यथा - शुक्कगुणः शुक्कः, कृष्णगुणः कृष्णः, एवं खण्डगुणः खण्डः

Vārttikakāra reads the elision of matup-pratyaya there in Guṇavacanēbhyō matupō luk. Hence śuklaḥ and kṛṣṇaḥ denote white, and black, object. So also does khaṇḍaḥ denote the object having it.

यद्येवं नार्थः तत्क्वतार्थमहणेन । भवति हि शङ्कुलायाः खण्डेन सामर्थ्यम् । असामर्थ्याचात्र न भविष्यति – द्धा पटुः, घृतेन पटुः इति । तसान्नार्थः तत्क्वतार्थ- महणेन ।

If so, no purpose is served by reading $tat-krt\bar{a}rth\bar{e}na$; for there is $s\bar{a}marthya$ between $sankul\bar{a}$ and khanda. Dadhn \bar{a} paṭuḥ and ghṛtēna paṭuḥ, do not compound thro' asāmarthya. Hence $tatkrt\bar{a}rth\bar{e}na$ need not be read.

LV

तृतीयासमासेऽर्थग्रहणमनर्थकमर्थगतिर्द्धवचनात्

Reading artha in the $s\bar{u}tra$ dealing with $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}-sam\bar{a}sa$ is unnecessary since the desired meaning is secured without it.

तृतीयासमासेऽर्थग्रहणमनर्थकम्

Reading artha in the sūtra enjoining tṛtīyāsamāsa is of no use.

किं कारणम् श Why?

अर्थगतिर्द्धवचनात् - अन्तरेणापि वचनमर्थगतिर्भविष्यति ।

The meaning is secured even without it.

निर्देश्यमिति चेचृतीयार्थनिर्देशोऽपि

If it is considered necessary, tatkṛta should also be replaced by tadarthakṛta.

अथैवमि निर्देशः कर्तव्य इति चेत् तृतीयार्थनिर्देशोऽपि कर्तव्यः स्यात् - तृतीया तद्र्थकृतार्थेन गुणवचनेन इति वक्तव्यम् ।

If it is said that, even then, it should be read, $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}rtha$ too must be read thus:— $Trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ tadarthakrtena gunavacanena.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It must, then, be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । नायमर्थनिर्देशः

No, it need not be read. Artha is not here used in the sense of meaning.

ार्के तर्हि ? What, then?

योगाङ्गमिदं निर्दिश्यते This is read as a part of Asṭādhyāyī.

सित च योगाङ्गे योगिवभागः करिष्यते - 'तृतीया तत्कृतेन गुणवचनेन' समस्यते । ततः 'अर्थेन', अर्थशब्देन च तृतीया समस्यते - धान्यार्थः, वसनार्थः, हिरण्यार्थः । पूर्वसदृशसमो नार्थेत्यर्थप्रहणं न कर्तव्यं भवति इति ।

When it is a part of $A \not = \bar{t}adhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$, it is split as a separate $s\bar{u}tra$ thus:— $T \not= t\bar{t}y\bar{a}$ $tatk \not= t\bar{e}na$ $gu \not= unavacan \bar{e}na$ $(samasyat \bar{e})$. Then $Arth \bar{e}na$ which means that the word in the third case compounds itself with the word artha. Viz., $dh \bar{a}ny \bar{a}rtha h$, $vasan \bar{a}rtha h$, $hirany \bar{a}rtha h$. And the word artha need not be read in the next $s\bar{u}tra$ $P\bar{u}rva-sad \not= sam \bar{o}n \bar{a}rtha \dots$

Note 1:—In that case tat-kṛta has the third case suffix dropped after it.

NOTE 2:—The difference between the opinion of $V\bar{a}rttika-k\bar{a}ra$ and that of $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ is this:—the former states that artha need not be read in this $s\bar{u}tra$ and the latter states that it need not be read in the next $s\bar{u}tra$ by splitting the $s\bar{u}tra$ into two and by taking tatkrta in the sense of $tatkrt\bar{e}na$.

पूर्वसदशसमोनार्थकलहनिपुणिमश्रव्लक्ष्णैः (2, 1, 31)

पूर्वादिष्ववरस्योपसङ्खचानम् Addition of avara to pūrvādi.

पूर्वादिष्ववरस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यं, मासावरोऽयं, संवत्सरावरोऽयम् The word avara should be added to the $p\bar{u}rv\bar{a}di$ list. Viz. $M\bar{a}s\bar{a}var\bar{o}syam$ and $sa\dot{m}vatsar\bar{a}var\bar{o}syam$.

सदृशग्रहण उक्तम्

This has been dealt with by $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ with reference to the reading of sadrśa in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sadrśapratir\bar{u}payōh$ $s\bar{a}drśy\bar{e}$ (6, 2, 11).

किमुक्तम्? How has it been dealt with?

सदृशग्रहणमनर्थकं तृतीयासमासवचनात् । षष्ठ्यर्थमिति चेतृतीयासमास-वचनानर्थक्यम् इति

The word sadrśa need not be read on account of the mention of $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$. If it is said that it is intended for $sasth\bar{\imath}sam\bar{a}sa$, it need not be read under $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$.

Note:—Mahābhāṣyakāra reads under 6-2-11 thus:— Pitrā sadṛṣaḥ iti vigṛhya vākyam ēva.

कर्तकरणे कृता बहुलम् (2, 3, 32)

कर्त्वकरणे कृता क्तेन

The sūtra has to be read Kartrkaraņē krtā ktēna.

कर्तृकरणे कृता केन इति वक्तव्यम्, अहिहतः, नखनिर्भिनः, दात्रछनं, परशुच्छित्रम् ।

The sūtra must be read Kartṛkaraṇē kṛtā ktēna to secure only the forms Ahihataḥ, nakhanirbhinnaḥ, datratūnam and paraśuchinnam.

कृता केनेति किमर्थम्? Why should ktēna qualify kṛtā.

इह मा भूत् - दालेण छनवान्, परशुना छिन्नवान्

So that $d\bar{a}tr\bar{e}na$ and $l\bar{u}nav\bar{a}n$ and $parasun\bar{a}$ and $chinnav\bar{a}n$ may not form compounds.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् ।t, then, must be read so.

न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be read so.

बहुलवचनात्सिद्धम् It is got by reading buhulam.

कृत्यैरिधकार्थवचने (2, 1, 33)

कृत्यैरधिकार्थवचनेऽन्यत्रापि दश्यते

Anyatrāpi dršyatē is to be added to the sūtra.

क्रत्यैरधिकार्थवचने अन्यत्रापि दृश्यत इति वक्तव्यम् - बुसोपेन्ध्यं, तृणोपेन्ध्यं, घनघात्यम्

Anyatrāpi dṛśyatē is to be added to the sūtra Kṛtyāir adhikūr-thavacanē to secure the forms busōpēndhyam (it should be re-ignited with chaff), tṛnōpēndhyam and ghanaghātyam (it is to be killed with an iron rod.

साधनं क्रतेति वा पादहारकाद्यर्थम्

Or it may be read $S\bar{a}dhanam\ krt\bar{a}$, so that $p\bar{a}da-h\bar{a}raka$ etc. may come within the range.

अथवा साधनं कृता समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम्

Or Sādhanam kṛtā samasyatē (word meaning means compound with kṛdanta) has to be read.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why ?

पादहारकाद्यर्थम् - पादाभ्यां ह्यिते पादहारकः, गले चोप्यते गलेचोपकः For the sake of $p\bar{a}dah\bar{a}raka$ etc.; $p\bar{a}dah\bar{a}rakah$ (one who is carried with feet); $gal\bar{e}c\bar{o}pakah$ (one that is slowly devoured through gullet).

अनेन व्यञ्जनम् (2, 1, 84); भक्ष्येण मिश्रीकरणम् (2, 1, 35) अनेन व्यञ्जनं भक्ष्येण मिश्रीकरणम् इत्यसमर्थसमासः, कारकाणां क्रिया-समर्थत्वात

Annēna vyanjanam and Bhakṣyēna miśrīkaraṇam enjoin asamar-thasamāsas, since words with case-suffixes govern verbs alone.

अन्नेन व्यञ्जनं भक्ष्येण मिश्रीकरणम् इत्यसमर्थसमासोऽयं द्रष्टव्यः

The samāsas enjoined by Annēna vyanjanam and Bhakṣyēṇa miśrīkaraṇam are to be considered asamarthasamāsas.

ाके कारणम् ? Why?

कारकाणां कियासमर्थद्यात् । कारकाणां कियया सामर्थ्यमस्ति, न तेषामन्योन्येन । तद्यथा निश्रयण्या द्वाभ्यां काष्ठाभ्यां सामर्थ्यं न तेषामन्योन्येन Since words with case-suffixes have the capacity only to govern verbs. Words ending in case-suffixes are capable of governing verbs, but not each other. That the footsteps of a ladder are each connected with the side planks and not with each other is an illustration.

एवं तर्हि आह अयम् - अन्नेन व्यञ्जनं भक्ष्येण मिश्रीकरणम् इति, न चास्ति सामर्थ्य, तत्र वचनात् समासो भविष्यति ।

When such is the case, $S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$ reads $Ann\bar{e}na$ $vya\bar{n}janam$ and $Bhaksy\bar{e}na$ $miśr\bar{\imath}karanam$. There is evidently no $s\bar{a}marthya$ between them. There is $sam\bar{a}sa$ in such cases on the authority of his injunction.

वचनप्रामाण्यादिति चेद् नानाकारकाणां प्रतिषेधः

If they form samāsa on account of vacana-prāmānya, there is need for the pratiṣēdha of those which govern different verbs.

वचनपामाण्यादिति चेन्नानाकारकाणां प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - तिष्ठतु द्ध्ना, ओदनो देवद्तेन भुज्यत इति

If it is said that they compound with each other, there is need to prohibit it if they govern different verbs, as in *Ţiṣṭhatu* dadhnā and ōdanō Dēvadattēna bhujyatē.

सिद्धं त समानाधिकरणाधिकारे क्तस्तृतीयापूर्वपद उत्तरपदलोपश्च

The object is achieved by mentioning in $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhikaran adhikaran at ktantu is preceded by a noun in the third case and the uttaranada will be dropped.$

सिद्धमेतत् The object is achieved.

कथम्? How?

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे वक्तव्यम् - क्तान्तः तृतीयापूर्वपदः समस्यते सुपा, उत्तरपदस्य च लोपो भवति इति । दध्ना उपसिक्तः दध्युपसिक्तः, दध्युपसिक्तः ओदनो दध्योदनः । गुडेन संसृष्टाः गुडसंसृष्टाः, गुडसंसृष्टा धानाः गुडधानाः

This has to be read in the samānādhikaraṇādhikāra—The past passive participle is preceded by a noun in the third case and forms a compound with another noun followed by a casesuffix, when the past passive participle is dropped. Viz. Dadhnā and upasiktaḥ compound into dadhyupasiktaḥ and dadhyupasiktaḥ and ōdanaḥ compound into dadhyūdanaḥ. Guḍēna and samsṛṣṭāḥ compound into guḍasamsṛṣṭāḥ and guḍasamsṛṣṭāḥ and dhānāḥ compound into guḍadhānāḥ.

षष्ठीसमासश्च युक्तपूर्णान्तः

 $L\bar{o}pa$ of yukta and $p\bar{u}rna$ of $sasth\bar{s}am\bar{a}sa$ when they compound with a noun.

षष्ठीसमासश्च युक्तपूर्णान्तः समस्यते, उत्तरपदस्य च लोपो वक्तव्यः - अश्वानां युक्तः अश्वयुक्तः, अश्वयुक्तो रथः अश्वरथः; द्धः पूर्णः दिघपूर्णः, दिघपूर्णो घटः दिघघटः दिवहः दिवह

तत्ति इदं बहु वक्त अयम्

Much, then, has to be supplemented here.

न वासमासेऽदर्शनात्

No, it need not be supplemented on account of its not being found in sentences.

न वा वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be supplemented.

किं कारणम् श Why?

असमासे अदर्शनात् - यद्धि असमासे दृश्यते, समासे च न दृश्यते तल्लोपारम्भं प्रयोजयति । न चासमासे उपिसक्तशब्दः, संसृष्टशब्दः, युक्तशब्दः, पूर्णशब्दो वा दृश्यते

Since it is not found in $asam\bar{a}sa$ (i.e.) $v\bar{a}kya$. That which is present in $v\bar{a}kya$ and is absent in $sam\bar{a}sa$ becomes the $pray\bar{o}jaka$ for the mention of elision. None of the words—upasikta, samsrsta, yukla and $p\bar{u}rna$ is found in $v\bar{a}kya$.

कथं तर्हि सामर्थ्य गम्यते ?

How is it, then, understood that there is $s\bar{a}$ marthya.

युक्तार्थसम्प्रत्ययाच सामर्थ्यम्

There is $s\bar{a}marthya$ on account of the implication of the meaning of yukta.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that yukta is upalakṣaṇa to $y\bar{o}gya-kriy\bar{a}$ to include $upas\bar{e}ka$ etc.

द्ध्ना युक्तार्थता सम्प्रतीयते

The meaning of yukta is suggested through implication by the third case suffix in $dadhn\bar{a}$?

कथं पुनर्ज्ञायते दध्ना युक्तार्थता सम्प्रतीयत इति?

How is it understood that the meaning of yukta is suggested through implication by the third case suffix in $dadhn\bar{a}$?

सम्प्रत्ययाच तदर्थाध्यवसानम्

Comprehension of the meaning since it is current in the world.

सम्प्रत्ययाच तदर्थोऽध्यवसीयते । अवश्यं चैतदेवं विज्ञेयम्

The meaning is comprehended from usage in the world and it should necessarily be so comprehended.

सम्प्रतीयमानार्थलोपे ह्यनवस्था 1

There will be no finality if the $l\bar{o}pa$ of words conveying meanings which are otherwise comprehended is enjoined.

यो हि मन्यते सम्प्रतीयमानार्थानां शब्दानां लोपो भवतीति, अनवस्था तस्य लोपस्य स्यात् । दिध इत्युक्ते बहवोऽर्था गम्यन्ते - मन्दकम्, उत्तरकं, निलीनकम् इति ; तद्वाचिनां शब्दानां लोपो वक्तव्यः स्यात् । तथा गुडः इत्युक्ते मधुरशब्दस्य, शृङ्गबेरमिति च कटुकशब्दस्य । अन्तरेणापि खल्ल शब्दप्रयोगं बहवोऽर्था गम्यन्ते अक्षिनिकोचैः पाणिविहारैश्च ; तद्वाचिनां शब्दानां लोपो वक्तव्यः स्यात् ॥

If one thinks that the $l\bar{o}pa$ must be enjoined of all the words having the meanings comprehended, there will be no finality

1. This is repeated in the bhāṣya in the Bombay Edition.

to the injunction of the elision. At the mention of the word dadhi many ideas relating to it like mandakam (semi-solid condition), uttarakam (solid condition), nilīnakam (the condition of coagulated milk) come to the mind and one has to enjoin the elision of all the words conveying that meaning. At the mention of the word guḍa the lōpa of the word madhura which means sweetness has to be enjoined and at the mention of the word śṛṇgavēra, the lōpa of the word kaṭu which means pungency has to be enjoined. Besides many ideas come to our minds by another's winking of the eyes and movement of the hand and one will have to enjoin the elision of all the words which convey those ideas.

चतुर्थी तदर्थार्थबलिहितसुखरिसतैः (2, 1, 36)

A comparative review of this $s\bar{u}tra$ and the $v\bar{a}rttika$ $Vikrti\hat{s}$ caturthyantā $prakrty\bar{a}$ tells us that the $S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$ deals here with the $s\bar{u}tra$ of predecessors.

किं चतुर्थ्यन्तत्य तद्रथमात्रेण समासो भवति ?

Does a word in the fourth case compound with all those whose meaning is intended for that of the former?

एवं भिवतुमहीति Yes, it deserves to be so.

चतुर्थी तदर्थमात्रेण चेत् सर्वप्रसङ्गोऽविशेषात्

If it is said that caturthyanta compounds with tadarthamātra, there is chance for all on account of the absence of viśēṣa.

चतुर्थी तदर्थमात्रेण चेत् सर्वपसङ्गः - सर्वस्य चतुर्थ्यन्तस्य तद्र्थमात्रेण सह समासः प्राप्नोति । अनेनापि समासः प्राप्नोति - रन्धनाय स्थाली, अवहननाय उल्रुखलम् इति

Caturthī tadarthamātrēṇa cēt sarvaprasaṅgaḥ:—There will be chance for caturthyanta to compound with all words which are tadarthas. Compound of randhanāya with sthālī (pot for cooking) and avahananāya with ulūkhalam (pestle for husking) will chance to set in.

ार्के कारणम् ? Why?

1. Cf. Brāhmaṇārtham payaḥ, brāhmaṇārthaḥ sūpaḥ, brāhmaṇārthā yavāgūḥ.

अविशेपात् Since there is no discerning factor.

न हि कश्चिद्विशेष उपादीयते, एवंजातीयकस्य चतुर्थ्यन्तस्य तद्थेन सह समासो भवति इति । अनुपादीयमाने विशेषे सर्वप्रसङ्गः

No discerning factor is stated to determine the particular chaturthyanta compounding with tadartha. If the discerning factor is not stated, there is chance for all without restriction.

बलिरक्षिताभ्यां चानर्थकं वचनम्

It is not necessary to read that it will compound with the words bali and raksita.

बिरिक्षिताभ्यां च समासवचनमनर्थकम् । यो हि महाराजाय बिलः स महाराजार्थो भवति : तत्र तद्र्थ इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

Injunction of $sam\bar{a}sa$ with bali and raksita is not necessary. The bali for $mah\bar{a}r\bar{a}ja$ is $muh\bar{a}r\bar{a}j\bar{a}rtha$; and it is secured by tadartha.

यदि पुनर्विक्वतिश्चतुर्थन्ता प्रकृत्या सह समस्यते इत्येतस्थणं क्रियते Suppose, on the other hand, the lakṣaṇa is read Vikṛtiś caturthyantā prakṛtyā saha samasyatē.

विकृतिः प्रकृत्या इति चेद् अश्वघासादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

If it is read $Vikrtih\ prakrty\bar{a}$, there is need to add $a\&va-gh\bar{a}sa$ etc.

विकृतिः प्रकृत्या इति चेद् अश्वघासादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - अश्वघासः, श्वश्रुसुरं, हस्तिविधा इति

If it is read $Vikrtih\ prakrty\bar{a}$, there is need to add $a\acute{s}vagh\bar{a}sa$ etc.: $-a\acute{s}vagh\bar{a}sa$ (pasture for horses), $\acute{s}va\acute{s}r\bar{u}suram$ (water for mother-in-law) and $hastividh\bar{a}$ (food of elephant.) ¹

अर्थेन नित्यसमासवचनम् Need to enjoin nityasamāsa with artha.

अर्थशब्देन नित्यं समासो वक्तव्यः, ब्राह्मणार्थं क्षतियार्थम्

There is need to enjoin $nityasam\bar{a}sa$ with artha, as in $br\bar{a}hma$ - $n\bar{a}rtham$ and $k\bar{s}atriy\bar{a}rtham$.

कि विकृतिश्चतुर्थन्ता प्रकृत्या सह समस्यते इत्यतोऽर्थेन नित्यसमासो वक्तव्यः ? Does the necessity arise to read arthena nityasamāsaḥ, on changing the sūtra into Vikṛtiś caturthyantā prakṛtyā saha samasyatē?

1. There is no prakrtivikrtibhāva in these three cases.

नेत्याह । सर्वथा अर्थेन नित्यसमासो वक्तव्यः

"No," says he. At all cost nityasamāsa has to be enjoined with artha.

¹ किं कारणम्? Why?

² विश्रहो मा भूदृ इति So that there can be no vigraha.

सर्वेलिङ्गता च Need to enjoin its use in all genders.

सर्वलिङ्गता च वक्तन्या, ब्राह्मणार्थ पयः, ब्राह्मणार्थः सूपः, ब्राह्मणार्था यवागूः इति There is need to read that it will be used in all genders as in Brāhmaṇārtham payaḥ, brāhmaṇārthaḥ sūpaḥ and brāhmaṇārthā yavāgū.

किमर्थेन नित्यसमास उच्यते इत्यतः सर्वलिङ्गता वक्तन्या ?
Does the necessity arise to read sarvalingatā because nitya-samāsa is enjoined with reference to artha.

नेत्याह । सर्वथा सर्विष्ठिङ्गता वक्तव्या 'No' says he. Sarvalingatā has to be enjoined on any account.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

यावता अर्थशब्दोऽयं पुँछिङ्कः, उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानश्च तत्पुरुषः, तेन पुँछिङ्कस्यैव समासस्य अभिधानं स्यात्, स्त्रीनपुंसकछिङ्कस्य न स्यात् ।

Since the word artha is masculine in gender and tatpuruṣa is uttarapadārthapradhāna, samāsārtha in masculine gender alone will be expressed and not in feminine and neuter genders.

तत्ति इदं बहु वक्तव्यम् - विकृतिः प्रकृत्या इति वक्तव्यम् , अश्रघासादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् , अर्थेन नित्यसमासो वक्तव्यः, सर्विलिङ्गता च वक्तव्या ।
In that case much has to be read: $Wikrtih\ prakrty\bar{a}$ is to be read; $Aśvagh\bar{a}s\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}m\ upasankhy\bar{a}nam$ is to be read; $Arth\bar{\imath}na$ nityasamāsah is to be read; and $Sarvalingat\bar{a}$ is to be stated.

न वक्तव्यम् । यत्तावदुच्यते "विकृतिः प्रकृत्या इति वक्तव्यम्" इति, न वक्तव्यम्, आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयति विकृतिश्चतुर्थ्यन्ता प्रकृत्या सह समस्यते इति, यद्यं बलिरक्षितग्रहणं करोति ।

No, much need not be read. Firstly with reference to the statement Vikrtih prakrtyā iti vaktavyam, it need not be read;

1 & 2. These two sentences are not found in certain editions,

the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that the $vikrti-v\bar{a}caka$ in the fourth case compounds itself with $prakrti-v\bar{a}caka$, since he reads in the $s\bar{u}tra\ bali$ and raksita.

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम्? How does it become the jñāpaka?

¹ यथाजातीयकानां समासे बिलरिक्षतग्रहणेनार्थः तथाजातीयकानां समासः । यदि च विकृतिश्चतुर्थ्यन्ता प्रकृत्या सह समस्यते न तद्र्थमात्रेण, ततो बिलरिक्षत-ग्रहणमर्थवद्भवति ।

Such samāsas as will make the mention of bali and rakṣita truitful are to be taken. If tadartha refers to samāsas between vikṛti-vācaka in the fourth case and prakṛti-vācaka alone and not to words in the fourth case and all that are tadarthas, the mention of bali and rakṣita is arthavat.

यद्प्युच्यते अश्वघासादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यमिति, न कर्तव्यम् ; अश्वघासाद्यः षष्ठीसमासा भविष्यन्ति ; यद्धि यद्धै भवत्ययमपि तत्राभिसम्बन्धो भवति, अस्य इदम् इति, तद्यथा गुरोरिदं गुर्वर्थमिति ।

The statement Aśvaghāsādīnām upasankhyānam kartavyam need not be read. Aśvaghāsa etc. may be taken as ṣaṣṭhīsamāsas. That which is intended for another becomes related to it in the relation of asya idam, as gurvartham may be the compound of gurōr idam.

ननु च स्वरभेदो भवति - चतुर्थीसमासे सति पूर्वपद्पकृतिस्वरत्वेन भवितव्यं, षष्ठीसमासे सति पुनरन्तोदात्तत्वेन ।

Oh, there will be difference in svara. If it is taken as $caturth\bar{\imath}$ - $sam\bar{a}sa$, there is $p\bar{\imath}rvapadaprakrtisvaratva$ and if it is taken as $sasth\bar{\imath}sam\bar{a}sa$, there is $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}nt\bar{o}d\bar{a}ttatva$.

नास्ति भेदः । चतुर्थीसमासेऽपि सत्यन्तोदात्तत्वेनैव भवितव्यम्
There is no difference. Even if it is taken as caturthīsamāsa, there can be only samāsāntōdāttalva.

कथम्? How?

आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित - विकृतिश्चतुर्थ्यन्ता प्रकृतिस्वरा भवति, न चतुर्थी-मात्रमिति, यदयं चतुर्थी तदर्थे, अर्थे, के च इति अर्थग्रहणं क्तग्रहणं च करोति ।

1. यथाजातीयकानाम् = विकृतिभिन्नवाचकानां चतुर्थ्यन्तानाम्

The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that the $vikrtiv\bar{a}caka$ alone in the fourth case when it compounds with $prakrtiv\bar{a}caka$ takes prakrtisvara and not all nouns in the fourth case, since he reads after $Caturth\bar{\iota}$ $tadarth\bar{\iota}$ (6, 2, 43) the $s\bar{u}tras$ $Arth\bar{\iota}$ and $Kt\bar{\iota}$ ca.

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम्? On what basis does it become jñāpaka?
यथाजातीयकानां प्रकृतिस्वरत्वेऽर्थयहणेन क्तयहणेन चार्थः तथाजातीयकानां
प्रकृतिस्वरत्वम् । यदि च विकृतिश्चतुर्थ्यन्ता प्रकृत्या भवति न चतुर्थीमात्रं ततोऽर्थयहणं
क्तयहणं चार्थवद्भवति ।

Prakrtisvaratva is to those which, by taking it, will enable the reading of the $s\bar{u}tras$ Arthē and $Kt\bar{e}$ ca fruitful. If the vikrtivācaka in the fourth case compounds with prakrti-vācaka and takes the svara of the latter and not all nouns in the fourth case, the mention of Arthē and $Kt\bar{e}$ ca will be fruitful.

्रं यद्प्युच्यते अर्थेन नित्यसमासो वक्तव्य इति, न वक्तव्यः । सर्थप्-प्रत्ययः करिष्यते

With reference to the statement Arthena nityasamāsō vaktavyaḥ, it need not be read. The pratyaya sarthap will be enjoined after it.

किं कृतं भवति? What will be accomplished by it?

न चैव हि कदाचित् प्रत्ययेन विष्रहो भवति । अपि च सर्विङक्ता सिद्धा भवति Nowhere is a vigraha made with a pratyaya. Besides the use in all genders is secured.

यदि सर्थप्पत्ययः क्रियते, इत्संज्ञा न प्रामोति

If the pratyaya sarthap is enjoined, it-samjñā may not be secured.

अथापि कथिचिद् इत्संज्ञा स्याद्, एवमपि श्र्यर्थ, भ्वर्थम् - अ**ङ्गस्य** इति इयडुवङो स्याताम्

If, however, it-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is secured with difficulty, $iya\dot{n}$ - $\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ \$a and $uva\dot{n}$ - $\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ \$a will appear in $\hat{s}ryartham$ and bhrvartham through $a\dot{n}g\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$.

एवं तर्हि बहुत्रीहिभीविष्यति

Let it then be bahuvrīhi-samāsa of the form brāhmaņah arthah (prayojanam) asya.

किं कृतं भवति? What is achieved by it?

भवति वै कश्चिद् अस्वपद्विग्रहो बहुवीहिः। तद्यथा - शोभनं मुखमस्याः सुमुखी इति

It comes under the class of asvapada-bahuvrīhi as sumukhī whose vigraha-vākya is śōbhanam mukham asyāḥ.

नैवं शक्यम् । इह हि महद्र्थमिति आत्त्रकपौ प्रसज्येयाताम्

This is not possible; for there is chance for $\bar{a}ttva$ and kap to appear in mahadartham.

Note: $-\bar{A}ttva$ is by $\bar{A}n$ mahatah sam $\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranaj\bar{a}t\bar{i}yay\bar{o}h$ (6, 3, 46) and optional kap is by $\hat{S}\bar{e}\bar{s}\bar{a}d$ $vibh\bar{a}\bar{s}\bar{a}$ (5, 4, 154).

एवं तर्हि तद्रथस्योत्तरपदस्यार्थशब्द आदेशः करिष्यते

If so, artha is enjoined to be the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ of the following word which is tadartha.

ार्के कृतं भवति? What is achieved by it?

न चैव हि कदाचिद् आदेशेन विम्रहो भवति । अपि च सर्वलिङ्गता सिद्धा भवति ।

Nowhere is vigraha done with $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$. Besides $sarvalingat\bar{a}$ becomes an accomplished fact.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It, then, has to be stated.

न वक्तव्यम् । योगविभागः करिष्यते - चनुर्था, चतुर्थी सुबन्तेन सह समस्यते ; ततः तदर्थार्थ...., तदर्थस्य चोत्तरपदस्य अर्थशब्द आदेशो भवति ।

No, it need not be stated. The $s\bar{u}tra$ is split into two. Firstly $Caturth\bar{\imath}$, which means that word in the fourth case compounds with noun. Next is taken $Tadarth\bar{a}rtha...$, which means that artha becomes the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ of the uttarapada which is tadartha.

इहापि तर्हि समासः प्राप्तोति छात्राय रुचितं, छात्राय खदितम् इति lf so, (i.e. if caturthī is read as a separate sūtra) chātrāya rucitam and chātrāya svaditam also may form into compounds.

आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयति - ताद्थ्ये या चतुर्थी सा समस्यते, न चतुर्थीमात्रमिति, यद्यं हितसुखग्रहणं करोति

The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place only when the $caturth\bar{i}$ means $t\bar{a}darthya$ and not with all $caturth\bar{i}s$, since he reads here hita and sukha.

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम्? On what basis do they become jñāpaka? यथाजातीयकानां समासे हितसुखग्रहणेणार्थः तथाजातीयकानां समासः । यदि च ताद्थ्ये या चतुर्थी सा समस्यते न चतुर्थीमात्रं, ततो हितसुखग्रहणमर्थवद्भवति । Such samāsas as will help the mention of hita and sukha fruitful are to be taken. If the word having caturthī in the sense of tādarthya compounds with what follows and not word having all caturthīs, the mention of hita and sukha will be sārthaka.

इहापि तर्हि तद्र्थस्योत्तरपदस्यार्थशब्द आदेशः प्राप्नोति यूपाय दारु यूपदारु If so, the uttarapada of tadarlha will be replaced by artha here too - $y\bar{u}p\bar{a}ya$ $d\bar{a}ru = y\bar{u}pad\bar{a}ru$.

वा च विधास्यते It will be taken to be optional.

इहापि तर्हि विभाषा प्रामोति ब्राह्मणार्थ, क्षात्रियार्थम्

If so, option may chance to take within its range cases like $br\bar{a}hman\bar{a}rtham$ and $ksatriy\bar{a}rtham$.

एवं तर्हि आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित, प्रकृतिविकृत्योः यः समासः तत्र तद्रथस्योत्तर-पदस्य वा अर्थशब्द आदेशो भवति, अन्यत्र नित्य इति, यद्यं बलिरक्षितप्रहणं करोति If so, the procedure of $Ac\bar{a}rya$ suggests that the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ of artha is optional where there is $sam\bar{a}sa$ between $prakrti-v\bar{a}caka$ and $vikrti-v\bar{a}caka$ and nitya in other cases, since he reads bali and raksita in the $s\bar{u}lra$.

एवं तर्हि उदकार्थो वीवधः, स्थानिवद्भावाद् उदमावः प्राप्तोति ; तसान्नैवं शक्यम् If so, udaka in udakārtha of udakārthō vīvadhaḥ (Pole to carry water) will be changed to uda by sthānivadbhāva. Hence it is not possible.

न चेदेवम्, अर्थेन नित्यसमासो वक्तव्यः, सर्विलिङ्गता च If it is not thus possible, it is necessary to enjoin Arthena nityasamāsah and Sarvalingatā ca.

नैष दोष: । इदं तावदयं प्रष्टन्य:, अथेह ब्राह्मणेभ्य: इति कैषा चतुर्थी This difficulty does not arise. He must be put this question, "What caturthī is here in brāhmaṇēbhyaḥ?"

तादर्थ्य इत्याह "Tādarthyē," says he.

यदि तादर्थे चतुर्थी अर्थशब्दस्य प्रयोगेण न भवितव्यम् उक्तार्थानामप्रयोग इति

If it is $t\bar{a}darthy\bar{e}$ caturth \bar{i} , the word artha need not be used, on the dictum $Ukt\bar{a}rth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ $apray\bar{o}ga\dot{h}$.

समासोऽपि तर्हि न प्राप्तोति $Sam\bar{a}sa$, too, has then no chance.

वचनात् समासो भविष्यति Samāsa takes place thro' vacana.

यद्प्युच्यते सर्विलिङ्गता वक्तव्या इति, न वक्तव्या, लिङ्गमशिष्यं लोकाश्रयत्वा-लिङ्गस्य

That sarvalingatā too should be enjoined is not needed. Injunction of gender is unnecessary as it follows the world.

पश्चमी भयेन (2, 1, 37)

अत्यल्पिमदमुच्यते पञ्चमी भयेन इति

The sūtra Pañcamī bhayēna is not all comprehensive.

भयभीतभीतिभीभिः

Bhayēna should be replaced by bhaya-bhīta-bhīti-bhībhih.

भयभीतभीतिभीभिरिति वक्तव्यम् - वृकाद् भयं = वृकभयम् ; वृकाद् भीतः = वृकभीतः ; वृकाद् भीतः = वृकभीतः ; वृकाद् भीः = वृकभीः इति Bhaya-bhīta-bhīti-bhībhiḥ should be read (in place of bhayēna), so that the following samāsas can be secured: $-Vrk\bar{a}d$ bhayam = vrka-bhayam; $vrk\bar{a}d$ bhītaḥ=vrkabhītaḥ; $vrk\bar{a}d$ bhītiḥ=vrka-bhītih; and $vrk\bar{a}d$ bhīb=vrkabhīth.

अपर आह Another says.

भयनिर्गतजुगुप्सुभिः

Bhaya-nirgata-jugupsubhih should replace bhayēna.

भयनिर्गतजुगुष्सुभिरिति वक्तव्यम् - वृक्तभयं, ग्रामनिर्गतः, अधर्मजुगुष्सुः Bhaya-nirgata-jugupsubhih should replace bhayēna to secure vrka-bhayam, grāma-nirgatah and adharma-jugupsuh.

Note:—The word aparah refers to a Vārttikakāra other

than $K\bar{a}ty\bar{a}yana$.

सप्तमी शौण्डैः (2, 1, 39)

शौण्डादिभिः Śāuṇḍādibhiḥ should replace śāuṇḍāiḥ.

शौण्डादिभिरिति वक्तव्यम् - इहापि यथा स्यात् - अक्षधूर्तः, स्त्रीधूर्तः, अक्षिक्तवः, स्त्रीकितव इति

Śāundādibhih should replace śāundāih, to secure akṣadhūrtaḥ, strīdhūrtaḥ, akṣakitavaḥ and strīkitavaḥ.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It must then be so read.

न वक्तन्यम् । बहुवचननिर्देशात् शौण्डादिभिरिति विज्ञास्यते । No, it need not be read. Śāuṇḍāiḥ will be taken to mean śāuṇḍādibhiḥ through the plural suffix in it.

ध्वाङ्क्षेण क्षेपे (2, 1, 42)

ध्वाङ्क्षेणेत्यर्थप्रहणम् Dhvānkṣārthēna should replace dhvānkṣēṇa.

ध्वाङ्क्षेण क्षेपे अर्थब्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, इहापि यथा स्यात् तीर्थकाक इति Dhvānkṣārthēna kṣēpē should be read to secure tīrthakākaḥ.

क्षेप इत्युच्यते, क इह क्षेपो नाम?

The word kṣēpa is read here. What does kṣēpa mean?

यथा तीर्थे काका न चिरं स्थातारो भवन्ति एवं यो गुरुकुलानि गत्वा न चिरं तिष्ठति स उच्यते तीर्थकाक इति

He who goes to a number of teachers without steadily pursuing his studies like crows which do not stay long at holy ghats is called $t\bar{\imath}rthak\bar{a}ka$.

कुलैक्सेंग (2, 1, 43)

कृत्यैर्नियोगे यद्वहणश्च

The sūtra has to be read Krtyāir niyōgē yadgrahaṇañ ca.

कृत्यैर्नियोगे यत्प्रत्यये च इति वक्तव्यम्, इहापि यथा स्यात् पूर्वाह्वेगेयं साम, प्रातरध्येयोऽनुवाकः इति ।

Rṇē should be replaced by niyōgē and kṛtyāiḥ should be restricted to the pratyaya yat, so that the sūtra may operate here also, in Pūrvāhṇēgēyam sāma and Prātar adhyēyōsnuvākaḥ.

¹ तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It, then, should be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । ऋण इत्येव सिद्धम्; इह यद्यस्य नियोगतः कार्यम्, ऋणं तस्य तद् भवति, तत्र ऋण इत्येव सिद्धम्

No, it need be read. Its purpose is served by the word rna itself. That which one has to do through injunction is his rna 2 and the purpose is served by the word rna itself.

यद्गहणं च कर्तन्यम्, इह मा भूत् पूर्वाह्णे दातन्या भिक्षा इति Yat-pratyaya, on the other hand, has to be to prevent $sam\bar{a}sa$ in $P\bar{u}rv\bar{a}hn\bar{e}\ d\bar{a}tavy\bar{a}\ bhikṣ\bar{a}$.

- 1. Here tat refers to only $niy\bar{o}g\bar{e}$.
- 2. Rna has the derivative sense:—that which should go from one.

क्षेपे (2, 1, 47)

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the udaharana?

अवतप्तेनकुलस्थितं त एतत्

This is your avataptē-nakula-sthitam. (inconstancy)

क्षेप इत्युच्यते, क इह क्षेपो नाम?

The word $k \bar{s} \bar{e} p \bar{e}$ is read here. What is $k \bar{s} \bar{e} p a$ here?

यथा अवतप्ते नकुछाः न चिरं स्थातारो भवन्ति, एवं कार्याण्यारभ्य यो न चिरं तिष्ठति स उच्यते - अवतप्तेनकुरुस्थितं त एतद् इति

He, who is not constantly pursuing the work he has undertaken like the mungooses which do not stay long in heated places is said thus:—Avataptē-nakula-sthitam tē ētat.

क्षेपे सप्तम्यन्तं क्तान्तेन सह समस्यत इत्युच्यते, तत्र ते सगतिकेन सनकुलेन च समासो न प्राप्नोति

It is said that a noun in the seventh case compounds with $kt\bar{a}nta$ when $ks\bar{e}pa$ is suggested. Hence there cannot be $sam\bar{a}sa$ with $kt\bar{a}nta$ preceded by nakula and $tapt\bar{e}$ preceded by ava which has gati- $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

क्षेपे सगतिकसकारकसमासे उक्तम्

It has been said with reference to sagatika-sakāraka-samāsa when kṣēpa is suggested.

किमुक्तम्? What has been said?

कुद्रहणे गतिकारकपूर्वस्यापि इति

Reading of krt takes with it gati-kāraka-pūrvaka - krt also.

पात्रेसिमतादयश्च (2, 1, 48)

किमर्थश्चकारः ? What does ca mean?

एवकारार्थः पात्रेसमितादय एव

It means ēva. The sūtra means pātrēsamitādaya ēva.

क मा भूत ? Where should it not be?

परमं पात्रे समिता इति In paramam pātrē samitā.

पूर्वकालैकसर्वजरतपुराणनवकेवलाः समानाधिकरणेन (2, 1, 49)

इह कसाद् अव्ययीमावो न भवति - एका नदी एकनदी । नदीभिः सङ्ख्या इति प्रामोति

Why is not $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ found here in $\bar{\imath}kanad\bar{\imath}$, the compound of $\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}$ and $nad\bar{\imath}$, though $Nad\bar{\imath}bhi\acute{s}$ ca $(sa\dot{n}khy\bar{a})$ sanctions it.

नैष दोषः । इह कश्चित् समासः पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् अन्यपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् अमयपदार्थप्रधानः । पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानोऽव्ययीभावः, उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानस्तत्पुरुषः, अन्यपदार्थप्रधानो बहुत्रीहिः, उभयपदार्थप्रधानो द्वन्द्वः । न चात्र पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानयं गम्यते ।

This difficulty does not arise. In literature one compound is $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$, one is $uttarapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$, one is $anyapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ and one is $ubhayapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$. Avyay $\bar{b}bh\bar{a}va$ is $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$, tatpuruṣa is $uttarapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$, $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ is $anyapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ and dvandva is $ubhayapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$. $P\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}nya$ is not suggested here.

अथ वा अव्ययीभावः क्रियतां, तत्पुरुषो वा इति, तत्पुरुषो भविष्यति विश्रतिषेधेन Or when the choice is between $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ and tatpuruṣa, the latter sets in through $Vipratiṣ\bar{\epsilon}dhaś\bar{a}stra$.

भवेदेकसंज्ञाधिकारे सिद्धं, परंकार्यत्वे तु न सिध्यति, आरम्भसामर्थ्याच अञ्ययीभावः प्राप्नोति, परंकार्यत्वाच तत्पुरुषः प्राप्नोति ।

The object is achieved thro' $\bar{A}kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, but not thro' $Pr\bar{a}k$ $kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$ param $k\bar{a}ryam$. There is chance for $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ thro' $\bar{a}rambha-s\bar{a}marthya$ and for $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ thro' $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatva$.

परङ्कार्यत्वे च न दोषः There is no harm even in parankāryatva.

कथम् श How?

नदीभिः समाहारेऽव्ययीभावो वक्तव्यः

Avyayībhāva is to be enjoined when there is $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ between a word denoting number and the names of rivers.

स चावरयं वक्तव्यः - सर्वमेकनदीतरे

It must necessarily be enjoined to secure ēkanadītarē in sarvam ēkanadītarē.

TWENTIETH ĀHNIKA ENDS (Second adhyāya, first pāda, second āhnika ends)

Twentyfirst Ahnika

(Second adhyāya, first pāda, third āhnika)

तद्वितार्थोत्तरपद्समाहारे च (2, 1, 51)

There are six topics here:—(1) Is the word $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ $karma-s\bar{a}dhana$ or $bh\bar{a}vas\bar{a}dhana$? (2) Which, of the following $s\bar{u}tras$, is best:— $Pratyay\bar{o}ttarapaday\bar{o}h$, $Taddhit\bar{a}rth\bar{o}ttarapadasam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ ca and $Sam\bar{a}sataddhitavidh\bar{a}u$? (3) Is the word $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ necessary? (4) There is need to admit that, in a $bahuvr\bar{i}hi$ containing three words, the dvandva or the $tatpuru\bar{s}a$ of the first two words is nitya. (5) There is need to admit that dvigu compounds with the following word if it is $parim\bar{a}nivacaka$. (6) There is need to prohibit dvigu- $samjn\bar{a}$ if there is matvartha.

I

समाहार इति कोऽयं शब्दः?

What is this word - $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ (i.e.) what is its derivation?

समाङ्पूर्वात् हरतेः कर्मसाधनो घञ् - समाह्रियते समाहार इति $Sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ comes from the root hr with the prepositions sam and \bar{a} by suffixing the pratyaya a $(gha\tilde{n})$ denoting karmatva and hence its derivation is $sam\bar{a}hriyat\bar{e}$ iti.

यदि कर्मसाधनः, पञ्च कुमार्यः समाहृताः पञ्चकुमारि, दशकुमारि, गोस्त्रियोरूप-सर्जनस्य इति ह्रस्वत्वं न प्राप्नोति, द्विगुरेकवचनम् इत्येतच्च वक्तव्यम्

If ghañ denotes karmatva, the forms $pañca-kum\bar{a}ri$ (the $sam\bar{a}sa$ of $pañca kum\bar{a}ryah sam\bar{a}hrt\bar{a}h$) and $daśa-kum\bar{a}ri$ with a short i by $G\bar{o}striy\bar{o}r$ -upasarjanasya (1, 2, 48) are not possible and so Dvigur- $\bar{e}kavacanam$ (2, 4, 1) must remain (which is considered unnecessary by $V\bar{a}$ * $ttikak\bar{a}ra$).

NOTE:— \bar{I} will be shortened only if $kumar\bar{\imath}$ is upasarjana; but its artha is $pradh\bar{a}na$ here.

एवं तर्हि भावसाधनो भविष्यति, समाहरणं समाहारः If so, $gha\tilde{n}$ is taken to denote $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}rtha$, so that $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$

अथ भावसाधने सति किमभिधीयते ?

means samāharanam.

If it is $bh\bar{a}vas\bar{a}dhana$, what is its connotation?

यत्तदोत्तराधर्यम् Piling (as in pañcapūli - group of five cakes). कः पुनर्गवां समाहारः? What, is then the samāhāra of cows? यत्तदर्जनं क्रयणं भिक्षणमपहरणं वा

It means the state of grouping in the mind one after another whether to earn, buy, beg or take away.

Note: -Arjana etc. means arjananimitta etc. by laksanā.

यद्येवं विक्षिप्तेषु पूलेषु, गोपु चरन्तीषु न सिध्यति

If so, such a grouping does not exist when bundles are scattered or cows are straying.

एवं तर्हि समभ्याशीकरणं समाहारः

Samāhāra means then bringing together.

एवमपि पञ्चयामी षण्णगरी त्रिपुरी इति न सिध्यति

If so, the words $pa\tilde{n}ca-gr\bar{a}m\bar{i}$, $san-nagar\bar{i}$ and $tripur\bar{i}$ cannot be secured.

कि कारणम् ? Why?

सम् एकत्ववाची, आङ् आभिमुख्ये वर्तते, हरतिः देशान्तरपापणे

The upasarga sam denotes group, the upasarga \bar{a} denotes the state of being in front of and the root hr means to take away from one place to another.

नावश्यं हरतिर्देशान्तरपापण एव वर्तते

The root hr is not invariably used only in the sense of taking away from one place to another.

कि तर्हि? In what other sense then?

साद्द्येऽपि वर्तते ! तद्यशा, मातुरनुहरति पितुरनुहरति इति

It means also to resemble. Cf. Mātur anuharati and pitur anuharati.

अथवा पश्चमामी षण्णगरी त्रिपुरी इति, नैवेदमियत्येव अवतिष्ठते । अवश्यमसौ ततः किश्चिद् आकाङ्क्षति, कियां गुणं वा । यदाकाङ्क्षति तदेकं, स च समाहारः । Or the words pañcagrāmī, ṣaṇṇagarī and tripurī alone are not used and they need something else, action or quality to give them sense. It is one and it may be called samāhāra (being samāhāranimitta).

अयं तर्हि भावसाधने सति दोषः - पञ्चपूल्यानीयताम् इति भावानयने चोदिते द्रव्यानयनं न प्राप्नोति ।

If $gha\tilde{n}$ in $sam\bar{o}h\bar{a}ra$ means $bh\bar{a}va$, the sentence $Pa\tilde{n}cap\bar{u}ly\bar{a}-n\bar{i}yat\bar{a}m$ cannot refer to $dravy\bar{a}nayana$ desired by the speaker, since it expresses $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}nayana$.

नैष दोषः । इदं तावदयं प्रष्टन्यः, "अथेह गौरनुबन्ध्योऽजोऽझीषोमीयः इति कथमाकृतौ चोदितायां द्रन्ये आरम्भणालम्भनपोक्षणविश्वसमानि क्रियन्ते ? इति " This difficulty does not arise. He is to be put this question:— When genus is enjoined in Gāur anubandhyaḥ and Ajaḥ agnīṣō-mīyaḥ, why are ārambhaṇa (seizing), ālambhana (tying to the stake), prōkṣaṇa (sprinkling of water) and viśasana (killing) done to the individuality?

असम्भवात् - आकृतां आरम्भणादीनां सम्भवो नास्तीति कृत्वा आकृतिसहचरिते द्वव्ये आरम्भणादीनि कियन्ते

On account of asambhava. Since it is not possible to do ārambhaņa etc. in the genus, ārambhaņa etc. are done to the individuality coexisting with it.

इद्मप्येवंजातीयक्मेव । असम्भवाद् भावानयनस्य द्रव्यानयनं भविष्यति This too is of the same nature. The dravya is brought, since it is not possible to bring bhāva.

अथ वा अन्यतिरेकाद् द्रन्याकृत्योः

Or on account of the inseparability of genus and individuality.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that, in the first case, dravya is $up\bar{a}ya$ for $\bar{a}krti$ to take action and in the second case there is no $v\bar{a}stavabh\bar{e}da$ between them.

II

किं पुनर्द्विगुसंज्ञा प्रत्ययोत्तरपदयोभवति?

Is dvigu-samjñā enjoined when pratyaya and uttarapada follow?

Note: $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that $Pratyay\bar{o}ttarapaday\bar{o}h$ is $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ $K\bar{a}\acute{s}akrtsna\acute{s}$ $s\bar{u}tra$ and it is discussed here to show why $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ $P\bar{a}nini$ has read this $s\bar{u}tra$ in preference to it.

एवं भवितुमहीत Let it be so.

द्विगुसंज्ञा प्रत्ययोत्तरपदयोश्चेद् इतरेतराश्रयत्यादप्रसिद्धिः

If it has dvigu-samij $\tilde{n}a$ when pratyaya and uttarapada follow, there will be no chance for the formation of the word on account of interdependence.

द्विगुसंज्ञा प्रत्ययोत्तरपदयोश्चेद् इतरेतराश्रयत्वादमसिद्धिः

If it has dvigu-samij $\tilde{n}a$ when pratyaya and uttarapada follow, the desired forms cannot be secured on account of $any\bar{o}ny\bar{a}$ -śrayatva.

का इतरेतराश्रयता? Of what nature is anyonyaśruyatva?

द्विगुसंज्ञानिमित्ते प्रत्ययोत्तरपदे ; प्रत्ययोत्तरपदिनिमित्ता च द्विगुसंज्ञा । तदेतद् इतरेतराश्रयं भवति । इतरेतराश्रयाणि च कार्याणि न प्रकल्पन्ते ।

Pratyaya and uttarapada set in on account of dvigu-samj $\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ and dvigu-samj $\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ is secured when there are pratyaya and uttarapada. This is the nature of interdependence. Deeds which are interdependent do not bear fruit.

एवं तर्हि अर्थे इति वक्ष्यामि I shall then read taddhitārthē.

Note:—This starts the discussion of Pāṇini's sūtra.

अर्थे चेत्, तद्धितानुत्पत्तिः, बहुत्रीहिवत्

If taddhitārthē is read, there is no chance for taddhitapratyaya to appear, as in bahuvrīhi.

अर्थ चेत् तद्धितोत्पत्तिन प्राप्तोति, द्वैमातुरः 1 , त्रेमातुरः 2 , पाञ्चनापितिः 3 If $taddhit\bar{a}rth\bar{\epsilon}$ is read, there is no chance for taddhitapratyaya to appear in the words $Dv\bar{a}im\bar{a}turah$, $Tr\bar{a}im\bar{a}turah$ and $P\bar{a}n\bar{c}a-n\bar{a}pitih$.

किं कारणम् श Why?

द्विगुना उक्तत्वात्, बहुत्रीहिवत् । तद्यथा चित्रगुः शबलगुः इति - बहुत्रीहिणा उक्तत्वान्मत्वर्थस्य मत्वर्थीयो न भवति ।

Since its sense is conveyed by dvigu, in $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$. Since matvartha is conveyed by $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$, matvartha-pratyaya is not used in Citraguh and Sabalaguh.

एवं तर्हि समासतिद्धतिवधो इति वक्ष्यामि

If so, I shall read Samāsataddhitavidhāu in their place.

- 1. Name of Gaṇēśa or Jarāsandha.
- 2. Name of Laksmana.
- 3. Perhaps it means one shaved by five barbers.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that it means that dik and $sankhy\bar{a}$ compound with sup when $sam\bar{a}sa$ and taddhita are enjoined.

समासतद्धितविधाविति चेद्न्यत्र समाससंज्ञाभावः

If it is read $Sam\bar{a}sataddhitavidh\bar{a}u$, there is no chance for the $sam\bar{a}sasa\dot{n}ij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ elsewhere.

समासतद्धितविधाविति चेदन्यत्र समाससंज्ञा न प्राप्नोति If it is read Samāsataddhitavidhāu, there is no chance for

samāsa-sanijāā elsewhere.

कान्यत्र ? To which does anyat refer?

स्वरे - पश्चारितः, दशारितः, इगन्ते द्विगौ इत्येष स्वरो न प्राप्नोति

Anyat refers to svara. For instance the pūrvapadaprakrtisvaratva in pañcāratniḥ and daśāratniḥ by Iganta...dvigāu (6, 2, 29) will not appear.

सिद्धं तु प्रत्ययोत्तरपद्योश्चेति वचनात्

The object is achieved by reading Pratyayottarapadayoś ca.

सिद्धमेतत् The object is achieved.

कथम्? How?

प्रत्ययोत्तरपद्योश्चेति वचनात् - प्रत्ययोत्तरपद्योद्विगुसंज्ञा भवतीति वक्तव्यम् By reading Pratyayöttarapadayöś ca. Dvigusamjñā must be enjoined when pratyaya and uttarapada follow.

ननु चोक्तं द्विगुसंज्ञा प्रत्ययोत्तरपदयोश्चेद् इतरेतराश्रयत्वादप्रसिद्धिः इति । Oh, it was said that, if $dvigusamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is enjoined when pratyaya and uttarapada follow, there would be no $k\bar{a}rya$ on account of $any\bar{o}ny\bar{a}\acute{s}rayatva$.

नैष दोषः । इतरेतराश्रयमात्रमेतचोदितम् । सर्वाणि चेतरेतराश्रयाण्येकत्वेन परिहृतानि, सिद्धं तु नित्यशब्दत्वाद् इति ।

This difficulty does not arise. Anyōnyāśrayatva alone was noted as the defect. All anyōnyāśrayas have been met in the same way thro' Siddham tu nityaśabdatvāt.

नेदं तुल्यमन्यैरितरेतराश्रयैः, न हि संज्ञा नित्या

This is not like other $itar\bar{e}tar\bar{a}\acute{s}rayas$; for $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is not nitya.

एवं तर्हि भाविनी संज्ञा विज्ञास्यते । तद्यथा कश्चित् कञ्चित् तन्तुवायमाह - अस्य सूत्रस्य शाटकं वय इति । स पश्यति, यदि शाटको न वातव्यः, अथ

वातन्यों न शाटकः, ¹ शाटको वातन्यश्चेति विप्रतिषिद्धं भवति । भाविनी खल्वस्य संज्ञा अभिप्रेता । स मन्ये वातन्यः यस्मिन्नुते शाटक इत्येतद् भवति । एवभिहापि तस्मिन् द्विगुभवति यस्याभिनिर्वृत्तस्य प्रत्यय उत्तरपद्मिति चैते संज्ञे भविष्यतः

If so, the $sain \tilde{n}j\bar{a}$ to come will be taken into account. This may be illustrated thus:—One says to a weaver, "Weave a cloth of this thread." He thinks within himself, "If it is already a cloth, it need not be woven; if it is to be woven, it is not a cloth; hence the sentence cloth is to be woven consists of words which contradict each other." He then decides that he has made use of a $sainj\tilde{n}a$ which is to come after the thread is woven. Similarly here too the $bh\bar{a}vin\bar{\imath} sainj\tilde{n}as$ —pratyaya and uttarapada - follow dvigu.

अथ वा पुनरस्तु अर्थे इति Or let it be again taddhitarthē.

ननु चोक्तम् अर्थे चेत् तिद्धितानुत्पत्तिर्वहुत्रीहिवद् इति

Oh, it was said that if $taddhit\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$ is read, taddhitapratyaya may not appear as in $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$.

नैष दोषः । नावश्यमर्थशब्दोऽभिधेय एव वर्तते

This difficulty does not arise. The word artha is not invariably used to denote $abhidh\bar{e}ya$.

किं तर्हि ? What else then ?

स्यादर्थेऽपि वर्तते । तद्यथा - दारार्थं घटामहे, धनार्थं भिक्षामहे, दाराः नः स्युः, धनानि न स्युः इति

It is used in the sense of may happen. For instance the sentences $D\bar{a}r\bar{a}rtham\ ghat\bar{a}mah\bar{e}$ and $Dhan\bar{a}rtham\ bhiks\bar{a}mah\bar{e}$ are used in the sense - we negotiate so that we may get wives and we beg so that we may get money.

एवमिहापि तद्धितार्थे द्विगुर्भवति इति, तद्धितः स्यादिति

So also here taddhitārthē in taddhitārthē dvigur bhavati means - taddhitah syāt.

द्विगोर्वा छुग्वचनं ज्ञापकं तद्वितोत्पत्तेः

Or the injunction of luk of that which is the nimitta of dvigu is a $j\tilde{n}apaka$ for $taddhit\bar{o}tpatti$.

1. वातव्यः शाटकश्चेति is another reading.

अथ वा यदयं द्विगोर्छगनपत्ये इति द्विगोरुत्तरस्य तद्धितस्य छकं शास्ति तद्

Or, since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) enjoins the luk of taddhita which is the nimitta of dvigu in $Dvig\bar{o}r$ lug $anapatyat\bar{e}$ (4, 1, 88), he suggests that taddhita appears after dvigu.

TTT

समाहारसमूहयोरविशेषात् समाहारग्रहणानर्थक्यं तद्धितार्थेन कृतत्वात्

 $Sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ need not be read, since $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ and $sam\bar{u}ha$ are synonyms and $sam\bar{u}h\bar{a}rtha$ is secured by $taddhit\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$.

समाहारः समूह इत्यविशिष्टावेतावर्थी । समाहारसमूहयोः अविशेषात् समा-हारश्रहणमनर्थकम्

The words $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ and $sam\bar{u}ha$ have the same meaning. Since they are synonyms, $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ need not be read.

किं कारणम्? How?

तिद्धतार्थेन कृतत्वात् - तिद्धतार्थे द्विगुः इत्येवमत्र द्विगुर्भविष्यति Since its purpose is served by taddhitārthē. Dvigu will set in even when the sūtra is read Taddhitārthē dviguḥ.

यदि तद्धितार्थे द्विगुः इत्येवमत्र द्विगुर्भविष्यति, तद्धितोत्पत्तिः प्राप्तोति If the sūtra is read, Taddhitārthē dviguḥ there is chance for taddhitapratyaya to appear.

उत्पद्मतां, छुग्भविष्यति Let it appear; it will be elided.

छुक्कृतानि प्राप्नुवन्ति The effects of luk will be there.

कानि ? What are they?

पञ्चपूली, दशपूली - अपरिमाणबिस्ताचितकम्बलेभ्यो न तद्धितल्लिक इति प्रतिषेधः प्राप्तोति । पञ्चगवं, दशगवं - गोरतद्धितल्लिक इति टम् न प्राप्तोति । There will be no $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ in $pa\tilde{n}cap\bar{\imath}l\bar{\imath}$ and $da\acute{s}ap\bar{\imath}l\bar{\imath}$ if there is the elision of taddhita by $Aparim\bar{a}na-bist\bar{a}cita-kambal\bar{e}bhy\bar{o}$ na taddhitaluki (4, 1, 22) and there will be no tac in $pa\tilde{n}cagavam$ and $da\acute{s}agavam$ if taddhita elides by $G\bar{o}r$ ataddhitaluki (5, 4, 92).

नैष दोषः । अविशेषेण द्विगोर्डी व्यवति इत्युक्त्वा अपरिमाणि बिस्ताचितकम्ब-रुयेभ्यः समाहारे इति वक्ष्यामि, तिन्नयमार्थं भविष्यति समाहारे एव नान्यत्न इति । गोरकारः, द्विगोः समाहारे – अविशेषेण गोष्टज् भवति इत्युक्त्वा द्विगोस्समाहारे इति वक्ष्यामि, तिन्नयमार्थं भविष्यति, समाहार एव नान्यत्र इति This difficulty will not arise. $Dvig\bar{o}h$ is read as a general $s\bar{u}tra$, by which dvigu takes $n\bar{\imath}p$ without any restriction and then the $s\bar{u}tra$ is modified into $Aparim\bar{a}na$ -bistācita-kamba-lyēbhyah $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$, so that it will serve as a niyama-s $\bar{u}tra$ restricting the application only when there is $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ and nowhere else. Similarly $G\bar{o}h$ is read as the general $s\bar{u}tra$ and then $Dvig\bar{o}h$ $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ is read. The former means that tac comes after $g\bar{o}$ without any restriction. Then $Dvig\bar{o}h$ $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ serves as a niyama-s $\bar{u}tra$ restricting the application only when there is $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ and nowhere else.

अभिधानार्थं तु For samāhāra to be vācya.

अभिधानार्थं तु समाहारग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, समाहारेण अभिधानं यथा स्यात्, तद्धितार्थेन मा भृद् इति ।

The word $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ is to be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ so that it may be $v\bar{a}cya$ and so that it may not be suggested by $taddhit\bar{a}rtha$.

ार्के च स्यात् What if it is suggested by taddhitartha?

तिद्धतोत्पत्तिः प्रसज्येत Taddhita may appear.

उत्पद्यताम् । छुग् भविष्यति Let it appear. It will be elided.

ल्लक्कृतानि प्राप्नुवन्ति

There is chance for the effects of luk to remain.

सर्वाणि परिहतानि All those have been met.

न सर्वाणि परिहृतानि । पञ्चकुमारि, दशकुमारि, छुक् तद्धितछिक इति ङीपो छुक् प्रसज्येत

All have not been met. There will be chance for the elision of $n\bar{i}p$ in $pa\tilde{n}cakum\bar{a}ri$ and $da\hat{s}akum\bar{a}ri$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Luk\ taddhitaluki\ (4, 2, 49)$.

IV

द्वन्द्वतत्पुरुषयोरुत्तरपदे नित्यसमासवचनम्

If dvandva and tatpurusa are followed by another word, the nityatva of the former is to be enjoined.

द्वनद्रतत्पुरुपयोरुत्तर गर्दे नित्यसमासी वक्तव्यः, वाग्टषद्धियः, छत्रोपानहिषयः, पञ्चगविषयः, दशगविषयः

If dvandva and tatpuruṣa are followed by another word (to form a tri-pada-bahuvrīhi) as in $v\bar{a}g$ -drṣada-priyah, $chatr\bar{o}p\bar{a}$ -nahapriyah, pañca-gava-priyah and daśagava-priyah the dvandva and tatpuruṣa must be enjoined nitya.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

समुदायवृत्ती अवयवानां मा कदाचिदवृत्तिर्मूद् इति ।

So that when there is vriti for the samudāya (whole), the avayava (part) should not be rid of vriti under any circumstances.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It must then be enjoined.

़ न वक्तव्यम् । इह द्वौ पक्षौ वृत्तिपक्षश्च अवृत्तिपक्षश्च । यदा वृत्तिपक्षः तदा सर्वेषामेव वृत्तिः, यदा अवृत्तिः पक्षः तदा सर्वेषामवृत्तिः

No, it need not be enjoined. There are two pakṣas here:-vrttipakṣa and avrttipakṣa. If vrtti-pakṣa is resorted to, it should be adopted throughout and if avrtti-pakṣa is resorted to, it, too, should be adopted throughout.

Note:—Vrtti refers to ēkārthībhāvasāmarthya and avrtti to vyapēksālakṣaṇasāmarthya.

V

उत्तरपदेन परिमाणिना द्विगोः समासवचनम्

Need to enjoin that dvigu- $sam\bar{a}sa$ appears with $parim\bar{a}ni$ - $v\bar{a}caka$ -pada following it (to form tatpuru, sa- $sam\bar{a}sa$.)

उत्तरपदेन परिमाणिना द्विगोः समासो वक्तव्यः - द्वौ मासौ जातस्य यस्य सः द्विमासजातः, त्रिमास्जातः

It must be enjoined that dvigu-samāsa appears with parimāni- $v\bar{a}caka$ -pada following it, as in dvi- $m\bar{a}sa$ - $j\bar{a}tah$ and $trim\bar{a}sa$ - $j\bar{a}tah$, where the former is explained thus: $-dv\bar{a}u$ $m\bar{a}s\bar{a}u$ $j\bar{a}tasya$ yasya sah.

Note:—In dvi- $m\bar{a}sa$ - $j\bar{a}tah$, $m\bar{a}sah$ is $parim\bar{a}nav\bar{a}cakapada$ and $j\bar{a}tah$ is $parim\bar{a}niv\bar{a}cakapada$. In the expression $dv\bar{a}u$ $m\bar{a}s\bar{a}u$ $j\bar{a}tasya$ yasya sah, yasya sah is added to show that there is $pr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$ to $j\bar{a}tasya$ in the vrtti even though it is in the sixth case. Hence $vigrahav\bar{a}kya$ is only $dv\bar{a}u$ $m\bar{a}s\bar{a}u$ $j\bar{a}tasya$. Then the whole compound is tri-pada-tatpurusa.

किं पुन कारणं न तिध्यति ? Why could it not appear? सुप् सुपेति वर्तते

There is anuvitti for $\sup \sup \bar{a}$, by which it is clear that only one $\sup anta$ can compound with another $\sup anta$.

एवं तहींदं स्यात् द्वौ मासौ द्विमासं, द्विमासं जातस्य इति If so, let the compound be formed this way:— $dv\bar{a}u$ and $m\bar{a}s\bar{a}u$ compound into $dvim\bar{a}sam$ ($sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra-dvandva$) and then $dvim\bar{a}-sam$ and $j\bar{a}tasya$ compound into $dvim\bar{a}sa-j\bar{a}tah$.

नैवं शक्यम् । स्वरे हि दोषः स्यात् द्विमासजातः इति प्रामोति ; द्विमासजातः

इति चेप्यते । द्यह्जातश्च न सिध्यति ; द्यहजात इति प्रामोति ।

No, it cannot be so. There will be difficulty in the svara. According to it the final syllable in $dvim\bar{a}saj\bar{a}tah$ will be $ud\bar{a}tta$; but it has it in the initial syllable. Besides the form $dvyahna-j\bar{a}tah$ cannot be secured; and the form $dvyahaj\bar{a}tah$ will take its place.

न चैवं भवितन्यम्? Is not it (dvyahajātaḥ) to be had? भवितन्यं च यदा समाहारे द्विगुः

It is possible if it is a case of $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra\ dvigu$ (by $Na\ sankhy\bar{a}-d\bar{e}h\ sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ 5, 4, 89).

द्यह्नजातस्तु न सिध्यति $Dvyahnaj\bar{a}tah$ will not be secured.

किमुच्यते परिमाणिनेति? न पुनरन्यत्रापि पञ्चगविषयः दशगविषयः?

Why is $parim\bar{a}nin\bar{a}$ alone read? Does it not appear elsewhere as in $pa\tilde{n}cagavapriyah$ and $da\acute{s}agavapriyah$?

अन्यत्र समुदायबहुत्रीहित्वादुत्तरपदप्रसिद्धिः

On account of other $samud\bar{a}yas$ being $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$, there is the prasiddhi of uttarapada.

अन्यत्र समुदायो बहुत्रीहिसंज्ञः । अन्यत्र समुदायबहुत्रीहित्वाद् उत्तरपदं प्रसिद्धम् । उत्तरपदे प्रसिद्धे उत्तरपदे इति द्विगुर्भविष्यति

The samudāya elsewhere is bahuvrīhi. Since the samudāya elsewhere is bahuvrīhi, ultarapada is prasiddha. When uttarapada is prasiddha, dvigu sets in on the strength of uttarapadē (i.e. the vārttika Dvandvatatpuruṣayōr uttarapadē....).

VI

सर्वत्र मत्वर्थे प्रतिषधः

Prohibition (of dvigu- $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$) in all cases when matvartha follows.

सर्वेषु पक्षेषु द्विगुसंज्ञायाः मत्वर्थे प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः

Dvigu-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is to be prohibited in all cases when matvartha follows.

किं प्रयोजनम्? Why?

पञ्चखद्वा, दशखद्वा, द्विगोः इतीकारो मा भूत्, पञ्चगुः, दशगुः, गोरतद्धितलुकि इति टज् मा भूद् इति ।

To prevent $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ in $pa\tilde{n}cakhatv\bar{a}$ and $da\hat{s}akhatv\bar{a}$ by $Dvig\bar{o}h$ and tac in $pa\tilde{n}caguh$ and $da\hat{s}aguh$ by $G\bar{o}r$ atadhitaluki (5, 4, 92).

सङ्ख्यापूर्वी द्विगुः (2, 1, 52)

किमनन्तरे योगे यः सङ्ख्यापूर्वः सः द्विगुसंज्ञः, आहोस्वित् पूर्वमात्रे ? Is dvigu- $samij\tilde{n}a$ enjoined to the compound whose former member is a number, mentioned in the immediately previous $s\bar{u}tra$ or in the previous $s\bar{u}tras$?

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the previous $s\bar{u}tras$ refer to only three $s\bar{u}tras$ which precede this.

किं चातः ?

What does it matter if it refers to this or the other?

¹ यद्यनन्तरे योगे - एकशाटी इति द्विगोः इति ईकारो न प्राम्नोति

If the previous $s\bar{u}tra$ alone is taken into account, $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ cannot appear in $\bar{E}ka\dot{s}\bar{a}t\bar{\imath}$ by $Dvig\bar{o}h$ (4, 1, 21), since it is not a dvigu.

अथ पूर्वमात्रे, एकमिक्षा - अत्रापि प्राप्तोति

If all the three $s\bar{u}tras$ that precede are taken into account, $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ may appear in $\bar{e}ka$ -bhik; \bar{a} too.

अस्त्वनन्तरे

Let the immediately preceding sūtra be taken into account.

कथम् एकशाटी? How is the form ēkaśāṭī secured?

ईकारान्तेन समासो भविष्यति - एका शाटी एकशाटी

 $\bar{E}ka$ - $\hat{s}\bar{a}t\bar{i}$ is taken to be the compound of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ and $\hat{s}\bar{a}t\bar{i}$.

1. Yadā is another reading.

इह तर्हि, एकापूपी, द्विगोः इति ईकारो न प्राप्नोति

Here then, in the word $\bar{e}k\bar{a}p\bar{u}p\bar{i}$, $\dot{n}\bar{i}p$ cannot appear by $Dvig\bar{e}h$.

अस्तु तर्हि पूर्वमात्रे

Let all the preceding three be taken into account.

कथमेकाभिक्षा ? How is ēkabhikṣa secured?

टावन्तेन सह समासो भविष्यति, एका भिक्षा एकभिक्षा

 $\bar{E}k\bar{a}$ and $bhiks\bar{a}$ compound together into $\bar{e}kabiks\bar{a}$.

इदं तर्हि सप्तर्षयः, इगन्ते द्विगौ इत्येष खरः प्रामोति

In that case the compound saptarṣayaḥ will chance to receive $ud\bar{a}tta$ in sapta by $Iganta..dvig\bar{a}u$ (6, 2, 29) though ṣa is the $ud\bar{a}tta$ syllable there.

अस्तु तर्हि अनन्तरे

Let, then, the immediately previous sūtra be taken into account.

कथम् एकापूर्श ? How is it to arrive at the form $\bar{e}k\bar{a}p\bar{u}p\bar{\imath}$? समाहार इत्येव सिद्धम्

It is secured thro' $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ in the previous $s\bar{u}tra$.

कः पुनरत्न समाहारः ? How can there be samāhāra here? यत्तद्दानं सम्भ्रमो वा

Since the giver may consider it to be many though it is one, or the receiver may consider it so.

इह तर्हि पञ्चहोतारः, दशहोतारः, इगन्ते द्विगो इत्येष स्वरो न प्राप्नोति Here, then, in $pa\tilde{n}ca-h\tilde{o}t\bar{a}ra\dot{p}$ and $da\acute{s}a-h\tilde{o}t\bar{a}ra\dot{p}$, pa and da cannot be $ud\tilde{a}tta$ by $Iganta...dvig\bar{a}u$ (6, 2, 29).

अस्तु तर्हि पूर्वमात्रे

Let it, then, refer to all the three preceding sūtras.

कथं सप्तर्षयः श What about the svara in saptarṣayaḥ? अन्तोदात्तप्रकरणे त्रिचकादीनां छन्दसि इत्येवमेतित्सद्भम

It is secured by the vārttika Antōdāttaprakaraṇē tricakrādīnām chandasyupasankhyānam (under Parādiśchandasi bahulaṃ 6, 2, 199).

अथवा पुनरस्त्वनन्तरे

Or let only the preceding sūtra be taken into account.

कथं पञ्चहोतारः, दशहोतारः?

How is ādyudātta in the forms pañcahōtāraḥ and daśahōtāraḥ secured?

आद्यदात्तपकरणे दिवोदासादीनां छन्दसीत्येव सिद्धम्

It is secured by the vārttika Ādyudāttaprakaraņē divēdāsādīnām chandasyupasankhyānam (under Na bhūtādhika...6, 2, 91.)

कुत्सितानि कुत्सनैः (2, 1, 52)

किमदाहरणम् ? What is the example?

वैयाकरणखस्चिः The word Vāiyākaraṇakhasūciḥ.

किं व्याकरणं कुत्सितम्, आहोस्विद् वैयाकरणः?

Is Vyākaraņa the object of derision or vāiyākaraņa?

वैयाकरणः कुत्सितः; तस्मिन् कुत्सितं तत्स्थमिष कुत्सितं भवति $V\bar{a}iy\bar{a}karana$ is the object of derision; when he is the object of derision, his learning too becomes kutsita.

उपमानानि सामान्यवचनैः (2, 1, 55)

The nature of $upam\bar{a}na$ and $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya$ is discussed here.

I

उपमानानि इत्युच्यते ; कानि पुनरूपमानानि ? किं यदेवोपमानं तदेवोपमेयम् , आहोस्विद् अन्यदेवोपमानम् अन्यद् उपमेयम् ?

The word upamānāni is read. What are upamāna's? Is upamāna identical with upamēya or different from it?

किं चातः ? What does it matter if it is either?

यदि यदेवोपमानं तदेवोपमेयं, क इहोपमार्थः गौरिव गौः इति ? अथ अन्य-देवोपमानम् अन्यद् उपमेयं क इहोपमार्थः गौरिव अश्वः इति ?

If $upam\bar{a}na$ and $upam\bar{e}ya$ are identical, what is the purpose served by the $upam\bar{a}$ of the form $Gaur\ iva\ g\bar{a}uh$? If $upam\bar{a}na$ is, on the other hand, entirely different from $upam\bar{e}ya$, what is the purpose served by the $upam\bar{a}$ of the form $Gaur\ iva\ a\acute{s}vah$?

एवं तर्हि यत्र किञ्चित् सामान्यं कश्चिच विशेषः तत्रोपमानोपमेये भवतः । If so, one is upomāna and the other, upamēya, if they are partly similar and partly different.

किं वक्तव्यमेतत् ? Is this to be so explained?

न हि No, it need not.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते ?

How is it understood without its being so explained?

मानं हि नामानिर्ज्ञातज्ञानार्थमुपादीयते अनिर्ज्ञातमर्थं ज्ञास्यामि इति । तत्समीपे यन्नात्यन्ताय मिमीते तदुपमानं गौरिव गवयः इति । गौर्निज्ञातो, गवयोऽनिर्ज्ञातः । For $m\bar{a}na$, the means of discernment, is taken recourse to by one for enabling another to discern what is not already known. He feels, 'I shall make him understand this which is not known to him.' $Upam\bar{a}na$ is one which approximates to $m\bar{a}na$ as in the sentence $G\bar{a}ur$ ivn gavayah (gavayah is like $g\bar{a}uh$). Here $g\bar{a}uh$ is known and gavayah is not known.

कामं तर्हि अनेनैव हेतुना यस्य गवयो निर्ज्ञातः स्याद् गौरनिर्ज्ञातः तेन कर्तव्यं स्याद् गवय इव गौरिति

If so, I hope that, following the same line of argument, if gavaya is known to one and $g\bar{o}$ is not known, he should be informed 'Gavaya iva $g\bar{a}uh$.'

बाढं कर्तव्यम् Certainly; he should be so informed.

II

किं पुनिरेह उदाहरणम्? What is the example here? शस्त्रीश्यामा The word śastrī-śyāmā (a lady brown as knife). क पुनरयं श्यामाशब्दो वर्तते?

Where does the connotation of $\dot{s}y\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ rest?

शस्त्र्याम् इत्याह 'In the knife,' says he.

केन तहींदानीं देवदत्ता अभिधीयते ?

By which in śastrī-śyāmā is the lady Dēvadattā denoted then ?¹ समासेन Through samāsa.

यद्येवं शस्त्रीश्यामो देवदत्त इति न सिध्यति

If so, it is not possible to secure the form $\pm astr\bar{\imath}-\pm y\bar{\alpha}mah$ with short a at the end in the expression $\pm astr\bar{\imath}\pm y\bar{\alpha}m\bar{o}$ Devadatah.

Note:—This arises since $\pm y\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ which is considered pradhāna is feminine.

1. This question arises from three points:—Sastrīśyāmā refers to a lady, śyāmatva rests in śastrī and śastrī means knife.

उपसर्जनस्य इति हुस्वत्वं भविष्यति

The final \bar{a} in $\pm y\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ is shortened on the strength of upasarjanasya (in $G\bar{o}striy\bar{o}r$ upasarjanasya 1, 2, 48).

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads: $-Sam\bar{a}s$ $v\bar{a}cyatv\bar{a}d$ $upam\bar{e}yam$ hi $pradh\bar{a}nam$.

यदि तर्हि उपसर्जनान्यप्येवंजातीयकानि भवन्ति तित्तिरिकल्माषी, कुम्भकपाल-लोहिनी, अनुपसर्जनलक्षण ईकारो न प्रामोति ।

If such words are taken as upasarjanas, long $\bar{\imath}$ based on un-upasarjanatva in $tittirikalm\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$ and $kumbhakap\bar{a}lal\bar{o}hin\bar{\imath}$ may not set in.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that in hrasvavidhi only $\pm \bar{a}str\bar{i}ya-upasarjanam$ is taken into account and not $l\bar{a}ukika-upasarjanam$.

एवं तर्हि शस्त्र्यामेव शस्त्रीशब्दो वर्तते, देवदत्तायां श्यामाशब्दः । If so, the word $\pm sastr\bar{\imath}$ denotes knife and the word $\pm sy\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ refers to $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$ of brown colour.

एवमपि गुणोऽनिर्दिष्टो । भवति । बहवः शस्त्रयां गुणाः, तीक्ष्णा सूक्ष्मा पृथुरिति The particular guṇa in śastrī to which there is reference here is not then specified. Many are the qualities found in a knife sharpness, fineness and bigness.

अनिर्दिश्यमानस्यापि गुणस्य भवति लोके सम्प्रत्ययः । तद्यथा - चन्द्रमुखी देवदत्ता इति । बहवश्चन्द्रे गुणाः, या चासौ पियदर्शनता सा गम्यते Meaning is comprehended in the world, even though the quality is not specified. For instance in the expression Candramukhī Dēvadattā (Dēvadattā is moon-faced), the quality of pleasant look among the many qualities of the moon is comprehended though it is not expressed.

एवमपि समानाधिकरणेन इति वर्तते, व्यधिकरणत्वात् समासो न प्राप्नोति Even then there is no chance for samāsa on account of śyāmātva being in Dēvadattā and śāstrītva being in śastrī; for there must be samānādhikaranatva between both, since there is anuvrtti for the word samānādhikaranēna in this sūtra from 2, 1, 49.

ि कि हि वचनान्न भवति? Will it not take place on the strength of this sūtra?

1. Na nirdiṣṭō bhavati is another reading.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ quotes here the $P\bar{u}rvam\bar{v}m\bar{a}ms\bar{a}-ny\bar{a}ya$ that prakarana, being durbala, is set at naught by $\acute{s}ruti$.

यद्यपि तावद् वचनात् समासः स्थात्, इह खळु मृगीव चपला मृगचपला समानाधिकरणलक्षणः पुंबद्भावो न प्रामोति ।

Tho' samāsa may set in on the strength of the vacana, pumvadbhāva based on $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$ may not appear in mrgacapalā ($mrg\bar{i}$ iva capalā) by Pumvat karmadhāraya ... 6, 3, 42.)

एवं तर्हि तस्यामेवोभयं वर्तते

If so, both $(\pm y\bar{a}m\bar{a}tva \text{ and } \pm astr\bar{\iota}tva)$ are taken to exist there (in $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$.)

Note: Sastrītva here refers to śastrīsādrśya.

एतचात्र युक्तं यत् तस्यामेवोभयं वर्तत इति । इतरथा हि बह्वपेक्ष्यं स्यात् । It is but proper to take that both exist there (in Dēvadattā.) Otherwise there will be a wide lacuna.

यदि तावदेवं विम्रहः क्रिथते शस्त्रीव श्यामा देवदत्ता इति, शस्त्रयां श्यामेत्येत-दपेक्ष्यं स्थात्

If, on the other hand, the vigraha is done this way-śastr \bar{i} iva śyāmā ($D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$), śyāmātva in śastr \bar{i} has to be taken into account.

अथाप्येवं विमहः कियते यथा शस्त्री स्यामा तद्वदियं देवदत्ता इति, एवमपि देवदत्तायां स्यामेत्येतद् अपेक्ष्यं स्यात्

Or if the vigraha is done this way $yath\bar{a}$ śastrī śyāmā tadvad iyam $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$, even then śyāmātva in $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$ has to be taken into account.

एवमि गुणोऽनिर्दिष्टो भवति । बहवश्च शस्त्र्यां गुणाः तीक्ष्णा सूक्ष्मा पृथुरिति

Even then the quality is not specified. Many are the qualities in $\dot{s}astr\bar{\imath}$ since it is $t\bar{\imath}ks\bar{n}\bar{a}$, $s\bar{u}ks\bar{m}\bar{a}$ and prthuh.

अनिर्दिश्यमानस्यापि गुणस्य भवति लोके सम्प्रत्ययः । तद्यथा चन्द्रमुखी देवदत्ता इति । बहवश्चन्द्रे गुणाः - या चासौ प्रियदर्शनता सा गम्यते ।

Meaning is comprehended in the world, even though the quality is not specified. For instance, in the expression $Candramukh\bar{\imath}\ D\bar{\epsilon}vadatt\bar{a}$, the quality of pleasant look among

the many qualities of the moon is comprehended though it is not expressed.

उपमानसमासे गुगवचनस्य विशेषभाकत्वात् सामान्यवचनाप्रसिद्धिः

Impossibility of securing $sam\bar{a}sa$ from $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacan\bar{a}ih$ on account of the quality in $upam\bar{a}nasam\bar{a}sa$ referring to its peculiar state in the $upam\bar{a}na$.

उपमानसमासे गुणवचनस्य विशेषणभाकृत्वात् सामान्यवचनस्य अप्रसिद्धिः स्यात् - शस्त्रीश्यामा इति - श्यामाशब्दोऽयं शस्त्रीशब्देनाभिसम्बध्यमानो विशेषवचनः सम्पद्यते । तत्र सामान्यवचनैः इति समासो न प्राप्नोति ।

 $S\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya \le abda$ has no chance to exist in $upam\bar{a}na$ - $sam\bar{a}sa$, since only the specific quality in the $upam\bar{a}na$ is read. In the word $\le astr\bar{i}-\le y\bar{a}ma$, the word $\le y\bar{a}ma$ in its association with $\le astr\bar{i}$ specifies the quality. Hence there will be no $sam\bar{a}sa$ on the authority of $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacan\bar{a}ih$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

न वा क्यामत्वस्थोभयत्र भावात्तद्वाचकत्वाच शब्दस्य सामान्यवचनत्वस्य प्रसिद्धिः No; $sam\bar{a}sa$ can be secured thro' $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacan\bar{a}ih$, since $sy\bar{a}matva$ exists in both and the word is its $v\bar{a}caka$.

न वैष दोषः No, this difficulty does not arise.

कि कारणम् श Why?

श्यामत्वस्योभयत्र भावात् - उभयत्रैवात श्यामत्वमस्ति, शस्त्र्यां, देवद्त्तायां च Since syamatva exists in both. Syamatva exists in both - sastri and $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$.

तद्वाचकत्वाच शब्दस्य - तद्वाचकश्चात्र स्यामाशब्दः प्रयुज्यते On account of the $\acute{s}abda$ being its $v\bar{a}caka$. The word $\acute{s}y\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ is its $i\bar{a}caka$.

किंवाचकः? Whose vācaka is it?

उभयवाचकः The vācaka of śyāmatva found in both.

श्यामत्वस्योभयत्र भावात् तद्वाचकत्वाच शब्दस्य सामान्यवचनत्वं प्रसिद्धम् । सामान्यवचने प्रसिद्धे सामान्यवचनैः इति समासो भविष्यति ।

Since $\pm sy\bar{a}matva$ exists in both and the word is its $v\bar{a}caka$, $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya \pm abdatva$ is established. On account of its prasiddhi $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place thro' $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacan\bar{a}ih$.

न चावर्यं स एव सामान्यवचनो यो बहूनां सामान्यमाह, द्वयोरिप यः सामान्यमाह सोऽपि सामान्यवचन एव ।

A word need not be considered $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya\cdot v\bar{a}caka$ only if the quality exists in many. Even if it exists in two, it may be called $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya\dot{s}abda$.

अथ वा सामान्यवचैनिरित्युच्यते । सर्वश्च शब्दोऽन्येन शब्देन अभिसम्बध्यमाने विशेषवचनः संपद्यते । त एवं विज्ञास्यामः - प्रागभिसम्बन्धाद्यः सामान्यवचन इति ॥ Or $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacan\bar{a}ih$ is read. All $\acute{s}abdas$ in association with other $\acute{s}abdas$ become $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}savacana$. Hence we interpret that it refers to the word which is $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacana$ before it is associated with another.

उपितं व्याघादिभिः सामान्याप्रयोगे (2, 1, 56)

सामान्याप्रयोग इति किमर्थम्? Why is sāmānyāprayōgē read?

इह मा भूत्, पुरुषोऽयं व्याघ्र इव शूरः, पुरुषोऽयं व्याघ्र इव बलवान्
To prevent samāsa here in:—Puruśōsyam vyāghra iva śūraḥ
and Puruṣōsyam vyāghra iva balavān (where śūra and balavān
are read).

सामान्याप्रयोग इति शक्यमवक्तुम् It is possible to dispense with sāmānyāprayōgē.

इह कसान्न भवति - पुरुषोऽयं व्याघ्न इव शूरः, पुरुषोऽयं व्याघ्न इव बलवान् Which will prevent the samāsa in Puruṣōsyam vyāghra iva sūraḥ and Puruṣōsyam vyāghra iva balavān?

असामध्यात् On account of the absence of sāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम्? Why is it that there is no sāmarthya? सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति

By the dictum Sāpēkṣam asamartham bhavati.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यत् सामान्याप्रयोगे इति प्रतिषेधं शास्ति तद् ज्ञापयत्या-चार्यो भवति वै प्रधानस्य सापेक्षस्यापि समासः इति ।

Since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ prohibits $sam\bar{a}sa$ when $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya$ is mentioned even though it is otherwise secured, he suggests that there is $sam\bar{a}sa$ with reference to $pradh\bar{a}na$ even though it is $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}ksa$.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्?

What is the benefit of this $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pana$?

राजपुरुषोऽभिद्धपः, राजपुरुषो दर्शनीयः - अत्र दृत्तिः सिद्धा भवति Samāsa of puruṣa with rājñaḥ is found in the following cases :- Rājapuruṣō abhirūpaḥ and Rājapuruṣō darśanīyaḥ though puruṣa expects the predicate abhirūpaḥ or darśanīyaḥ.

विशेषणं विशेष्येण बहुलम् (2, 1, 58)

विशेषणविशेष्ययोरुभयविशेषणत्वादुभयोश्च विशेष्यत्वादुपसर्जनाप्रसिद्धिः

It is difficult to decide which is upasarjana, since each may be taken as viśēṣaṇa since it restricts the application of the other and each may be taken as viśēṣya, since its application is restricted by the other.

विशेषणविशेष्ययोः उभयविशेषणत्वाद् उभयोश्च विशेष्यत्वाद् उपसर्जनस्य अप्रसिद्धिः - कृष्णतिलाः इति । कृष्णशब्दोऽयं तिलशब्देन अभिसम्बध्यमानो विशेषण-वचनः सम्पद्यते, तथा तिलशब्दः कृष्णशब्देन अभिसम्बध्यमानो विशेषणवचनः सम्पद्यते । तदुभयं विशेषणं भवति, उभयं च विशेष्यम् । विशेषणविशेष्ययोः उभयविशेषणत्वाद् उभयोश्च विशेष्यत्वाद् उपसर्जनस्य अप्रसिद्धः ।

There is difficulty in deciding which is upasarjana, since each may be taken as viśēṣaṇa as it restricts the application of the other and each may be taken as viśēṣya as its application is restricted by the other. Viz. Kṛṣṇatilāḥ. The word kṛṣṇa when associated with tila restricts its application and becomes its viśēṣaṇa and similarly the word tila when associated with kṛṣṇa restricts its application and becomes its viśēṣaṇa. Hence both become viśēṣaṇa and both become viśēṣaṇa. Since both may be taken as viśēṣaṇa and viṣēṣya in turn, it is not possible to decide which is upasarjana.

न वान्यतरस्य प्रधानभावात् तद्विशेषकत्वाचापरस्रोपसर्जनप्रसिद्धिः

No; upasarjanatva may be secured on account of either of the two being pradhāna and the other being viśēṣaṇa.

न वैष दोषः This difficulty does not arise.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अन्यतरस्य प्रधानभावात् - अन्यतरद् अत्र प्रधानम् । तद्विशेषकत्वाचापरस्य -तद्विशेषकं चापरम् । अन्यतरस्य प्रधानभावात् तद्विशेषकत्वाच अपरस्य उपसर्जनसंज्ञा

1. Ubhaya is another reading. 2. Upasarjanatvasya is another reading.

भविष्यति । यदा अस्य तिलाः प्राधान्येन विवक्षिता भवन्ति ऋष्णो विशेषकत्वेन, तदा तिलाः प्रधानं कृष्णो विशेषणम् ।

On account of either being $pradh\bar{a}na$. Either of the two, here, is $pradh\bar{a}na$. On account of other being its restricting element. The other is its $vyavacch\bar{e}daka$. $Upasarjana-samij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ sets in since one is $pradh\bar{a}na$ and the other is its $viś\bar{e}sana$. When tila is intended by him to be $pradh\bar{a}na$ and krsna to be $viś\bar{e}sana$, tila is $pradh\bar{a}na$ and krsna is $viś\bar{e}sana$.

कामं तर्हि अनेनैव हेतुना यस्य कृष्णाः प्राधान्येन विवक्षिता भवन्ति तिला विशेषणत्वेन, तेन कर्तव्यं तिलकृष्णा इति ।

If, then, one, following the same line of argument, intends krsna to be $pradh\bar{a}na$ and tila to be $vi\acute{e}san\iota$, he may read the form tilakrsnah.

न कर्तव्यम् । न ह्यं द्वन्द्वः, तिलाश्च कृष्णाश्चेतिः न खल्वि षष्ठीसमासः तिलानां कृष्णा इति ।

No, he should not read it; for it is not dvandva of $til\bar{a}h$ and $krsn\bar{a}h$; nor is it $sasth\bar{s}sam\bar{a}sa$ of $til\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ and $krsn\bar{a}h$.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

द्वाविमी प्रधानशब्दावेकस्मिन्नर्थे युगपदवरुष्येते, न च द्वयोः प्रधानशब्दयोरेक-स्मिन्नर्थे युगपदवरुष्यमानयोः किञ्चिद्वि प्रयोजनमस्ति, तत्र प्रयोगाद् एतद् गन्तब्यम् -नूतमत्र अन्यतरत् प्रधानं तद्विशेषकं चापरम् इति

These two words (in the nominative case) which are $pradh\bar{a}nas$ have to operate simultaneously upon one object; but there is no use of two $pradh\bar{a}na$ -śabdas operating upon the same object at the same time. Hence it is be decided from usage that one is certainly $pradh\bar{a}na$ and the other $viś\bar{e}$ ṣaṇa.

तत्र त्वेतावान् सन्देहः कि प्रधानं किं विशेषणमिति?
But this doubt arises there, which of the two pradhāna and which is viśēṣaṇa?

स चापि कापि सन्देहः ! Where does that doubt arise ?

यत्रोमौ गुणशब्दौ । तद्यथा, खञ्जः कुब्जः खञ्जकुब्ज ¹ इति Where both are guṇavācakas as in the compound khañjakubjuḥ formed of khañjaḥ and kubjaḥ.

1. Khañjakubjah Kubjakhañjah is another reading.

यत्र ह्यान्यतरद् द्रव्यम् अन्यतरो गुणः, तत्र यद् द्रव्यं तत् प्रधानम् । तद्यथा शुक्रमालभेत, कृष्णमालभेत, न पिष्टपिण्डीमालभ्य कृती भवति । अवस्यं तद्गुणं द्व्यमाकाङ्क्षति ।

Where one is dravya- $v\bar{a}caka$ and the other is $guṇav\bar{a}caka$, that which is dravya- $v\bar{a}caka$ is $pradh\bar{a}na$. If one, on hearing the sentences $\acute{s}uklam$ $\bar{a}labh\bar{e}ta$ and krsnam $\bar{a}labh\bar{e}ta$, ties to the stake a ball of flour of that colour, he does not achieve his object. Hence he necessarily is eager to know the dravya having that colour.

कथं तर्हि इमो द्वा प्रधानशब्दावेकसिन्नथे युगपद्वरुध्येते वृक्षः शिंशपेति ? What is to be done here – vrksah simsapa - where both, being pradhana, operate on the same object at the same time?

नैतयोरावरयकः समावेशः । न ह्यवृक्षः शिशपास्ति There is no need for the association of these two

There is no need for the association of these two; for there is no $si\dot{m}\dot{s}ap\bar{a}$ which is not a vrksa.

पूर्वापरप्रथमचरमजघन्यसमानमध्यमध्यमवीराश्च (2, 1, 58)

अथ किमर्थमुत्तरत्र एवमाद्यनुक्रमणं क्रियते ? न विशेषणं विशेष्येण बहुलम् इत्येव सिद्धम् ?

Why are this sūtra and the following ones read after Viśēṣaṇam viśēṣyēṇa bahulam? Is not their purpose served by itself?

बहुलवचन्स्याकृत्स्नत्वादुत्तरत्रानुक्रमणसामध्येम्

This $s\bar{u}tra$ and the following ones are read, since many may not grasp the full import of bahulam.

अकृत्स्नं बहुलवचनमिति उत्तरत्रानुक्रमणं कियते

This and the later $s\bar{u}tras$ are read, since it is not easy to grasp the full import of bahulam.

यद्यकृत्सं, यद्नेन कृतमकृतं तत्

If it is not comprehensive, what is done by it is nothing.

Note:—This question arises on taking krtsnam to mean $vy\bar{a}pakam$ and not krtsna-pratipattr-visayam.

्रवं तर्हि न ब्रूमोऽकृत्स्तिमिति, कृत्स्रं च कारकं च साधकं च निर्वर्त्तकं च। यचानेन कृतं सुकृतं तत्

If so, we do not read akrtsnam, but read krtsnam, kārakam, sādhakam and nirvarttakam. What is comprehended by it is full.

Note:—Krtsnam and the following three convey the same sense of capable of accomplishing. Sometimes $Mah\bar{a}$ -bhāṣyakāra takes delight in giving out such expressions: Cf. Dharmāya niyamaḥ, dharmārthō vā niyamaḥ, dharmapra-yōjanō vā niyamaḥ; Vrttayē samavāyaḥ, vrttyarthō vā samavāyaḥ, vrttiprayōjanō vā samavāyaḥ (Āhnika 1). But Kāiyaṭa adds that, in the opinion of some, four kinds of meanings of bahulam are denoted.

किमर्थं तहीं वमाचनुक्रमणं कियते ?

Why is then this sūtra read and the later ones?

उदाहरणभ्यस्त्वात् On account of the immensity of examples.

एते खरुर्गाप विधयः सुपरिगृहीता भवन्ति येषु लक्षणं प्रपञ्चश्च । केवलं लक्षणं केवलः प्रपञ्चो वा न तथा कारकं भवति

These vidhis are well understood, thro' enunciation and elaboration. Neither the former alone nor the latter alone produces such a comprehension.

अवश्यं खल्बप्यस्माभिरिदं वक्तव्यम् - बहुलम्, अन्यतरस्याम्, उभयथा, वा, एकेषाम् इति । सर्ववेदपारिषदं हीदं शास्त्रम् । तत्र नैकः पन्थाः शक्य आस्थातुम् This, the different ways of expression - bahulam, anyatarasyām ubhayathā, $v\bar{a}$, ēkēṣām must be adopted by us. For this śāstra is common to all $V\bar{e}das$ and it is not possible to adhere to one way of expression.

श्रेण्यादयः कृतादिभिः (2, 1, 59)

श्रेण्याद्यः पठ्यन्ते, कृतादिराकृतिगणः

The group starting with $\dot{s}r\bar{e}ni$ in the $ganap\bar{a}tha$ is comprehensive, while that with krta allows further addition.

श्रेण्यादिषु च्व्यर्थवचनम् Need to read cvyartha in śrēṇyādi.

श्रेण्यादिषु च्व्यर्थम्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, अश्रेणयः श्रेणयः कृताः श्रेणीकृताः । यदा हि श्रेणय एव किञ्चित् कियन्ते तदा मा भूदिति ।

It is necessary to read that $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place in $sr\bar{e}ni$, etc. only where there is the sense of cvi there, so that $sr\bar{e}n\bar{i}krt\bar{a}h$

1. Śrēni means a company of artisans doing the same kind of work or a company of men trading in the same article.

means aśrēṇayaḥ śrēṇayaḥ kṛtāḥ (those who did not belong to śrēṇi are now made to belong to it). It should not appear when associations are formed.

अन्यत्रायं च्व्यर्थप्रहणेषु च्व्यन्तस्य प्रतिषेधं शास्ति, तदिह न तथा । किं कारणम् ?

Prohibition is made of cvyanta elsewhere $(s\bar{a}k\bar{s}atkrtya)$ where there is the meaning of cvi; here it is not so done. Why?

अन्यत्र पूर्व च्व्यन्तकार्य परं च्व्यर्थकार्यम्, इह पुनः पूर्व च्व्यर्थकार्य परं च्व्यन्तकार्यमिति

Cvyantakārya precedes cvyarthakārya elsewhere; but here cvyarthakārya precedes cvyantakārya.

क्तेन निञ्चिशिष्टेनानञ (2, 1, 60)

निविशेष्टे समानप्रकृतिग्रहणम्

Need to read samāna-prakṛtinā to qualify nañ-viśiṣṭēna ktēna.

निविशिष्टे समानप्रकृतिग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, इह मा भूत् - सिद्धं च अभुक्तं च $Sam\bar{a}naprakrtin\bar{a}$ should be read as an adjunct to $na\tilde{n}$ - $vi\acute{s}is\dot{t}$ ena $kt\bar{e}na$ so that siddham may not compound with abhuktam.

Note: - Prakrti refers to root and meaning.

अनिजिति च $Ana\tilde{n}$, as is read in the $s\bar{u}tra$, is also necessary.

अनिनिति च प्रतिषेधो वक्तन्यः, इह मा भूत् कर्तन्यमकृतम् इति
The pratisedha anañ read in the sūtra is also necessary, to prevent kartavyam from compounding with akrtam.

Note:— $Ana\tilde{n}$ is $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ and qualifies $k^{\dagger}\bar{a}nta$ through sannidhi.

नुडिडिधिकेन च Nud-id-adhikēna too must be read.

नुडिडिधिकेन च समासो कक्तव्यः, इहापि यथा स्यात् - अशितानशितेन जीवति, क्विष्टाक्किशितेन इति

Samāsa must be enjoined between $kt\bar{a}nta$ and $akt\bar{a}nta$ having nut and it also to secure $a\dot{s}it\bar{a}na\dot{s}it\bar{e}na$ in the sentence $a\dot{s}it\bar{a}na\dot{s}it\bar{e}na$ in the sentence $a\dot{s}it\bar{a}na\dot{s}it\bar{e}na$ $\dot{s}it\bar{e}na$ $\dot{j}\bar{i}vati$ and $kli\dot{s}t\bar{a}kli\dot{s}it\bar{e}na$.

Note:—Some think that nudgrahanam is upalakṣaṇa to āgamas and others think that it is so to all vikāras having no difference in meaning.

किमुच्यते समानप्रकृतिग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् इति, यदा निव्निशिष्टेनेत्युच्यते १ न चात्र नव्कृत एव विशेषः

What is the need for saying $Sam\bar{a}na$ -prakrti-grahanam karta-vyam, when there is already $na\tilde{n}vi\acute{s}i\dot{s}t\bar{e}na$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$? Here $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}sa$ is secured not only by $na\tilde{n}$.

ार्के तर्हि? What then?

प्रकृतिकृतोऽपि । अयं विशिष्टशब्दोऽस्त्येवावधारणे वर्तते । तद्यथा - देवदत्तयज्ञदत्तौ आढ्यो अभिक्षपो दर्शनीयो पक्षवन्तौ । देवदत्तस्तु यज्ञदत्तात् स्वाध्यायेन
विशिष्टः । स्वाध्यायेनैव इति गम्यते । अन्ये गुणाः समा भवन्ति । अस्त्याधिकये
वर्तते । तद्यथा देवदत्तयज्ञदत्तौ आढ्यो अभिक्षपो दर्शनीयो पक्षवन्तौ । देवदत्तस्तु
यज्ञदत्तात् स्वाध्यायेन विशिष्टः। स्वाध्यायेनाधिकः। अन्ये गुणा अविवक्षिता भवन्ति ।
तद्यदा तावदवधारणे विशिष्टशब्दः तदा नैवार्थः समानप्रकृतियहणेन, नेह भविष्यति
सिद्धं चामुक्तं चेति; नाप्यनिविति प्रतिषेधेन, नेह भविष्यति कर्तव्यमकृतिमिति; नुार्डदिधिकेनापि तु तदा समासो न प्रामोति । यदाधिकये विशिष्टशब्दस्तदा समासप्रकृतिप्रहणं कर्तव्यम् इह मा भूत् - सिद्धं चामुक्तं चेति; अनिवित्त च प्रतिपेधो वक्तव्यः।
इह मा भूत्, कर्तव्यमकृतिमिति; नुिडडिधिकेनापि तु तदा समासः सिद्धो भवति ।
तत्राधिकये विशिष्टशब्दं मत्वा समानप्रकृतियहणं चोद्यते

The viśēṣa has reference to stem also. This word - viśiṣṭa has the sense of emphasis. This is seen in the following instance: Dēvadatta and Yajñadatta are rich, beautiful, comely appearance and kind. But Dēvadatta excels Yajñadatta in the study of the Vēdas. It is suggested that it is, through the study of the Vēdas alone, that he excels him, all other qualities being common. It has the sense of excelling. is seen in the following instance: -Dēvadatta and Yajñadatta are rich, beautiful, of comely appearance and kind. Dēvadatta is superior to Yajñadatta in the study of the Vēdas. It is suggested that he is superior to the other through the study of the Vēdas, other qualities being left out of account. Hence if the word viśiṣṭa is taken in the sense of avadhāraṇa, the vārttika Samānaprakrtigrahanam need not be read, since there is no chance for siddham and abhuktam to be compounded, nor the vārttika Anañiti ca, since there is no chance

for kartavyam and akṛlam to be compounded. The vārttika Nuḍiḍadhikēna ca is necessary since otherwise the samāsas having them will not be secured. If, on the other hand, viśiṣṭa is taken in the sense of ādhikya, the vārttika Samāsa-prakṛtigrahaṇam kartavyam has to be read to prevent the samāsa between siddham and abhuktam and the vārttika Anañiti ca to prevent the samāsa between kartavyam and akṛtam; but the vārttika Nuḍiḍadhikēna is not necessary, since samāsa is siddha. Taking viśiṣṭa in the sense of ādhikya, the above discussion was started.

The same idea is expressed in the following verse:-

अवधारणं नञा चेन्नुडिड्विशिष्टेन न प्रकल्पेत । अथ चेदिधिकविवक्षा कार्यं तुल्यप्रकृतिकेन ॥

कृतापकृतादीनां चोपसङ्ख्यानम् $Krt\bar{a}pakrta$ etc. has to be added.

कृतापकृतादीनां चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - कृतापकृतम्, भुक्तविभुक्तम्, पीतविपीतम् ।

The following krtāpakrtam, bhuktavibhuktam and pītavipītam should be added.

सिद्धं तु क्तेन विसमाप्तावनञ्

It is achieved that $ana\tilde{n}anta$ which denotes incompletion may compound with $kt\bar{a}nta$.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम्? How?

क्तान्तेन क्रियाविसमाप्तौ अनञ्कान्तं समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम्

It must be said that $kt\bar{a}nta$ and $ana\tilde{n}-kt\bar{a}nta$ compound with each other, if the latter denotes the non-completion of the action.

गतप्रत्यागतादीनां चोपसङ्ख्यानम्

Gata-pratyāgata etc. has to be added.

गतप्रत्यागतादीनां चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - गतप्रत्यागतं, यातानुयातं, पुटापुटिका, क्रयाक्रयिका, फलाफलिका, मानोन्मानिका ।

Gata-praty $\bar{a}gata$ etc. must be added. They are gata-praty $\bar{a}gatam$, $y\bar{a}ta$ -anuy $\bar{a}tam$, puta-aputik \bar{a} , kraya-akrayik \bar{a} , phala-aphalik \bar{a} and $m\bar{a}na$ -unm $\bar{a}nik\bar{a}$.

युवा खलतिपलितवलिनजरतीभिः (2, 1, 67)

अयुक्तोऽयं निर्देशः । समानाधिकरणेन इति वर्तते, क प्रसङ्गो यद् व्यधिकर-णानां समासः स्यात् ?

This $s\bar{u}tra$ is unnecessary. There is the anuvrtti for $Sam\bar{a}-n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{e}na$. Where is the chance for those which are not $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikarana$ to compound themselves?

एवं तर्हि ज्ञापयत्याचार्यो यथाजातीयकमुत्तरपदं तथाजातीयकेन पूर्वपदेन समासो भवति इति

If so, $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that the latter member compounds with the former member of the same class.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ says that $yath\bar{a}j\bar{a}t\bar{\imath}yakam$ refers to $str\bar{\imath}linga\hat{s}abdasvar\bar{\imath}pam$.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit of this jñāpana? प्रातिपदिकग्रहणे लिङ्गविशिष्टस्यापि ग्रहणं भवति इत्येषा परिभाषा न कर्तव्या भवति

There is no need to read the $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ $Pr\bar{a}tipadikagrahan\bar{e}$ $lingavisistasy\bar{a}pi$ grahanam.

वर्णी वर्णेन (2, 1, 68)

There are six topics here:—(1) Is the $sam\bar{a}sa$ between two $varna-v\bar{a}caka-padas$ $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$ or $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikarana-sam\bar{a}sa$? What are their relative advantages? (2) In the $sam\bar{a}sa$ between two words which have a chance to be operated upon by $s\bar{u}tras$ in $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$, the use of $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ or $pratham\bar{a}$ in the members is to be decided by the latter of the two sets of $s\bar{u}tras$. (3) Generally $bahuvr\bar{i}hi$ is formed from $karma-dh\bar{a}raya$ stems and sometimes $matvarth\bar{i}yapratyayas$ are used after them. (4) $Bahuvr\bar{i}hi$ after $\bar{a}tis\bar{a}yikapratyaya$ of the $p\bar{u}rvapada$ of a compound has to be admitted. (5) $Bahuvr\bar{i}hi$ after $\bar{a}tis\bar{a}yikapratyaya$ of the uttarapada of a compound has to be admitted. (6) There is need to add $s\bar{a}kap\bar{a}rthiv\bar{a}dis$ in the $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$.

I

इदं विचार्यते - वर्णेन तृतीयसमासो वा स्यात् कृष्णेन सारङ्गः कृष्णसारङ्गः इति, समानाधिकरणो वा कृष्णः सारङ्गः कृष्णसारङ्ग इति

This is discussed here whether kṛṣṇasāraṅgaḥ is the samāsa of kṛṣṇēna and sāraṅgaḥ or that of kṛṣṇaḥ and sāraṅgaḥ.

Note:—1. Since $s\bar{a}ra\dot{n}ga$ colour cannot exist without krsna colour and consequently the latter may be taken as the $h\bar{e}tu$ of the former, there is scope for $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}-sam\bar{a}sa$; and since krsna is avayava of $s\bar{a}ra\dot{n}ga$, there is scope for $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}-dhikaranasam\bar{a}sa$.

Note: -2. $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ says that the aim of the $vic\bar{a}ra$ is to determine whether this $s\bar{u}tra$ is to be read in the $trt\bar{u}y\bar{a}-sam\bar{a}saprakarana$ or in the $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranasam\bar{a}saprakarana$.

कश्चात्र विशेषः ? Which of the two is better?

वर्णेन तृतीयासमास एतत्प्रतिषेधे वर्णग्रहणम्

If this sūtra Varņō varņēna refers to trtīyāsamāsa, there is need to read varņa (as it is now read) in the sūtra Varņō varņēṣvanētē 1 (6, 2, 3.)

वर्णन तृतीयासमासे एतत्विषेधे वर्णग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । तृतीयापूर्वपदं प्रकृति-स्वरं भवति ; अनेते वर्णः इति वक्तव्यम् , इह मा भूत् , — हिमेन एतः हिमेतः If the sūtra Varņā varņēna refers to trtīyāsamāsa, there is need to read varņa in the ētapratiṣēdhasūtra. It should be made to convey the idea that in trtīyāsamāsa, pūr apada takes the prakrtisvara except when ēta is the second member, so that pūrvapadaprakrtisvara may not appear in the compound himāitaḥ made up of himēna and ētaḥ.

अथ द्वितीयेन वर्णमहणेनैतविशेषणेनार्थः ?

Will it not serve the purpose if the second word $varn\bar{e}su$ is made the $vis\bar{e}sana$ of $\bar{e}ta$ (by changing it into $varn\bar{e}$)?

बाढमर्थः, यद्यवर्ण एतशब्दोऽस्ति

Certainly will it serve the purpose if there is a word $\bar{e}ta$ which does not signify colour.

ननु चायमस्ति, आ इतः एतः कृष्णेतः लोहितेतः Oh there is this $\bar{e}tah$ which is formed by the combination of \bar{a} and itah and it is used in the words $krsn\bar{e}tah$ and $l\bar{o}hit\bar{e}tah$.

नार्थ एवमर्थेन वर्णग्रहणेन । यदि तावद्यं कर्मणि क्तः, तृतीया कर्मणि इत्यनेन स्वरेण भवितव्यम् । अथापि कर्तरि, परत्वात् क्रत्स्वरेण भवितव्यम्

1. The word ētaḥ means variegated colour.

This $s\bar{u}tra$ ($Varn\bar{o}$ $varn\bar{e}svan\bar{e}t\bar{e}$) is not necessary in the above cases where $varna-v\bar{a}caka$ is used. If tah in $\bar{e}tah$ ($\bar{a}+itah$) is karmani, $p\bar{u}rvapadaprakrtisvara$ appears in $krsn\bar{e}tah$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ karmani (6, 2, 48); and if it is kartari, it is bound to take krtsvara on account of its being para.

अथ समानाधिकरणः Let it be samānādhikaraņa-samāsa. समानाधिकरणे द्विर्वणग्रहणम्

If it is $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikara\hat{n}a$, there should be two words having the stem varna.

समानाधिकरणे द्विर्वणेष्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । वर्णो वर्णेष्वनेते इति वक्तव्यम् । एकं वर्णेष्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, इह मा भूत् – परमशुक्तः, परमकृष्णः इति । द्वितीय-वर्णेष्रहणं कर्तव्यम् - इह मा भूत् कृष्णतिला इति ।

If it refers to samānādhikaraṇa-samāsa, both the words varṇaḥ and varṇēna have to be read; the sūtra Varṇō varṇēṣvanētē too has to be read. Varṇēna has to be read so that the sūtra Varṇō varṇēṣu may not operate in the words paramaśuklaḥ and paramakṛṣṇaḥ where pradhāna alone denotes colour and varṇaḥ has to be read so that the same sūtra may not operate in kṛṣṇatilāḥ where the upasarjana alone denotes colour.

Note:—If varṇaḥ alone is read, only the upasarjana member of the compound denotes varṇa and if varṇēna alone is read, only the pradhāna member denotes it.

एकं वर्णग्रहणमनर्थकम्

One varņa - stemmed word (i. e. varņēsu) is not necessary.

अवर्णस्य वर्णे वर्णस्य चावर्णे अन्यतरत्र कसान्न भवति ?

Which will prevent the sūtra from operating if varņa follows avarņa or vice-versa?

लक्षणप्रतिपदोक्तयोः प्रतिपदोक्तस्यव इति

The dictum Lakṣaṇapratipadō/ktayōḥ pratipadō/ktasyāiva,

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ says that the $sam\bar{a}sa$ secured by $Varn\bar{o}$ $varn\bar{e}na$ alone is taken in svaravidhi and hence $varn\bar{e}su$ is not necessary.

एवं सित तान्येतानि त्रीणि वर्णप्रहणानि भवन्ति, समासिवधौ द्वे, स्वरिवधौ चैकम् In that case three varna-stemmed words will do, two in samāsavidhi and one in svaravidhi.

यस्यापि तृतीयासमासः तस्यापि तान्येव त्रीणि वर्णश्रहणानि भव्नित, समास-विधो हे, स्वरविधो चैकम्

Three varṇa - stemmed words will do even to him who holds $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}satva$, two in $sam\bar{a}savidhi$ and one in svaravidhi.

सामान्येन मम तृतीयासमासो भविष्यति तृतीया तत्कृतार्थेन गुणवचनेन इति $Trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$ is secured by me by the general rule $Trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}tatkrt\bar{a}rth\bar{e}na$ guṇavacanēna (2, 1, 30).

अवश्यं वर्णेन प्रतिपदं समासो वक्तव्यो यत्न तेन न सिध्यति तदर्थम् । The sūtra Varṇō varṇēna is necessary to secure the samāsa which is not secured by that sūtra.

क च तेन न सिध्यति ?

What are the instances which are not accomplished by it?

, शुक्रबभुः हरितबभुः Śukababhruḥ and harita-babhruḥ.

तथा च सित तान्येतानि त्रीणि वर्णग्रहणानि भवन्ति, समासिवधौ हे, स्वरिवधौ चैकम् ।

If so, the same three varna-stemmed words are necessary, two in $sam\bar{a}savidhi$ and one in svaravidhi.

अथेदानीं समानाधिकरणः सामान्येन सिद्धः स्यात्?

If it is taken as $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaraṇa-sam\bar{a}sa$, will the forms be secured by any general rule?

बाढं सिद्धः Certainly can they be secured.

कथम् ? On what authority?

विशेषणं विशेष्येण बहुलम् इति

By the sūtra Viśēṣaṇam viśēṣyēṇa bahulam (2, 1, 57).

एवमपि द्वे वर्णग्रहणे कर्तन्ये, स्वरविधावेव प्रतिपदोक्तस्याभावात् Even then two varṇa-stemmed words are necessary in svara-vidhi alone on account of the absence of pratipadokta.

तसात् समानाधिकरण इत्येष पक्षो ज्यायान्

Hence samānādhikarana-samāsa-pakṣa is better.

Note:— $K\bar{\alpha}iyata$ says that this $s\bar{u}tra$ is not needed then.

II

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे प्रधानोपसर्जनानां परं परं विप्रतिपेधेन

In the samāsa between two words stated to be pradhānas in two different sūtras in the samānādhikaraṇādhikāra, the latter is pradhāna through para-vipratiṣēdha and in that between two upasarjanas, the latter is upasarjana.

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे प्रधानोपसर्जनानां परं परं भवति विश्वतिषेधेन - प्रधानानां प्रधानम्, उपसर्जनानामुपसर्जनम् । प्रधानानां तावत् प्रधानं - बृन्दारकनागकुञ्जरेः पूज्यमानम् इत्यस्य अवकाशः गोबृन्दारकः, अश्वबृन्दारकः; पोटायुवतीनामवकाशः इभ्ययुवतिः, आळ्ययुवतिः । इहोभयं प्राप्तोति - नागयुवतिः, बृन्दारकयुवतिः, प्रधानानां परं प्रधानं भवति विप्रतिषेधेन । उपसर्जनानां परमुपसर्जनम् – सन्महत्परमोत्तमो-तकृष्टा इत्यस्य अवकाशः सद्भवः, सदश्वः; कृत्यतुल्याख्या अजात्या इत्यस्य अवकाशः तुल्यश्वेतः, तुल्यकृष्णः; इहोभयं प्राप्तोति - तुल्यसन्, तुल्यमहान्; उपसर्जनानां परमुपसर्जनं भवति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

In the samāsas of two words which are pradhāna and upasarjana in two different sūtras having the anuvrtti of samānādhikaraņa, the latter among pradhana and the latter among upasarjuna is respectively taken to be pradhāna and upasarjana through vipratisēdha. Firstly with reference to the pradhāna among pradhānas:-The sūtra Vrndāraka-nāga-kuñjarāih pūjyamānam (2, 1, 62) operates in gō-vrndārakalı and aśvavrndārakalı, the sūtra Potā-yuvati.. (2, 1, 65) in ibhya-yuvatih and ādhya-yuvatih and both the sūtras have a chance to operate in nāga-yuvalih and vrndāraka-yuvatih. The pradhāna in the latter should be considered pradhāna here by para-vipratisēdha. With reference to upasarjana among upasarjanas the sūtra San-mahat-paramōtkṛṣṭāḥ.... (2, 1, 61) operates in sad-gavaḥ and sad-aśraḥ, the $s\bar{u}tra$ Krtya-tuly $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$ $aj\bar{a}ty\bar{a}$ (2, 1, 68) in tulya-śvēlah and tulya-kṛṣṇaḥ and both have a chance to operate in tulya-san and tulya-mahān. The upasarjana in the latter should be considered upasarjana here through para-vipraliṣēdha.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that, in $sam\bar{a}sa$, $pradh\bar{a}na$ and upasariana denote $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}nta$ and $pratham\bar{a}nta$ by $laksan\bar{a}$.

TIT

समानाधिकरणसमासाद् बहुत्रीहिः

Bahuvrīhi overrides samānādhikaraņasamāsa.

समानाधिकरणसमासाद् बहुत्रीहिभैवति विप्रतिषेधेन । समानाधिकरणसमासस्य अवकाशः वीरः पुरुषो वीरपुरुषः; बहुत्रीहेरवकाशः कण्ठेकालः । इहोभयं प्राप्तोति वीरपुरुषको स्रामः, बहुत्रीहिभैवति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

Bahuvrīhi overrides samānādhikaraṇasamāsa through vipratiṣēdha. Samānādhikaraṇasamāsa takes place when vīraḥ and puruṣaḥ compound into vīrapuruṣaḥ and bahuvrīhi takes place in kaṇṭhēkālaḥ. In vīrapuruṣaka of the phrase Vīrapuruṣakō grāmaḥ, bahuvrīhi overrides samānādhikaraṇasamāsa through vipratiṣēdha, when both have a chance to operate.

कदाचित् कर्मधारयः सर्वधनाद्यर्थः

Sometimes $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ overrides for the sake of securing the forms $sarvadhan\bar{\imath}$ etc.

कदाचित् कर्मधारयो भवति बहुत्रीहेः

Sometimes karmadhāraya overrides bahuvrīhi.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

सर्वधनाद्यर्थः । सर्वधनी, सर्वबीजी, सर्वकेशी नटः, गौरखरवदरण्यम्, गौरमृग-वदरण्यम्, कृष्णसर्पवान् वरुमीकः, लोहितशालिमान् प्रामः

For the sake of sarvadhanādi. (i.e.) To secure the forms sarvadhanī, sarvabījī, sarvakēśī (in sarvakēśī naṭaḥ), gāurakharavad araṇyam, gāuramrgavad araṇyam, kṛṣṇasarpavān valmīkaḥ and lōhitaśālimān grāmaḥ.

किं प्रयोजनम्? Why should they be secured?

कर्मधारयप्रकृतिभिर्मत्वर्थीयैरभिधानं यथा स्यात्

So that matvarthapratyayas may be suffixed to the prakṛti which is karmadhāraya.

किं च कारणं न स्यात्?

Why cannot they appear (in the absence of this vacana)? बहुत्रीहिणोक्तत्वान्मत्वर्थस्य

Since matvartha is conveyed by bahuvrīhi.

यद्यक्तत्वं हेतुः कर्मधारयेणाप्युक्तत्वान प्रामोति

If uktatva is $h\bar{e}tu$, it has no chance to come since it is ukta by $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ too.

न खल्वपि संज्ञाश्रयो मत्वर्थीयः

. $Matvarthar{\imath}ya$ is not based on $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

किं तर्हि? Upon what then?

अर्थाश्रयः । सं यथैव बहुत्रीहिणा उक्तत्वान्न भवत्येवं कर्मधारयेणोक्तत्वान्न भविष्यन्ति ।

It is based upon meaning. $Matvarth\bar{\imath}ya$ does not appear since it is ukta by $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ in the same way as it is does appear when its meaning is conveyed by $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$.

एवं तर्हि इदं स्यात् - सर्वाणि धनानि, सर्वधनानि, सर्वधनानि अस्य सन्ति सर्वधनी ।

If so, let it be in this manner:— $Sarv\bar{a}ni$ and $dhan\bar{a}ni$ compound into the $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ $sarva-dhan\bar{a}ni$ and $sarvadhan\bar{\imath}$ is formed in the sense $sarvadhan\bar{a}ni$ asya santi.

नैवं शक्यम् । नित्यमेवं सित कर्मधारयः स्यात् । तत्र यदुक्तं कदाचित् कर्मधारयः इति एतदयुक्तम्

No, it is not possible. It can be so if $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ is nitya. The word $kad\bar{a}cit$ in the statement $kad\bar{a}cit$ $karmadh\bar{a}rayah$ mentioned above cannot fit in.

एवं तर्हि भवति वै किञ्चिदाचार्याः कार्यवद्बुद्धिं कृत्वा पठन्ति कार्याः शब्दा इति, तद्वदिदं पठितं समानाधिकरणसमासाद् बहुव्रीहिः कर्तव्यः कदाचित् कर्मधारयः सर्वधनाद्यर्थे इति

If so, $karmadh\bar{a}rayah$ in the statement $Sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaransam\bar{a}-s\bar{a}d$ $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hih$ kartavyah $kad\bar{a}cit$ $karmadh\bar{a}rayah$ $sarvadhan\bar{a}d-yarthah$ is used in the sense of $karmadh\bar{a}rayav\bar{a}n$ in the same way as $k\bar{a}ry\bar{a}h$ in the statement $k\bar{a}ry\bar{a}h$ $sabd\bar{a}h$ which $\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryas$ have used in the sense of $k\bar{a}ryavantah$.

यद् उच्यते समानाधिकरणसमासाद् बहुत्रीहिभेवति विश्वतिषेधेनेति, नैष युक्तो विश्वतिषेधः अन्तरङ्गः कर्मधारयः ।

The statement that bahuvrīhi overrides samānādhikaraņa-samāsa through para-vipratiṣēdha does not hold good, since vipratiṣēdhaśāstra does not operate on account of karma-dhāraya being antaraṅga.

कान्तरङ्गता ? How is antarangatva be explained ? स्वपदार्थे कर्मधारयः, अन्यपदार्थे बहुत्रीहिः

The component parts of the $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ convey their own meanings; but those of $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ convey that of another.

अस्तु । विभाषा कर्मधारयः ; यदा न कर्मधारयस्तदा बहुत्रीहिर्भविष्यति Let it be. $Karmadh\bar{a}raya$ is optional; $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ appears in the absence of $karmadh\bar{a}raya$.

एवमि यद्यत्र कदाचित् कर्मशारयो भगति, कर्मशारयपकृतिभिर्मत्वर्थीयैरभिधानं प्राप्ताति । सर्वश्चायमेवमर्थी यतः कर्मशारयपकृतिभिर्मत्वर्थीयैरभिधानं मा भृद् इति । If so, when perhaps karmadhārayā is first formed (without the idea that the compound should refer to anyapadārtha), it may chance to take matvarthīyapratyaya after it; if, at second thought, it has to refer to anyapadārtha, this attempt is to prevent the use of matvarthīyas after karmadhāraya stems (on all occasions).

एवं तर्हि नेदं तस्य योगस्य उदाहरणं विश्रतिषेधे परम् इति
If so, this sūtra is not the target for the sūtra Vipratiṣēdhē
param kāryam.

किं तर्हि ? Of what then?

इष्टिरियं पठिता, समानाधिकरणसमासाद्वहुत्रीहिरिष्टः कदाचित् कर्मधारयः सर्वधनाद्यर्थः

This iṣṭi Samānādhikaraṇasamāsād bahuṛrīhir iṣṭaḥ kadācit karmadhārayaḥ sarvadhanādyarthaḥ is read (by Vārttikakāra). It means that generally bahuvrīhi is wished for from karmadhāraya stems and in certain cases like sarvadhanin matvarthapratyayas may be used after them.

यदीष्टिः पठिता नाथों ऽनेन । इह हि सर्वे मनुष्या अल्पेनाल्पेन महतो महतो ऽथां-नाकाङ्क्षन्ति ; एकेन माषेण शतसहस्रम् । एकेन कुद्दालपदेन खारीसहस्रम् । तत्र कर्मधारयप्रकृतिभिर्मत्वर्थीयैरभिधानमस्तु बहुवीहिणेति । बहुवीहिणा भविष्यति लघुत्वात् ।

If isti is read, it serves no purpose. For all the people in this world wish to get the most from the least, as hundred thousand (cows or cloths) for a small piece of gold or 16000 dronas of corn at one dig of a spade. If the question arises whether

to add $matvarth\bar{\imath}ya$ -pratyayas to $karmadh\bar{\alpha}raya$ stems or to use $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$, the latter is to be chosen since it is lighter.

कथं सर्वधनी सर्वबीजी सर्वकेशी नट इति ?

How, then, is one to arrive at the forms $sarvadhan\bar{\imath}$, $sarvab\bar{\imath}j\bar{\imath}$ and $sarvak\bar{e}\dot{s}\bar{\imath}$ in $sarvak\bar{e}\dot{s}\bar{\imath}$ notah?

इनिप्रकरणे सर्वादेरिति वक्ष्यामि । तच अवस्यं वक्तव्यं ठनो बाधनार्थम् I shall read that karmadhāraya compounds having sarva as the former member take ini by reading sarvādēḥ in iniprakaraṇa (i.e.) under the sūtra Pūrvād iniḥ (5, 2, 56). It should necessarily be read to set at naught than.

कथम् गौरखरवद् अरण्यम्, गौरमृगवद् अरण्यम्, कृष्णसर्पवान् वल्मीकः, लोहितशालिमान् प्रामः ?

How are the forms gāurakharavad, gāuramṛgavad, kṛṣṇasarpavān and lōhitaśālimān in the following expressions gāurakharavad araṇyam, gāuramṛgavad araṇyam, kṛṣṇasarpavān valmīkaḥ and lōhitaśālimān grāmaḥ secured?

अस्त्यत्र विशेषः । जात्या अत्राभिसम्बन्धः क्रियते, कृष्णसर्पे नाम सर्पजातिः, सा अस्मिन् वर्ल्माके अस्ति । यदा ह्यन्तरेण जातिं तद्वत्ताभिसम्बन्धः क्रियते, कृष्णसर्पे वर्ल्माक इत्येव तदा भवति ।

There is a speciality here. It is taken as a class; krsnasarpa is a species of serpents. Krsnasarpavān valmīkah means an anthill containing the $j\bar{a}ti$ of krsnasarpa. If their relation is to be expressed without krsnasarpa denoting the $j\bar{a}ti$, krsnasarpa valmīkah will do.

Note: $-N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ says that the $bh\bar{a}sya$ " $Yadi\ istih...$ $ity\bar{e}va\ tad\bar{a}\ bhavati$ " expresses the opinion of $Ac\bar{a}ryad\bar{e}\dot{s}\bar{\imath}ya$.

ΙV

पूर्वपदातिशये आतिशायिकाद् बहुत्रीहिः सूक्ष्मवस्त्रतराद्यर्थः

Bahuvrīhi after aliśāyana-pratyaya where the aliśaya of $p\bar{u}rva$ pada is intended.

पूर्वपदातिशयविवक्षायामातिशायिकाद् बहुत्रीहिभैवति विप्रतिषेधेन When the atisaya of pūrvapada (of a karmadhāraya) is intended, bahuvrīhi sets in after the atisāyana-pratyaya through vipratiṣēdha.

किं प्रयोजनम्? Why?

सूक्ष्मवस्रतराद्यर्थः For the sake of sūkṣmavastratara etc.

आतिशायिकस्यावकाशः - पटुतरः, पटुतमः । बहुत्रीहेरवकाशः - चित्रगुः, शबलगुः । इहोभयं प्राप्तोति - सूक्ष्मवस्त्रतरः, तीक्ष्णशृङ्गतरः - बहुत्रीहिभवति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

The sūtras Dvivacana-vibhajyōpapadē tarab-īyasunāu (5, 3, 57) and Atiśāyanē-tamab-iṣṭhanāu (5, 3, 55) operate in paṭutaraḥ and paṭutamaḥ. The sūtra enjoining bahuvrīhi operates in citraguḥ and śabalaguḥ. Here, in sūkṣmavastrataraḥ and tīṣkṇa-śrṅgataraḥ, both have a chance to operate. The bahuvrīhisūtra operates through vipratiṣēdha.

नैष युक्तो विमितिषेधः । विमितिषेधे परिमत्युच्यते ; पूर्वश्च बहुत्रीहिः, पर आतिशायिकः

The dictum of vipratiṣēdha cannot hold here. Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam is read; but bahuvrīhi-vidhi is $p\bar{u}rva$ and $\bar{a}tiṣāyana-pratyaya-vidhi$ is para.

इष्टवाची परशब्दः - विप्रतिषेधे परं यदिष्टं तद् भवतीति

The word para means iṣṭa. Hence the dictum means Vipratiṣēdhē yad iṣṭam tad bhavati.

एवमप्ययुक्तः ; अन्तरङ्ग आतिशायिकः

Even then it is not correct; the rule enjoining $\bar{a}tis\bar{a}yana$ pratyaya is antaranga.

का अन्तरङ्गता? How does antarangatva exist there?

ङ्याप्पातिपदिकादातिशायिकः, सुबन्तानां बहुवीहिः

Ātiśāyana-pratyaya-vidhi is to nyanta, ābanta and prātipadika, while bahuvrīhi-vidhi is to subanta.

आतिशायिकोऽपि नान्तरङ्गः $\bar{A}ti$ ई $\bar{a}yika$ -vidhi too, is not antaranga. कथम्? How?

समर्थात्तद्धित उत्पद्यते, सामर्थ्यं च सुबन्तेन

Taddhita-pratyaya is added only to that which is samartha and there is $s\bar{a}marthya$ only with subanta.

एवमप्यन्तरङ्गः Even then, it is antaranga.

कथम्? How?

स्वपदार्थे आतिशायिकः, अन्यपदार्थे बहुवीहिः

Ātiśāyika refers to svapadārtha and bahuvrīhi to anyapadārtha.

एवमपि नान्तरङ्गः Even then it is not antaranga.

कथम्? How?

स्पर्धायामातिशायिको भवति, न चान्तरेण प्रतियोगिनं स्पर्धा भवति

 $\bar{A}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yika$ operates only when there is comparison and there is no comparison in the absence of another with whom it is to be compared.

नैव वात्रातिशयिकः प्रामोति

Or, ātiśāyana-pratyaya-vidhi does not operate here.

किं कारणम्? Why?

असामध्यति On account of the absence of sāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम्? How is sāmarthya absent?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति । यावता वस्त्राणि तद्वन्तमपेक्षन्ते तद्वन्तं चापेक्ष्य वस्त्राणां वस्त्रेर्युगपत् स्पर्धा भवति ।

That which is $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}ksa$ is asamartha. There is comparison between cloths only after it is determined to whom they belong.

ननु चायमातिशायिक एवमात्मकः सत्यां व्यपेक्षायां विधीयते

Oh! $\bar{a}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yana$ -pratyaya of this sort is enjoined when there is $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$ -laksana-s $\bar{a}marthya$.

सत्यमेवमात्मकः, यां च नान्तरेण व्यवेक्षामातिशायिकस्य प्रवृत्तिः तस्यां सत्यां भवितव्यम्

True, $\bar{a}ti\hat{s}\bar{a}yana$ -pratyaya is of this nature, that it cannot be used unless there is the $vyap\bar{e}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$ -lak $\bar{s}ana$ -s $\bar{a}marthya$ which it needs.

कां च नान्तरेण व्यपेक्षामातिशायिकस्य प्रवृत्तिः ?

Without which vyapēkṣā is there no pravrtti for ātiśāyika?

था हि प्रतियोगिनं प्रति न्यपेक्षा । या हि तद्वन्तं प्रति न तस्यां भिवतन्यम् The $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$ relating to the cloths belonging to different persons. But where the $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$ relates to the cloths belonging to the same person, it does not hold good.

बहुनीहिरपि तर्हि न प्राप्तोति Bahuvrīhi, too, cannot set in there.

किं कारणम्? Why?

असामर्थ्यादेव Evidently thro' a-sāmarthya.

कथमसामध्येम् ? How is sāmarthya absent?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति । यावता वस्त्राणि वस्त्रान्तराण्यपेक्षन्ते तद्भता चाभिसम्बन्धः $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ sa becomes asamartha, since cloths depend upon others for comparison and they are related to their owners.

एवं तर्हि नेदं तस्य योगस्योदाहरणं विप्रतिषेधे परमिति

This, then, is not the target to Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam.

किं तर्हि? Of what then?

इष्टिरियं पठिता पूर्वपदातिशये आतिशायिकाद् बहुर्त्राहिरिष्टः सूक्ष्मवस्रतराद्यर्थः This isti is read. " $P\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}ti$ śayē $\bar{a}ti$ ś $\bar{a}yik\bar{a}d$ bahuvrīhir istah $s\bar{u}k$ smavastratarādyarthah."

यदि इष्टिरियं पठिता नार्थोऽनेन

If the isti is read, no purpose is served by it.

कथं येषा युक्तिरुक्ता - वस्त्रान्तराणां च वस्त्रान्तरेर्युगपत् स्पर्धा तद्वता च चाभिसम्बन्धः इति?

How can the $i \not= i$ serve no purpose if the yukti that there is $spardh\bar{a}$ simultaneously between one kind of cloths and another and they are then related to the owners is adduced?

यदा हि अन्तरेण वस्त्राणां वस्त्रान्तेरेः युगपत्स्पर्धां तद्वता अभिसम्बन्धः क्रियते निष्प्रतिद्वनद्वस्तदा बहुत्रीहिः, बहुत्रीहेरातिशायिकः

If the relation of one set of cloths with their owner and that of another set with their owner is first taken into account without comparing one set with another, bahuvrīhi is done without any opposing element and then the ātiśāyana-pratyaya is added.

न तर्हि इदानीमिदं भवति सूक्ष्मतरवस्र इति ?

Will not then $(isty\bar{a}rambh\bar{e})$ the form $s\bar{u}ksmataravastrah$ be secured?

भवति, यदान्तरेण तद्वन्तं वस्त्राणां वस्त्रान्तरेर्युगपत् स्पर्धा भवति, निष्प्रति-द्वन्द्वस्तदा आतिशायिकः It is secured. If comparison takes place between one set of cloths with another without reference to their owners, $\bar{a}tis\bar{a}-yika-pratyaya$ is added without any opposition.

कथं पुनरन्यस्य प्रकर्षेणान्यस्य प्रकर्षः स्यात् ?

How can the superiority of one suggest that of another?

नैवान्यस्य प्रकर्षेण अन्यप्रकर्षेण भवितव्यम् । यथैवायं द्रव्येषु यतते वस्नाणि मे स्युरिति, एवं गुणेष्विप यतते सूक्ष्मतराणि मे स्युः इति

The superiority of one does not suggest that of another. As one chooses cloths, so also he chooses quality to get for him cloths of finer quality.

नात्रातिशायिकः प्राप्तोति $Atiś\bar{a}yika-pratyaya$ may not set in then किं कारणम्? Why?

गुणवचनादिच्यते । न च समासो गुणवचनः

It is enjoined after words denoting quality (in Ajādi guņavacanād ēva 5, 3, 58). The compound is not guṇavacana.

समासोऽपि गुणवचनः Samāsa, too, is guṇavacana.

कथम् ? How?

अजहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिरिति By taking to ajahatsvārthā-vṛtti.

अथ जहत्स्वार्थायां तु दोष एव

The difficulty will evidently exist in jahatsvārthā.

जहत्स्वार्थायां च न दोषः । भवति बहुत्रीहो तद्भुणसंविज्ञानमपि तद्यथा - शुक्कवाससमानय, लोहितोष्णीषाः प्रचरन्ति इति तद्भुण आनीयते, तद्भुणाश्च प्रचरन्ति There is no difficulty even in jahatsvārthā. Among bahuvrīhis, there is tadguṇasaṃvijñāna-bahuvrīhi too. If one says 'Bring the white-clothed man,' the man who wears an white cloth alone is brought; if one says 'Red-turbaned persons go,' only those with red turbans go.

V

उत्तरपदातिशय आतिशायिको बहुत्रीहेर्बह्वात्यतराद्यर्थः

Atiśayana-pratyaya is used after $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ when the atiśaya of the latter member is intended to secure $bahv\bar{a}dhyatara$ etc.

उत्तरपदातिशये आतिशायिको बहुवीहेभवति विप्रतिषेधेन

 $\bar{A}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yana$ -pratyaya is used after $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ when the $ati\dot{s}aya$ of the latter member is intended through $vipratis\bar{\epsilon}dha$.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

बह्वाट्यतराद्यर्थः - बह्वाट्यतरः, बहुसुकुमारतरः

For the sake of securing the forms like bahvāḍhyataraḥ and bahusukumāratarah.

कः पुनरत्र विशेषो, बहुत्रीहेर्वातिशायिकः स्याद्, आतिशायिकान्तेन वा बहुत्रीहिः ?

What is the difference whether $\bar{a}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yika$ -pratyaya is used after $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ or $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ is formed with $\bar{a}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yik\bar{a}nta$?

स्वरकपोर्विशेषः । यद्यत्र आतिशायिकाद् बहुत्रीहिः स्यात् बहुाळ्यत्रात्ः, एवं स्वरः प्रसज्येत, बहुाळ्यतरः इति चेष्यते । बहुाळ्यकतर इति च प्राप्नोति, बहुाळ्यतरक इति चेष्यते

There will be difference in the position of $ud\bar{a}tta$ and kap. If $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ is formed after the appearance of the $\bar{a}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yika$ -pratyaya, the $ud\bar{a}tta$ will be on ya in $bahv\bar{a}dhyatara$, but it is desired to be on ra; besides the form of the finished word would be $bahv\bar{a}dhyakatara$ though the desired form is $bahv\bar{a}dhyataraka$.

VI

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे शाकपार्थिवादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानमुत्तरपद्छोपश्च

Need for the $upasa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}na$ of $\dot{s}\bar{a}kap\bar{a}rthiva$ etc. in the $sam\bar{a}-n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ and that of the elision of uttarapada.

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे शाकपार्थिवादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् ; उत्तरपदलोपश्च वक्तव्यः - शाकभोजी पार्थिवः शाकपार्थिवः, कुतपवासाः सौश्रुतः कुतपसौश्रुतः, अजा-पण्यस्तौलबलिः अजातौल्विलः, यष्टिप्रधानो मौद्गल्यः यष्टिमौद्गल्यः ।

Addition of Śākapārthiva etc. in samānādhikaraṇādhikāra has to be made and the elision of the latter member is to be enjoined. Viz. śākabhōjī and pārthivaḥ compound into śāka-pārthivaḥ, kutapavāsāḥ and sāuśrutaḥ into kutapasāuśrutaḥ, ajāpaṇyaḥ and taulvaliḥ into ajātāulvaliḥ and yaṣṭiprādhānaḥ and māudgalyaḥ into yaṣṭimāudgalyaḥ.

चतुष्पादो गर्भिण्या (2, 1, 71)

चतुष्पाज्जातिः Catuṣpājjātēḥ in place of catuṣpādaḥ.

चतुष्पाज्जातिरिति वक्तव्यम्; इह मा भूत् कालाक्षी गर्भिणी, स्वस्तिमती गर्भिणी

The word $catu \not p \bar{a} da h$ must be replaced by $catu \not p \bar{a} j j \bar{a} t \bar{e} h$ so that the $s \bar{u} t r a$ may not operate here in $k \bar{a} l \bar{a} k \not \bar{s} \bar{i}$ $gar b h i n \bar{i}$ and $svastimat \bar{i}$ $gar b h i n \bar{i}$.

मयूरव्यंसकादयश्च (2, 1, 72)

किमर्थश्चकारः ? What is the meaning of ca (in the sūtra)?

एवकारार्थः - मयूरव्यंसकादय एव

It means ēva, so that the sūtra means Mayūravyamsakādaya ēva.

क मा भूत ? Where should it not be?

परमो मयूरव्यंसक इति In paramah mayūravyamsakah.

TWENTYFIRST ĀHNIKA ENDS (Second adhyāya, first pāda, ends)

Twentysecond Ahnika

(Second adhyāya, second pāda, first āhnika)

अर्द्ध नपुंसकम् (2, 2, 2)

इह कस्मान्न भवति - श्रामार्द्धः, नगरार्द्धः इति ?

Why does not this operate in grāmārddhah and nagarārddhah? अर्द्धशब्दस्य नपुंसकलिङ्गस्येदं ग्रहणं, पुँछिङ्गश्चात्रायमर्द्धशब्दः

Arddha in neuter gender is stated in the sūtra and arddha in masculine gender is used there.

क पुनरयं नपुंसकलिंजः, क पुँछिङ्गः?

In which meaning is it neuter in gender and in which is it masculine?

समप्रविभागे नपुंसकलिङ्गः, अवयववाची पुँछिङ्गः

It is neuter if it means half and masculine if it means a part.

इह कस्मान भवति, अर्द्ध पिप्पलीनाम् इति ?

Why does not the sūtra operate in Arddham pippalīnām?

न वा भवति अर्द्धपिष्पल्य इति ?

Does it not take the form arddhapippalyah?

भवति, यदा खण्डसमुच्चयः - अर्द्धपिप्पली च अर्द्धपिपली च अर्दूष्टिपली च अर्द्धपिपली च अर्दूष्टिपली च अ

तदा कस्मान्न भवति? Why does not that form appear then? एकाधिकरण इति वर्तते

There is anuvṛtti for the word ēkādhikaraṇē (from 2, 2, 1)

न तहींदानीमिदं भवति अर्द्धराशिरिति ?

If so, cannot the word $arddhar\bar{a} ih$ be secured?

भवति, एकमेवेदमधिकरणं योऽसौ राशिर्नाम

It is secured; evidently those which constitute $r\bar{a}\dot{s}i$ have there only one adhikarana (the $j\bar{a}ti$ in each grain).

द्वितीयतृतीयचतुर्थतुर्याण्यन्यतरस्याम् (2, 2, 3)

अन्यतरस्यां ग्रहणं किमर्थम् ¹ Why is anyalarasyām read? अन्यतरस्यां समासो यथा स्यात् - समासेन मुक्ते वाक्यमपि यथा स्याद् द्वितीयं भिक्षाया इति

To make the $sam\bar{a}sa$ optional and to allow the phrase $dvit\bar{\imath}yam\ bhik\bar{\imath}a\bar{\jmath}ah$ in the absence of the $sam\bar{a}sa$.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । प्रकृता महाविभाषा, तया वाक्यमपि भविष्यति No, this is not the benefit. There is $mah\bar{a}vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ by $Vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ (2, 1, 11) and the phrase stands through it.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् । एकदेशिसमासेन मुक्ते षष्ठीसमासो यथा स्याद् भिक्षा-

If so, the benefit is that, in the absence of $\bar{e}kad\bar{e}\dot{s}i$ -sam $\bar{a}sa$, $sasth\bar{i}$ -tatpurusa of the form $bhiks\bar{a}$ -dvit $\bar{i}yam$ may appear.

एतदिप नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । अयमपि विभाषा, षष्ठीसमासोऽपि । तावुभौ वचनाद्भविष्यतः ।

This, too, is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. This is optional and $sasth\bar{i}$ - $sam\bar{a}sa$ too. Hence $sasth\bar{i}$ - $sam\bar{a}sa$ and the phrase both will appear.

अत उत्तरं पठित $Ac\bar{a}rya$ ($V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$) answers thus.

द्वितीयादीनां विभाषाप्रकरणे विभाषावचनं ज्ञापकमवयवविधाने सामान्यविधा-नाभावस्य

Reading of the word anyatarasyām with reference to $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ etc. in $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}prakarana$ suggests that $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavidhi$ does not operate when there is $vis\bar{e}savidhi$.

द्वितीयादीनां विभाषापकरणे विभाषावचनं कियते, ज्ञापनार्थम् The word anyatarasyām is read in $vibh\bar{a}$ sā-prakaraņa with reference to $dvit\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ etc. for the sake of $j\bar{n}\bar{a}pana$.

किं ज्ञाप्यते ? What is suggested?

एतद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः अवयवविधौ सामान्यविधिर्न भवतीति $Ac\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavidhi$ does not operate at the time when $viś\bar{e}$ savidhi operates.

1. Cf. Pp. 242 and 243.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम् ?

What is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ of this $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pana$?

भिनत्ति, छिनत्ति इति, श्रमि कृते शम्न भवतीति

When the rule $Rudh\bar{a}dibhyah$ śnam (3, 1, 78) operates with reference to bhinatti and chinatti, the general rule Kartari śap (3, 1, 68) does not operate.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् ; शबादेशाः स्यन्नाद्यः करिष्यन्ते

This is not the benefit. Śyan etc. are read as the $ad\bar{e}$ of ap.

तत्तर्हि शपोग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Śapah is then to be read in Rudhādibhyah śnam.

न कर्तव्यम् । प्रकृतमनुवर्तते

No, it need not. It is taken by anuvṛtti from the context.

क प्रकृतम्? What is it which is prakrta?

कर्तरि शब् इति The sūtra Kartari śap.

तद्वै प्रथमानिर्दिष्टं, षष्ठीनिर्दिष्टेन चेहाथः

It is in the first case there and the sixth case is wanted here.

रुधादिभ्यः इत्येषा पञ्चमी शिबिति प्रथमायाः षष्ठीं प्रकल्पिय्यति तस्मादित्युत्तरस्येति The $pa\tilde{n}cam\tilde{\imath}$ in the word $rudh\bar{a}dibhyah$ enables the $pratham\bar{a}$ sap change to $sasth\bar{\imath}$ by the $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ $Tasm\bar{a}d$ ityuttarasya.

प्रत्ययविधिरयं, न च प्रत्ययविधौ पञ्चम्यः प्रकल्पिका भवन्ति This is pratyaya-vidhi and $pa\tilde{n}cam\bar{\imath}$ in pratyaya-vidhi cannot enable $pratham\bar{a}$ change to $sasth\bar{\imath}$.

नायं प्रत्ययविधिः । विहितः प्रत्ययः ¹ प्रकृतश्चानुवर्तते । This is not pratyaya-vidhi. The pratyaya enjoined elsewhere is taken here by anuvṛtti.

एवं तर्हि ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः यत्रोत्सर्गापवादं विभाषा तत्रापवादेन मुक्ते उत्सर्गो न भवति इति

If so, $Ac\bar{a}rya$ suggests that, where there is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ between a general rule and its exception, the general rule cannot operate in the absence of the exception.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम् ? What is the benefit of this jñāpana ? दिक्पूर्वपदान्डीष् - प्राङ्मुखी, प्राङ्मुखा; प्रत्यङ्मुखी, प्रत्यङ्मुखा । डीपा मुक्ते डीष् न भवति ।

1. Prakṛta ēva is another reading.

 $\dot{N}\bar{\imath}p$ takes the place of $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}s$ so that $pr\bar{a}\dot{n}mukh\bar{\imath}$ and $pratya\dot{n}-mukh\bar{\imath}$ are secured. Since it is optional (by the anuvriti of $v\bar{a}$ from $Asv\bar{a}\dot{n}gap\bar{\imath}rvapad\bar{a}d$ $v\bar{a}$ 4, 1, 53), we get the forms $pr\bar{a}\dot{n}-mukh\bar{a}$ and $pratya\dot{n}mukh\bar{a}$ and not the forms with $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}s$.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । वक्ष्यत्येतद् दिक्पूर्वपदान्ङीषोऽनुदात्तत्वम् । ङीब्विधाने द्यान्यत्रापि ङीप्विषयाद् ङीप्पसङ्ग इति ।

This is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ is going to read (under $Dik...\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ 4, 1, 60) the $v\bar{a}rttikas$ $Dikp\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}n$ $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}s\bar{o}snud\bar{a}ttatvam$, $N\bar{\imath}bvidh\bar{a}n\bar{e}$ hyanyatr $\bar{a}pi$ $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}svisay\bar{a}d$ $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ -prasangah.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् - अर्द्धपिप्पली, अर्द्धकोशातकी, एकदेशिसमासेन मुक्ते पष्ठीसमासो न भवति । उन्मत्तगङ्गं, लोहितगङ्गम्, अन्ययीभावेन मुक्ते बहुत्रीहिर्न भवति । दाक्षिः प्राक्षिः, इञा मुक्तेऽण् न भवति

If so, this is the $pray\bar{o}jana$:—There is no $sasih\bar{v}sam\bar{a}sa$ in the absence of $\bar{e}kad\bar{e}sisam\bar{a}sa$, $arddhapippal\bar{v}$ and $arddhak\bar{o}s\bar{a}tak\bar{v}$; there is no bahuvvihi in the absence of $avyay\bar{v}bh\bar{a}va$ unmattagaigam and $l\bar{o}hitagaigam$; and there is no an in the absence of $i\tilde{n}$ in $D\bar{a}ksih$ and $Pl\bar{a}ksih$.

यद्येतद् ज्ञाप्यते, उपगोरपत्यम् औपगवः, ताद्धितेन मुक्ते उपग्वपत्यम् इति न सिध्यति

If it is so suggested, Upagvapatyam cannot be secured in the absence of $taddhit\bar{a}nta$ $\bar{A}upagavah$ from $Upag\bar{o}h$ apatyam.

अस्त्यत्र विशेषः - द्वे ह्यत्र विभाषे, दैवयज्ञिशौचिवृक्षिसात्यमुत्रिकाण्ठेविद्धिभ्योऽ-न्यतरस्याम्, समर्थानां प्रथमाद्रा इति । तत्र एकया वृत्तिर्विभाषा, अपरया वृत्तिविषये विभाषापवादः

There is a special feature here:—Here are two $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}s:-D\bar{a}ivayaj\tilde{n}i-\bar{s}\bar{a}ucivrksi-s\bar{a}tyamugri-k\bar{a}nth\bar{e}viddhibhy\bar{o}snyataras-y\bar{a}m$ 4, 1, 81) and $Samarth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ pratham $\bar{a}d$ $v\bar{a}$ (4, 1, 82). Through one there is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ of vrtti and through another there is the $apav\bar{a}da$ of $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ with reference to vrtti ($\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{v}bh\bar{a}va$).

क्रियमाणेऽपि वै अन्यतरस्यां प्रहणे षष्ठीसमासो न प्राप्तोति Even on reading anyatarasyām şaṣṭhīsamāsa may not set in.

किं कारणम्? Why?

¹ पूरणगुणेन इति पतिषेधात्

Through the pratisēdha Pūraņa-guņa...(2, 2, 11).

नैतद् पूरणान्तम्, अनैतत्पर्यवपन्नम्

This $(dvit\bar{\imath}yah$ etc.) is not $p\bar{u}ranapratvay\bar{a}nta$, since $t\bar{\imath}ya$ is separated from anta by an (by $P\bar{u}ran\bar{a}d$ $bh\bar{a}g\bar{e}$ $t\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}d$ an 5, 3, 48.)

एतदिष पूरणान्तमेव This, too, is certainly pūranapratyayānta.

कथम्! How?

पूरणं नामार्थः; तमाह तीयशब्दः; अतः पूरणम्; योऽसौ पूरणान्तात् स्वार्थे भागेऽन्, सोऽपि पूरणमेव

 $P\bar{u}rana$ stands for $p\bar{u}ran\bar{a}rtha$. The suffix $t\bar{\imath}ya$ conveys it; hence it is $p\bar{u}rana$; the an coming after $p\bar{u}ran\bar{a}nta$ in $sv\bar{a}rtha$ is also $p\bar{u}rana$.

एवं तर्हि अन्यतरस्यांग्रहणसामध्यति षष्ठीसमासो भविष्यति । If so, $sasth \bar{s}am \bar{a}sa$ sets in on account of the $s\bar{a}marthya$ of the reading of $any at ar as y \bar{a}m$.

प्राप्तापने च द्वितीयया (2, 2, 4)

किमधेश्वकारः Why is cakāra read?

चकारोऽनुकर्षणार्थः Cakāra is to attract another.

किमनुकृष्यते ? What is attracted ?

अन्यतरस्यामित्येतद्नुकृष्यते Anyatarasyam is attracted.

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit?

अन्यतरस्यां समासो यथा स्यात्, समासेन मुक्ते वाक्यमपि यथा स्याद् जीविकां प्राप्तः इति

So that $sam\bar{a}sa$ may set in optionally and so that the phrase $j\bar{\imath}vik\bar{a}m$ $pr\bar{a}ptah$ too may stand in its absence.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । प्रकृता महाविभाषा, तया वाक्यमपि भविष्यति । This is not the benefit. There is the adhikāra for mahāvibhāṣā, which may enable the phrase too stand.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम्, द्वितीयासमासोऽपि यथा स्यात् जीविकापाप्त इति If so, this is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that there may be the $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$ $j\bar{\imath}vik\bar{a}pr\bar{a}ptah$ too.

1. Pūraņēna is another reading.

एतद्पि नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । अयमप्युच्यते, द्वितीयासमासोऽपि, तदुभयं वचनाद् भविष्यति

This too is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. The use of the phrase is stated and also that of $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$; both are secured by $s\bar{u}tras$ already read.

एवं तर्हि नायमनुकर्षणार्थश्चकारः

Cakāra is not then to attract another.

किं तर्हि? What for then?

अत्वमनेन विधीयते – प्राप्तापन्ने द्वितीयान्तेन सह समस्येते, अत्वं च भवति प्राप्तापन्नयोः इति । प्राप्ता जीविकां, प्राप्तजीविका ; आपन्ना जीविकाम्, आपन्नजीविका Atva is enjoined by this. The $s\bar{u}tra$ means that the words $pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}$ and $\bar{u}pann\bar{a}$ compound with a noun in the second case and the final \bar{a} of $pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}$ and $\bar{a}pann\bar{a}$ is changed to a. Hence $pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}$ and $j\bar{v}ik\bar{a}m$ compound into $pr\bar{a}ptaj\bar{v}ik\bar{a}$ and $\bar{a}pann\bar{a}$ and $j\bar{v}vik\bar{a}m$ into $\bar{a}pannaj\bar{v}vik\bar{a}$.

Note:—If so, the sūtra has to be read $Pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}pann\bar{e}sca$ $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$. But $Bhatt\bar{o}jid\bar{\imath}ksita$ reads $dvit\bar{\imath}yay\bar{a}$ into $dv\bar{\imath}tayay\bar{a}$ a.

कालाः परिमाणिना (2, 2, 5)

There are four topics here:-(1) Which member in $m\bar{a}saj\bar{a}tah$ is $pradh\bar{a}na$? (2) How is it that $j\bar{a}tasya$ is $sasth\bar{\imath}$ in $v\bar{a}kya$ and it changes to $pratham\bar{a}$ in $sam\bar{a}sa$? (3) Is $m\bar{a}sa$ parimana of $j\bar{a}ta$? (4) Need for the $upasankhy\bar{u}na$ of $\bar{\imath}kavacana-dvigu$.

I

किंपधानोऽयं समासः ! Which is pradhāna in the samāsa ? उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः The latter member is pradhāna.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that this question arises on this standpoint:—In the vigraha- $v\bar{a}kya$ $m\bar{a}s\bar{o}$ $j\bar{a}tasya$, $j\bar{a}tasya$, being $sasth\bar{i}$, is $apradh\bar{a}na$ and in $sam\bar{a}sa$ $M\bar{a}saj\bar{a}t\bar{o}$ $dr\acute{s}yat\bar{a}m$ it is $pradh\bar{a}na$, since it has $k\bar{a}ryasambandha$.

Il

यदि उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः सधर्मणानेन अन्येरुत्तरपदार्थप्रधानभीवितव्यम् । अन्येषु चोत्तरपदार्थप्रधानेषु येवासावन्तर्वर्तिनी विभक्तिः तस्याः समासेऽपि श्रवणं भवति । तद्यथा, राज्ञः पुरुषो राजपुरुष इति । इह पुनर्वाक्ये षष्ठी, समासे प्रथमा, केनैतदेवं भवति ?

If it is $uttarapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$, it should be on a par with other $uttarapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ -samāsas. The case-suffix of the latter member of the $vigrahav\bar{a}kya$ there remains the same as in the $sam\bar{a}sa$. Cf. $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣah and $r\bar{a}japuruṣah$. But here $j\bar{a}tasya$ is $\bar{s}asth\bar{i}$ in $v\bar{a}kya$ and it changes to $pratham\bar{a}$ in $sam\bar{a}sa$. On what basis is it so?

योऽसौ मासजातयोरिभसम्बन्धः समासे स निवर्तते । अभिहितः सोऽर्थोऽन्तर्भ्तः प्रातिपदिकार्थः सम्पन्नः । तत्र प्रातिपदिकार्थे प्रथमा इति प्रथमा भविष्यति The relation which is seen between $m\bar{a}sa$ and $j\bar{a}ta$ in the $vigrahav\bar{a}kya$ disappears in the $sam\bar{a}sa$. Though it is stated in the $v\bar{a}kya$, it disappears and merges into $pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}rtha$. It, then, takes the first case on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Pr\bar{a}dipadik\bar{a}rtha...pratham\bar{a}$ (2, 3, 46).

न तहींदानीमिदं भवति मासजातस्येति?

Is not, then, possible to secure the form māsajātasya?

भवति बाह्यमर्थम् अभिसमीक्ष्य षष्ठी

Sixth case comes after $m\bar{a}saj\bar{a}ta$ to show its sixth-case-relation with an external object.

III

कालस्य येन समासस्तस्यापरिमाणित्वादनिर्देशः

Since that with which $k\bar{a}la$ - $v\bar{a}caka$ - $\pm abda$ compounds is not parim $\bar{a}nin$, the expression is not sound.

कालस्य येन समासः सोऽपरिमाणी । तस्यापरिमाणित्वाद् अनिर्देशः । अगमको निर्देशः अनिर्देशः । न हि जातस्य मासः परिमाणम् ।

That with which $k\bar{a}la$ - $v\bar{a}caka$ -śabda compounds is not parimāṇin (i.e.) it does not have the $k\bar{a}la$ for its measure. Since it is not parimāṇin, the expression is not sound. The derivation of the word anirdēśaḥ is agamakō nirdēśaḥ; for māsa is not the measure of the $j\bar{a}ta$ (child).

कस्य तर्हि? Of whom then?

त्रिंशद्रात्रस्य । तद्यथा द्रोणो बद्राणां देवदत्तस्य इति न देवदत्तस्य द्रोणः .परिमाणम् ।

Of thirty days. For instance, drona is not parimāņa of Dēvadatta in Drono badarāņām Dēvadattasya.

कस्य तर्हि ? Of whom then? बदराणाम् Of jujubes.

सिद्धं तु कालपरिमाणं यस्य स कालस्तेन

The soundness of the expression is achieved, since the $k\bar{a}la$ - $v\bar{a}caka$ - $\pm sabda$ compounds with that which is related to the time restricted by the $k\bar{a}la$ - $\pm v\bar{a}caka$ - $\pm sabda$.

सिद्धमेतत् The desired object is achieved.

कथम् श How?

फालपरिमाणं यस्य स कालः तेन समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम्

 $K\bar{a}la$ is that which becomes $paricch\bar{e}da$ to the $k\bar{a}la$ - $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya$ which is related to it and it must be stated that it compounds with it $(j\bar{a}ta)$.

सिध्यति । सूत्रं तर्हि भिद्यते

The object is achieved; but the sūlra is modified.

यथान्यासमेवास्तु Let the sūtra be as it is.

ननु चोक्तं कालस्य येन समासस्तस्यापरिमाणित्वादनिर्देश इति ।

Oh, it was said that the expression is unsound on account of its being aparimānin with which $k\bar{a}la$ - $v\bar{a}caka$ -sabda compounds.

कं पुनर्भवान् कालं मत्वाह - कालस्य येन समासस्तस्यापरिमाणित्वादनिर्देश इति ? What does, in your opinion, the word kāla denote when you say kālasya yēna samāsah tasya aparimānit vād anirdēśah ?

येन मूर्तीनामुपचयाश्चापचयाश्च लक्ष्यन्ते तं कालमित्याहुः । तस्यैवं कयाचित् क्रियया युक्तस्य अहरिति च भवति रात्रिरिति च ।

They say that $k\bar{a}la$ is that when the growth or the decay of bodies is seen. The same, in association with some action, gets the name of the day and the night.

कया कियया? With which action?

आदित्यगत्या With the movement of the sun.

तयैवासकृदावृत्तया मास इति भवति, संवत्सर इति च भवति ।

The same being associated with it when repeated gets the name of month and year.

यद्येवं भवति जातस्य मासः परिमाणम्

If so, $m\bar{a}sa$ becomes the $parim\bar{a}na$ of $j\bar{a}ta$.

IV

एकवचनद्विगोश्चोपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need for the upasankhyāna of ēkavacana and dvigu too.

एकवचनान्तानामिति वक्तन्यम् । इह मा भूत्, मासौ जातस्य, मासा जातस्य इति । द्विगोश्चोति वक्तन्यम् - इहापि यथा स्यात्, द्विमासजातः, विमासजातः Ekavacanāntānām must be read to prevent samāsa between māsāu and jātasya and between māsāh and jātasya. The expression $dvig\bar{o}\dot{s}$ ca should be read so that compounds $dvim\bar{a}saj\bar{a}tah$ and $trim\bar{a}saj\bar{a}tah$ too may be secured.

डक्तं वा It has been answered.

किमुक्तम्? How has it been answered?

एकवचने तावदुक्तम् अनभिधानादिति

With reference to $\bar{e}kavacana$, it has already been answered by the statement $Anabhidh\bar{a}n\bar{a}t$.

Note:—Perhaps he refers to the vārttika Sanghātasya āikārthyād nāvayavasankhyātah subutpattih in the sūtra Samarthah padavidhih (2, 1, 1).

द्विगोः किमुक्तम् ? What has been said with reference to dvigu? उत्तरपदेन परिमाणिना द्विगोः समासवचनम्

Uttarapadēna parimāņinā dvigāh samāsavacanam (under 2, 1, 51)

नञ् (2, 2, 6)

Whether $na\tilde{n}sam\bar{a}sa$ is $utlarapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$, $anyapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ or $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ is discussed here.

किंप्रधानोऽयं समासः?

Whose member's meaning in this compound is pradhana? उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः

It has the meaning of the latter member pradhana.

यद्युत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः, अब्राह्मणमानय इत्युक्ते ब्राह्मणमात्रस्यानयनं प्राप्नोति । If it is uttarapadārthapradhāna, there is chance to bring only a brahman on hearing the expression Abrāhmaṇam ānaya.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that $vi\hat{s}\bar{e}sana$ cannot affect the connotation of $vi\hat{s}\bar{e}sya$.

अन्यपदार्थप्रधानस्तर्हि भविष्यति

If so, let it be anyapadārthapradhāna.

यदि अन्यपदार्थप्रधानः " अवर्षा हेमन्तः" इति हेमन्तस्य यिशकः वचनं च तत् समासस्यापि प्राम्नोति

If it is anyapadārthapradhāna, the word avarṣāḥ in Avarṣā hēmantaḥ may have to agree with hēmanta in gender and number.

पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानस्तार्हे भविष्यति

If so, let it be pūrvapadārthapradhāna.

यदि पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानः, अन्ययसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति ; अन्ययं ह्यास्य पूर्वपदम् इति If it is pūrvapadārthapradhāna, it may get avyayasanijñā; for its pūrvapada is avyaya.

नैष दोष: । पाठेनाव्ययसंज्ञा कियते, न च नव्समासस्तल पठ्यते । No, this difficulty will not arise. Avyaya-saninjna reaches those read in the gaṇapāṭha and $na\~nsam\=asa$ is not read there.

यद्यपि नञ्समासो न तत्र पठ्यते, नञ् तु पठ्यते । पाठेनापि अव्ययसंज्ञायां सत्यामिभेधयविष्ठिङ्गवचनानि भवन्ति । यश्चेह अर्थोऽभिधीयते न तस्य छिङ्गसङ्ख्याभ्यां योगोऽस्ति

 $Na\tilde{n}$ is read there even though $na\tilde{n}$ -sam $\bar{a}sa$ is not. When it gets avyaya-sa $mij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ through $p\tilde{a}tha$, the gender and number are used in consonance with those of the $pradh\bar{a}n\bar{a}rtha$ - $v\bar{a}caka$. The $pradh\bar{a}n\bar{a}rtha$ here is not connected with any gender or number.

नेदं वाचनिकमिलङ्गता असङ्ख्यता वा

This alingatva or asankhyātva is not based on verbal testimony.

ार्के तर्हि ? On what then?

स्वाभाविकमेतत् It is natural.

¹ तद्यथा, समानमीहमानानाञ्च अधीयानानां च केचिद्धेयुज्यन्ते, अपरे न । न चेदानीं कश्चिद् अर्थवानिति कृत्वा सर्वेर्थवद्भिः शक्यं भवितुं, कश्चिद्धा अनर्थक इति सर्वेरनर्थकैः । तत्र किमस्माभिः शक्यं कर्तुम् । यन्नञः प्राक् समासाद् लिङ्गसङ्ख्याभ्यां योगो नास्ति, समासे च भवति, स्वाभाविकमेतत् ।

For instance, of those persons who study equally with the same hope (of getting money), some get it and others do not.

1. Cf. Vol. i p. 155.

Because one gets money, it is not necessary that all have got it. Or because one does not get it, it is not necessary that all have not got it. What can be done by us there? It is in the nature of $na\tilde{n}$ to be devoid of gender and number before it becomes a member of the $sam\bar{a}sa$ and to have them after it becomes so.

अथ वा आश्रयतो लिङ्गवचनानि भविष्यन्ति । गुणवचनानां हि शब्दाना-माश्रयतो लिङ्गवचनानि भवन्ति । तद्यथा शुक्कं वस्त्रं, शुक्का शाटी, शुक्कः कम्बलः, शुक्को कम्बलो, शुक्काः कम्बला इति । यदसो द्रव्यं श्रितो भवति गुणः, तस्य यिछिङ्गं वचनं च तद् गुणस्यापि भवति । एविमहापि यदसौ द्रव्यं श्रितो भवति समासः, तस्य यिछङ्गं वचनं च तत् समासस्यापि भविष्यति ।

Or gender and number are decided from the words that are qualified. Words denoting quality take the gender and the number of the gunins. Viz. Śuklam vastram, śuklā śāṭī, śuklaḥ kambalaḥ, śuklāu kambalāu and śuklāḥ kambalāḥ. If the guṇa-vācaka qualifies guṇi-vācaka, the former takes the gender and the number of the latter. So also the samāsa takes the gender and the number of the dravya to which it refers.

अथ वा पुनरस्तु उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः

Or let it be, as was said before, uttarapadārthapradhāna.

ननु चोक्तम् - अब्राह्मणमानय इत्युक्ते ब्राह्मणमात्रस्यानयनं प्राप्तोति इति । Oh, it was said that there is chance for a brahman alone to be brought when Abrāhmaṇam ānaya is said.

नैष दोषः । इदं तावद्यं प्रष्टव्यः - अथेह राजपुरुषमानय, इत्युक्ते पुरुषमात-स्यानयनं कस्मान्न भवति ?

This difficulty cannot arise. He is to be put this question, "Why is not purusa alone brought when one is ordered to fetch rājapurusa here?"

अस्त्यत्न विशेषः – राजा विशेषकः प्रयुज्यते, तेन विशिष्टस्य आनयनं भवति There is a speciality here:—The word $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ becomes upalaksaka and hence $r\bar{a}japuru$ sa is fetched.

इहापि तर्हि नञ् विशेषकः प्रयुज्यते, तेन नञ्बिशिष्टस्यानयनं भविष्यति Here too, then, does nañ become viśēṣaka, so that there is chance to fetch nañ-viśiṣṭa-brāhmaṇa.

कः पुनरसा ? Who is he?

निवृत्तपदार्थकः

One from whom the padartha of brāhmaṇa (i.e. mukhyam brāhmaṇyam) is with held.

Note:-Abrāhmaņah means adhyārēpitabrāhmanyah kṣatri-yādih.

यदा पुनरस्य अर्थे। निवर्तते, किं स्वामाविकी निवृत्तिः आहोस्विद् वाचिनिकी ? If the meaning of the uttarapada is withheld, is it so by its very nature or is it made by the word $na\tilde{n}$?

किञ्चातः ? What does it matter if it is this or that?

यदि स्वाभाविकी, किं नञ् प्रयुज्यमानः करोति ? अथ वाचिनिकी, तद्वक्तव्यं नञ् प्रयुज्यमानः पदार्थं निवर्तयति इति

If it is by its very nature, what purpose is served by the use of $na\tilde{n}$? If, on the other hand, it is made by the word $na\tilde{n}$, it should be so stated that $na\tilde{n}$ in association with a noun withholds from it the comprehension of its meaning.

एवं तर्हि स्वाभाविकी निवृत्तिः If so, nivṛtti is by its very nature.

ननु चोक्तं किं नञ् प्रयुज्यमानः करोति इति

Oh, it was said, "What purpose does the use of nañ serve?"

नञ् प्रयुज्यमानः पद्धि निवर्तयति

 $Na\tilde{n}$, in association with the word $br\bar{a}hmana$, enables it to have its meaning withheld from it.

कथम्? How?

कीलपितकीलवत् । तद्यथा, कील आहन्यमानः प्रतिकीलं निर्दृन्ति । Like wedge and counter-wedge. When the wedge is beaten, it makes the counter-wedge fall down.

यद्येतन्त्रजो माहात्म्थं स्यात्, न जातु चिद् राजानो हस्त्यश्वं बिभृयुः, नेत्येव राजानो ब्र्युः ।

If this is the greatness of $na\tilde{n}$, never will kings maintain an army of elephants and horses. They will simply pronounce the word na.

Note:—The humour of Mahābhāṣyakāra here deserves to be noted.

एवं तर्हि स्वाभाविकी निवृत्तिः If so, nivṛtti is by its very nature. ननु चोक्तम्, किं नञ् प्रयुज्यमानः करोति इति

Oh, it was said, "What purpose does the use of nañ serve?"

तिनिमित्तां तूपलिव्यः । तद्यथा - समन्धकारे द्रव्याणां समवस्थितानां प्रदीप-निमित्तं दर्शनम् ; न च तेषां प्रदीपो निर्वर्तको भवति ।

The comprehension of the meaning (that brāhmaṇa in abrāhmaṇa means not the mukhyabrāhmaṇa but ārōpita-brāhmaṇa-vad-brāhmaṇa) is with the help of nañ. It may be illustrated thus:-The sight of objects in dense darkness is with the help of light; but the light does not create them.

यदि पुनर्यं निवृत्तपदार्थकः, ब्राह्मणशब्दः किमर्थं प्रयुज्यते ? एवं यथा विज्ञायेत अस्य पदार्थो निवर्तते इति, नेत्युक्ते सन्देहः स्यात् कस्य पदार्थो निवर्तते इति । तत्र असन्देहार्थः ब्राह्मणशब्दः प्रयुज्यते । एवं चैतत् । If nañ is nivṛtta-padārthaka, what for is the word brāhmaṇa used? If 'na' is used to signify that the meaning of a word is withheld, it cannot be clearly understood without an iota of doubt whose meaning is withheld. Hence the word brāhmaṇa is used to clear the doubt. It has evidently this purpose.

अथ वा सर्व एते शब्दा गुणसमुदायेषु वर्तन्ते - ब्राह्मणः, क्षत्रियः, वैश्यः शूद्ध इति Or all these words - brāhmaṇaḥ, kṣatriyaḥ, vāiśyaḥ and śūdraḥ are used where the group of peculiar qualities is found.

तपः श्रुतं च योनिश्चेत्येतद्ब्राह्मणकारकम् । तपःश्रुताभ्यां यो हीनो जातिब्राह्मण एव सः ॥

तथा गौरः शुच्याचारः पिङ्गलः कपिलकेशः इत्येतानप्यभ्यन्तरान् ब्राह्मण्ये गुणान् कुर्वन्ति ।

Austerities, study of Vēdas and birth are the *nimittas* to call one a brahman. He who is devoid of tapas and śruta is called jāti-brāhmaṇa. These proximate qualities too—red complexion, clean conduct and possessing reddish brown or tawny hair - are ascribed to brāhmaṇya.

¹ समुद्रायेषु च वृत्ताः शब्दा अवयवेष्विप वर्तन्ते । तद्यथा पूर्वे पञ्चालाः, उत्तरे पञ्चालाः ; तैलं भुक्तं, घृतं भुक्तम् ; शुक्को नीलः किपलः कृष्ण इति । एवमयं समुद्राये प्रवृत्तो ब्राह्मणशब्दः अवयवेष्विप वर्तते जातिहीने गुणहीने च ।

1. Cf. Vol. I p. 77

Words denoting wholes are used to denote parts also:-Viz. East $Pa\tilde{n}c\bar{a}la$, North $Pa\tilde{n}c\bar{a}la$; $t\bar{a}ila$ is taken in, ghrta is taken in; white, blue, brown and black, object. So also the word $br\bar{a}hmana$ used to denote one possessing all the qualities is used to denote one who possesses them excluding $j\bar{a}ti$ and guna.

गुणहीने तावत् - अब्राह्मणोऽयं यस्तिष्ठनमूलयति, अब्राह्मणोऽयं यस्तिष्ठन् भक्षयति

Firstly with reference to $gunah\bar{\imath}na:$ -He who passes urine standing is $abr\bar{a}hmana$; he who eats standing is $abr\bar{a}hmana$.

जातिहीने सन्देहाद् दुरुपदेशाच ब्राह्मणशब्दो वर्तते । सन्देहात्तावत् - गौरं शुच्याचारं पिङ्गलकपिलकेशं दृष्ट्वा अध्यवस्यति, ब्राह्मणोऽयमिति । ततः उपलभते नायं ब्राह्मणः, अब्राह्मणोऽयमिति । तत्र सन्देहाद् ब्राह्मणशब्दो वर्तते; जातिकृता चार्थस्य निवृत्तिः

The word brāhmaṇa is used towards one who is not born a brahman through causes for doubting and wrong direction. Firstly through sandēha-nimitta:—On seeing one who is red in complexion, of pure conduct and having reddish brown or tawny hair, a man decides that he is a brahman. Then he learns that he is not a brāhmaṇa but an abrāhmaṇa. He uses, there, the word brāhmaṇa since there are causes for doubting it. Its meaning is withheld on knowing his true jāti.

दुरुपदेशात् - दुरुपदिष्टमस्य भवति - अमुिम्नवकाशे ब्राह्मणः, तमानय इति । स तत्र गत्वा यं पश्यति तमध्यवस्यति, ब्राह्मणोऽयम् इति । ततः पश्चाद् उपलभते नायं ब्राह्मणः अब्राह्मणोऽयम् इति । तत्र दुरुपदेशाः ब्राह्मणशञ्दो वर्तते । जातिकृता चार्थस्य निवृत्तिः । आतश्च सन्देहाद्दुरुपदेशाद्वा । न ह्ययं कालं माषराशिवणमापणे आसीनं दृष्ट्वाऽध्यवस्यति ब्राह्मणोऽयम् इति ; निर्ज्ञातं तस्य भवति ।

Through wrong direction:—He is given this wrong direction:—"There is a $br\bar{a}hmana$ in that place. Take him here." He goes there and decides that the person he sees is a $br\bar{a}hmana$. He then learns that he is not a $br\bar{a}hmana$ but an $abr\bar{a}hmana$. He uses there the word $br\bar{a}hmana$ through wrong direction. Its meaning is withheld through his true $j\bar{a}ti$. Hence the chance to use the word $br\bar{a}hmana$ arises from $sand\bar{e}hanimitta$ and $durupad\bar{e}sa$. He never decides one to be a $br\bar{a}hmana$ who is as

black as black gram and who is seated in a bazaar, since he knows him well (that he is not a $br\bar{a}hmana$).

इदं खल्विप, भूय उत्तरपदार्थप्राधान्ये सित संगृहीतं भवति

This too is achieved, in addition, if the $uttarapad\bar{a}rtha$ is considered $pradh\bar{a}na$.

किम्? What?

अनेकम् इति The word anēkam.

किमत्र संगृहीतम्? What is achieved here?

एकवचनम् Singular number.

कथं पुनरेकस्य प्रतिषेधेन द्विबहूनां संप्रत्ययः स्थात्?

How is it possible for two or more to be comprehended by the pratisēdha of one?

प्रसज्यायं कियागुणौ ततः पश्चान्तिवृत्तिं करोति । तद्यथा आसय शायय भोजय अनेकम् इति

Having enjoined kriyā and guṇa, he, then, prohibits one from having them, as in "Seat, provide with bed and feed anēka."

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that since $kriy\bar{a}$ and guna have $\bar{a}sraya$ and since one is prohibited, many have them.

यद्यपि तावदत्र एतत् शक्यते वक्तुं यत्र क्रियागुणौ प्रसज्येते, यत्र तु खळु पुनर्न प्रसज्येते तत्र कथम्, अनेकं तिष्ठति इति ?

Even though it is possible to say so where $kriy\bar{a}$ and guna have already been enjoined, how will it be possible, in instances like $An\bar{e}kam\ tisthati$, where they have not already been enjoined?

भवति चैवंजातीयकानामप्येकस्य प्रतिषेधेन बहूर्ना सम्प्रत्ययः । तद्यथा - न न एकं प्रियम्, न न एकं सुखम् इति

Comprehension of many takes place through the pratisēdha of one in similar instances too; Viz. Na na ēkam priyam and Na na ēkam sukham.

Note:—The doubling of na is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{A}b\bar{a}dh\bar{e}$ ca (8, 1, 10). Na na $\bar{e}kam$ means $an\bar{e}kam$.

इहं अब्राह्मणत्वम् अब्राह्मणता परत्वात् त्वतले प्रामुतः

Here in the words $abr\bar{a}hmanatvam$ and $a\bar{b}r\bar{a}hmanat\bar{a}$ $tv\bar{a}$ and tal, being para may appear.

तत्र को दोष: ? What harm is there?

स्वरे हि दोषः स्यात् । अब्राह्मणत्वम् इत्येवं स्वरः प्रसज्येत । अब्राह्मणत्वम् इति चेष्यते

There will be difficulty in svara. The initial syllable, will chance to be $ud\bar{a}tta$, though it is desired that the final syllable should be so.

नञ्समासे भाववचने उक्तम्

It has been said with reference to the word denoting $bh\bar{a}va$ in $na\tilde{n}$ -sam $\bar{a}sa$ (in Tasya $bh\bar{a}vas$ $tvatal\bar{a}u$ (5, 1, 119).

त्वतलभ्यां नञ्समासः पूर्वविभितिषिद्धं त्वतलाः स्वरिसध्यर्थम् इति Nañsamāsa takes place with words ending in tva and tal through pūrvavipratiṣēdha so that udātta may fall on tva and tal.

इषदक्ता (2, 2, 7)

ईषद्गुणवचनेनेति वक्तव्यम् । अक्नतेति ह्युच्यमाने इह च प्रसज्येत, ईषद्गार्थः, इह च न स्यात् ईषत्कडार इति

Guṇavacanēna should replace $akrt\bar{a}$. If $akrt\bar{a}$ is read, it may operate here in $\bar{\imath}$ sad- $g\bar{a}rgyah$ and not here in $\bar{\imath}$ sat- $kad\bar{a}rah$.

पष्ठी (2, 2, 8)

कृद्योगा च Need to add krdyögā.

कृद्योगा च षष्ठी समस्यत इति वक्तन्यम् - इध्मप्रत्रश्चनः, पलाशशातनः It must be read that a noun in the sixth case compounds with kṛdanta which it qualifies.

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते ? What is the need for reading this?

प्रतिपद्विधाना च षष्ठी न समस्यते इति वक्ष्यति । तस्यायं पुरस्तादपकर्षः । He ($V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$) is going to read that $pratipadavidh\bar{a}na$ -sasthī does not compound with what it qualifies (in 2, 2, 10). Exception to it is made here in advance.

का पुनः षष्ठी प्रतिपद्विधाना, का च कृद्योगा?

Which sasthī is pratipadavidhānā and which is kṛdyōgā?

सर्वा षष्ठी प्रतिपद्विधाना शेषलक्षणां वर्जियत्वा । कर्तृकर्मणोः कृति इति तु या षष्ठी सा कृद्योगा । Any saṣṭhī not within the purview of Saṣṭhī śēṣē (2, 3, 50) is pratipadavidhānā. The ṣaṣṭhī within the purview of Kartr-karmaṇōḥ kṛti (2, 3, 65) is kṛdyōgā.

तत्स्थैश्र गुणैः

Along with words denoting qualities which its stem denotes.

तत्स्थेश्च गुणैः षष्ठी समस्यतं इति वक्तव्यम् - ब्राह्मणवर्णः, चन्द्नगन्धः, पटहश्चदः, नदीघोषः

It must be read that the word in the sixth case compounds with words denoting qualities which are denoted by its stem. Viz. Brāhmaṇavarṇaḥ, candanagandhaḥ, paṭah śabdaḥ and nadīghōṣaḥ.

Note:—When varņa is classified into brāhmaṇavarṇa kṣatriyavarṇa etc., the words brāhmaṇa, kṣatriya etc. refer to varṇa and not to the guṇin. Similarly if gandha is classified into candanagandha, puṣpagandha etc., the words candana, puṣpa etc. refer to the gandha and not to the gandhin. If śabda is similarly classified into paṭaha-śabda, bhērīśabda etc., the words paṭaha, bhērī etc. refer only to śabda and not to śabdin. If ghōṣa is similarly classified into nadīghōṣa, samudru-ghōṣa etc., the words nadī, samudra etc. refer to the ghōṣa and not to the ghōṣin. In such cases, Vārttikakāra says, there is ṣaṣṭhī-tatpuruṣa.

न तु तिद्वशेषणैः But not with their viśēṣaṇas.

न तु तद्विशेषणैरिति वक्तव्यम् । इह गा भूत् - घृतस्य तीत्रो गन्धः, चन्दनस्य मृदुः इति

It must be stated that they do not compound with their viśēṣaṇas, so that there may be no samāsa in ghṛtasya tīvrō gandhaḥ, candanasya mṛduḥ (sparśaḥ) etc.

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते ? What for is this read?

Note: -Idam refers to the vārttika Tasthāiś ca gunāih.

गुणेन न इति प्रतिषेधं वक्ष्यति, तस्यायं पुरस्तादपकर्षः

 $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ is going to read the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ Guṇēna na and this is \cdot its $apav\bar{a}da$ in advance.

किं पुनः कारणं गुणेन न इत्युच्यते, न पुनर्गुणवचनेन न इत्युच्येत? Why is it read Gunena na and not Gunavacanena na?

नेवं शक्यम् । इह हि न स्थात् काकस्य काष्ण्यं, कण्टकस्य तैक्षण्यं, बलाकायाः शौकल्यम् इति । एतदेव खल्विप तस्मिन् योगे उदाहरणम् ।

It is not possible. In that case $p_latis\bar{e}dha$ cannot operate in the following:— $K\bar{c}$ kasya $k\bar{a}rsnyam$, kantakasya $t\bar{a}iksnyam$ and $bal\bar{a}k\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ $s\bar{a}uklyam$. These are the examples given in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

यद्धीदं ब्राह्मणस्य शुक्ताः वृपलस्य कृष्णा इति ?

What about brāhmaņasya śuklāḥ (in brāhmaṇasya śuklā dantāḥ), and Vrṣalasya kṛṣṇāḥ (in vṛṣalasya kṛṣṇā dantāḥ)?

असामध्यदित्र न भविष्यति

They do not compound with each other on account of asamarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम् ? What is the basis for asāmarthya? सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ ṣam asamartham bhavati.

द्रव्यमत्र अपेक्ष्यते - दन्ताः

The gunin (of $\hat{s}ukl\bar{a}h$ etc.) (i.e.) $dant\bar{a}h$ is anticipated.

तसाद् गुणेन न इति वक्तत्र्यम् । गुणेन न इत्युच्यमाने तत्स्थैश्च गुणेः इति वक्तत्र्यम् । तत्स्थैश्च गुणेः इत्युच्यमाने न तु तद्विशेषणेः इति वक्तत्र्यम् । Hence is the necessity to enjoin Guṇēna na. If Guṇēna na is read, Tatsthāiś ca guṇāiḥ has to be read. If Tatsthāiś ca guṇāiḥ is read, Na tu tadviśēṣaṇāiḥ has to be read.

न निर्घारणे (2, 2, 10)

प्रतिपद्विधाना च Need to read Pratipadavidhānā ca.

प्रतिपद्विधाना च षष्ठी न समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम् - इह मा भूत् सर्पिषो ज्ञानं, मधुनो ज्ञानम् ।

It has to be read that the <u>sasth</u> $\bar{\imath}$ which is <u>pratipadavidh</u> $\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ does not compound with that which it qualifies, to prevent <u>sarpisah</u> and $j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$, and <u>madhunah</u> and $j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$ from compounding with each other.

पूरणगुणसहितार्थसद्व्ययतव्यसमानाधिकरणेन (2, 2, 11)

गुणे किमुदाहरणम्? What is the example regarding guṇa? ब्राह्मणस्य शुक्काः, वृषलस्य कृष्णाः इति

Brāhmaņasya suklāh and vrsalasya krsnāh.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । असामर्थ्यादत्र न भविष्यति

They cannot serve as examples. They do not compound with each other thro' $as\bar{a}marthya$.

कथमसामध्येम् What is the basis for asāmarthya?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति, द्रव्यमलापेक्ष्यते दन्ताः

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ sam asamartham bhavati; the gunin-dantan is necessary to complete the idea.

इदं तर्हि – काकस्य कार्ण्य, कण्टकस्य तैक्ष्ण्यं, बलाकायाः शौक्ल्यम् इति This (each of the following) is then the example:— $K\bar{a}kasya$ $k\bar{a}rsnyam$, kantakasya $t\bar{a}iksnyam$ and $bal\bar{a}k\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ $s\bar{a}uklyam$.

इदं चाप्युदाहरणं, ब्राह्मणस्य शुक्काः, वृषलस्य कृष्णाः इति This too may be the udāharaṇa:—Brāhmaṇasya śuklāḥ and vṛṣalasya kṛṣṇāḥ.

ननु चोक्तम् - असामध्यी्दत्र न भविष्यति

Oh, it was said that they do not form samāsa thro' asāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवतीति, द्रव्यमत्रापेक्ष्यते दन्ताः इति

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ ṣam asamartham bhavati; there is, here, the $ap\bar{e}k$ ṣ \bar{a} of the dravya- $dant\bar{a}h$.

भवति वै कस्यचिदर्थात् प्रकरणाद्वा अपेक्ष्यं निर्ज्ञातं भवति तदा वृत्तिः प्राप्तोति There is chance for $sam\bar{a}sa$ if that, for which there is $ap\bar{e}k s\bar{a}$, is well understood through the capacity of the word or the context.

Note:—The word artha, above, possibly means linga ($\acute{s}abdas\bar{a}marthyam$) of the $P\bar{u}rvam\bar{i}m\bar{a}\dot{m}sakas$.

सति किमुदाहरणम्?

What is the example regarding sat in the sūtra?

Note:—Śatr and śānac are designated as sat in 3, 2, 127. ब्राह्मणस्य पक्ष्यन्, ब्राह्मणस्य पक्ष्यन्।

Brāhmanasya pakṣyan and brāhmanasya pakṣyamāṇaḥ.

नैतद्सित । प्रतिषिध्यतेऽत्र पष्ठी लप्रयोगे न इति

No, it cannot be; for $sasth\bar{\imath}$ is prohibited in $lapray\bar{\imath}ga$ (in Na $l\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}vyayanisth\bar{a}khalarthatrn\bar{a}m$ 2, 3, 69).

या च श्रूयते, एषा बाह्यमर्थमपेक्ष्य भवति । तत्रासामर्थ्याद् न भविष्यति । That which is current in usage depends upon some external element. $Sam\bar{a}sa$ there does not take place through $as\bar{a}marthya$.

कथमसामर्थ्यम् ? What is the basis for asāmarthya? सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति । द्रव्यमत्र अपेक्ष्यते ओद्नः

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k\bar{s}am$ asamartham bhavati. There is $ap\bar{e}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$ here for the $dravya\ \bar{o}dana$.

इदं तर्हि चोरस्य द्विषन्, वृषलस्य द्विषन्

If so, the udāharana is Cōrasya dviṣan and vṛṣalasya dviṣan.

ननु चात्रापि प्रतिषिध्यते पष्ठी Oh, $sasth\bar{\imath}$ is prohibited here too.

वक्ष्यत्येतत् द्विषः शतुर्वावचनम् इति

Vārttikakāra is going to read Dvisah saturvāvacanam (under 2, 3, 69).

अन्यये किमुदाहरणम् ? What is the example regarding avyaya? ब्राह्मणस्य उच्चैः, वृषलस्य नीचैः इति

Brāhmaņasya uccāih and vṛṣalasya nīcāih.

नैतदस्ति । असामध्यदित्र न भविष्यति

No, it is not. There will be no $sam\bar{a}sa$ here thro' $as\bar{a}marthya$.

कथमसामध्येम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति । द्रव्यमत्रापेक्ष्यते आसनम्

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ şam asamartham bhavati. There is $ap\bar{e}k$ ṣ \bar{a} for the dravya $\bar{a}sana$.

इदं तर्हि ब्राह्मणस्य कृत्वा, वृषलस्य कृत्वा इति

These, then, serve as examples:— $Br\bar{a}hmanasya$ $krtv\bar{a}$ and vrsalasya $krtv\bar{a}$.

एतदिप नास्ति, प्रतिषिध्यते तत्र षष्ठी अन्ययप्रयोगे न इति These, too, cannot be; Sasthi is prohibited with reference to avyaya (in Na lōkāvyaya...2, 3, 69).

या च श्रूयते एषा बाह्यार्थमपेक्ष्य भवति, तत्रासामध्यात्र भवति
That which is current in usage depends upon some external element. Samāsa there does not take place through asāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम् What is the basis for asamarthya? सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति । द्रव्यमत्रापेक्ष्यते कटः

The dietum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ ṣam asamartham bhavati. There is $ap\bar{e}k$ ṣā for the dravya kaṭa here.

इदं तर्हि पुरा सूर्यस्यादेतोराधेयः, पुरा वत्सानामपाकर्तोः

These then are the examples:— $Pur\bar{a}$ $s\bar{u}ryasya$ $ud\bar{e}t\bar{o}h$ $\bar{a}dh\bar{e}yah$ and $pur\bar{a}$ $vats\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ $ap\bar{a}kart\bar{o}h$.

ननु चात्रापि प्रतिविध्यते अञ्ययमिति कृत्वा

Oh, there is prohibition here too since it is avyaya.

वक्ष्यत्येतत् - अव्ययप्रतिषेधे तोसुन्कसुनोरप्रतिषेधः इति

(Vārttikakāra) is going to read Avyayapratiṣēdhē tōsunkasunōr-apratiṣēdhaḥ (under 2, 3, 69).

समानाधिकरणे किमुदाहरणम् ?

What is the example regarding samānādhikaraņa?

राज्ञः पाटलिपुत्रकस्य, शुकस्य माराविकस्य, पाणिनेः सूत्रकारस्य $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ $p\bar{a}taliputrakasya$, śukasya $m\bar{a}r\bar{a}vikasya$ and $P\bar{a}nin\bar{e}h$ sūtrak $\bar{a}rasya$.

नैतद्स्ति । असामध्यदित्र न भविष्यति

This cannot be. They do not compound thro' asamarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya? समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद्भवति

The dictum Samānādhikaraṇam asamarthavad bhavati.

इह तर्हि - सर्पिषः पीयमानस्य, यजुषः क्रियमाणस्य इति

If so, let these be $ud\bar{a}haranas:$ —Sarpiṣah pīyamānasya and Yajuṣah kriyamānasya.

ननु चात्रापि असामध्यदिव न भविष्यति

Oh, even here they do not compound thro' asāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम् ? What is the basis for asāmarthya ? समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद् भवतीति

That which has the same adhikarana is asamartha.

अधात्वभिहितमित्येवं तत्

.It refers to that which is not abhihita by dhātu.

1. मा रावीत्याहेति माराविक: ... संज्ञैषा ग्रुकविशेषस्य । क्विचु माराविदस्य इति पाठः । तल माराविशब्दं ददातीति माराविदेः (रघुनाथशास्त्री).

कमिण च (2, 2, 14)

It is decided here that karmani should be qualified by $ubhaya-pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}u$ and it should qualify $sasth\bar{i}$.

कथिनदं विज्ञायते, कर्मणि या षष्ठी सा न समस्यते इति, आहोस्वित् कर्मणि यः क्तः इति?

How is this to be interpreted, whether karmani ṣaṣṭhī does not compound with the following word or karmani ktaḥ?

कुतः सन्देहः ? What is the source of the doubt?

उभयं प्रकृतं, तत्रान्यतरत् शक्यं विशेषयितुम् ।

Both are in the context and hence either of them can be taken as $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}sya$.

कश्चात्र विशेषः ! What is the difference here?

कर्मणीति षष्टीनिर्देशश्रेद् अकर्तरि कृता समासवचनम्

If karmani qualifies ṣaṣṭhī, samāsa between karmani ṣaṣṭhī and akartari krdanta has to be enjoined.

कर्भणीति षष्ठीनिर्देशश्चेद् अकर्तरि कृता समासो वक्तव्यः - इध्मपत्रश्चनः, पलाशशातनः

If karm iņi qualifies ṣaṣṭhī, samāsa has to be enjoined between karmaņi ṣaṣṭhī and akartari kṛdanta, to secure the forms idhma-pravraścanaḥ and palāśa-sātanaḥ.

तृजकाभ्यां चानर्थकः प्रतिषेधः

The pratisēdha of samāsa of karmaņi ṣaṣṭhī with words ending in tṛc and aka is unnecessary.

तृजकाभ्यां चानर्थकः कर्तरि प्रतिषेघो भवति - अपां स्रष्टा, पुरां भेता, यवानां लावकः, कर्मणि इत्येव सिद्धम्

The $s\bar{u}tra$ $Trjak\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$ kartari (2, 2, 15) to prevent $sam\bar{a}sa$ between $ap\bar{a}m$ and $srast\bar{a}$, between $pur\bar{a}m$ and $bh\bar{e}tt\bar{a}$ and between $yav\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ and $l\bar{a}vakah$ is unnecessary, since it will be secured by this $s\bar{u}tra$ Karmani ca.

अस्तु तर्हि कर्मणि यः क्तः इति Let karmaṇi, then, qualify ktaḥ. किमुदाहरणम्? What is the udāharana?

ब्राह्मणस्य भुक्तम्, वृषलस्य पीतम् इति Brāhmaṇasya bhuktam and vṛṣalasya pītam.

क्तनिर्देशेऽसमर्थत्वादप्रतिषेधः

No need for pratisēdha on account of asāmarthya if karmaņi qualifies kta.

क्तनिर्देशेऽसमर्थत्वाद्वतिषेधः । अनर्थकः प्रतिषेधः अप्रतिषेधः

Pratisēdha is of no use on account of asāmarthya if karmaņi qualifies kta. Apratisēdhaḥ means anarthakaḥ pratisēdhaḥ.

समासः कसान्न भवति ? Why does not samāsa set in?

असामध्यति On account of the absence of sāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम् What is the basis for asāmarthya?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति । द्रव्यमत्रापेक्ष्यते ओदनः

The dietum Sāpēkṣam asamartham bhavati. The dravya ōdana is wanted here.

प्रतिपेध्यमिति चेत् कर्तर्यपि प्रतिषेधः

If the pratisēdha is considered necessary there, the same is needed in kartari also.

अथैवं सित प्रतिषेधः कर्तव्य इति मन्यसे, कर्तर्यपि प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः स्यात् -ब्राह्मणस्य गतः, ब्राह्मणस्य ज्ञातः इति

If even, as it is, you consider that there is need for pratisēdha (in karmaņi), pratisēdha in kartari too has to be enjoined to prevent samāsa in brāhmaņasya gatah and brāhmaņasya jñātah.

पूजायां च प्रतिपेधानर्थक्यम्

Non-need of the pratisēdhasūtra Ktēna ca pūjāyām.

पूजायां च मितपेघोऽनर्थकः । राज्ञां पूजितः, राज्ञाम् अचित इति कर्मणि इत्येव सिद्धम्

The $s\bar{u}tra$ $Kt\bar{e}na$ ca $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ is unnecessary. $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}m$ and $p\bar{u}jitah$ and $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}m$ and arcitah will not compound by this $s\bar{u}tra$ Karmani ca.

तस्मादुभयप्राप्तौ कर्मणि षष्ट्याः प्रतिपेधः

Hence the pratisēdha refers to the sasthī qualified by ubhaya-prāptāu karmani.

तसादु उभयपासौ कर्मणीत्येवं या षष्ठी तस्याः प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः

Hence there is need to prohibit the samāsa between the ṣaṣthī qualified by ubhayaprāptāu karmaņi and the following word.

स तर्हि वक्तन्यः It, then, should be read.

न वक्तन्यः । इत्यर्थेऽयं चः पठितः । कर्मणि च, कर्मणि इत्येवं या पष्ठीति No, it need not be read. Ca here is read in the sense of iti. $Karmani\ ca\ means\ karmani\ ityēvam\ yā ṣaṣṭhī$.

नित्यं क्रीडाजीविकयोः (2, 2, 17)

किमिह नित्यग्रहणेनाभिसम्बध्यते विधिः आहोस्वित् प्रतिपेधः ?

Does nitya here go with vidhi or pratisēdha?

विधिरित्याह "It goes with vidhi", says he.

कुत एतत्? Why is it so?

विधिहिं विभाषा, नित्यः प्रतिषेधः

For vidhi is optional and pratisēdha is nitya.

कुगतिप्राद्यः (2, 2, 18)

 $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ finds out two defects in the $s\bar{u}tra$ and suggests a $v\bar{a}rttika$ in its place followed by another. They are explained in detail by $S\bar{a}un\bar{a}gas$.

प्रादिप्रसङ्गे कर्मप्रवचनीयप्रतिषेधः

Pratiṣēdha of pra etc. if they are karmapravacanīyas.

पादिपसङ्गे कर्मप्रवचनीयानां प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः - वृक्षं प्रति विद्योतते विद्युत् ; साधुर्देवदत्तो मातरं प्रति ।

There is need to prohibit the samāsa of pra etc. if they are karmapravacanīyas. Cf. Vṛkṣam prati vidyōtatē vidyut and Sādhur Dēvadattō mātaram prati.

च्यवेतप्रतिषेधश्च Prohibition of samāsa between vyavahitas.

व्यवेतानां च प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः - आम्न्द्रेरिनद्भ हरिभिर्याहि मयूररोमभिः। (R. V. 3, 45, 1.)

 $Sam\bar{a}sa$ between intercepted words is to be prohibited, as between \bar{a} and $y\bar{a}hi$ in \bar{A} mandr $\bar{a}ir$ indra haribhir $y\bar{a}hi$ may $\bar{u}ra$ -r $\bar{o}mabhih$.

सिद्धं तु काङ्खितिदुर्गतिवचनात्

The object is achieved replacing the $s\bar{u}tra$ by $Kv\bar{a}n-svati-durgatayah$.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम्? How?

काङ्खितदुर्गतयः समस्यन्ते इति वक्तत्र्यम् - कु - कुब्राह्मगः, कुवृपलः, आङ् - आकडारः, आपिङ्गलः; सु - सुब्राह्मगः, सुवृपलः; अति - अतिब्राह्मणः, अतिवृपलः; दुर् - दुर्ब्राह्मणः,, दुर्वृपलः; गिति - प्रकारकः, प्रणायकः, प्रसेवकः, ऊरीकृत्य, उररीकृत्य। It must be enjoined that ku, ān, su, ati, dur and gati compound with samartha Viz. Kubrāhmaṇaḥ, kuvṛṣalaḥ; ākaḍāraḥ, āpin-galaḥ; subrāhmaṇaḥ, suvṛṣalaḥ; atibrāhmaṇaḥ, ativṛṣalaḥ; durbrāhmaṇaḥ, durvṛṣalaḥ; prakārakaḥ, praṇāyakaḥ, prasēvakaḥ, ūrīkṛtya and urarīkṛtya.

प्राद्यः कार्थे Pra etc. in the sense of kta.

प्राद्यः कार्थे समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् प्रगत आचार्यः - प्राचार्यः, प्रान्तेवासी, प्रिपतामहः

It must be enjoined that pra etc. in the sense of kta compound with samartha. Cf $Pr\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryah$ (= pragatah $\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryah$), $pr\bar{a}nt\bar{e}v\bar{a}s\bar{i}$ and $prapit\bar{a}mahah$.

एतदेव च सानागैविंस्तरतरकेण पठितम्

The same is read in great detail by Sāunāgas.

स्वती पूजायाम् Su and ati to suggest veneration.

स्वती पूजायामिति वक्तव्यम् - सुराजा, अतिराजा

It must be read that su and ati compound with the samartha to suggest veneration; Cf. $sur\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ and $atir\bar{a}j\bar{a}$.

दुर्निन्दायाम् Dur to suggest contempt.

दुर्निन्दायामिति वक्तव्यम् - दुष्कुलं, दुर्गवः

It must be read that dur compounds with the samartha to suggest contempt. Cf. duṣkulam and durgavaḥ.

आङोषदर्थे An to suggest slightly.

आङीपदर्थ इति वक्तव्यम् - आकडारः, आपिङ्गलः

It must be read that $\bar{a}\dot{n}$ compounds with the samartha in $\bar{\imath}sadartha$. Cf. $\bar{a}kad\bar{a}rah$ and $\bar{a}pingalah$.

कु: पापार्थे Ku to suggest disdain.

कुः पापार्थ इति वक्तव्यम् - कुब्राह्मणः, कुवृषलः

It must be read that ku compounds with samartha to suggest disdain. Cf. $kubr\bar{a}hmanah$ and kuvrsalah.

प्रादयो गताद्यथे प्रथमया

Pra etc. meaning gata etc. compound with noun in the nominative case.

प्रादयो गताद्यर्थे प्रथमया समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् - प्रगत आचार्यः - प्राचार्यः, प्रान्तेवासी, प्रपितामहः

It must be read that pra etc. meaning gata etc. compound with noun in the nominative case. Cf. $pr\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryal$ (pragatal $\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryal$), $pr\bar{a}nt\bar{e}v\bar{a}s\bar{i}$ and $prapit\bar{a}mahal$.

अत्यादयः क्रान्ताद्यर्थे द्वितीयया

Ati etc. meaning krānta etc. with noun in the accusative case.

अत्यादयः क्रान्ताद्यर्थे द्वितीयया समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम्, अतिकान्तः खट्टाम् अतिखट्टः, अतिमालः

It must be read that ati etc. meaning $kr\bar{a}nta$ etc. compound with noun in the second case. Cf. atikhatvah (atikr $\bar{a}ntah$ khatv $\bar{a}m$) and atim $\bar{a}lah$.

अवादयः क्रष्टाद्यर्थे तृतीयया

Ava etc. meaning kruşta etc. with noun in the third case.

अवादयः कुष्टाद्यर्थे तृतीयया समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् - अवकुष्टः कोकिलया अवकोकिलो वसन्तः

It must be read that ava etc. meaning krusta etc. compound with noun in the third case. Cf. avakōkilaḥ (=avakrustaḥ kōkilayā) in the expression avakōkilō vasantaḥ.

पर्यादयो ग्लानाद्यर्थे चतुश्यी

Pari etc. meaning glana etc. compound with noun in the fourth case.

पर्यादयो ग्लानाद्यर्थे चतुथ्या समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् - परिग्लानो अध्ययनाय पर्याध्ययनः

It must be read that pari etc. meaning pariglana etc. compound with noun in the fourth case. Cf. paryadhyayanali (=pariglano adhyayanāya).

निरादयः क्रान्ताद्यर्थे पश्चम्या

Nir etc. meaning $kr\bar{a}nta$ etc. compound with noun in the fifth case.

निरादयः क्रान्ताद्यथे पञ्चम्या समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् - निष्क्रान्तः क्रीशाम्ब्याः निष्केशाम्बः, निर्वाराणसिः

It must be read that nir etc. meaning $kr\bar{a}nta$ etc. compound with noun in the fifth case. Cf. $Nisk\bar{a}us\bar{a}mbih$ (= $niskr\bar{a}ntah$ $k\bar{a}us\bar{a}mby\bar{a}h$) and $nirv\bar{a}r\bar{a}nasih$.

अन्ययं प्रवृद्धादिभिः Avyaya with pravrddha etc.

अन्ययं प्रशृद्धादिभिः समस्यत इति वक्तन्यम् - पुनःप्रवृद्धं बहिर्भवति, पुनर्गवः, पुनःसुखम् ।

It must be read that avyaya compounds with pravrddha etc. Cf. punahpravrddham (in the expression punahpravrddham bahirbhavali), punargavali and punahsukham.

इवेन विभक्त्यलोपः पूर्वपद्प्रकृतिस्वरं च

Noun with iva without the loss of case-suffix, having pūrva-padaprakrtisvara.

इवेन सह समासो विभक्त्यलोपः पूर्वपद्पकृतिस्वरं च वक्तव्यं, दाससी इव कन्ये इव It must be read that noun compounds with iva, when there is no elision of case-suffix and there is pūrvapadaprakṛtisvara.

अव्ययमव्ययेन Avyaya with avyaya.

अव्ययमव्ययेन समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम् - प्रपयज्ञपतिम्

It must be read that avyaya compounds with avyaya as in prapra in prapra yajñapatim.

¹ उदात्तगतिमता च तिङा

Avyoya with the tin which has udātta and which is gatimat.

उदात्तवता तिङा गतिमता च तिङा अव्ययं समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम् -अनुव्यचलत्, अनुव्याकरोति, यत्परियन्ति

It must be read that avyaya compounds with the finite verb which has udātta and which is gatimān, as in anuvyacalat, anuvyākarōti and yat-pariyanti.

उपपद्मति (2, 2, 19)

There are four topics here:-(1) Need for atin in the sutra (2) To which does it become $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$ and what is its $pray\tilde{o}jana$? (3) With which does upapada compound itself? (4) Is there $vipratis\tilde{e}dha$ between $sasth\tilde{s}sam\tilde{a}sa$ and $upapadasam\tilde{a}sa$?

1. Udāttavatā gatimathā ca tijnā is another reading.

T

अतिङ् इति किमर्थम्? Why is atin read? कारको त्रजति, हारको त्रजति

The prevent $sam\bar{a}sa$ between $k\bar{a}rakah$ and vrajati and $h\bar{a}rakah$ and vrajati.

अतिङ् इति शक्यमवक्तुम् Atin may be dispensed with.

कस्मान्न भवति, कारको त्रजति, हारको त्रजति इति?

By which is samāsa between kārakah and vrajati and between hārakah and vrajati prevented?

सुप्सुपेति वर्तते Sup supā is taken here by anuvrlti.

अत उत्तरं पठति He reads the answer to this.

उपपद्मतिङिति तद्र्थप्रतिषेधः

 $Ati\dot{n}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ prohibits the $sam\bar{a}sa$ of the upapada with that whose meaning is $ti\dot{n}artha$.

उपपद्मति ङिति तद्रथस्यायं प्रतिषेधः

Atin in the sūtra prohibits the samāsa of upapadam with tadartha.

कस्य? Whom does tad refer to?

तिङ्थेस्य To tinartha.

कः पुनस्तिङ्थेः ? What is tinartha?

किया Action.

Note:—Of many meanings of $ti\dot{n}anta$, $kriy\bar{a}$ which is mukhya is taken here as artha by $lakṣaṇ\bar{a}$.

क्रियाप्रतिषेधो वा Or the sūtra may be read Upapadam akriyā.

अथ वा व्यक्तमेवेदं पठितव्यम् - उपपद्मिकयेति

Or the sūtra has to be plainly read upapadam akriyā.

अथ अकियेति किं प्रत्युदाह्वियते ?

If the word atin in the $s\bar{u}tra$ is replaced by $akriy\bar{a}$, what is the $pratyud\bar{a}harana$?

कारको, गतः, कारको यातः Kārakō gatah and kārakō yātah.

नैतित्कियावाचि This (gataḥ or yātaḥ) does not mean kriyā.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

द्रव्यवाचि It means dravya.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that gatah is $dravyav\bar{a}c\bar{\imath}$, since its $pratyay\bar{a}rtha$ which is mukhya is $kart\bar{a}$ and $kriy\bar{a}$ which is prakrtyartha is upasarjana to it.

इदं तर्हि, कारकस्य गतिः, कारकस्य त्रज्या इति

This, then, serves as $pratyud\bar{a}harana:-k\bar{a}rakasya$ gatih and $k\bar{a}rakasya$ $vrajy\bar{a}$.

एतदिप द्रव्यवाचि This too is dravyavāci.

कथम्? How?

क्टदीमहितो भावो द्रव्यवद् भवति इति

On the accepted $atid\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ that the $bh\bar{a}va$ denoted by krt may be treated like dravya.

II

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यदितिङिति प्रतिषेधं शास्ति तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः अनयो-यागयोर्निवृत्तं सुप्सुपेति

Since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) reads the word $ati\bar{n}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ even though its purpose is otherwise accomplished, he suggests that there is no anuviti for $sup\ sup\bar{a}$ in this $s\bar{u}tra$ and in the former one.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम् ?

What is the the advantage gained from this $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pana$?

गतिकारकोपपदानां कृद्धिः सह समासो भवति इत्येषा परिभाषा न कर्तव्या भवति ।

There will be no need to read the paribhāṣā Gati-kāraka-upa-padānām krdbhiḥ saha samāsō bhavati.

III

यद्येतत् ज्ञाप्यते केनेदानीं समासो भविष्यति ?

If this is suggested, with which will upapada compound itself? समधेन With that which is samartha.

यद्येवं घातूपसर्गयोरिप समासः प्राप्तोति - पूर्वं घातुरुपसर्गेण पश्चात्साघनेन इति If so, $sam\bar{a}sa$ may take place between $dh\bar{a}tu$ and upasarga too. $Dh\bar{a}tu$ may first compound with upasarga and then take the termination after it.

नैतद्स्ति । पूर्वं धातुः साधनेन युज्यते, पश्चादुपसर्गेण । साधनं हिं कियां निर्वर्तयति ; तामुपसर्गो विशिनष्टि । अभिनिर्वृत्तस्य चार्थस्योपसर्गेण विशेषः शक्यो वक्तुम् ।

No, it is not so. $Dh\bar{a}tu$ first takes termination after it and then combines with upasarga. It is the termination that determines the nature of $kriy\bar{a}$, (with reference to time, doer etc.). Upasarga restricts its application. Upasarga can restrict its application only after its nature is determined.

IV

षष्ठीसमासादुपपदसमासो विप्रतिषेधेन

Upapadasamāsa supersedes sasthīsamāsa through vipratisēdha.

षष्ठीसमासाद् उपपद्समासो भवति विप्रतिपेधेन । पष्ठीसमासस्यावकाशः - राज्ञः पुरुषो राजपुरुषः । उपपद्समासस्यावकाशः स्तम्बेरमः कर्णजपः । इहोभयं प्राप्नोति – कुम्भकारः, नगरकारः । उपपद्समासो भवति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

Upapadasamāsa will supersede ṣaṣṭhīsamāsa through vipratiṣēdha. The room for ṣaṣṭhīsamāsa to operate is:-rājñaḥ puruṣaḥ = rājapuruṣaḥ. The room for upapadasamāsa to operate is this - sthambēramaḥ and karṇējapaḥ. Here both have a chance to operate in kumbhakāraḥ and nagarakāraḥ. Upapadasamāsa takes place superseding ṣaṣṭhīsamāsa through vipratiṣēdha.

न वा पष्टीसमासाभावादुपपदसमासः

No. Upapadasamāsa sets in on account of the absence of saṣṭhīsamāsa.

न वार्थी विप्रतिषेधेन Vipratiṣēdha does not play its part.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

षष्ठीसमासस्याभावादुपपदसमासो भविष्यति गतिकारकोपपदानां कृद्धिः सह समासवचनं प्राक् सुबुत्पत्तेः इति समासवचनात्

Upapadasamāsa appears in the absence of sasthīsamāsa on the authority of the statement that gati, kāraka and upapada compound with kṛdantas before case-suffixes are added.

अथ वा विभाषा षष्ठीसमासः । यदा न षष्ठीसमासस्तदोपपदसमासो भविष्यति . Or, sasthīsamāsa is optional. In its absence does upapada-samāsa appear.

अनेनैव यथा स्यात्तेन मा मृदिति

So that it may set in by this $s\bar{u}tra$ itself and not by it.

कश्चात्र विशेपः, तेन वा स्याद्नेन वा ?

What does it matter if it sets in by this or that?

अयमस्ति विशेषः - उपपद्समासो नित्यसमासः, षषीसमासः पुनर्विभाषा There is this difference: $-Upapadasam\bar{a}sa$ is $nityasam\bar{a}sa$, but $sasth\bar{s}sam\bar{a}sa$ is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$.

ननु च नित्यं यः समासः स नित्यसमासो यस्य विश्रहो नास्ति Oh! nityasamāsa is it which has no viyrahavākya.

नेत्याह । नित्याधिकारे यः समासः स नित्यसमासः

"No", says he. The samāsa read under the adhikāra of nitya is nityasamāsa.

नवं शक्यम् । अन्ययीभावस्य ह्यानित्यसगासता प्रसज्येत । तस्मान्नित्यः समासो नित्यसमासो यस्य विश्रहो नास्ति ।

No, it cannot be. Avyayībhāva may, then, come under anityasamāsa. Hence nityasamāsa is it which has no vigrahavākya.

अमैवाव्ययेन (2, 2, 20)

एवकारः किमर्थः? Why is ēva read?

नियमार्थः For the sake of niyamu.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । सिद्धे विधिरार्भ्यमाणोऽन्तरेणवकारं नियमार्थो भविष्यति This is not the benefit. Vidhi without ēva enjoined to those already siddha is niyama-vidhi.

इष्टतोऽवधारणार्थस्तर्हि भविष्यति, यंथैवं विज्ञायेत अमैवाव्ययेन इति, मैवं विज्ञायि अमाव्ययेनैवेति

It is then read for emphasis on the desired one, so that emphasis may be on $am\bar{a}$ and not on $avyay\bar{e}na$.

अस्ति चेदानीं कश्चिदनन्ययम् अम्शब्दो यदर्थो विधिः स्यात् ? Is there a word am which is not avyaya, to avoid which the vidhi is intended?

अस्तीत्याह - खशयं ब्राह्मणकुलम् इति

"This is," says he - khasayam brāhmaṇakulam.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Khaśayam iti - Adhikaraṇē śētēḥ iti ac. Atōm iti sōr ambhāvaḥ.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । अन्तरङ्गत्वादत्र समासो भविष्यति This is not the prayojana. Samāsa takes place there, since it is antaraṅga.

इदं ति प्रयोजनम्, अमैव यत्तुल्यविधानमुपपदं तत्र यथा स्याद्, अमा चान्येन च यत् तुल्यविधानं तत्र मा भूद् इति । अमे भोजम्, अमे भुक्त्वा । This, then, is the prayojana, that samāsa takes place between upapada and avyaya only when the former is read with am alone and it does not take place when it is read with am and others, as in agrē bhojam and agrē bhuktvā.

Note:—Am denotes namul and khamuñ. The upapada is read with namul alone (as in $Sv\bar{a}dumi$ namul 3, 4, 26) forms the compound ($sv\bar{a}dunk\bar{a}ram$); but if it is read with $krtv\bar{a}$ also (as in $Vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}gr\bar{e}prathamap\bar{u}rv\bar{e}su$ 3, 4, 24) there is no $sam\bar{a}sa$.

अम्रादिष्वपाप्तविधेः समासप्रतिषेधं चोदियिष्यति । स न वक्तव्यो भवति He is going to read the vārttika Agrādiṣvaprāptavidhēḥ samāsapratiṣēdhaḥ under the sūtra 3, 4, 24 enjoining the samāsapratiṣēdha. It need not be read.

शेषो बहुत्रीहिः (2, 2, 23)

शेष इत्युच्यते ; कश्च शेषो नाम?

The word śēṣaḥ is read. What does it denote?

येषां पदानामनुक्तः समासः स शेषः

 $\hat{S}\bar{e}$ sa is the samāsa among words not yet read.

शेषवचनं पदतश्रेन्नाभावात्

If śēṣa denotes the samāsa among words not yet read, it is inappropriate, since it does not exist.

शेषवचनं पदतश्चेत् तन्न

If $\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$ denotes the $sam\bar{a}sa$ among words not yet read, it is inappropriate.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अभावात्। न हि सन्ति तानि पदानि येषां पदानामनुक्तः समासः Since it does not exist. For there are no words whose samāsa has not yet been enjoined.

अर्थतस्तिहिं Let it then be in relation to artha.

अर्थतस्तर्हि शेषग्रहणं, येष्वर्थेषु अनुक्तः समासः स शेषः

Let, then, the word \hat{sesa} have its relation to artha, so that it may denote the $sam\bar{a}sa$ whose meaning has not yet been stated.

अर्थतश्चेद्विशिष्टम् If it is related to arthu, it is in the same boat.

अर्थतश्चेद्विशिष्टमेतद् भवति

If it is related to artha, it is in the same boat.

क्तः! With what?

पदतः । न हि सन्ति तेऽर्था येप्वर्थेप्वनुक्तः समासः

With pada. There is no artha with reference to which samāsa has not been enjoined.

ै त्रिकतस्तर्हि शेषप्रहणम् । यस्य त्रिकस्य अनुक्तः समासः स शेषः $\hat{S}\bar{e}sa$, then, is related to the case having three numbers. $\hat{S}\bar{e}sa$ is the $sam\bar{a}sa$ of the word in that case which was not enjoined.

कस्य चानुक्तः ? To which case was it not enjoined?

प्रथमायाः To the word in the first case.

TWENTYSECOND ĀHNIKA ENDS. (Second adhyāya, second pāda, first āhnika).

VOLUME V ENDS.



1. There may have been the vārttika Trikatas tarhi before this.

CORRIGENDA

Page	Line	For	Read
7	30	pravacana	paravacan 1
34	9	अन्तोदात्तर्थं	अन्तोदात्तार्थं
47	3	रु च्यां स्वा	स्त्र्यास्यो
53	20	$brar{a}manar{a}ya$	$brar{a}hmanar{a}ya$
70	4	sarvanāmathāna	$sarvanar{a}masthar{a}na$
88	17	-शिषको	-विदेापकौ
	19	$Dar{e}vad$ at $tvam$	$Dar{e}vadattatvam$
92	24	चोरभ्या-	चेरिभ्य-
99	$\bf 24$	निर्भज्यये	निर्भज्यते
113	5	ह्मेतद्	ह्येतद्
114	1	mean	meant
123	9	ाक	किं
129	Take the first two lines at the top to the top of p. 128.		
140	2	thy	they
150	32	Maābhāṣhya	$Mahar{a}bhar{a}sya$
155	3	auuvṛtti	anuvrtii
160		संप्रयुज्यावहै	संप्रयुज्यावहे
199	8	s mbaddh-	sambaddh-
209	2 2	Samartkalp	Samarthaḥ
215	14	अधिकरणम्	अधिकरणम्
232	11	vispast-	vispasta-
239	22	ए तैश्चे	पतैश्च
251	19	गुण्वचननेन	गुणवचनेन
264	2	ग्रह णेणार्थः	ग्रह णेनार्थः
280	5, 7	ēkabhikṣa, ēkabikṣā	$ec{\epsilon}kabhik$ ṣ $ec{a}$
2 88	13	tilakrṣṇaḥ	tilakṛṣṇāḥ
	28	Add "is" after too	
291	26	कक्तव्यः	वक्तव्यः
295	12, 16	प्तत्-	प्त-

(i) INDEX OF SUTRAS

Number refer to page

अक्षरालाकाः...238 अक्रियतं च *109* अत्यन्तसंयोगे च 249 अधिपरी अनर्थको 147 अधिरीश्वरे 150 अन्तर्द्धी येन...95 अन्तरपरिग्रह 158 अन्नेन व्यञ्जनम् 255 अनुकरणं च... 137 अनुर्रुक्षणे 143 अमैवाव्ययेन ३३१ अयसमयादीनि...120 अर्द्ध नपुंसकम *309* अन्ययीभावः २३५ असम्बुत्तमः 155 आ अडाराद्…1 आख्यातोपयागे 96 आङ् मर्यादावचने 110 आदरानादरयोः.... 137 ईषद्कृता 324 उपपद्मतिङ् ३३५ उपमितं च्याघ्रादिभिः 286 उपमानानि 281 ऊर्यादिचिय....135 क्तेन नज्....291 कुद्यद्रह... 101 क्षेप २७७ कर्तुरीप्सिततमं 105 कर्मणा यम्...99कर्माण च 330 कर्मप्रवचनीयाः 148

कारके 79 कालाः परिमाणिना 314 कुगतिप्राद्यः ३३२ कुरिसतानि कुरसनैः 281 कृत्यैर्ऋणे २५८ कृत्येरधिकार्थ*...254* खट्टा क्षेपे *249* गतिश्च 131 गतिवृद्धि...118 चतुर्थी तदर्थ...258 चत्रपादो...308 चाद्ये(ऽसत्त्वे 120 जनिकर्तुः... 98 तत्प्रयोजको ... 125 तथा युक्तं ... 108 तिद्धतार्थः...२७९ तसो मत्वर्थे 120 तिङस्बेशिण ... 154 तिष्ठद्र...241 तृतीया तत्कृत .. 250 ते प्राग्धातोः 140द्विगुश्च *245* द्वितीय...310 द्वितीया थितः...*245* ध्रवमपाये...89 ध्वाङ्क्षेण .. २७७ **नः** क्ये 68 नज 317 नदीभिश्च 243 न निर्धारणे $\partial \mathcal{E} \mathcal{G}$ नित्यं कीडा....332

प्राक्कडारात् ...231 प्राग्रीश्वरात् ...127 प्रादयः *130* प्राप्तापन्ने च ...313पश्चमी भयेन 265 परः सन्निकर्षः... 165 पराजे: ...93पात्रेसमित ...267 पारे मध्ये ...242 पूर्वसद्या....253 पूर्वापर...289 बहुषु बहुवचनम् 73 भक्षेण मिश्री ...255 भीत्रार्थानां $\dots 92$ भुवः प्रभवः 98मयुरव्वंसकादयश्च 308 यचि भम् 70 यथासाददये 237 यसात् प्रत्यय...58 यस्य चायामः 240 युवा ख \mathbf{z} ित \dots 294युष्मद्यपपदे ... 155 यू...47 लक्षणेत्थंभूत ...147 **छः परस्मै** ...150

वर्णी वर्णेन 294 वारणार्थानाम् .. 93 विप्रतिषेधे $\dots 24$ विभक्तिश्च 154विभाषाप ...259विरामो ... 170 विशेषणं ...287 श्रेण्याद्यः ...290 शेपे प्रथमः 155 रेाषो बहुवीहिः *३40* षष्टी 324 षष्टीयुक्तः ...50 **खतन्त्रः**123 स्वादिषु ...69 सङ्ख्यापूर्वो270 सप्तमी शोण्डेः 205 समर्थः पद्विधिः 175 सह सुपा 233 साक्षात् ...138साधकतमं $\dots 102$ सुप्तिङन्तं67 सुप्प्रतिना ...238 सुवामन्त्रिते 226 हकोरन्यतरस्याम् 122

(ii) INDEX OF VĀRTTIKAS

अक्षादयः ...238 अकर्मकग्रहणे ...121 अकारकिमिति97 अकारके हि ..113 अगुरुपुत्रादीनाम् ...183 अङ्गसंज्ञया3 अत्यन्तसंयोगे249 अत्यादयः 334

अदिखादि....120
अध्युपसृष्टं —22
अधिकरणं कर्ता 22
अधिकरणं कर्म 21
अधिश्रयण . 83
अन्तरङ्गं च 30
अन्तःशब्दस्य—138
अन्नेन....255

अन्यकर्मेति116 अन्यत्र समुदाय २७४ अन्यत्र संज्ञा ... 1 अन्वर्थमिति82 अनङानङ्भ्यां च ३१ अन्ञिति च २१। अनत्यन्तसंयोगार्थं तु 249 अनवयव20 अनुकरणस्थेति 137 अनुरुक्षणे...*1 🎶* अप्रतिपत्तिः26 अप्रधाने --- 114 अप्राप्तर्चा एव अपत्यं वृद्धं युवा 19 अपादानं च ...81 अपादानमुत्तराणि 20 अपादानादीनां ... 🛚 🖰 अभावे ... 17() अभावेऽवसान.... 172 अभिधानार्थं तु 270 अर्थतश्चेद् ...341 अर्थतस्तर्हि ३४० अर्थनियम ...?? अर्थवत्यातिपदिकम् २२ अर्थे चेत्ति त अर्थेन नित्यसमास....259 अध्ययप्रतिषेधश्च २२० अव्ययं प्रतृद्ध.... ४८५ अञ्चयमञ्चयेन ३३० अवचने हि ४२८ अवयव...54अवयव \cdots सिद्धम् 54अवादयः ...334 अविशोषण $\dots 220$ 'आख्यातं ...*203* आख्यातानुपयोगे...।?? आङीषदर्ये ३३३

आङ् मर्यादाभिविध्योः 146 आस्व...37 आनुपूर्व्यवचनम् 152 आमन्त्रितस्य ...227 आश्रयाभावात् ... 15 **इतरथा** ...80 **इतरथा** हि ...85 इयङादाशो ...39 इवेन \dots 235इवेन विभक्ति...335 इह वचने हि ... 151 ईप्स्तिस्य कर्म $\cdots 107$ इंप् ...35 उक्तं वा *16*7 उत्तरपदातिशये...306 उत्तरपदेन277 उद्यमन ...84उदात्तगतिमता च ...335 **उपपदमतिङ्** ...336 उपमानसमासे285 उपरि 171 उपसर्जेनसन्निपाते 144उभयसंज्ञान्यपि 🔧 उभयोरनर्थकं141उवङादेशश्च40उसि पररूपाच 🗗 ऊङापोः *⊶36* पकत्वे....258 पकतिङ् 204 एकद्रव्य....23 एकयांगे हि 131 एकवचनद्विगोश्च317 एकशितिपात् 222 एक**रोष ...2**10 एकस्मिन्25 एकसंज्ञाधिकारे ... 18 एकसंज्ञाधिकारे 17

एकेकवर्ण ... 169 पधाः पक्ष्यन्ति...84 क्तनिर्देशे.... 331 क्रियाग्रहणम् 100 कियाप्रतिषेधो वा ३३६ क्रियापृथक्रवे.... 160 कुघद्रहोः21 क्षेपे सगतिक....267 कथितेऽभिहिते.... 113 कथित लादयः112 कदाचित्कर्मधारयः कर्तृकरणे कृता....253 कमणः करणसंज्ञा....101 कर्मणीति षष्टी....330 कर्मधारयत्वे...5 कमेसंज्ञाप्रसङ्गः80 करणं पराणि 21 कारक इति \dots 79 कारकं येद्...113कारिकाशब्दस्य 131 कालभावाध्य $\dots 116$ कालस्य येन...315 कितवब्यवहारे च 258 कुः पापार्थे 333 कृत्यैर्नियागे...266 कृत्यैरधिकार्थ...254कृतापकृतादीनां...293 कृद्योगा च 324 कृत्मेजन्तः ...127 क्रभ्वस्तियोगे 135 कुछोपे च 17 खलेयवादिभिः ...241 गत्युपसर्ग...132 गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे...22 गतप्रत्यागतीनां...293 गतिदिवःकर्म...? गतिबुद्धि... 13

गतियुक्तेषु ...90 गुनवचनं च 23 गुरुलघुसंज्ञे... 10 धि नदी 19चतुर्थी तदर्थ...258 चतुष्पाज्ञातिः ३०८ छन्दास पर...140 जातिस्वर...246 जुगुप्सा...89 डु च 23 तत्र च चिवप्रतिषेधः 130 तत्र जातादिषु...246 तत्र नानाकारकात् 199 तत्र प्रत्ययानियमे...?? तत्र प्रतिपत्त्यर्थः...26 तत्र युष्मद ... 157 तव वचनप्रामाण्यात ...15 तत्र रोपत्ववचनात् 10 तत्र खरसमासान्त...220 तत्रैकसंज्ञाधिकारे...2 तत्पर इति वा 174तत्पुरुष...42 तत्पुरुषः...18 तत्पुरुषे...6 तत्स्थेश्च गुणैः 325 तद्रथगतेवा 217 तदेकदेश...61 तिन्निमित्तग्रहणं वा 227 तब्यदादीनां...27 तसादुभयप्राप्तौ...331 तस्य दोषः ...44 तस्यान्तोदात्तत्वं...221 निङ्सार्वधातुकं...*19* तुग्यण् ...३८ तुल्यः सन्निकर्षः 116 तृजकाभ्यां...330 तृजादिभि+तुल्यम् 25

तृतीयासमासे...252 द्रतायां... 166 द्रोणं पचति...84 द्धनद्वतत्प्रपयाः...276 ह्योईयोः ...४18 द्विग्संशा प्रत्यय...271 हिगार्ची...274 द्वितीयादी**नां** ...310 द्धीः ...24 दुर्निन्दायाम् ३३३ देशश्चाकर्मणात् *116* ध्वचिष्टित...114 ध्वाङ्क्षेणेति...266 नज्विशिष्ट...201 न तु तद्विशेषणेः *३२५* नद्याथ्रयत्वात् ...15 नदीभिः ...243 नदीसंज्ञायां ... 52 नभोऽङ्गिरामनुपां...71 न वा ...30 न वा अपायस्य...81न वा \cdots अविप्रतिपेधः $I \tilde{\circ} 9$ न वाघीव्यस्य ११। न वान्यतरस्य...287 न वा पूर्वेण \dots 189न वा वचन $\dots 216$ **न** वावयव...221 न वा इयामत्वस्य 🕻 🛚 💆 न वा पष्टीसमास...*338* न वा खतन्त्र…85न वा खातन्त्र्यात् 124 न बा सम्भवात् 14 न वा समासे ... 256 न वा सुबन्त .. 230 न वैकशेष... 152 न वोभय....107, नाकुर्वतीति 124

नाप्रतिषेधात 69 निपातसंज्ञार्थः *131* निमित्तिखर.. 222 नियमः प्रकृतेषु 78 निरादयः...334 नुडिडिधकेन च 201 प्रत्ययग्रहणं पदादा . 63 प्रत्ययविधिरेकादेशात् 41 प्रतिपदाविधाना च 320 प्रतिषेध्यमिति.. 331 प्रथममध्यम... 151 प्रथमलिङ्गग्रहणं च 53 प्रथमे समासप्रतिपेधः 201 प्रधानकर्मणि $\dots 114$ प्रयोजनं किव्...53 प्रयोजनं घञ्...132प्रयोजनं \cdots द $(र्घ<math>\dots 1)$ प्रयोजनं धातु...64 प्रयोजनं \cdots खरेभ्यः 30प्रयोजनं समास*ः (6*6 प्रयोजनमन्ययीभाव $\dots 1G$ प्रसिद्धां नियमः...78 प्राग्धातुबचनं...140 प्राग्वचर्न...231प्राग्वचनं $\dots 129$ प्रातिपदिक...230 प्रादय इति...130 प्रादयः कार्थे 333 प्रादयो गताद्यर्थे...334प्रातिप्रसङ्गे...*332* प्रेषेऽस्वतन्त्र...125 पदविधौ...209 पदसंज्ञायां...७७ पदं भम् 19 परमपि...229 पर्यादयः...334 परवचने सिति...18

परस्परव्यपेक्षां... 197 **लुप्ताख्यातेषु च** 216 परसौपदम्...22 **छौकिकं चातिवर्तते** 128 परसौपदसंज्ञा...12 व्यवेतप्रतिषेधश्च ३३३ परः सन्निकर्षः... 165 वचनप्रामाण्यात् ...255 परिमाणार्थे च 63 वद्विधि...133 पुनश्चनसा...132 वद्विधि...134 पूजायां च...331 वर्णकाळ...166 पूर्वपदातिशये...302 वर्णे**न**...295 पूर्वादिषु 253 वर्णोऽन्त्यः 174 पृथगर्थानां...186 वसेरइयर्थस्य 104 पौर्वापर्य....169 वहेः... 120 बिलरक्षिताभ्यां....259 वारणार्थेषु ...95 बहुलवचनस्य...२८९ वावचन $\dots 192$ बहुवीह्मर्थं तु 16 विप्रतिषेधे...28 भक्षेरहिंसार्थस्य 121 विभाषेति...239 भसंज्ञायां...70 विरामे...*171* भावविराम... 173 विशेषण...287 भुवद्धः ...70 वृद्धिविधौ च ...133 मकारान्तत्वम् 139 वृषण्वस्वश्वयोः 71 मरुच्छन्दत्य...131 अच्छन्दस्य ... 131 मित्सुटो:....60 श्रितादिभिः ...246 यणादेशाच 41 श्रेण्यादिषु...290 यणादेशादियुवी 45 श्वे:...40 यत्तन्न तृणेन...84 शब्दकर्मणः ...119 युक्तार्थसंप्रत्ययाचः...257 शब्दकर्मनिर्देशे...118 युष्मदस्मत् ... 156 शब्दाविरामे...167 युष्मादि.../58 श्यणोति...119 योगविभागः ५६ रोषवचनं...340योगविभागाद्वा 69 रेाषवचनं च $\dots 14$ योगे प्रतिषेधश्च 205 शौण्डादिभिः 265 यौगपद्यासम्भवात् 26 षष्ठीसमासश्च 256 राजगवीक्षीरे...206 षष्टीसमासाद ... ३३८ रीश्वराद् ... 127 स्वतन्त्रत्वात् ...123 **छघु गु**ह 19 खतुन्त्रत्वात् सिद्धम् 123 **लादशे... 150** खतन्त्रस्य...123लादेशो वर्णविधः 41 स्तती पूजायाम् ३३३ **छुक् ...46** खरेऽवधारणं...230

खरो...41 सिक्याविशेषणं...204 सङ्ख्या 23 सङ्गातस्य ... 197 सम्प्रत्ययाच ... 257 संप्रतीयमानार्थ...257 समर्थतराणां वा 202 समर्थतराणां वा ...202 समर्थेतराणां वा201 समर्थनियांत 205 समर्थाधिकारस्य 209 समानाधिकरणसमासात्...209 समानाधिकरणाधिकारे...307 समानाधिकरणाधिकार...208 समानाधिकरणे....296 समानाधिकरणेषु...211 समानवाक्ये...204 समास....23 समासतद्धित....273 समाससंबाश्च 22 समासान्तप्रतिपेधश्च 219 समासेषु ... 128 समासा ह्याः...218 समाहारसमृहयाः... 275 समुदाय...202 सर्वेव मत्वर्थ...27! सर्वत्र हरोः 120 सर्वेलिङ्गता च १८० सविशेषणानां ... 183

साक्षात्प्रभृतिषु...138 साधनं कृतेति ... 254 सिद्धं तु केन...293 सिद्धं तु काङ्...332 सिद्धं त काल ... 316 सिद्धं तु प्रत्यय...273 सिद्धमचः...71 सिद्धं त्वङ्ग...54सिद्धं तु काल...121 सिद्धं तु...तत्पुरुष...18 सिद्धं तु...तद्नत...64 सिद्धं तु...तदादि...61 सिद्धं तु प्रतिकारकं...83 सिद्धं तु प्रतिषेधात् 158 सिद्धं तु राज...207 सिद्धं तु समर्थानां...209 सिद्धं तु समान...255 सिचि वृद्धों 151 सिद्धं वापि...115 सुप्तिङां...76 **ख्यां...**78 सुबन्तस्य 228 संज्ञानियमे ... 140 संहिता... 174 हस्वलक्षणा...*15* हस्व...55,56 हादाविरामे... 168 ह्न आकारलोपात् 40 हकोर्वावचने $\dots 122$

सहवचनं \dots 233

(iii) INDEX OF PARIBHĀSAS, NYĀYAS ETC.

अन्यत्रसंज्ञाविधौ प्रत्ययप्रहणे...67
अभिधेयवद् लिङ्गवचनानि 318, 319
अर्थगत्यर्थः शब्दप्रयोगः 214
अव्यतिरेकाद् द्रव्याकृत्योः 271
असिद्धं वहिरङ्गलक्षणं...42, 60
आ वनान्ताद् ... अनुवजेत् 128
आश्रयता लिङ्गवचनानि 319
इतरेतराश्रयाणि ...272
उक्तार्थानामप्रयोगः 149, 264
उपपद्विभक्तेः...150
कारकसंज्ञायां तरतमयोगो न 103, 104.

कोलः आहन्यमानः प्रतिकीलं...320 कृत्रिमाकृत्रिमयोः...100 कृद्धणे गतिकारक...66, 142, 267 गौणमुख्ययोः...165 छन्दोवत्कवयः...51 तदेकदेशभूतं...61, 62 तादर्थात् ताच्छाव्यं...105 तुल्यवलप्रेप्यविधिः 26 नात गतेः प्राकृ ...142

नोत्सहते प्रतिपिद्धा...69 प्रत्येकं वाक्यपरिसमातिः 210, 231 प्रधानस्य सांपक्षस्यापि...203 प्रातिपदिकग्रहणे...294 पर्याप्तो हि एकः पुरुाकः ...86 पुनःप्रसङ्गविज्ञानात् ... २७, २८ भवति विशेषः खिसन्धातरि...202 **लक्षणप्रतिपदोक्तयोः...**296 लच्चर्य हि संज्ञाकरणम् 82 लिङ्गमशिप्यं लोकाश्रयत्वात् ²⁶⁵ विशेषणविशेष्ययोक्तभयविशेषत्वम् 287 वर्णाश्रये शास्त्र...209 सकुद्रती...27, 28 समानाधिकरणं...226, 329समानार्थे ... 2.17 समुदाय वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिः 231 समुदायेषु राज्दाः अवयवेष्वपि 321 सविशेषणानां वृत्तिन ... 183 सापेक्षमसमर्थे भवति 181, 183, 208, 250, 286, 305, 326, 328, 331

(iv) INDEX OF IMPORTANT SANSKRIT WORDS

आक्षिनिकोचैः 192, 257

अकथितः 81

अञ्चीपोमीयः 165, 271

अजहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिः 193, 196, 306

अजाकृपाणीयम् 232

अजातौ स्विलः ३०७

अतितन्त्रयै 58

अतिभुवे *55,58*

अतिशकस्ये 55, 56

अध्यवसायः 101

अधिकरणम् 20-22, 83, 104, 110

अधिकारः 175

अधिश्रयणः 83

अन्तर्हत्य 138

अन्तरङ्गम् ३०

अन्तरङ्गता 301, 303

अन्तोदात्तत्वम् 221

अन्यच 1

अन्यपदार्थप्रघानः २३७, २४४,२७८, 134, 317, 318 अन्यार्थे 70 अन्योन्यविदेशपकी ८८ अन्वर्थमंत्रा 82, 143, 235 अनन्तर योग 270 अनर्थकम् 145 अनर्थकी 148, 149 अनवकाशम् $\partial \mathcal{G}$ अनवकाशा 8, 22, 27, 45, 129, 134, 151 अनवकाश 🞖 अनवस्था 257 अनादर 137, 158 अनिदेशः 815 आनेवृत्तः १८१ अनिप्रान्दता 137, 140 अनुकर्पणार्थः ३/३, ३/४ अनुकरणस्य 137 अन्व्यचलत् ३३५ अनुवर्तत 104, 155 अनुद्वत्तिः 🗗 अनकत्वम् ३२४ अप्रधानः 81 अपराब्दः 184 अपाकर्ताः ३२१ अपादानम् 20, 21, 80, 81, 94,102, 105, 110, 111 अपायः ८८ अपूनर्गयाः 185 अपूर्वविधिः 🕬 अब्राह्मणम् 317,319अब्राह्मणः ३२२ अभ्यन्तरः २१२, २१३ $^\circ$ अभिघानात् 317आभिधेयवत् 318

अभिरूपः 287

अभिरूपतराः १०, १०३ अभिरूपाय 103 अभिविधिः 146 अयुक्तः 201 अजेनम् 270 अर्थकृतः 248 अर्थगतिः 252 अर्थानयमः 77 अर्थादशनानि 190-192 अर्थाश्रयः 165, 300 अर्द्धः 309 अर्द्धम् ३०० अर्द्धीपप्पली 312 अर्द्धराधिः ३०० अन्ययीभावः 16, 128, 235, 236, 244, 245, 267, 268 अवकाकिलः 334अवतप्तनकुलस्थितम् 207 अवयवकार्यम् 232 अवयवविद्या 310 अवसानस**ज्ञा** 167, 168 अवहननाय 258 अविविक्षितत्वात् 82,91अवृत्तिपक्षः *192, 2*77 अश्राद्धभोजी 185 अश्वघासः 250अस्वपद्वित्रहः 263 असङ्गीर्तितः 81असत्कृत्य 157 असहायवाची 7 🗸 असामर्थ्यम् 305, 326-329असूर्यपश्यानि 185 अहर्गताः 249 अहरतिसृताः 250 आहेहतः 254आकुमारम् 146 आकृतिः 271

आकृतिगणः 290 उदाहरणम् 251, 281, 282, 301. आचार्यः २७, ७२, ७७, ७५, ७७, - 305, 326, 329, 330 104, 142, 151, 155, 222, उदेताः 329 294, 310, 311 उपन्यासः 62आचार्यप्रवृत्तिः 14, 43, 44, 47, 66, उपपद्म् 162 142, 260, 261, 264 उपपदिनयमः 156, 157आचार्याः ३०० उपमानम् 281 आचार्येण 2 उपमेयम् 281 उपयुक्ताः 96 आत्मनेपदच्यत्ययः 57 उपयोगः 96, 97 आत्मनेपदसंज्ञा 22 उपसङ्ख्यानम् 90, 120, 131, 215, आदरे 137, 138 आदित्यगत्या 3.16 216 उपसर्जनम् 208 आमन्ज्यमाणः 106 आरम्भसामर्थ्यात् 4, 5, 6, 8-14, उपसर्जनसमिपाते 141, 142उपादानाविकलः 178 245, 268 आराशस्त्रीयम् 37 उपाध्यायः 99 उभयपदार्थप्रधानः २३६, २४४, २६४ आलभेत 289 आलम्भनम् 271 उलूखलम् २५४ आश्रयतः 3/9 उप्रमुखः 61 इतरेतराश्रयत्वात् 273 ऋणः 266 इतरेतराश्रयता 272 एकदेशविशानात् 61एकदेशिपधाने 242 इध्मप्रवश्चनः ३२४ एकनदी 267 इन्द्रः 1 इएवाची 29, 303 एकनदीतरे 268 इप्टि: 51, 301, 305 **एकभिक्षा** 279, 280 ईषदर्थे 333 एकशब्दः 76 एकशाटी 279 इंहमानानाम् 318 उञ्छेन 51, 52पकसंज्ञाधिकारः 2, 4-7, 9-12, 17, 18 पकापूपी 280 उत्तमसंज्ञा 152, 153 उत्तरकम् 257 एकार्थाः 101, 102 ं उत्तरपदलोपः 256 पकार्थीभावः 176, 177, 186, 187, 197 उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः 236, 244, 268, पतः 295 314, 317, 319 पधाः 84 उत्सर्गः 164 ऐतिकायनः 45 उद्यमन....84ओदनम् 89 ओदनः *328* उद्कान्तात् 128 औत्तराधर्यम् 270 उदात्तनिवृत्तिखरः 33

ऋयणम् २७७ किया 330क्षत्रियार्थम् २५०, २०। कर: 328 कन्द्रः 1 कन्या 103 कन्ये इव ५३५ कमण्डलुपाणिः 148 कर्ता 10, 14, 21, 83 कर्म 9, 14, 20, 22, 80, 94, 97, 99, 100, 101, 105-108, 116 कर्मधारयः ठ, ७; २००-३)1 कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा 22, 129, 143, 144, 145, 147, 149, 150, 2 10, 332 कर्मसाधनः *52, 17 /, 269* करणम् १, २०, २१, ८३, ४१, १०1, 102, 103 करोतिना 250 कल्म 117 कवयः 51 काकतालीयम् 😂 🕄 काद्मवयः 31कार्यापणम् 120 कारकम् 280 कारकः 330 कारिकाक्टल 131 कालपरिमाणम् 316 कालभूयस्त्वम् 166 कालब्यत्ययः 57 कालाक्षी 308 कीलप्रतिकीलवत् ३२० कुण्डिका 92 कुतपसीश्चतः ६०७ कुद्दालपदेन 301 कुम्भकारः 177

कृत्सम् 289 कृतापकृतम् ^{२१)3} कृष्णसर्पवान् २००, ३०२ कृष्णसारङ्गः 291 केमर्थक्यात् 48 कोष्टः 1 खद्वारूढः २४० खलेयवम् २४२ **खा**ड् *136* यामगमी 246 गङ्गा २८ गतप्रत्यागतम् २१३ गलेचोपकः 25 । गवयः २८२ गार्गीबन्धः 10 गुड: 257 गुडधानाः 256 गुणकर्मणि 114 गुणप्रधानः 74, 75 गुणवचनसंज्ञा 23 गुणवचनः २५०, ३०७ गुणराब्दाः 62 गुणहीने 322 गुणविशेषकः 孔 गुरुसंज्ञा 19 गोणिकापुतः 118 गोहितम् 46, 178 गी: 281, 282 गौरितरा 68 च्ह्यर्थः 139चन्द्रमुखी २८३, २८४ चराचराः 249 चित्रगुः 272 **छन्दः** 50 छन्दोचत् 51 ভার: 146 छात्राय 263

कुसूलः 1

द्विकर्मकाः 112, 115 ज्ञानानि 98हिगु: 6, 7, 16, 274, 275, 278 ज्ञापकम् 44, 124_, 128, 142, 261, 262, 264 द्विमासजातः *277, 278, 317* द्वीरावतीकः 17, 243 ज्ञापने 286 ज्ञापयाति 27·1, 294, 310, 311 हेमातुर: 272 ज्योतिर्वत् *9*8 द्धि 104 दध्योदनः 256 जहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिः 193, 306 दर्शनीयः 287 जातिब्राह्मणः ३२1 दर्शनीयामाता 214 जातिशब्दाः 62 दात्रल्नम् 25 । दाराः २७४ जातिहीने 322 जाल्मः 249 दुरुपदेशात् ३२२ द्वी १८ जीविकाप्राप्तः 313 डुसंज्ञा ²³ **द्याविप्रयोगः** 76 त्रिपुरी 270 देशान्तरप्रापणे 270 त्रिरात्रम् 104 ं <mark>घर्</mark>मम् 112 त्रैमातुरः 272 धमोत् 80 त्वत्करुपः 164 धान्यार्थः २५२ त्वमतिः 113, 114 धानुष्कः etaतण्डुलविकारम् 106 न्यासे 135 तत्पुरुषः 5-7, 18, 233, 236, 247, नभस्वत् 71 नागयुवतिः ²⁹⁸ 268 तत्पुरुषान्तोदान्तस्वम् 42 नानार्थाः 101, 102 तद्भुणसंविज्ञानम् 30% निमित्तखरात् 222 नित्यसमासः २५७, २७०, २७४, २७४ तन्त्रम् 128 तिलेषु 104 ानेन्दायाम् *333* तिलोदकम् 44 निमित्तखरः 222 तिष्ठद्भ 241 नियमविधिः 49तीर्थकाकः 263 नियमार्थम् 2 **तुल्यसन्** 298 निर्देशः *294* निवर्तकम् २८१ तुरुथबलत्वात् ²⁶ निर्वर्तकः 821 त्रैशब्द्यम् 139 तितिरिकल्माषी ^{६८३} निर्वृत्तिः 101 द्रव्यम् 271 नि*की*नकम् 257 निवृत्तपदार्थकः ३२०, ३२1 द्रव्यवचनः २५० द्रोणम् 84, 815 निवृत्तिः 320, 321द्यह्रजातः 278 निश्रयण्या २५५ द्रन्द्रः 236, 238

निष्कौशाभ्यः 210, 335

प्रक्षालनम् 87 श्रीक्षणम् 271 प्रकर्षः ३०८ पक्ष्यन् ३२८ प्रकृत्या *259-261* पचादीनाम् 83 प्रकृतिः २०२ पञ्चकुमारी 270 प्रत्ययानियमः 77 पञ्चयामी 270 प्रत्ययविधिः 41, 311 पञ्चपूली २७१, २७५ प्रत्याख्यायते २३५ पञ्चहोतारः 281 प्रतिकारकम् 🖓 पश्चारितः 278 प्रतिपद्म 20 पञ्चालाः ३२१ प्रतिपदाविधाना पष्टी 324, 320पदसंज्ञा 19, 20 प्रतिपदोक्तस्य 207 पयः 200 प्रतिषेधः *17, 18, 33, 70, 158*, पर्यध्ययनः 334 £00, 201, \$19, 330-332, 330 पर्यायः 4, 6, 8–11, 13, 28, 233 प्रथमसंज्ञा 152, 153 पर्युदासः 109, 130 प्रदीपः ३३/ परङ्कार्यत्वम् 5, 7-9, 11, 12**प्रधानम** २८८, २९८ परङ्कार्थत्वात् 4-6, 8-14, 245, 268प्रधानकर्मणि 114 परमत्वम् 163 प्रधाने 20 परशब्दः 20 परसौपद्द्यत्ययः 57 प्रनायकः 133 प्रप 335 परसौपदसंज्ञा 12, 13, 22, 151 प्रपश्चः २१० पराङ्गवद्भावः 227 प्रपर्णकः 17, 18 परार्थाभिधानम् 193 प्रयलाशकः 17, 18 परिगणनम् 97 परिभाषा 42-44, 64-66, 175 प्रितामहः ३३४, ३४ / प्रयोगनियमः 137, 140 परिमाणार्थं ७३ प्रयोजनम् 30, 33, 36, 39, 41, 67, परिमाणिना २७७, २७८ 75, 82, 102, 127, 135, 137, परिवर्तनम् 87 140, 147, 148, 185, 192, 230, पलाशशातनः ४८% 232, 250, 242, 247, 254, 277, पाञ्चनापितिः २७२ 279, 294, 299, 307, 310, 313, पाञ्चालः 1 314, 327 पाटिलपुत्रकाः 90, 103प्रयोजनानि 19, 42, 64, 66, 245 पाणिना 89 पाणिनेः 146, 329 प्रसन्ध्यप्रतिषेधः 69, 109, 130पाणिविहारै: 192, 257 प्राचार्यः *888, 884* प्रान्तेवासी ३३३, ३३<u>४</u> पादहारकः ²⁵ / पापार्थे ३३३ प्रियद्दीनता ²⁸³, 281 प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी १२, १३, 101, 170 पारतन्त्रयम् 85-87

पिप्रिपण्डीम 289 भिद्यत 29 पुरन्द्र: 1 मत्करूप: 164 पुरस्तादपकर्षः ३२० मध्यमसंज्ञा 152, 153 पुरुपनियमः 156 मन्त्रम् 31 पुरुषव्यत्ययः 57 मन्दकम् 257 पुरुषसंज्ञा 12, 151 मयूररोमाभेः ५३% पुरुह्नतः 1 मर्यादा 146पूजायाम् ३३१ महती संज्ञा 143, 235 पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानः २३७, २४४, २७८, ३४८ महाकष्टिश्रतः 179 पूर्वपदप्रकृतिस्वरः 42, 221, 247 महाराजाय 259 पूर्वविप्रतिपेधाः 29 महाविभाषा 242 पूर्वाह्वेगेयम् २६६ माण्डव्यः ३ पूरणम् ३१३ मानम् 282 पौर्वापर्यम् 170 माराविकस्य ३२१ फलावाप्तिः 101 माषराशिवर्णम् ३२३ ब्राह्मणवर्णः ३२५ मापेण 301 ब्राह्मणार्थम् 259, 260, 264 मासजातः 315 बदरपिटकः 169 मासप्रमितः 250 **बद्रा**णाम् 315, 316 मृगचपला 284यजुष: 329 बिछिः 259बलीयः *30* यत्परियन्ति 335 बलीवदीः 121 यथान्यासः 23 चह्नर्थः *20,76* यथान्यासम् 29,316बह्वाख्यतरः 307 यवागू: 260 बह्राख्यतरकः 307 यष्टिमोद्गस्यः ३०७ बहव: 73 याजुष्कः 3 बहवोऽर्थाः 257 युक्तार्थता 257 बहुवीहिः 16-18, 52, 138, 222, युक्तिः 305 236, 245, 247, 202, 263, 208, युवसंज्ञा 19 299-305, 307 यू 47 बहुराकिटः 48 यूपदार 264 बाभ्रव्यः 🕄 योग: *92, 93, 95, 97, 98, 225* बाहिष्कः ३ योगविभागः 49, 56, 69, 130, 131,बुसोपेन्ध्यम् 254 239, 252, 263 भसंज्ञा 19, 20 योगस्य 19, 301, 305 भावसाधनः 269योगाङ्गम् 234, 252भाविनीसंज्ञा 273 योगे 148

योगेन 114 वाचिकः 71 यौगपद्येन 4, 6–11, 13, 26, 233वार्तिककारः 217 रन्धनाय 258वाराणसी 241 राजगोक्षीरम् 207 वाहीकः 165 राजपुरुव: 287, 319 विक्षिप्तेषु 270 राज्यतिसृताः 250 विकृतिः 259-261 रात्रिगताः 249विग्रह: *260, 262, 263, 284* रुचितम् 263 विद्योतते 147 छक्षण । 145, 146, 241, 290 विधिः *332* लघ्वर्धम् ४४ विधेयम् 49लघुसंज्ञा 19 विनध्यः 92 विप्रतिपिद्धम् 25 लवणेक्टल लाक्षणिकस्य ३३ विप्रतिपेधः *21*, *28*, *221* लिङ्गव्यत्ययः 57 विप्रतिषेधात् 17, 18 ळिङ्गवचनानि *319* विप्रतिपेधे 3 लोकत: *128, 132, 153* विप्रतिपेधेन 158, 159, 245, 268, ळोकाश्रयात् 265298, 299 लोके 1 विभक्तिः 34ले।हितोष्णीपाः 306 विशेष्यम् 287 विशेष: 2, 259, 281, 285. 286, व्यत्ययः 57 **व्यातिपङ्गः** 199 295 विशेषकम् १८७, २८८ ह्यपेक्षा 176, 177, 199, 200, 304 विशेषणम् 287, 288 व्यवधानम् 187 विपमक्षणम् 108 व्यवस्थायाम् 29 वीरपुरुषकः 17,299व्यवेतानाम् 332 व्याकरणम् 281 वीवधः 204 वृत्तिः 193, 202, 243, 312 **ब्याकरण** 1, 79 चृत्तिपक्षः *192, 2*77 वचनप्रामाण्यात् 15, 216, 217, 255वचनात् 151, 265, 283, 284, 314 वृत्तिषु 166 वपावान् 250 वृत्तिस्त्रवचनप्रामाण्यात् 2/7 वर्णव्यत्ययः 57 वृश्चिकः 98वदर्भः 1 वर्द्धितकम् 92वेदेहः 1 **ब**र्धाकः 299 वहद्ग 241. वैयाकरणखसूचिः 281 वाक्यसंज्ञम् 203, 204वैयाकरणः 45 वाचनिकम् 190, 318 आद्धाय 100

श्रीषट् *136*

वाचनिकी 320

श्वश्रुसुरम् 259

शकः 1

शकटिवन्धुः 48

शङ्कुलाखण्डः २५०, २५१

शङ्घे 149

शतसहस्रम् 301 शन्नोदेवीयम् 174

शब्द*कृतः 248*

शब्दप्रमाणकाः 201

शब्दविरामः 167 शब्दाश्रयः 165

शस्त्रीश्यामा २८२, २८५

शाकपार्थिवः 307

शाकल्यस्य संहिता 144, 145

शास्त्रम् 27 शास्त्राणि 180 शिशपा 289

शिष्याः 2

गुक्रवाससम् ३०६

शुकवभ्रः 297

श्रु बेरम् 192, 257

शैली 232

षट्संज्ञा २३

पण्णगरी 270

षण्मुहूर्ताः 249 स्थाली 22, 258

स्थालीपिटरम् *153*

स्पर्धा 305

स्पर्धायाम् ३०४

स्रतन्त्रः 123-125

स्वातन्त्रयम् *85-87, 124*

स्वाध्यायेन²⁹²

स्वाभाविकम् 190, 318

स्वाभाविकी ३२०, ३२1

सङ्ख्या 23, 187

सङ्ख्याम् 225

सङ्ख्यातानुदेशः 37, 152

सङ्ख्यावाची 76

सङ्घयेये 225

सङ्घातस्य 65

सन्ततत्वात् १८

सन्देह: 2, 321, 330

सन्देहात् 322 सन्दियोगः 50

सम्प्रदानम् 22, 99, 101, 112

सप्तर्पयः 280 सम्बन्धः 83

समभ्याशीकरणम् २७०

समर्थतराणि २०१, २०२

समसङ्ख्यार्थं 152

समानाधिकरणः 5, 297

समावेशः 1, 2, 3

समासः २५८, २५०, २८३

समासान्तः ३ 🖓

समाहार: 209, 270, 275

समीपम् 80 समुद्रः 93

समुदायकार्यम् 232

समूहः 275 सर्विपः 329 सर्विष्कः 3

सर्वधनी २००, ३०२

सर्वेलिङ्गता २५०, २५३-२५३

सर्ववेदपारिषद्*ष् २१०* सहविवक्ष(*150*

साकल्ये 230

साङ्काश्यकेभ्यः १००, १०३

साहर्य 270 साधकम् 289 सामर्थ्यम् 257

सामान्यम् २८१, २८५, २८४

सामान्यविधिः 810 सामान्वशब्दाः 191

सामान्येन 145

सावकाशा ⁸, 13, 22, 151 सुकृतम् ²⁸⁹

सुस्क्मजटकेरोन ३२०, ११८

मृक्ष्मतरवस्त्रः ३०३ सृक्ष्मवस्त्रतरः ३०३

सुत्रम् २, २०

सृवकारस्य ३२१

स्त्रविपर्यासः 74

स्त्राणि *51* सुपः *260*

320

संज्ञानियमः *137, 140, 141*

संशाश्रयः २००

संज्ञासमावेशः 1 संप्रत्ययात् 257 संहिता 169

स्रोनागैः ३३३

सीबश्वः 45

ह्वादाविरामः 168

ह्रयत्यादयः 118

हस्तदक्षिणः ²190 हस्तिविधा ²⁵⁹

हिमचतः ⁹⁸

हेत: 10

(v) INDEX OF IMPORTANT ENGLISH WORDS

Arthäntarasankramita 55, 56 Astādhyāyī 4, 18, 58, 79, 150, 235 Ãeārya Pāņini 110, 217, 271 Bhattöji Dīksita 314 Connotation 24, 96, 212 Denotation 24, 212 Guruprasāda Šāstri 40, 54, 66, 109, 116, 117, 157, 160, 186, 190 Kaiyata 6, 11, 15-17, 19, 34, 41, 42, 45, 53, 58, 60, 64, 67, 70, 72, 78, 79, 83, 93-95, 104, 109, 111, 115, 117, 120, 129, 130, 132, 135, 138, 144 146, 154, 156, 161, 168, 171, 174, 175, 177, 180, 184, 185, 186, 188, 199, 200, 202-204, 206, 208, 210, 212, 213, 221 223, 227, 232, 236, 237, 239, 241, 257, 271, 273, 279, 283, 284, 290, 296, 297, 298, 314, 323 Kāsakṛtsua 271 Katyayana 265 Linga 327 · Mahābhāṣyakāra 1, 30, 34, 39, 40, 67, 147, 165, 217, 240, 253, 290, Nāgēśa 16, 17, 19, 21, 23, 33, Nāgōjibhaṭṭa 34, 36, 85, 109, 110, 114, 115, 118, 155, 160, 168, 171, 195, 205, 208, 212, 217, 218, 228, 248, 294, 295, 302

Pāṇinīyan 45

Paspaśāhnika 113

Pitch accent 229

Prakaraņa 284

Pravrttinimitta 1, 91, 212, 227, 232

Predecessors 258

Pūrvamīmāsakas 327

Rgvēdasamhitā 110, 160

Śruti 284

Sūtrakāraka 43, 44, 47, 62, 66, 74, 155, 190, 216, 240, 255, 258, 275,

310

Vārttikakāra 6, 27, 30, 56, 60, 67, 71, 147, 148, 174, 185, 205, 217, 242, 251, 253, 310, 324, 328, 329, 332

A Vārttikakāra 265 Vyavaechēdaka 288 Yajurvēdasamhitā 13 Yathoddēśapakṣa 21

BOOKS BY THE AUTHOR

- 1. (a) Lectures on Pataňjali's Mahābhāṣya Vol. I (ānhikas 1-3) Ist Edn.
 - (b) Do. 2nd edition (in press)
 - Do. Vol II (āhnikas 4-6)
- 2-5 Do. Vol. III (7-9); Vol. IV (10-14) & Vol. V (15-22)
- c-12 Do. Vols. VI to XII (23-85) to go to press.
 - 13 Mahābhāsyaprakāśikā—āhnikatrayam (in Sanskrit) Do.
 - 14 Gāirvānīgranthānucaritam (in Sanskrit) Do.
 - 15 History of Sanskrit Literature (in Tamil)
 - 16 History of Sanskrit Language (in Tamil)
 - 17 Toni-vilakkû (Tamil rendering of Dhvanyālōka)
 - 18 A study of Kālidāsa's Kumārasambhava (Canto i)
 - 19 Knots in Vālmīki-Rāmāyaņa (in press)
 - 20 Comparative Grammar of the Tamil Language.
 - 21 Tolkāppiyam—Eļuttatikāram with Tamil Commentary.
 - 22 Do. with English Commentary.
 - 23 Tolkāppiyaccollatikāra-k-kurippit.
 - 24 Tolkāppiyam—Collatikāram with English Commentary.
- 25—27 Do. Poruļatikāram Do. (3 parts)
 - 28 Tirukkuraļ—Arattuppāl with Bālar-urai.
 - 29 Do. Poruțpāl & Kāmattuppāl Do.
 - 30 Tamil-moli-nūl (in Tamil)
 - 31 Historical Tamil Reader.
 - 32 An Enquiry into the Relationship of Sanskrit and Tamil.
 - 33 History of Grammatical Theories in Tamil and their relation to Grammatical Literatue in Sanskrit.
 - 34 Sanganūlhaļum Vaidikamārggamum (in Tamil)
 - 35 Vāsukivaļļuvam (in Tamil)
 - 36 Synopsis of the Indian Systems of Philosophy (to go press)
 - 37 Hindu-mata-viņā-vidai (in Tamil)



- Nos. 1 (a), 2, 15, 16, 19, 24, 31 are Annamalai University Publications.
- No. 32 is Travancore University Publication.
- Nos. 22, 25, 26, 27, 33 Published in the Journal of Oriental Research, Madras.
- Nos. 17, 21, 28, 30, 34 Published under the patronage of the Mathādhipatis of Tiruppanandal Mutt.
- No. 29 Published by the Kāmakōṭi Publishing House, Madrras.
- No. 35 Published in the Journal-Tiruvalluvar, Tiruchirapalli.
- No. 37 Published by Amarabhārati-parīkṣā-samiti, Tiruchirapalli-5.